

Validation of the SSBD In Secondary Schools: Student And Parent Correlates



POSITIVE BEHAVIOR SUPPORT INITIATIVE


Walk the Peaceable Walk

Michael J. Richardson,
Paul Caldarella, Benjamin J. Young,
Ellie L. Young

Why Screen for Behavior Problems?

Screening allows for prevention and early intervention efforts, which reduce the need for more intensive services.

One of the most difficult challenges teachers and administrators face is behavior and emotional problems in students





What Behaviors?



Externalizing behaviors frequently cause disruption in the classroom and are relatively easy to detect (e.g., defiance, arguing, bullying, etc.)

Internalizing behaviors can be more difficult to notice because they are typically non-disruptive (e.g. shyness, anxiety, withdrawing from social situations).






Early Screening Options

- Student self-report
- Parent report
- Teacher report for all students.
- Teacher report for a subset of nominated students





Teacher Nomination




- Non-invasive way to consider all students at the school
- Teacher perspectives are highly relevant for school related problems
- Provides justification for further assessment



Teacher Nomination at the Secondary Level?

- Successful teacher nominations at the elementary level (see e.g., SSBD-Walker & Severson, 1992).
- Secondary Schools are very different context.



1 Teacher/Classroom
25 Students per Teacher



vs. Multiple Teachers & Classroom.
vs. 150 Students per Teacher.



Previous Findings

(Screening in Secondary Schools)

- The SSBD has been found to correlate with other measures, and nominated secondary students significantly differed from the school population (Caldarella, Young, Richardson, Young, & Young, in press).
- Systematic Screening at the Middle School Level: Score Reliability and Validity of the Student Risk Screening Scale (Lane, Parks, Kalberg, and Carter, 2007)



Previous Findings

(Parent & Student vs. Teacher Ratings)

- Modest correlations between teacher, parent, and student ratings have been reported for other at-risk measures (Achenbach, McConaughy, & Howell, 1987) .



Research Questions

- How accurate is the SSBD in identifying students entering grades 7-9 at risk for internalizing and externalizing behavior problems?
- To what extent are teacher perceptions shared by students and parents?



Population

(grades 6-8 in three schools)



Total Students	2173
Male/Female	1087/1086
Free, Reduced Lunch	30%



Population



African-American	.5%
Asian	1%
Caucasian	89%
Hispanic	8%
Native American	1%
Pacific Islander	.5%



Ns for analyses

- Population (3 schools) = 2173
- Nominated students = 226
 - Internalizing = 109
 - Externalizing = 117
- Student Invited to Participate = 123
- Students receiving follow-up measures = 66



Measures

- Systematic Screening for Behavior Disorders (SSBD-Walker & Severson, 1992)
- Achenbach system (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001): Parent, Teacher and Self-report
- Social Skills Rating System (SSRS-Gresham & Elliot, 1990): Parent, Teacher and Self-report
- Office Disciplinary Referrals (ODR)
- GPA



Procedures

- SSBD Stage One: Teachers identified and ranked top five students with externalizing and internalizing behaviors
- Students compared to School-wide averages on ODR and Cumulative GPA
- SSBD Stage Two: Completed for highest ranked students. Teachers completed TRF (Achenbach), and SSRS.
- Parents and students completed CBCL and YSR (Achenbach), and SSRS.



SSBD Stage 1

Rank Ordering on Externalizing Dimension

Externalizing refers to all behavior problems that are directed outwardly, by the child, toward the external social environment. Externalizing behavior problems usually involve behavioral excesses, (i.e., too much behavior) and are considered inappropriate by teachers and other school personnel. **Non-examples** of externalizing behavior problems would include all forms of adaptive child behavior that are considered appropriate to the school setting.

Examples include:

- displaying aggression toward objects or persons,
- arguing,
- forcing the submission of others,
- defying the teacher,
- being out of seat,
- not complying with teacher instructions or directives,
- having tantrums,
- being hyperactive,
- disturbing others,
- stealing, and
- not following teacher or school imposed rules.

Non-Examples include:

- cooperating, sharing,
- working on assigned tasks,
- making assistance needs known in an appropriate manner,
- listening to the teacher,
- interacting in an appropriate manner with peers,
- following directions,
- attending to task, and
- complying with teacher requests.

COLUMN ONE
List Externalizers

	Student Name
Most Exemplifying Externalizing Behavior	Eric
	Robert
	Johnny
	Travis
	Julie

COLUMN TWO
Rank Order Externalizers

	Student Name
Least Externalizing → Most	1 Eric
	2 Travis
	3 Johnny
	4 Julie
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10

Instructions:

1. Review the definition of externalizing behavior and then review a list of all students in your class.
2. In Column One, enter the names of the ten students who characteristic behavior patterns most closely match the externalizing behavioral definition.
3. In Column Two, rank order the students listed in Column One according to the degree or extent to which each exhibits externalizing behavior to the greatest degree is ranked first and so on until all 10 students are rank ordered.



SSBD Stage 2

SYSTEMATIC SCREENING FOR BEHAVIOR DISORDERS (SSBD)

SSBD Stage Two Rating
for Externalizing Students

Critical Events Index

Date _____ Teacher _____ School _____

Student: Eric Sex M Grade 2nd

Check one: Stage One SSBD Rank: 1 2 or 3

INSTRUCTIONS: Check **each** behavior from the list below that you are aware the student has exhibited during this school year.


