Fear extinction in rats: Implications for human brain imaging and anxiety disorders
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Abstract

Fear extinction is the decrease in conditioned fear responses that normally occurs when a conditioned stimulus (CS) is repeatedly presented in the absence of the aversive unconditioned stimulus (US). Extinction does not erase the initial CS–US association, but is thought to form a new memory. After extinction training, extinction memory competes with conditioning memory for control of fear expression. Deficits in fear extinction are thought to contribute to post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Herein, we review studies performed in rats showing that the medial prefrontal cortex plays a critical role in the retention and expression of extinction memory. We also review human studies indicating that prefrontal areas homologous to those critical for extinction in rats are structurally and functionally deficient in patients with PTSD. We then discuss how findings from rat studies may allow us to: (1) develop new fear extinction paradigms in humans, (2) make specific predictions as to the location of extinction-related areas in humans, and (3) improve current extinction-based behavioral therapies for anxiety disorders.
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1. Introduction

Pavlovian fear conditioning has been an influential animal model for the study of anxiety disorders (Buchel and Dolan, 2000; Myers and Davis, 2002; Sullivan et al., 2003; Charney, 2004). After repeated pairing of a conditioned stimulus (CS, usually a tone or light) with an unconditioned stimulus (US, usually a footshock), the CS comes to elicit conditioned fear responses such as freezing, increased startle reflexes, autonomic changes, analgesia, and behavioral response suppression (Helmstetter and Bellgowan, 1993; Davis, 1997; Fendt and Fanselow, 1999; LeDoux, 2000). Conditioned fear responses can be extinguished by repeatedly presenting the CS without the US (Pavlov, 1927; Rescorla and Heth, 1975). In certain respects, fear conditioning resembles PTSD (Pitman, 1997; Hamner et al., 1999). For example, a soldier in combat may associate the sound of a helicopter with a severe traumatic event. Years after the war, the sound of the helicopter will continue to induce conditioned fear responses in those veterans who developed PTSD. In PTSD, re-exposure to stimuli associated with the trauma evokes inappropriate fear responses that become disabling and can have devastating consequences on the lives of PTSD sufferers (Pitman et al., 2001; Bremner, 2003).

Exposure therapies used as treatments for anxiety disorders incorporate extinction procedures (Foa, 2000; Rothbaum and Davis, 2003). As with fear extinction in rats, patients with PTSD are exposed to the trauma-associated, conditioned stimuli in the absence of any negative reinforcement (Wald and Taylor, 2003; Taylor et al., 2003). After several sessions of exposure therapy, the majority of patients learn to inhibit their fear responses (Taylor et al., 2003). However, some patients with anxiety disorders fail to respond to exposure therapy (Foa, 2000; van Minnen et al., 2002), suggesting a deficit in extinction learning. Therefore, understanding the neural mechanisms of fear extinction in animals may be fundamental to elucidating the pathophysiology of anxiety disorders, such as PTSD, and could enhance our understanding of the mechanism of action underlying extinction-based therapies (e.g. see Rauch et al., 2003a). In the present review, after briefly outlining what is currently known about the neural mechanisms of fear conditioning, we discuss in detail the involvement of the rat...
medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) in the long-term retention and expression of extinction memory. We also consider the homology of prefrontal areas in rats and primates. We then discuss the implications of findings from rat studies of fear extinction with respect to human brain imaging and anxiety disorders. Finally, the potential use of new techniques for the treatment of anxiety disorders in the context of the animal data on fear extinction is considered.

2. Neural circuitry of conditioned fear acquisition

A large body of evidence from rodent studies indicates that the amygdala plays a critical role in the acquisition and expression of conditioned fear (Helmstetter, 1992; Fanselow, 1999; LeDoux, 2000; Davis and Whalen, 2001; Maren and Quirk, 2004). Fear conditioning to a tone CS potentiates medial geniculate inputs to the lateral nucleus of the amygdala (Quirk et al., 1995; Rogan et al., 1997; Malkani and Rosen, 2000; Bauer et al., 2002), which then excites central nucleus neurons (Collins and Pare, 1999) via indirect projections (Pare et al., 2004). Descending projections from the central nucleus terminate in the brainstem and hypothalamic sites that mediate freezing and autonomic fear responses, respectively (LeDoux et al., 1988). Lesions or pharmacological manipulations of the basolateral amygdala interfere with the acquisition, consolidation, and expression of conditioned fear (Kim and Davis, 1993; Nader and LeDoux, 1999; Moita et al., 2002; Maren and Quirk, 2004). These data support the idea that fear associations are stored in the basolateral amygdala and trigger fear responses via activation of the central nucleus (but see Cahill et al., 1999).

