



# Programming Languages

Types

G22.2110

Summer 2008



# What is a type?

- A type consists of a set of values
- The compiler/interpreter defines a mapping of these values onto the underlying hardware.

# Static vs Dynamic Type Systems

## Static vs dynamic

- Static
  - ◆ Variables have types
  - ◆ Compiler ensures that type rules are obeyed at compile time
- Dynamic
  - ◆ Variables do not have types, values do
  - ◆ Compiler ensures that type rules are obeyed at run time

A language may have a mixture;

Java has a mostly static type system with some runtime checks.

## Pros and cons

- faster: static  
dynamic typing requires run-time checks
- more flexible: dynamic
- easier to refactor code: static

# Strong vs weak typing

- A strongly typed language does not allow variables to be used in a way inconsistent with their types (no loopholes)
- A weakly typed language allows many ways to bypass the type system (e.g., pointer arithmetic)

C is a poster child for the latter. Its motto is: “Trust the programmer”.

# Scalar Types Overview

- discrete types
  - must have clear successor, predecessor
  - ◆ integer types
    - often several sizes (e.g., 16 bit, 32 bit, 64 bit)
    - sometimes have signed and unsigned variants (e.g., C/C++, Ada, C#)
    - SML/NJ has a 31-bit integer
  - ◆ enumeration types
- floating-point types
  - typically 64 bit (double in C); sometimes 32 bit as well (float in C)
- rational types
  - used to represent exact fractions (Scheme, Lisp)
- complex
  - Fortran, Scheme, Lisp, C99, C++ (in STL)

# Other intrinsic types

- `boolean`

Common type; C had no boolean until C99

- `character`, `string`

- ◆ some languages have no character data type (e.g., Javascript)

- ◆ internationalization support

  - Java: UTF-16

  - C++: 8 or 16 bit characters; semantics implementation dependent

- ◆ string mutability

most languages allow it, Java does not.

- `void`, `unit`

Used as return type of procedures;

`void`: (C, Java) represents the absence of a type

`unit`: (ML, Haskell) a type with one value: `()`

# Enumeration types: abstraction at its best

- trivial and compact implementation:  
literals are mapped to successive integers
- very common abstraction: list of names, properties
- expressive of real-world domain, hides machine representation

Examples:

```
type Suit is (Hearts, Diamonds, Spades, Clubs);  
type Direction is (East, West, North, South);
```

Order of list means that Spades > Hearts, etc.

Contrast this with C#:

“arithmetics on enum numbers may produce results in the underlying representation type that do not correspond to any declared enum member; this is not an error”

# Enumeration types and strong typing

```
type Fruit is (Apple, Orange, Grape, Apricot);  
type Vendor is (Apple, IBM, HP, Dell);
```

```
My_PC : Vendor;  
Dessert : Fruit;  
...  
My_PC := Apple;  
Dessert := Apple;  
Dessert := My_PC; -- error
```

Apple is *overloaded*. It can be of type Fruit or Vendor.

# Subranges

Ada and Pascal allow types to be defined which are subranges of existing discrete types.

```
type Sub is new Positive range 2 .. 5;    -- Ada  
V: Sub;
```

```
type sub = 2 .. 5;    (* Pascal *)  
var v: sub;
```

Assignments to these variables are checked at runtime:

```
V := I + J;    -- runtime error if not in range
```

# Composite Types

- arrays
- records
- variant records, unions
- classes
- pointers, references
- function types
- lists
- sets
- maps

# Arrays

- index types
  - most languages restrict to an integral type
  - Ada, Pascal, Haskell allow any scalar type
- index bounds
  - many languages restrict lower bound:  
C, Java: 0, Fortran: 1, Ada, Pascal: no restriction
- when is length determined
  - Fortran: compile time; most other languages: can choose
- dimensions
  - some languages have true multi-dimensional arrays (Fortran)
  - most simulate multi-dimensional arrays quite nicely as arrays of arrays.
- literals
  - C/C++ has initializers, but not full-fledged literals
  - Ada: (23, 76, 14) Scheme: #(23, 76, 14)
- first-classness
  - C, C++ does not allow arrays to be returned from functions

# Composite Literals

Does the language support these?

