

Construction of self-dual codes with an automorphism

Hyun Jin Kim

Ewha Institute of Mathematical Sciences

Coauthors,

Heisook Lee (Ewha Womans University)

June Bok Lee (Yonsei University)

Yoonjin Lee (Ewha Womans University)

November 15, 2012

Contents

1. Introduction
2. The code decomposition
3. Results
4. Example
5. Conclusion

1. Introduction

Best codes

- ▶ In coding theory, we have been interested in finding the “best” codes. There are notions of *optimal self-dual codes* and *extremal self-dual codes* which can be considered as the the best codes.

Methods

There are two famous methods.

- ▶ Huffman and Yorgov : Decomposition theorem
- ▶ Harada : Extension method (Kim and Lee)

Huffman and Yorgov

- ▶ Self-dual codes with an automorphism of odd prime order p .

Harada

- ▶ Construction of self-dual code of length $n + 2$ from self-dual code of length n .

2. The code decomposition

Subcodes

Let \mathcal{C} be a binary self-dual code of length n with an automorphism σ of odd prime order p with exactly c independent p -cycles and $f = n - cp$ fixed points in its decomposition. We may assume that

$$\sigma = (1, 2, \dots, p)(p+1, p+2, \dots, 2p) \cdots ((c-1)p+1, (c-1)p+2, \dots, cp)$$

Denote the cycles of σ by $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_c$ and the fixed points by $\Omega_{c+1}, \Omega_{c+2}, \dots, \Omega_{c+f}$.

▶ $\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C} : \mathbf{v}\sigma = \mathbf{v}\}$

▶ $\mathbf{E}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C} : wt(\mathbf{v}|_{\Omega_i}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, i = 1, 2, \dots, c+f\},$

where $\mathbf{v}|_{\Omega_i}$ is the restriction of \mathbf{v} on Ω_i

Decomposition theorem

- ▶ The code \mathcal{C} is a direct sum of the subcodes $\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{E}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})$.

$\mathbf{E}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})$

- ▶ Denote by $\mathbf{E}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})^*$ the code $\mathbf{E}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ with the last f coordinates deleted.
- ▶ \mathcal{P} is the set of all even-weight polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^p + 1)$. Define the map $\phi : \mathbf{E}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})^* \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^c$ by $v|\Omega_i = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{p-1})$ correspond to polynomial $v_0 + v_1x + \dots + v_{p-1}x^{p-1}$ in \mathcal{P} for v in $\mathbf{E}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})^*$.

$\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})$

- ▶ $v \in \mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if $v \in \mathcal{C}$ and v is a constant on each cycle.
- ▶ Let $\pi : \mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{c+f}$ be the projection map defined by $(v\pi)_i = v_j$ for $j \in \Omega_i$, $v \in \mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})$.

Huffman and Yorgov

Assume that the polynomial $1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{p-1}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. A binary $[n, n/2]$ code \mathcal{C} with an automorphism σ is self-dual if and only if the following two conditions hold:

- (i) $\pi(\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}))$ is a self-dual binary code of length $c + f$,
- (ii) $\phi(\mathbf{E}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})^*)$ is a self-dual code of length c over the field \mathcal{P} under the inner product

$$(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^c u_i v_i^{2^{(p-1)/2}}.$$

Extension theorem

Let G_0 be a generator matrix of a self-dual code \mathcal{C}_0 of length $2n$, and let

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n})$$

be a vector in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n} such that $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 1$, where \cdot denotes the Euclidean inner product. Let

$$y_i := \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where \mathbf{r}_i is the i -th row vector of G_0 . Then the following matrix

$$G = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cccc} 1 & 0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_i & \cdots & x_{2n} \\ y_1 & y_1 & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & \\ y_n & y_n & & & G_0 & & \end{array} \right)$$

generates a self-dual code \mathcal{C} of length $2n + 2$.

3. Results

Result 1

- ▶ If there exists a self-dual code of length $2n$ with automorphism of type $p - (c, f)$ then there exists a self-dual code of length $2n + 2$ with automorphism of type $p - (c, f + 2)$.