Studies in humans have largely confirmed hypotheses about fear conditioning from the animal studies (LaBar et al., 1998; Orr et al., 2000; Otto et al., 2000; Armony and Dolan, 2002; Cheng et al., 2003; Knight et al., 2004a,b). Fear conditioning reliably increases skin conductance and heart rate responses to the CS (for example, see Orr et al., 2000; Grillon and Ameli, 2001), and this occurs to a greater extent in persons with PTSD (Orr et al., 2000). Positron emission tomography (PET) studies were the first to show that the amygdala is activated during the acquisition of conditioned fear in healthy humans (Furmark et al., 1997; Morris et al., 1998; Fischer et al., 2000). More recently, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have confirmed the role of the amygdala in conditioned fear (LaBar et al., 1998; Buchel et al., 1999; Armony and Dolan, 2001; Pine et al., 2001; Cheng et al., 2003). Moreover, several imaging studies have shown amygdala activation in response to fearful faces, presented either overtly (Morris et al., 1996; Whalen et al., 2001; Fischer et al., 2003) or covertly (Whalen et al., 1998; Buchel et al., 1998). It remains unclear as to whether these face stimuli are serving as conditioned versus unconditioned stimuli in this context. As predicted by imaging studies, damage to the amygdala in humans interferes with fear conditioning (Bechara et al., 1995; LaBar et al., 1995). Thus, similarities between rat and human findings suggest that the neural mechanisms of fear conditioning are highly conserved across species (Buchel and Dolan, 2000; LeDoux, 2000).

3. Neural circuitry of conditioned fear extinction

3.1. Extinction as new learning

Pavlov was the first to suggest that extinction does not erase conditioning, based on his observation that extinguished responses spontaneously recovered with the passage of time (Pavlov, 1927). Subsequent studies have confirmed this for conditioned fear; extinguished freezing to a tone CS spontaneously recovers to full strength (Rescorla, 2001; Quirk, 2002), can be reinstated by unsignaled shocks (Rescorla and Heth, 1975; Bouton and Bolles, 1979) or renewed by placing the animal in a context different from the one in which it was extinguished (Bouton and King, 1983). If extinction does not erase conditioning, it must form a new memory (e.g. a CS–no US association) that exists in parallel with conditioning memory and is able to inhibit expression of the conditioned response (Konorski, 1967; Bouton, 1993). This relationship between conditioning and extinction memory is illustrated in Fig. 1. In this scheme, the reduction in conditioned behavior is due to accumulation of extinction, rather than dissipation of conditioning. This suggests the existence of structures or circuits devoted to extinction learning and retention. It also suggests the existence of neurons that increase their activity during extinction in order to inhibit the fear response.

3.2. Prefrontal mechanisms of extinction learning

LeDoux and coworkers were the first to suggest that prefrontal inputs to the amygdala might modulate the expression of conditioned fear in extinction (Morgan et al., 1993). They observed that lesions of the ventral medial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), including the infralimbic (IL, A. 25) and prelimbic (PL, A. 32) areas, had no effect on acquisition of freezing to a tone CS, but impaired extinction. This observation was reminiscent of the perseverative behavior seen in monkeys with prefrontal lesions (Fuster, 1997) and was described as “emotional perseveration” (Morgan et al., 1993). It is important to note, however, that Gewirtz et al. (1997) did not find an effect of vmPFC on the extinction of fear-potentiated startle. The reason for such contradictory findings remains unclear, although it suggests that different behavioral indices of conditioned fear (freezing versus startle) may have different levels of dependence on prefrontal extinction circuits (Sotres-Bayon et al., 2004). Subsequent lesion studies have supported the role of the vmPFC in fear extinction (Morrow et al., 2000).