## ■ array aggregates

```
A := (1, 2, 3, 10);           -- positional  
A := (1, others => 0);       -- for default  
A := (1..3 => 1, 4 => -999);  -- named
```

## ■ record aggregates

```
R := (name => "NYU", zipcode => 10012);
```

# Initializers in C++

Similar notion for declarations:

```
int v2[] = { 1, 2, 3, 4 }; // size from initializer
char v3[2] = { 'a', 'z' }; // declared size
int v5[10] = { -1 }; // default: other components = 0
struct School r =
    { "NYU", 10012 }; // record initializer
char name[] = "Algol"; // string literals are aggregates
```

C has no array assignments, so initializer is not an expression (mechanism is less orthogonal)

# Pointers and references

Related (but distinct) notions:

- a value that denotes a memory location
- a dynamic name that can designate different objects
- a mechanism to separate stack and heap allocation

```
type Ptr is access Integer; -- Ada: named type
```

```
typedef int *ptr; // C, C++
```

# Extra pointer capabilities

## Questions:

- Is it possible to get the address of a variable? Convenient, but aliasing causes optimization difficulties. (the same way that pass by reference does)

Unsafe if we can get the address of a stack allocated variable

- Is pointer arithmetic allowed?

unsafe if unrestricted

In C, no bounds checking:

```
// allocate space for 10 ints  
int *p = malloc(10 * sizeof(int));  
p += 42;  
... *p ... // out of bounds, but no check
```

# Dynamic data structures

```
type Cell;                -- an incomplete type
type Ptr is access Cell; -- an access to it
type Cell is record      -- the full declaration
  Value: Integer;
  Next, Prev: Ptr;
end record;
List: Ptr := new Cell'(10, null, null);
...      -- A list is just a pointer to its first element
List.Next := new Cell'(15, null, null);
List.Next.Prev := List;
```

# Incomplete declarations in C++

```
struct cell {
    int value;
    cell *prev;
    cell *next; // legal to mention name
};             // before end of declaration
struct list;  // incomplete declaration
struct link {
    link *succ;
    list *memberOf;
};           // a pointer to it
struct list { // full definition
    link *head; // mutual references
};
```

# Pointers and dereferencing

- Need notation to distinguish pointer from designated object
  - ◆ in Ada: `Ptr` vs `Ptr.all`
  - ◆ in C: `ptr` vs `*ptr`
  - ◆ in Java: no notion of pointer
- For pointers to composite values, dereference can be implicit:
  - ◆ in Ada: `C1.Value` equivalent to `C1.all.Value`
  - ◆ in C/C++: `c1.value` and `c1->value` are different

# "Generic" pointers

A pointer used for low-level memory manipulation, i.e., a memory address.

In C, `void` is requisitioned to indicate this.

Any pointer type can be converted to a `void *`.

```
int a[10];  
void *p = &a[5];
```

A cast is required to convert back:

```
int *pi = (int *)p;  
double *pd = (double *)p; // no check
```

# Pointers and arrays in C/C++

In C/C++, the notions:

- an array
- a pointer to the first element of an array

are almost the same.

```
void f (int *p) { ... }  
int a[10];  
f(a); // same as f(&a[0])
```

```
int *p = new int [4];  
... p[0] ... // first element  
... *p ... // ditto  
... 0[p] ... // ditto  
  
... p[10] ... // past the end; undetected error
```

# Pointers and safety

Pointers create aliases: accessing the value through one name affects retrieval through the other:

```
int *p1, *p2;
...
p1 = new int [10]; // allocate
p2 = p1;           // share
delete [] p1;     // discard storage
p2[5] = ...       // error:
                  // p2 does not denote anything
```