More detail

Assume that \mathcal{C} is a self-dual code of length $2n$ with an automorphism of type $p - (c, f)$, A is the generator matrix of $\mathbf{E}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})^*$ and $(X \mid Y)$ is the generator matrix of $\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ where the number of columns of the matrix X is pc . Let the generator matrix of $\pi(\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}))$ be $(\bar{X} \mid Y)$ and $(\bar{x}_j \mid \mathbf{y}_j)$ the j th row vector of $(\bar{X} \mid Y)$ for $1 \leq j \leq \frac{c+f}{2}$. Let

$$\bar{G} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|cc} x_1 & \cdots & x_c & x_{c+1} & \cdots & x_{c+f} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline & & \bar{X} & & & Y & y_1 & y_1 \\ & & & & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & y_{\frac{c+f}{2}} & y_{\frac{c+f}{2}} \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$y_j = (x_1, \dots, x_c, x_{c+1}, \dots, x_{c+f}) \cdot (\bar{x}_j \mid \mathbf{y}_j)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq \frac{c+f}{2}$.

More detail

Then the matrix

$$G = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} A & \mathbf{0} & 00 & \\ \hline & \pi^{-1}(\overline{G}) & & \end{array} \right)$$

generates a self-dual code of length $2n + 2$ with an automorphism of type $p - (c, f + 2)$.

Extremal condition 1

Let \mathcal{C} be a code with an automorphism σ of type $p - (c, f + 2)$ of length $pc + f$ and minimum weight d . In order for \mathcal{C} to have $d \geq 8$ and $p = 3$, $d \geq 10$ and $p = 5$, or $d \geq 12$ and $p = 7$, we need the following conditions: Let $\mathbf{v} \in \pi(\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}))$.

- C.1 : If \mathbf{v} is a codeword of weight 4 then \mathbf{v} has at least 2-nonzero coordinates in the first c coordinates.
- C.2 : If \mathbf{v} is a codeword of weight 6 then \mathbf{v} has at least 1-nonzero coordinate in the first c coordinates.

Result 2

Let \mathcal{C} be a binary self-dual $[c + f, (c + f)/2, \geq 4]$ code with generator matrix $(X \mid Y)$, and let c be the length of X . Assume that c is even and \mathcal{C} satisfies C.1 and C.2. If all codewords generated by X have even weights and $\text{rank}(X) < c - 1$, then there exists a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{c+f})$ in \mathbb{F}_2^{c+f} with $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 1$ such that the matrix

$$G = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|cc} x_1 & \cdots & x_c & x_{c+1} & \cdots & x_{c+f} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline & & X & & & Y & y_1 & y_1 \\ & & & & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & y_{\frac{c+f}{2}} & y_{\frac{c+f}{2}} \end{array} \right)$$

generates a binary $[c + f + 2, (c + f)/2 + 1, \geq 4]$ self-dual code satisfying the conditions C.1 and C.2.

Extremal condition 2

In order for \mathcal{C} to have $d = 4$ and $p = 3$, $d = 8$ and $p = 7$, or $d = 12$ and $p = 11$, we need the conditions C.3 and C.4: Let $\mathbf{v} \in \pi(\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}))$.

- C.3 : If \mathbf{v} is a codeword of weight 2 then \mathbf{v} has at least one nonzero coordinates in the first c coordinates.
- C.4 : If \mathbf{v} is a codeword of weight 4 then \mathbf{v} has at least one nonzero coordinates in the first c coordinates.

Extremal condition 3

In order for \mathcal{C} to have either $d = 6$ and $p = 3$, or $d \geq 10$ and $p = 7$, we need the conditions C.5 and C.6: Let $\mathbf{v} \in \pi(\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}))$.

- C.5: If \mathbf{v} is a codeword of weight 2 then \mathbf{v} has all nonzero coordinates in the first c coordinates.
- C.6: If \mathbf{v} is a codeword of weight 4 then \mathbf{v} has at least one nonzero coordinates in the first c coordinates.

Extremal condition 4

Let \mathcal{C} be a binary self-dual code of length $c + f$ with the generator matrix

$$G_1 = \left(X \mid \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{0} \\ I_f \end{array} \right) \quad (1)$$

and let c be the length of X . We consider a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_2^c$ which satisfies the following conditions:

A.1 : \mathbf{v} is an odd vector.