- _____ 1. Steals.
- _____ 2. Sets fires.
- _____ 3. Vomits after eating.
- _____ 4. Has tantrums.
- _____ 5. Physically assaults an adult.
- _____ 6. Exhibits painful shyness.
- _____ 7. Exhibits large weight loss or gain over past three months. (Significant weight fluctuation would be in excess of 20% change in body weight.)
- _____ 8. Exhibits sad affect, depression and feelings of worthlessness to such an extent as to interfere with normal peer and classroom activities.
- 9. Is physically aggressive with other students or adults (hits, bites, chokes, or throws things).
- _____ 10. Damages others' property (academic materials, damages personal possessions).
- _____ 11. Demonstrates obsessive-compulsive behaviors. (Student can't get his/her mind off certain thoughts or obsessions.)
- _____ 12. Reports having nightmares or significant sleep disturbances.
- _____ 13. Engages in inappropriate sexual behaviors (masturbation, exposes self).



Analyses


- SSBD Stage One
 - Concurrent validity assessed using ODR and GPA
- SSBD Stage Two
 - Internal consistency and inter-rater (teacher) reliability assessed.
 - Convergent and discriminant validity
 - Assessed using TRF (Achenbach), SSRS, ODR and GPA
 - Assessed using CBCL, YSR (Achenbach) and SSRS.

SSBD Stage One (ANOVA)






SSBD Stage One (ANOVA)





SSBD Stage One (ANOVA)





Internal Consistency SSBD Stage Two

	Cronbach's Alpha	<i>N</i> Students	<i>N</i> Items
Critical Events Externalizing Subscale (Items 1, 2, 4, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 25, 26)	.73	226	13
Critical Events Internalizing Subscale (Items 6, 8, 12, 27, 28)	.54	226	5
Maladaptive	.90	217	11
Adaptive	.88	213	12



Cross-Informant Correlations (Between Teachers) SSBD Stage Two

	Pearson's r	<i>N</i> Students	<i>N</i> Raters (per student)
Critical Events Externalizing Subscale (Items 1, 2, 4, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 25, 26)	.60**	71	2
Critical Events Internalizing Subscale (Items 6, 8, 12, 27, 28)	.57**	71	2
Maladaptive	.61**	65	2
Adaptive	.48**	64	2

** $p < .01$



Teacher Correlations SSBD Stage Two

SSBD Stage Two	TRF Int.	TRF Ext.	SSRS Int.	SSRS Ext.	GPA	ODR Dis.	ODR Att.
CE Internalizing	.38**	-.11	.44**	-.17	.17	-.36**	.05
CE Externalizing	.10	.41**	-.07	.43**	-.30*	.31*	-.11
Adaptive	-.28*	-.37**	-.16	-.33*	.39**	-.38**	-.12
Maladaptive	.03	.21	-.12	.25	-.20	.28*	-.10

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, $N(\text{TRF}, \text{SSRS}) = 59$, $N(\text{GPA}, \text{ODR}) = 66$



Parent & Student Correlations SSBD Stage Two

SSBD Stage Two	Parent Forms				Student Forms	
	SSRS Int.	SSRS Ext.	CBCL Int.	CBCL Ext.	YSR Int.	YSR Ext.
CE Internalizing	.50**	-.12	.35*	.06	.29*	-.10
CE Externalizing	.01	.26	-.06	.21	.13	.32**
Adaptive	-.08	-.24	-.03	-.25	-.17	-.30*
Maladaptive	-.03	.28	-.01	.22	.03	.26*

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, N(CBCL) = 41, N(SSRS) = 40, N(YSR, SSRS SS) = 64



Summary of Data

- SSBD Stage One
 - Identified students differed from Non-identified students on ODR and GPA
- SSBD Stage Two
 - Reliability estimates were adequate
 - Significant correlations were found with other measures, including parent and student ratings.





Implications

- Even with limited contact with students, secondary teachers were able provide verifiable information regarding problem behaviors using the SSBD. This was also true for internalizing behaviors which are typically harder to identify.
- More support for the validity of using the SSBD in secondary schools.



Limitations

- For Stage Two, the sample of students was relatively small.
- Sample lacked geographic diversity.
- Relatively low number of minority students.
- Did not include high school students.
- No item analysis was conducted



POSITIVE BEHAVIOR SUPPORT INITIATIVE

<http://education.byu.edu/pbsi/>

Michael J. Richardson – mnarich@hotmail.com

Paul Caldarella – paul_caldarella@byu.edu

Benjamin J. Young – ben.young@nebo.edu

Ellie L. Young – ellie_young@byu.edu

BYU-PBSI

236 S 700 E

Provo, UT 84606

(801) 377-0560

Fax (801) 377-0597

byu.pbsi@gmail.com



References

Achenbach, T. M., McConaughy, S. H., & Howell, C. T. (1987). Child/adolescent behavioral and emotional problems: Implications of cross-informant correlations for situational specificity. *Psychological Bulletin, 101*, 213-232.

Achenbach, T. M., & Rescorla, L. A. (2001). *Manual for the ASEBA school-age forms & profiles*. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, & Families.

Caldarella, P., Young, E. L., Richardson, M. J., Young, B. J., & Young, K. R. (in press). Validation of the Systematic Screening for Behavioral Disorders in middle and junior high school. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*.

Gresham, F. M., & Elliot, S. N. (1990). *Social Skills Rating System manual*. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service.

Lane, K. L., Parks, R. J., Kalberg, J. R., & Carter E. W. (2007). Systematic screening at the middle school level: score reliability and validity of the Student Risk Screening Scale. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders, 15*, 209-222.

Walker, H. M., & Severson, H. H. (1992). *Systematic Screening for Behavior Disorders (2nd ed.)*. Longmont, CO: Sopris West