



University of HUDDERSFIELD

University of Huddersfield Repository

Reeves, Carla

The role of Probation Approved Premises in supporting the formation of pro-offending social networks amongst sex offenders

Original Citation

Reeves, Carla (2013) The role of Probation Approved Premises in supporting the formation of pro-offending social networks amongst sex offenders. In: One Day Symposium on Incarceration, 1st February 2013, Sheffield, UK. (Unpublished)

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/16820/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk.

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>



The role of Probation Approved Premises in supporting the formation of pro-offending social networks amongst sex offenders

Dr Carla Reeves

University of Huddersfield

With thanks to Bangor University

Today...

- Aim =
 - Explore the structure of offender groups in Probation Approved Premises and how these may support pro-offending identities
 - Consider the structures of the PAP and how this may support the formation of pro-offending offender groups
- Sex offender =
 - Anyone convicted of a sexual offence under the SOA 1997 or 2003
 - Residing in the hostel in the fieldwork period


The Study

- Ethnographic study of the experiences of sex offenders living in a PAP over 21 months:


Type of data collected	Number of data collection points
Observation in hostel (including informal interviews)	57
Interviews with residents	24
Interviews with Staff	17

- Mixed hostel setting for high risk offenders:
 - insular, secretive, isolated


Research on sex offenders & reintegration:



Findings: Messy, non-linear process of identity change




Findings: Messy, non-linear process of identity change



Findings: social grouping

- Foundation of social identities
 - Shared cultural values and group identity
- “you still get the same groups forming [as in prison]. Those on drugs and the others. You know what I mean. (Jim, csa)
- “those paedo’s” (Paul, staff)
 - Drug addict / other = non sex offender
 - Other / sex offender = sex offender
 - Younger v older residents
- “I say I’m here for violence and they believe me, it helps that I do have a temper on me. Then they leave me alone [...] (Jack csa)



A solid line denotes additional membership of other groups.
A dotted line denotes potential movement between groups.
Arrows denote the direction of movement on dotted lines.


Significance of grouping

- Immersive group identity supported:
 - resistance to offence-based work
 - Neutralisations & cognitive distortions
 - Construction of themselves as a sex offender
 - Construction of sex offenders as not ‘criminal’
- “you listen to these men [...] justifying it to themselves over all this time.[...] they sound more convincing. And they are there *all* the time. Not just once a week or whatever. (Jim, csa)
- Emotionally & practically supportive network
 - Potentially pro-social


Structural impact on grouping:

- Structural constraints of mandated:

- Accommodation
- SOGP
- Communal living space
- Admissions policy



Cultural impact on grouping:



Conclusions:

- Probation hostels work to encourage grouping amongst sex offenders
- Sex offender informal group is the most influential factor in determining individual's response to hostel work & their self-concept
 - Negative effect in this study
- Grouping by sex offenders tends to be seen as a risk-indicative active choice, but...
 - Like anyone else, feel the need to have a socially support network around them
 - Structurally & culturally constrained in their social networks
 - Staff & hostel work also contribute to grouping pressures

Dr Carla Reeves

c.reeves@hud.ac.uk

<http://www.hud.ac.uk/ourstaff/profile/index.php?staffuid=shumclr>

University of Huddersfield
Institute for Research in Citizenship and Applied Human Sciences

References:

- Brown, A. and Clough, R. [eds] *Groups and Groupings: Life and work in day and residential centres*. London: Routledge.
- Buunk, B. P. (1996), 'Alliliation, Attraction and Close Relationships.' In Hewstone, M., Stroebe, W. and Stephenson, G. M. [eds] *Introduction to Social Psychology* 2nd edition. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Collins, S. and Nee, C. (2010), 'Factors influencing the process of change in sex offender interventions: Therapists experiences and perceptions.' *Journal of Sexual Aggression: An international, interdisciplinary forum for research, theory and practice*. 16 (3): 311-331.
- Hanvey, S., Philpot, T. and Wilson, C. (2011), *A Community-Based Approach to the Reduction of Sexual Reoffending: Circles of Support and Accountability*. London: JKP.
- Haslam, S.A., O'Brien, A., Jetten, J., Vormedal, K. and Penna, S. (2005), 'Taking the Strain: social identity, social support and the experience of stress.' *British Journal of Social Psychology*. 44: 355-370.
- HMI Probation (1998), *Exercising Constant Vigilance: The Role of the Probation Service in Protecting the Public from Sex Offenders*. London: Home Office.
- HMI Probation, HMI Prisons and HMI Constabulary (2008), *Probation Hostels: control, help and change? A Joint Inspection of Probation Approved Premises*. London: Criminal Justice Joint Inspection.
- Hudson, K. (2005), *Offending Identities: Sex Offenders' Perspectives on their Treatment and Management*. Cullompton: Willan.

- Kenemore, T.K. and Roldan, I. (2006), 'Staying Straight: lessons from ex-offenders.' *Clinical Social Work Journal*. 34 (1): 5-21.
- Krumer-Nevo, M. and Benjamin, O. (2010). 'Critical Poverty Knowledge: contesting othering and social distancing.' *Current Sociology*. 58: 693-714.
- Lacombe, D. (2008), 'Consumed with Sex: the treatment of sex offenders in risk society.' *British Journal of Criminology*. 48: 55-74.
- Laws, D. R. and Ward, T. (2011), *Desistance from Sex Offending: Alternatives to throwing away the keys*. London: Guilford Press.
- Maruna, S. (2001), *Making Good: how ex-convicts reform and rebuild their lives*. Washington D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- McPherson, M., Smith-Lovin, L. and Cook, J.M. (2001), 'Birds of a Feather: Homophily in social networks.' *Annual Review of Sociology*. 27: 415-44.
- NAPO and NPS (2009), *Approved Premises Handbook 2009*. London: Ministry of Justice, NOMS.
- NAPO (2011), *Written Evidence from NAPO (PB 05)*. London: House of Commons, Justice Committee.
- National Probation Directorate (2005), *The Role and Purpose of Approved Premises*. Probation Circular 37/2005. London: NPD.
- NPS (2004). *Sex Offender Strategy for the National Probation Service*. NPD, London.
- Ost, S. (2009), *Child Pornography and Sexual Grooming: legal and societal responses*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Presser, L. (2009), 'The Narratives of Offenders.' *Theoretical Criminology*. 13: 177-200
- Scottish Executive (2003), *Criminal Justice Accommodation Services: a review and consultation paper*. Scottish Executive.
- Ward, T., Polaschek, D.L.L. and Beech, A.R. (2006), *Theories of Sexual Offending*. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Wincup, E. (2003), *Residential Work with Offenders: reflexive accounts of practice*. Aldershot: Ashgate.