A.2 : \mathbf{v} belongs to a different coset from the code which is generated by X .

Extremal condition 5

Let S_j be a subset of $\{\frac{c-f}{2} + 1, \frac{c-f}{2} + 2, \dots, \frac{c+f}{2}\}$ with $|S_j| = f - j$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, f$. We note that the total number of such S_j is $\binom{f}{j}$. Let $S_{j,l}^* = S_j \cup T_l$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{(c-f)/2}$ where T_l is a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, \frac{c-f}{2}\}$. Let \mathbf{r}_i be i th row vector of X .

$$\text{B.1 : } wt(\mathbf{v} + \sum_{i \in S_{0,l}^*} \mathbf{r}_i) \geq 3.$$

$$\text{B.2 : } wt(\mathbf{v} + \sum_{i \in S_{2,l}^*} \mathbf{r}_i) \geq 2.$$

$$\text{B.3 : } wt(\mathbf{v} + \sum_{i \in S_{4,l}^*} \mathbf{r}_i) \geq 1.$$

Result 3

- ▶ Let \mathcal{C} be a binary self-dual $[c + f, \frac{c+f}{2}, \geq 4]$ code with the generator matrix G_1 in (1). Suppose that \mathcal{C} satisfies C.1 and C.2, $f \geq 6$ and c is even (so f is even). Let \mathbf{v} be a vector of length c satisfying the conditions A.1, A.2, B.1, B.2 and B.3. Then \mathcal{C} can be extended to a binary $[c + f + 2, (c + f)/2 + 1, \geq 4]$ self-dual code satisfying C.1 and C.2.

Result 3

The following matrix is a generator matrix of the extended code of \mathcal{C} :

$$G = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|cc} & & & & y_1 & y_1 \\ & & & \mathbf{0} & \vdots & \vdots \\ & X & & I_f & y_{\frac{c+f}{2}} & y_{\frac{c+f}{2}} \\ \hline \mathbf{v} & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad (2)$$

where $y_i := (\mathbf{v} \mid 1, \dots, 1) \cdot i$ th row vector of G_1 in (1) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, (c+f)/2$.

4. Examples

We consider vectors which belong to different cosets from the code \mathcal{C} . There can be several vectors of the smallest weight in each coset. We call a vector of the smallest weight in each coset a *coset leader* of the coset. If there is a coset leader of weight ≥ 3 , then we can find the vector \mathbf{v} which satisfies the conditions A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2, B_3 , so that we can apply Result 3.

[40,20,8] codes

- ▶ We want to construct a binary self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ code with an automorphism of order 3 using Result 1. Suppose that σ is an automorphism of type $3 - (10, 8)$ of an extremal self-dual $[38, 19, 8]$ code.

[40,20,8] codes

The following matrix generates a self-dual code \mathcal{C}_{38} of length 38 with an automorphism σ .

$$G_{38} = \begin{pmatrix} 0110110110110000000000000000000000000000 \\ 1011011011010000000000000000000000000000 \\ 0000000110110110110000000000000000000000 \\ 0000001011011011010000000000000000000000 \\ 0000000000000110110110110000000000000000 \\ 0000000000000101101101101000000000000000 \\ 00000000000000000011011011011000000000 \\ 00000000000000000010110110110100000000 \\ 01100001100001100001100010111000000000 \\ 10100010100010100010100011001100000000 \\ 11111100011100000011100000000000000000 \\ 111111110001110000000011100010000000 \\ 11100011111111100000000011100001000000 \\ 11100011100011111111111111110000010000 \\ 00000011100011111100011100011100010000 \\ 1110001110001111111100011111100001000 \\ 11100011100011100011111111111100000100 \\ 00000011100000011100011111111110000010 \\ 00000000000011111100011111111100000001 \end{pmatrix}$$

[40,20,8] codes

In this case, $\pi(\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_{38}))$ is equivalent to H_{18} [1]. A $[20, 10, 4]$ code can be constructed from $\pi(\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_{38}))$ by using Result 1 and Result 3 with the vector $\mathbf{v} = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, and this code is equivalent to S_{20} [1]. From this $[20, 10, 4]$ code we find an extremal self-dual code \mathcal{C}_{40} of length 40 by using Result 1 and Result 3.

