

Discussion on “Demand Reactions in the Aftermath of Catastrophes and the Need for Behavioral Approaches”

Authors: Vijay Aseervatham, Patricia Born, Andreas Richter

Discussed by

Hua Chen



Summary

➤ Assumptions:

- Businesses are more sophisticated than individuals or households.
- More sophisticated agents elicit the informational value of an event better than less sophisticated ones.

➤ Methodology

- Compare demand reactions in the homeowner insurance market to those in the commercial insurance market in the aftermath of catastrophes.

➤ Conclusions

- The demand effect in a state due to a cat in its neighboring states is about +2.9% in the homeowner insurance market. The demand for insurance in the commercial market seems to be insensitive – Behavioral approaches are necessary
- Temporary increase in insurance demand in the homeowners market is insensitive to the size of the Cat – support for the availability or affect heuristic

Questions and Comments

- premiumsearned v.s. StatePrem?
 - premiumsearned: premiums earned by firm i in state s in year t
 - StatePrem: total premiums earned by firm i in state s in year t less premiumsearned
- Average price of insurance is used in the quantity regression
 - Potential endogeneity problem?
 - Simultaneous regression models?
- Are there other factors contributing to the difference in reactions?
 - Financial capacity
 - Alternative risk management approaches
 - Competing objectives

Questions and Comments

- Distinguish between large and small catastrophes
 - Is it possible that the households actually make rational decisions based on a certain threshold
 - Test the response difference for a truly small loss and a truly large loss?
- Is the observed effect persistent?
 - How about using a subsample of Cat events with non-overlapping periods