Fig. 1. If extinction does not erase conditioning, then the two must exist simultaneously in the brain of the extinguished animal. This suggests that there are systems in the brain that learn and store fear extinction.
et al., 1999; Weible et al., 2000; Morgan et al., 2003; Fernandez, 2003; Lebron et al., 2004). For example, rats with lesions of the vmPFC are able to extinguish within a session, but are impaired in the recall of extinction 24 h later (Fig. 2A) (Quirk et al., 2000; Lebron et al., 2004). Rats with vmPFC lesions can eventually learn extinction, but they require twice as many days of training to initiate extinction (Lebron et al., 2004), suggesting that vmPFC has a critical role in initiating extinction in across-day training. Thus, vmPFC facilitates rapid consolidation of extinction so that it is available to the animal after a long delay.

Paralleling these lesion findings, single neurons in IL exhibited no tone responses during conditioning or extinction phases of training, but showed robust tone responses the following day when rats were recalling extinction (Milad and Quirk, 2002) (Fig. 2B). Consistent with a role in inhibition of fear, rats with the largest IL tone responses showed the least spontaneous recovery of conditioned freezing. These findings support the extinction-as-new-learning hypothesis, because IL neurons signaled long-term memory for extinction. These findings suggest that consolidation of extinction potentiates inputs to vmPFC; a finding further supported by the recent observation that long-term memory for extinction involves protein synthesis and gene expression in the mPFC (Fig. 3) (Santini et al., 2004; Herry and Mons, 2004). Thus, there is considerable evidence from the animal literature pointing to the vmPFC as a site of consolidation and storage of fear extinction.

3.3. Prefrontal mechanisms of extinction expression

In addition to the role of the vmPFC in the retention of fear extinction, there is also evidence indicating that the vmPFC is critical for the expression of extinction. For example, vmPFC activity is inversely correlated with conditioned freezing. This has been shown for single-unit recording (Milad and Quirk, 2002) (Fig. 2C), evoked potentials (Herry and Garcia, 2002) and metabolic activity (Barrett et al., 2003). Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 4A, microstimulation of IL significantly reduced freezing to conditioned tones in rats that were conditioned but not extinguished (Milad and Quirk, 2002; Milad et al., 2004). Thus, these data strongly support the role of the vmPFC in fear inhibition during extinction recall. Indeed, the vmPFC is ideally situated for expression of extinction because it sends robust projections to the amygdala (McDonald, 1998; Vertes, 2004). IL projects strongly to the capsular division of the central nucleus (Ce) (McDonald et al., 1996), which contains GABAergic intercalated cells that inhibit Ce output neurons (Royer et al., 1999). This is true in both rats (McDonald et al., 1996; Sesack et al., 1989) and monkeys (Freedman et al., 2000). Thus, IL could inhibit the expression of fear by inhibiting Ce output cells. In support of this model, stimulation of IL prevents Ce neurons from being activated by basolateral inputs (Quirk et al., 2003) (Fig. 4B). Fig. 4C represents a model demonstrating how IL activation could dampen amygdala output during the expression of extinction memory. Alternatively, however, IL could also modulate the expression of conditioned fear via direct projections to the hypothalamus, periaqueductal gray and other brain stem regions known to be critical for generating conditioned responses (Floyd et al., 2001, 2000; Hurley et al., 1991).

It is important to note that in addition to IL, medial PFC (Cg1), dorsomedial PFC (dmPFC), and dorsolateral PFC (dPFC) areas have been recently implicated in extinction. Using a deoxyglucose mapping technique in mice, IL together with Cg1, dmPFC, and dPFC were also activated during extinction recall (Barrett et al., 2003). Moreover, lesions of
dmPFC in rats retarded the progression of fear extinction across days (Morgan and LeDoux, 1995). Consequently, dmPFC and dlPFC could modulate conditioned freezing via their connections with IL (Conde et al., 1995; Ongur and Price, 2000), or independently of IL via direct projections to the amygdala or its targets (Floyd et al., 2000, 2001).