[1] V. Pless, N.J.A. Sloane, H.N. Ward, *Ternary codes of minimum weight 6 and the classification of the self-dual codes of length 20*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 26 (1980) 306-316.

[40,20,8] codes

The following matrix is a generator matrix of $\pi(\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_{40}))$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 00010000001111111110 \\ 1101001000000000011 \\ 11101000101000000011 \\ 10111000100100000000 \\ 10101111100010000011 \\ 00101101010001000011 \\ 10101110110000100011 \\ 10101011110000010011 \\ 00100101110000001011 \\ 00001101110000000111 \end{pmatrix}$$

[54,27,10] codes

- ▶ We obtain new extremal self-dual codes of length 54 with an automorphism of order 7 using Result 1. Three inequivalent binary self-dual [54, 27, 10] codes with an automorphism of order 7 are constructed from the binary self-dual code of length 52 in [2].

[2] W. C. Huffman, *The [52, 26, 10] binary self-dual codes with an automorphism of order 7*, *Finite Fields Appl.*, 7 (2001), 341-349.

[54,27,10] codes

 $G_{54,1} =$

```

11101000000000000000000000000000111010011101000000
01110100000000000000000000000000000000000000111010011101000000
0011101000000000000000000000000000000000000011101001110100000
0000000111010000000000000000000000000000001110100011101000000
000000001110100000000000000000000000000000111010001110100000
0000000011101000000000000000000000000000011101100111000000
0000000000000011101000000000111010011101001110100000000000000
0000000000000011101000000001110100111010000000000000000000000
0000000000000011101000000001110100111010000000000000000000000
0000000000000011101001110100011101001110100111010000000000000
0000000000000011101001110100011101001110100111010000000000000
000000000000001110100111001011100101110010110000000000000000000
00000000000000110010111001011100101000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000111001011100101110010100000000000000000000000000
10010111001011100101100101110000000100101100000000000000000000
11001011100101110010110010110000000110010100000000000000000000
110010110010111000000001011100000000000000100101100000000000000
11001011001011000000010010110000000000000110010100000000000000
11100101100101000000011001010000000000000011100100000000000000
11111111111110000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000011
000000000000011111111111111111111110000001111111100011
000000000000011111110000000000000001111111111111111111111110000
0000000000000000000001111111000000111111111111111101000
0000000000000011111111111110000001111111100000000111
111111100000001111111000000000000000000000000000000000000010001

```

[54,27,10] codes

 $G_{54,2} =$

```

11101000000000000000000000000000111010011101000000
01110100000000000000000000000000111010011101000000
0011101000000000000000000000000011101001110100000
00000011101000000000000000000000111010001110100000
00000001110100000000000000000000111010001110100000
0000000011101000000000000000000011101100111000000
0000000000001110100000000111010011101001110100000000000
000000000000011101000000001110100111010000000000000
00000000000000111010000000111010011101000000000000
000000000000000111010000000111010011101000000000000
0000000000000000011101001110100111010011101000000
00000000000000000001110100111010011101001110100000
00000000000000000000011101001110100111001011000000000000000
00000000000001100101110010111001010000000000000000000
00000000000001110010111001011100101000000000000000000
10010111001011100101100101110010100000001001011000000000000
110010111001011100101100101100000011001010000000000000000
111001011100101110010110010100000001110010000000000000000
1001011001011100000000101110000000000000100101100000
110010110010110000001001011000000000000110010100000
111001011001010000001100101000000000000111001000000
1111111111111000000000000000000000000000000000000011
000000000000011111111111111111111110000001111111100011
000000000000011111110000000000000111111111111111110000
000000000000000000001111111000000111111111111111101011
000000000000011111111111110000001111111100000000100
1111111000000011111110000000000000111111100000000001

```


[58,29,10] codes 1

- ▶ We construct extremal self-dual codes of length 58. Firstly, we construct binary self-dual codes of length 56 with an automorphism of order 3 with 18 independent cycles from a binary extremal self-dual code of length 54 with an automorphism of order 3 with 18 independent cycles using Result 1.