# Pointer troubles

Several possible problems with low-level pointer manipulation:

- dangling references
- garbage (forgetting to free memory)
- freeing dynamically allocated memory twice
- freeing memory that was not dynamically allocated
- reading/writing outside object pointed to

# Dangling references

If we can point to local storage, we can create a reference to an undefined value:

```
int *f () {           // returns a pointer to an integer
    int local;       // variable on stack frame of f
    ...
    return &local;  // reference to local entity
}
```

```
int *x = f ();
...
*x = 5; // stack may have been overwritten
```

A record consists of a set of typed fields.

Choices:

- Name or structural equivalence?  
Most statically typed languages choose name equivalence  
ML, Haskell are exceptions
- Does order of fields matter?  
Typically, same answer as previous question.
- Any subtyping relationship with other record types?  
Most statically typed languages say no.  
Dynamically typed languages implicitly say yes.  
This is know as *duck typing*.



# Variant Records



A variant record is a record that provides multiple alternative sets of fields, only one of which is valid at any given time.

# Variant Records in Ada

Need to treat group of related representations as a single type:

```
type Figure_Kind is (Circle, Square, Line);
type Figure (Kind: Figure_Kind) is record
  Color: Color_Type;
  Visible: Boolean;
  case Kind is
    when Line => Length: Integer;
                 Orientation: Float;
                 Start: Point;

    when Square => Lower_Left, Upper_Right: Point;

    when Circle => Radius: Integer;
                  Center: Point;

  end case;
end record;
```

# Discriminant checking, part 1

```
C1: Figure(Circle);  -- discriminant provides constraint
S1: Figure(Square);
...
C1.Radius := 15;
if S1.Lower_Left = C1.Center then ...

function Area (F: Figure) return Float is
  -- applies to any figure, i.e., subtype
begin
  case F.Kind is
    when Circle => return Pi * Radius ** 2;
    ...
  end Area;
```

## Discriminant checking, part 2

```
L : Figure(Line);
F : Figure;          -- illegal, don't know which kind
P1 := Point;
...
C := (Circle, Red, False, 10, P1);
    -- record aggregate
... C.Orientation ...
    -- illegal, circles have no orientation
C := L;
    -- illegal, different kinds
C.Kind := Square;
    -- illegal, discriminant is constant
```

Discriminant is a visible constant component of object.

# Variants and classes

- discriminated types and classes have overlapping functionalities
- discriminated types can be allocated statically
- run-time code uses less indirection
- compiler can enforce consistent use of discriminants
- adding new variants is disruptive; must modify every case statement
- variant programming: one procedure at a time
- class programming: one class at a time

# Free Unions

Free unions can be used to bypass the type model:

```
union value {
    char *s;
    int i;      // s and i allocated at same address
};
```

Keeping track of current type is programmer's responsibility.

Can use an explicit tag:

```
struct entry {
    int discr;
    union {      // anonymous component, either s or i.
        char *s; // if discr = 0
        int i;   // if discr = 1, but system won't check
    };
};
```

# Discriminated unions and dynamic typing

In dynamically-typed languages, only values have types, not names.

```
S = 13.45      # a floating-point number
```

```
...
```

```
S = [1,2,3,4] # now it's a list
```

Run-time values are described by discriminated unions.

Discriminant denotes type of value.

```
S = X + Y # arithmetic or concatenation
```

# Lists, sets and maps

- list: ordered collection of elements
- set: collection of elements with fast searching
- map: collection of (key, value) pairs with fast key lookup

Low-level languages typically do not provide these. High-level and scripting languages do, some as part of a library.