The amygdala itself is important for fear extinction, although probably at a different stage than the PFC (reviewed by Myers and Davis, 2002). Microinjections of NMDA receptors antagonists (Falls et al., 1992; Lee and Kim, 1998; Lin et al., 2003) or protein kinase inhibitors (Lu et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2003) into the amygdala disrupted the extinction of fear-potentiated startle. Paralleling this, microinjection of an NMDA agonist into the amygdala facilitated fear extinction (Walker et al., 2002; Ledgerwood et al., 2003). Given that vmPFC is not involved in the initial acquisition of extinction learning (Quirk et al., 2000), the amygdala may be the critical site for this process (Lin et al., 2003; Knight et al., 2004a,b).

Thus, the acquisition and consolidation of fear extinction could involve an interaction between the amygdala and the vmPFC, such that during the consolidation of extinction learning, amygdala to mPFC inputs are potentiated via NMDA and protein synthesis-dependent processes (Santini et al., 2004).

In summary, converging evidence from lesion, recording, stimulation, and molecular studies in rodents strongly implicates the vmPFC in consolidation, retention, and expression of extinction memory. We now explore the implications of this research for understanding extinction in humans.

---

**Fig. 3.** Long-term memory for fear extinction requires protein synthesis in the vmPFC. Drawing of the location of the infusion site in the vmPFC (left), and freezing to the tone across the 3-day experiment (right). Intra-vmPFC infusions of protein synthesis inhibitor anisomycin (aniso) shortly before extinction training (arrow) did not prevent within-session extinction, but prevented recall of extinction 24 h later. On day 3, the anisomycin group recovered as much freezing as no-extinction controls \((p < 0.05)\) (See Santini et al., 2004).  

**Fig. 4.** Stimulation of IL dampens amygdala’s output activity. (A) Freezing to the tone in blocks of two trials after a single brief train of IL stimulation was paired with extinction tones on day. Stimulation of IL significantly reduced freezing, and strengthened extinction memory as evidenced by continued low freezing to tones on day 3 (see Milad and Quirk, 2002). (B) In an anesthetized cat, an amygdala central nucleus neuron (Ce) was activated with basolateral (BL) amygdala stimulation (upper trace). Stimulation of IL 20 ms prior to BL stimulation attenuated the Ce response (middle trace). The effect of IL stimulation on Ce response is no longer observed when the interval between IL and BL stimulation is increased (lower trace) (see Quirk et al., 2003). (C) Model of IL–amygdala interactions. During recall of fear conditioning, increased BL output activates Ce neural output to produce fear responses. During extinction recall, parallel activation of IL excites (plus sign) amygdala-intercalated cells (ITC), which dampens (minus sign) Ce output and reduce fear. Boldface and dashed lines indicate increased and decreased activity, respectively. Upward and downward arrows indicate increased and decreased freezing, respectively. CS, conditioned stimulus.
4. Fear extinction in humans

The role of the human vmPFC in the inhibition of inappropriate emotional responses is well documented in the literature (Bechara et al., 1999; Manes et al., 2002; Clark et al., 2003). A recent fMRI study showed that humans with high levels of anxiety (due to the expectancy of threat-related stimuli) showed reduced mPFC activity (Bishop et al., 2004). Together with the rodent data, this suggests that the human vmPFC may be required for inhibition of fear responses following extinction.

Most studies of fear conditioning have focused on acquisition rather than extinction (see for example, Fischer et al., 2000; Armony and Dolan, 2001; Phelps et al., 2001; Fischer et al., 2002; Cheng et al., 2003). The few studies that included extinction studied the early phase of extinction, to assess recall of conditioning (e.g. LaBar et al., 1998). One study examining long-term extinction of eye-blink conditioning observed extinction-induced activation in the PFC (Molchan et al., 1994), suggesting the involvement of human PFC in extinction of aversive conditioning. Recently, two important fMRI studies have begun to map the human brain areas involved in extinction. Gottfried and Dolan (2004) showed that the vmPFC and medial orbitofrontal cortex are involved in the extinction of olfactory conditioning. Phelps et al. (2004) showed that vmPFC deactivation was negatively correlated with the extinction recall. That is, the larger the deactivation the poorer the extinction retention whereas the closer the vmPFC activity to baseline, the stronger the extinction memory. It must be noted, however, that conditioned SC responses of the subjects participating in Phelps et al. study showed high fear during the extinction retention test, complicating the interpretation of findings. Nonetheless, Phelps et al. (2004) have provided data clearly implicating the vmPFC in fear extinction.