[58,29,10] codes 1

- ▶ We construct extremal self-dual codes of length 58. Firstly, we construct binary self-dual codes of length 56 with an automorphism of order 3 with 18 independent cycles from a binary extremal self-dual code of length 54 with an automorphism of order 3 with 18 independent cycles using Result 1.

[3] S. Bouyuklieva and P. Östergård, *New constructions of optimal self-dual binary codes of length 54*, Des. Codes Crypt., **41** (2006), 101–109.

[54,27,10] code

```
0110110110110110110110100000000000000000000000000011  
1011011011011011011011010000000000000000000000000101  
110101101101011011011110101000000000000000000011000  
011110110110101101101011110000000000000000000101000  
1101100110001011010111100000000000000000000011000000  
011011101000110110101011000000000000000000101000000  
110110110110101010111101100000000000000001100000000  
011011011011011110101011011000000000000010100000000  
110000101000110110011011011000000000001100000000000  
0110001100000110111011011010000000000010100000000000  
110000101110000110011000000000000001100000000000000  
011000110011000011101000000000000010100000000000000  
101110011110101000011101000000000110000000000000000  
110011101011110000101110000000001010000000000000000  
011101011000011110000110011000011000000000000000000  
101110101000101011000011101000101000000000000000000  
11001110100000000001101100110000000000000000000000  
011101110000000000000011011101000000000000000000000  
111111111111000000000000000000000000000000000000000  
000000111111111100000000000000000000000000000000000  
000000000000111110001110000000000000011100000000000  
00000000000000000000111000000111000000111000000111000  
111000111000111000001110000011100000000001111000000  
0000000000000000000011100011111100000000001110000000  
00000000000000000000111000111000001110000011100000111  
000000000000000000111000001110000011100000000000111  
11111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111
```

[58,29,10] codes 1

We present the generator matrix of $\pi(\mathbf{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_{58}))$ as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 1100000010000000000001 \\
 1111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 0011110000000000001100 \\
 0000001011000010001111 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000111 \\
 1111000000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},
 \begin{pmatrix}
 1010000010000000000001 \\
 1111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 0011110000000000001111 \\
 0000001011000010001111 \\
 1010100100100001000000 \\
 1000100001000000000111 \\
 1111000000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},
 \begin{pmatrix}
 0110000010000000000001 \\
 1111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 0011110000000000001111 \\
 0000001011000010001111 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000100 \\
 1111000000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 1001000010000000000001 \\
 1111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 0011110000000000001111 \\
 0000001011000010001111 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000111 \\
 1111000000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},
 \begin{pmatrix}
 0011000010000000000001 \\
 1111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 0011110000000000001100 \\
 0000001011000010001111 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000100 \\
 1111000000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},
 \begin{pmatrix}
 1000000100100000000001 \\
 1111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001111 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 0011110000000000001100 \\
 0000001011000010001100 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000111 \\
 1111000000000000001111 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},$$

[58,29,10] codes 1

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 000101001000000000001 \\
 111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001111 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 001111000000000001100 \\
 0000001011000010001111 \\
 1010100100100001000000 \\
 1000100001000000000100 \\
 1111000000000000001111 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},
 \begin{pmatrix}
 1100000000010000000001 \\
 111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011111 \\
 001111000000000001100 \\
 0000001011000010001100 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000111 \\
 1111000000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},
 \begin{pmatrix}
 1001000000010000000001 \\
 111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011111 \\
 001111000000000001111 \\
 0000001011000010001100 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000111 \\
 1111000000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 0110000000000100000001 \\
 111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001111 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 001111000000000001111 \\
 0000001011000010001100 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000100 \\
 1111000000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100011
 \end{pmatrix},
 \begin{pmatrix}
 0011000000010000000001 \\
 111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011111 \\
 001111000000000001100 \\
 0000001011000010001100 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000100 \\
 1111000000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},
 \begin{pmatrix}
 1010000000000001000001 \\
 111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 001111000000000001111 \\
 0000001011000010001100 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000111 \\
 1111000000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100000
 \end{pmatrix},$$

