

The Geometry and Dynamics of the Bilayer Membrane-Vesicle Fusion Event in Animal Cells – An Invitation

Bernhelm Booß-Bavnbek (Roskilde)
Martin Koch (København and München)


Based on joint ongoing work with
D. Apushkinskaya (Saarbrücken) and
N. Uraltseva (St. Petersburg)

Applied Analysis Meeting, 16-18 Aug. 2007, København


Outline

- 1 Phenomenology
 - Vesicular Traffic
 - Electromagnetic Quantities
- 2 The Model
 - Goals
 - Free Boundary Route
 - Reservations
- 3 References

Selected structures and functions of an animal cell




Bilayer membrane fusion



Clearly separated regions

- D_0 Amorphous outside cell neighbourhood
- D_1 Plasma membrane,
 $\partial D_1 = \Gamma_{1-0} \cup \Gamma_{1-2}$
- D_2 Cytosol
- D_3 Vesicle membrane,
 $\partial D_3 = \Gamma_{3-2} \cup \Gamma_{3-4}$
- D_4 Vesicle lumen
- $\{M_j\}$ Ca storage organelles to be activated
- N Cell nucleus



Electrical charges, electric space field, electrical potential

Q Charges; charge density $q := Q/V$; V volume

- Positive electrical charges on bilayer lipid membrane outsides $\Gamma_{1-0} \cup \Gamma_{3-2}$
- Negative electrical charges on bilayer lipid membrane insides $\Gamma_{1-2} \cup \Gamma_{3-4}$

$\mathcal{E}_{\text{space}}$ Electric space field; **vanishing** at dimple tip in fusion pore

$\mathcal{D}_{\text{space}}$ Electric space field density; related to $\mathcal{E}_{\text{space}}$ by
 $\mathcal{D}_{\text{space}} = \varepsilon \mathcal{E}_{\text{space}}$ with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r$ dielectric constant

u Electrical potential; related to $\mathcal{E}_{\text{space}}$ by $\text{grad } u = \mathcal{E}_{\text{space}}$

- **Vanishing** on surface-like membranes D_1 and D_3
- Positive on D_0 close to Γ_{1-0} and on D_2 close to Γ_{3-2}
- Negative on D_2 close to Γ_{1-2} and on D_4 close to Γ_{3-4}

Magnetic field wave

Ca^{++} Oscillations, directed in space and time

\mathcal{D} Alternating electrical field density of low frequency

$$f = \begin{cases} \sim 5 \text{ Hz} & \text{for } \beta \text{ cells} \\ \sim 100 \text{ Hz} & \text{for nerve cells} \end{cases}$$

\mathcal{E} Corresponding electrical field

\mathcal{H} Resulting magnetic field wave

\mathcal{B} Corresponding magnetic flux density $\mathcal{B} = \mu_0 \mathcal{H}$,
permeability μ_0 , field amplitude $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$

X_C Capacitive reactance $X_C := 1/(\omega C)$

- $\omega = 2\pi f$, C capacitance
- Recall $Z = R + iX_C$ complex impedance
- Vanishing on D_0 and D_2
- **Forming the dimple implies decreasing X_C until X_C vanishes in the fusion pore**

Aims of our mathematical modelling

Explanation Dimple making

- Hemifusion, fusion pore, flickering
- Apply physical (electro-magnetic) fundamental equations

Description Check parameters (influences, characteristic values)

- Energy needed for exocytosis / fusion event
- Field amplitude \hat{B} , frequency f
- Velocity v of field wave and characteristic time for event
- Number of involved Ca^{++} depots

Prediction Typical and atypical developments

- Explain deficiencies (stress, aging)
- Early diagnosis of metabolic diseases

Prescription Exocytosis pacemaker for diabetes 2 ?

Hypothetical feedback mechanism

- 1 Nucleus activates linear array of molecularly bound Ca storages
 - Through chosen vesicle, selecting the hemifusion area on plasma membrane
 - Signalling mechanism unknown
- 2 Superposition of locally distributed coordinated and oriented Ca^{++} activity
 - Generation of a dynamic magnetic field wave \mathcal{B} of low frequency
 - To begin with, high X_C in D_1 and low $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$
- 3 Transmembrane proteins become activated
- 4 Form change decreases X_C close to the emerging dimple
 - Magnetic field wave enters D_1 more easily
 - Increased current density (sharper bundling)
 - Increased Lorentz force balancing elastic forces
- 5 Hemifusion, branch point, short circuit, fusion pore

Two-phases problem

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ Specified domain

\mathbf{e}_1 Unit inside normal vector

- Model the membrane dimple by a graph in the \mathbf{e}_1 direction
- Consider $\{u = 0\} \supset D_1 \cap \Omega$; there $\text{grad } u \neq 0$ in general
- Focus on branch point where all three phases touch

Forces $\mathcal{F} = m\ddot{\eta} = \mathcal{F}_L + \mathcal{F}_M = \Lambda_+ \chi_{\{x_1 > 0\}} \mathbf{e}_1 - \Lambda_- \chi_{\{x_1 < 0\}} \mathbf{e}_1$

- $\mathcal{F}_L = q\mathcal{E}_{\text{space}} + q(\mathbf{v} \times \mathcal{B}) - \gamma \mathbf{v}$ Lorentz force
- \mathcal{F}_M Elastic force

Equation $\Delta u = \frac{\lambda_+}{2} \chi_{\{u > 0\}} - \frac{\lambda_-}{2} \chi_{\{u < 0\}}$



- Minimizing energy losses

Character of our model?




Mathematical models are different:

- **Ad-hoc models**
 - Predictive power when tuned properly
 - No theoretical basis
- **Theoretically based models**
 - Strong explanatory power
 - In science: exceptional
- **Metaphors**
 - Imaginative power
 - Totally misleading when taken literally
 - Only applicable for excluding erroneous perceptions

References I

-  B. Booss-Bavnbek, A. Otto, M. Pedersen, J. Shillcock (eds.),
Modeling of Membrane Processes in Animal Cells, Proceedings of a Workshop, Roskilde University, 29 June - 1 July 2006.
Special Issue, *Biophysical Reviews and Letters*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2007, iv + 122 pp.
-  P. Rorsman and E. Renström,
Insulin granule dynamics in pancreatic beta cells,
Diabetologia **46** (2003): 1029–1045.

References II

-  Y. Tao and M. Chen,
An elliptic–hyperbolic free boundary problem modelling
cancer therapy,
Nonlinearity **19** (2006): 419–440.
-  H. Shagolian, N. Uraltseva, G.S. Weiss,
Global solution of an obstacle–problem–like equation with
two phases,
Monatsh. Math. **142** (2004): 27–34.
-  Y. Manin,
Mathematical knowledge: internal, social and cultural
aspects.
Commissioned for C. Bartocci and P. Odifreddi (eds.),
Mathematics and Culture, vol. 2, 2007, math.HO/0703427.