- Perl, Python: built-in, lists and arrays merged.
- C, Fortran, Cobol: no
- C++: part of STL: `list<T>`, `set<T>`, `map<K, V>`
- Java: yes, in library
- Setl: built-in
- ML, Haskell: lists built-in, set, map part of library
- Scheme: lists built-in
- Pascal: built-in sets  
but only for discrete types with few elements, e.g., 32

# Function types

- not needed unless the language allows functions to be passed as arguments or returned
- variable number of arguments:  
C/C++: allowed, type system loophole, Java: allowed, but no loophole
- optional arguments: normally not part of the type.
- missing arguments in call: in dynamically typed languages, typically OK.

# Type equivalence

## Name vs structural

- name equivalence
  - two types are the same only if they have the same name (each type definition introduces a new type)
  - carried to extreme in Ada:
    - “If a type is useful, it deserves to have a name.”
- structural equivalence
  - two types are equivalent if they have the same structure

Most languages have mixture, e.g., C: name equivalence for records (structs), structural equivalence for almost everything else.

# Type equivalence examples

Name equivalence in Ada:

```
type t1 is array (1 .. 10) of boolean;  
type t2 is array (1 .. 10) of boolean;  
v1: t1;  v2: t2;  -- v1, v2 have different types
```

```
x1, x2: array (1 .. 10) of boolean;  
-- x1 and x2 have different types too!
```

Structural equivalence in ML:

```
type t1 = { a: int, b: real };  
type t2 = { b: real, a: int };  
(* t1 and t2 are equivalent types *)
```

# Accidental structural equivalence

```
type student = {  
  name: string,  
  address: string  
}
```

```
type school = {  
  name: string,  
  address: string  
}
```

```
type age = float;  
type weight = float;
```

With structural equivalence, we can accidentally assign a `school` to a `student`, or an `age` to a `weight`.

# Polymorphisms

- Class polymorphism:  
The ability to treat a class as one of its superclasses.  
The basis of OOP.
- Parametric polymorphism:  
The ability to treat any type uniformly.  
Found in ML, Haskell, and, in a very different form, in C++ templates and Java generics.
- Subtype polymorphism:  
The ability to treat a value of a subtype as a value of a supertype.  
Related to subclass polymorphism.

# Parametric polymorphism example

```
fun length xs =  
  if null xs  
  then 0  
  else 1 + length (tl xs)
```

`length` returns an `int`, and can take a list of any element type, because we don't care what the element type is. The type of this function is written `'a list -> int`.

# Subtyping

- A relation between types; similar to but not the same as subclassing.
- Can be used in to different ways:
  - ◆ Subtype polymorphism
  - ◆ Coercion

# Subtype polymorphism and coercion

- subtype polymorphism: ability to *treat* a value of a subtype as a value of a supertype.
- coercion: ability to *convert* a value of a subtype to a value of a supertype.

For example, a record type containing fields **a**, **b** and **c** can be considered a subtype of one containing only **a** and **c**.

# Overloading

Overloading: Multiple definitions for a name, distinguished by their types.

Overload resolution: Process of determining which definition is meant in a given use.

- Usually restricted to functions
- Usually only for static type systems
- Related to coercion. Coercion can be simulated by overloading (but at a high cost). If type **a** has subtypes **b** and **c**, we can define three overloaded functions, one for each type. Simulation not practical for many subtypes or number of arguments.

Overload resolution based on:

- number of arguments (Erlang)
- argument types
- return type

# Constness

Ability to declare that a variable will not be changed:

- C/C++: `const`
- Java: `final`

May or may not affect type system: C++ - yes, Java - no

# Type checking and inference

- Type checking:
  - ◆ Variables are declared with their type.
  - ◆ Compiler determines if variables are used in accordance with their type declarations.
- Type inference: (ML, Haskell)
  - ◆ Variables are declared, but not their type.
  - ◆ Compiler determines type of a variable from its usage/initialization.

In both cases, type inconsistencies are reported at compile time.

```
fun f x =  
  if x = 5      (* There are two type errors here *)  
  then hd x  
  else tl x
```