Behavioral data suggest that mPFC function is compromised in PTSD patients. For example, PTSD subjects show increased perseveration in object-alternation tests that depend on vmPFC (Koenen et al., 2001), and they show deficient extinction in a laboratory setting (Orr et al., 2000; Peri et al., 2000; Rothbaum et al., 2001). It follows, therefore, that patients with anxiety disorders such as PTSD might show dysfunction in mPFC–amygdala circuits.

5. Are prefrontal areas homologous in rats and primates?

The nomenclature for the various medial prefrontal regions in the rat differs from that in the primate brain, most likely due to the fact that the prefrontal cortex is more developed in the latter, especially in humans. For example, Brodmann’s areas 25 and 32 in the human brain are commonly referred to as subcallosal (SC) and rostral anterior cingulate cortex (rACC), respectively; whereas in the rat, A. 25 and A. 32 are referred to as IL and PL cortices. Even in the rat brain, the same PFC regions have different nomenclature. Therefore, for the purposes of this review, we will refer to the PFC areas of interest in the rat as the following: IL, which is the most ventromedial region of the vmPFC, followed dorsally by PL, Cg1, dmPFC, and dIPFC (depicted in Fig. 2A). In addition, for the human brain, we will refer to the PFC areas of interest as SC and rACC.

To establish homology between human and rat prefrontal areas, anatomists have relied on common inputs/outputs of the prefrontal areas across species. Although it is not surprising to find prefrontal regions in primates that have no homologous counterpart in the rat brain (Ongur and Price, 2000), several medial prefrontal regions appear to be homologous in these species. For example, medial prefrontal regions in both rats and monkeys receive substantial input from the midline thalamic nuclei (Krettek and Price, 1977; Barbas et al., 1991). Furthermore, Price and colleagues have identified a medial prefrontal network that projects to visceral control centers in the periaqueductal gray and the hypothalamus in both the rat and monkey (An et al., 1998; Floyd et al., 2000; Ongur et al., 2003). This network, which includes various subregions of the mPFC such as A. 25, A. 32, A. 24, and A. 12, is thought to be involved in emotion modulation (Carmichael and Price, 1996; Price, 1999; Ongur and Price, 2000; Ongur et al., 2003). Areas 25 and 32 in both rats and primates are reciprocally connected with the amygdala (Barbas et al., 1991; McDonald, 1998; Stefanacci and Amaral, 2002; Vertes, 2004). Finally, rats and monkeys show similar cortico-cortical projections within the medial prefrontal network (Carmichael and Price, 1995; Price, 1999; Ongur and Price, 2000; Stefanacci and Amaral, 2002; Ongur et al., 2003). For example in rats, A. 25 and A. 32 are reciprocally connected with one another, and they are reciprocally connected with dmPFC, dIPFC, Cg1 (McDonald et al., 1996). Cytoarchitectonic analysis also suggests extensive homology between monkey and human prefrontal cortex (Ongur et al., 2003). Thus, it is reasonable to hypothesize that medial prefrontal areas perform similar functions across species.

6. Prefrontal cortex and PTSD: deficient extinction?

The current neurocircuitry model of PTSD hypothesizes hyper-responsiveness within the amygdala to threat-related stimuli, with inadequate top-down governance by the vmPFC (Rauch et al., 1998; Pitman et al., 2001; Villarreal and King, 2001; Bremner, 2003; Charney, 2004). This model is supported by converging evidence from multiple laboratories. Patients with PTSD exhibit exaggerated amygdala responses when viewing masked-fearful faces (Rauch et al., 2000) and during the processing of auditory stimuli (Semple et al., 2000). Amygdala hyperactivity has also been observed in PTSD patients during exposure to reminders of traumatic events (Shin et al., 1997; Liberzon et al., 1999; Pissiota et al., 2002; Hendler et al., 2003; Shin et al., 2004).