[58,29,10] codes 1

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 0000001000001000100001 \\
 1111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 001111000000000001100 \\
 0000001011000010001111 \\
 1010100100100001000000 \\
 1000100001000000000100 \\
 111100000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100011
 \end{pmatrix},
 \begin{pmatrix}
 0000000001010000100001 \\
 1111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 001111000000000001100 \\
 0000001011000010001111 \\
 1010100100100001000000 \\
 1000100001000000000111 \\
 111100000000000001100 \\
 0000001001001000011111 \\
 0000000100100100100011
 \end{pmatrix},
 \begin{pmatrix}
 1000000010000000100001 \\
 1111111111111111111111 \\
 0000110100000100001100 \\
 0000000001010010011100 \\
 001111000000000001100 \\
 0000001011000010001111 \\
 1010100100100001000011 \\
 1000100001000000000111 \\
 111100000000000001111 \\
 0000001001001000011100 \\
 0000000100100100100011
 \end{pmatrix}.$$

[58,29,10] codes 2

- ▶ We can construct four inequivalent binary self-dual [58, 29, 10] codes with an automorphism of order 7 from the binary self-dual code of length 60 in [4].

[4] R. Dontcheva and M. Harada, *Some extremal self-dual codes with an automorphism of order 7*, Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput. (AAECC J.), **14** (2003), 75–79.

[58,29,10] codes 2

 $G_{58,2} =$

```

11101000000000000000000000000000111010011101001110100111010000
0111010000000000000000000000000011101001110100111010011101000
001110100000000000000000000000001110100111010011101001110100
0000000111010000000000000000001110100000000000000000111010000
000000011101000000000000000000111010000000000000000011101000
00000000111010000000000000000011101000000000000000001110100
00000000000000000000000000000011101000000000000000001110100
000000000000000000000000000000111010000000000000000011101000
0000000000000000000000000000001110100000000000000000100111000
00000000000000000000000000000011101001110100001110101110101001100
000000000000000000000000000000111010011101010011100011101110100100
0000000000000000000000000000001110100111010100111010011101001000
100101110010111001011100101110010111000000000000000000000000000
11001011100101110010111001011100101000000000000000000000000000
111001011100101110010111001011100100000000000000000000000000000
1001011000000000000000010111000000001001011000000000000000000000
1100101000000000000000010111000000011001010000000000000000000000
11100100000000000000000100101100000001110010000000000000000000000
1001011000000000000000010111000000000000001001011000000000000000
11001010000000000000000100101100000000000001100101000000000000000
11100100000000000000000110010100000000000001110010000000000000000
10010111001011001011111100100000000000000000000000000000100101100
1100101110010110010110111001000000000000000000000000000000110010100
11100101110010110010110111000000000000000000000000000000000000011001000
00000000000000000000000000000000111111111111111111111111111111100
111111111111111111111111111111111000000011111110000000111111100
11111111111111111111111111111111100000000000000000000000000000011
0000000111111000000000000001111111111111111110000000000000010
000000000000000011111110000000111111111111111111111111000000000000001

```

5. Conclusions

Conclusion

- ▶ We develop a construction method for finding self-dual codes with an automorphism of order p with c independent p -cycles. In more detail, we construct a self-dual code with an automorphism of type $p - (c, f + 2)$ and length $n + 2$ from a self dual code with an automorphism of type $p - (c, f)$ and length n
- ▶ We add simple conditions to preserve the extremality.

Conclusion

- ▶ We obtain extremal self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ codes with an automorphism of type $3 - (10, 10)$, which is constructed from an extremal self-dual $[38, 19, 8]$ code of type $3 - (10, 8)$.
- ▶ We find three new inequivalent extremal self-dual $[54, 27, 10]$ codes with an automorphism of type $7 - (7, 5)$.

Conclusion

- ▶ We obtain at least 482 inequivalent extremal self-dual $[58, 29, 10]$ codes with an automorphism of type $3 - (18, 4)$, which is constructed from an extremal self-dual $[54, 27, 10]$ code of type $3 - (18, 0)$
- ▶ We find two new inequivalent extremal self-dual $[58, 29, 10]$ codes with an automorphism of order 7 having 8 independent cycles and 2 fixed points.

Thank you.