With regard to the mPFC, an initial symptom provocation study of PTSD using script-driven imagery showed increased regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) in the rACC in PTSD subjects (Rauch et al., 1996). However, the interpretation of this initial study was limited by the absence of a non-PTSD control group. Liberzon et al. (1999) also reported increased rACC
activation in response to trauma-related stimuli in PTSD subjects as well as in non-PTSD controls. In this study, however, no direct contrast between PTSD and non-PTSD subjects was performed. The majority of studies directly comparing PTSD subjects with non-PTSD controls have consistently shown decreased activation of mPFC (including the SC, rACC) in PTSD (Bremner et al., 1999a,b; Lanius et al., 2001, 2002; Shin et al., 1999, 2001, 2004). PTSD patients also exhibited attenuated rACC and SC responses to negatively emotionally valenced, trauma-related words (Bremner et al., 2003, 2004; Shin et al., 2004). Recently, Shin and colleagues showed that the decreased mPFC activity in PTSD was inversely correlated with increased amygdala activity (Fig. 5B and C) (Shin et al., 2004), further supporting a failure of top-down control of the amygdala by the vmPFC. In line with this, fMRI and PET data have shown significant inverse correlations between the functional activity of the mPFC and the amygdala (Kim et al., 2003; Dougherty et al., 2004). Fig. 5A summarizes the various imaging studies of PTSD. Note the predominance of studies reporting absent or decreased activation in the medial prefrontal areas. In addition to decreased function, PTSD patients also show volumetric decreases within rACC and SC in PTSD compared to non-PTSD controls (Fig. 6) (Rauch et al., 2003b). Collectively, these data provide strong support for the hypothesis that PTSD is characterized by failure of the mPFC to sufficiently inhibit the amygdala.

7. Testing the extinction hypothesis of PTSD

The fact that PTSD subjects show decreased activity in prefrontal areas hypothesized to be involved in extinction retention (based on rodent data) implies deficient extinction retention circuits in the pathophysiology of PTSD. Therefore, it would be timely to combine state of the art imaging techniques with a novel extinction paradigm in order to characterize the neural circuits of fear extinction in healthy humans, and then apply such a paradigm to the study of subjects with PTSD and matched, non-PTSD controls. To maximize the translational impact of these experiments, the conditioning protocol used in the human studies should be closely modeled on existing rat studies. We hypothesize that in normals, vmPFC regions, specifically the rACC and/or the SC, would increase their activity during the recall of fear extinction after a delay, paralleling rat findings (Milad and Quirk, 2002). Furthermore,
we predict that vmPFC activity during extinction recall would be diminished in patients with anxiety disorders such as PTSD. Such findings would provide the first direct evidence that fear extinction circuits are compromised in a human anxiety disorder. We do acknowledge, however, that fMRI signal does not directly reflect action potentials, but rather local field potentials (Logothetis et al., 2001). Therefore, it is possible that findings to be obtained from fMRI studies may not directly match those obtained by single-unit recordings in rats.

In addition to fMRI, single-unit recording in the human brain could provide valuable data regarding the role of the above prefrontal regions in extinction. Although intracranial recording is ethically problematic in healthy humans, single-unit recording may play a role in the intra-operative evaluation and treatment of some neurological and mental disorders (Benazzouz et al., 2002; Ulbert et al., 2004). Specifically, lesions of sub-territories of the anterior cingulate may be performed as a treatment for major depressive and obsessive-compulsive disorders (OCD) that are refractory to other therapies (Rauch et al., 2005). Using the powerful research tool of single-unit recording prior to the therapeutic resection of rACC and/or SC in patients with depression or OCD could provide unique data with respect to the role of these regions in fear extinction. We predict that recording from these brain regions in humans would show an increase in firing rate to extinguished, but not to unextinguished, conditioned stimuli, thereby providing confirmation of functional neuroimaging data. Moreover, in mental disorders that are characterized by deficient extinction, e.g. PTSD and possibly OCD, such recording might reveal relatively deficient rACC and/or SC firing.

8. Prefrontal cortex and amygdala in PTSD: where is the pathology?

Whereas most studies of PTSD suggest deficient top-down control of the amygdala by the vmPFC, one recent report on the functional connectivity of the mPFC and the amygdala in PTSD implied an excessive “bottom-up” influence of the amygdala over the mPFC (Gilboa et al., 2004). This suggests that the primary pathology in PTSD might be located in the amygdala. This proposition is consistent with the findings of Rauch et al. (2000) showing amygdala hyperactivity in PTSD subjects in response to the presentation of masked fearful faces, even when relatively dissociated from the top-down inhibition of the mPFC. Indeed, inhibition of the mPFC by the amygdala has been demonstrated in rats (Garcia et al., 1999). It is therefore reasonable to hypothesize that amygdala hyperresponsivity may be the primary abnormality in PTSD, and that deficient mPFC responses are secondary.

Although initial attempts to characterize amygdalo–frontal interactions have focused on data gathered during a single scanning session, longitudinal studies may be important to perform. For instance, a developmental perspective might suggest that early deficiencies in mPFC efficacy could lead to the development of dysregulated amygdala, or conversely that amygdala irritability in early life might impair mPFC maturation. In this context, it is noteworthy that youths with behaviorally inhibited temperaments, a risk factor for developing anxiety disorders, exhibit exaggerated amygdala responses to novel versus familiar stimuli (Schwartz et al., 2003), and that children and adolescents with PTSD secondary to maltreatment show diminished N-acetylaspartate (a marker of neuronal health) in ACC (De Bellis et al., 2000).

9. Stimulation of prefrontal cortex in PTSD: could it facilitate extinction memory?

Whether anxiety disorders stem from too much “bottom-up” amygdala activation or too little “top-down” inhibitory control, facilitation of mPFC activity might be effective in controlling an overactive amygdala. The effectiveness of IL stimulation in reducing conditioned fear responses in rats raises the intriguing possibility of using an analogous approach to ameliorating symptoms in patients with anxiety disorders such as PTSD. Deep brain stimulation (DBS) has been used in the treatment of OCD with encouraging initial results (Nuttin et al., 2003; Kopell et al., 2004). It is conceivable that DBS could be used to stimulate mPFC regions that appear to be hypoactive in PTSD. However, DBS is highly invasive and is currently only being administered as a continuous/chronic, rather than intermittent, form of treatment.

Alternatively, the use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in conjunction with exposure therapy might serve to strengthen extinction memory (Milad and Quirk, 2002). TMS has been investigated for the treatment of depression (Eschweiler et al., 2000) with promising results. A PET analysis of rTMS of the left PFC in depressed patients demonstrated that high frequency stimulation (10–20 Hz) significantly enhanced rCBF bilaterally in various relevant regions, suggesting that rTMS could be an effective treatment for PTSD.
brain regions, including the PFC and other paralimbic areas (Speer et al., 2000). Interestingly, Cohen et al. (2004) recently reported that rTMS targeting the dIPFC produced therapeutic effects in PTSD subjects. However, given that stimulation of the vmPFC in rats was only effective when it was paired with conditioned stimuli (Milad and Quirk, 2002; Milad et al., 2004), rTMS might be significantly more effective when paired with traumatic reminders.

One possible limitation of rTMS is that it may not penetrate sufficiently to reach deep structures such as the vmPFC. However, mapping of prefrontal structures involved in extinction might reveal additional (dorsal) areas that might be more amenable to direct manipulation by rTMS. It is even plausible to hypothesize that the different subregions of the mPFC might signal extinction memory at different phases of training or latencies with respect to the presentation of the traumatic stimuli. Therefore, a thorough characterization of the involvement of these regions in extinction memory could eventually lead to more sophisticated rTMS scenarios with multiple sites and timings, in order to more closely simulate neural processing of fear extinction.

10. Concluding remarks

PTSD is a disorder that is characterized by the pathological acquisition, expression, and persistence of learned fear associations. A fuller understanding of the rat and human networks that mediate extinction may be essential to elucidating the pathophysiology of PTSD as well as the mechanism of action of certain extinction-based therapies. Rat studies identifying the brain regions involved in extinction retention will guide hypotheses regarding regions of interest in future neuroimaging experiments. Conversely, regions implicated by human imaging experiments in mental health and disorder can be more thoroughly interrogated in rats. Finally, once the relevant neuroanatomic and neurophysiologic parallels between rats and humans are well-characterized, translational research opportunities may emerge to develop new treatments based upon facilitating extinction retention. Beyond PTSD, this approach could conceivably serve as a model for the study and treatment of other anxiety and mood disorders.
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