

2009

Epidemiological and transmissibility analysis of influenza A(H1N1)v in a southern hemisphere setting: Peru

C V. Munayco
cmunayco@dge.gob.pe

Victor Alberto Laguna-Torres
United States Naval Medical Research Detachment, Peru

Juan Arrasco
Ministerio de Salud

Tadeusz Kochel
United States Naval Medical Research Detachment, Peru

V Fiestas
National Institute of Health, Lima, Peru

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.gsu.edu/iph_facpub

 Part of the [Public Health Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Munayco CV, Gómez J, Laguna-Torres VA, Arrasco J, Kochel TJ, Fiestas V, Garcia J, Perez J, Torres I, Condori F, Nishiura H, Chowell G. Epidemiological and transmissibility analysis of influenza A(H1N1)v in a southern hemisphere setting: Peru. *Euro Surveill.* 2009;14(32):pii=19299. Available online: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=19299>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Public Health at ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Public Health Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ Georgia State University. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gsu.edu.

Authors

C V. Munayco, Victor Alberto Laguna-Torres, Juan Arrasco, Tadeusz Kochel, V Fiestas, J Garcia, I Torres, J Perez, Hiroshi Nishiura, and Gerardo Chowell

Rapid communications

EPIDEMIOLOGICAL AND TRANSMISSIBILITY ANALYSIS OF INFLUENZA A(H1N1)v IN A SOUTHERN HEMISPHERE SETTING: PERU

C V Munayco (cmunayco@dge.gob.pe)¹, J Gómez¹, V A Laguna-Torres², J Arrasco¹, T J Kochel², V Fiestas³, J Garcia², J Perez², I Torres³, F Condori³, H Nishiura⁴, G Chowell^{5,6}

1. Dirección General de Epidemiología, Peru Ministry of Health, Lima, Peru

2. United States Naval Medical Research Center Detachment, Lima, Peru

3. National Institute of Health, Lima, Peru

4. Theoretical Epidemiology, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands

5. Mathematical and Computational Modeling Science Center, School of Human Evolution and Social Change Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, United States

6. Division of Population Studies, Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States

We present a preliminary analysis of 1,771 confirmed cases of influenza A(H1N1)v reported in Peru by 17 July 2009 including the frequency of the clinical characteristics, the spatial and age distribution of the cases and the estimate of the transmission potential. Age-specific frequency of cases was highest among school age children and young adults, with the lowest frequency of cases among seniors, a pattern that is consistent with reports from other countries. Estimates of the reproduction number lie in the range of 1.2 to 1.7, which is broadly consistent with previous estimates for this pandemic in other regions. Validation of these estimates will be possible as additional data become available.

Introduction

On 24 April 2009, the World Health Organization (WHO) informed about an epidemic caused by new swine-origin influenza A(H1N1)v virus originating from Mexico, and declared a public health emergency of international importance. The level of influenza pandemic alert was raised sequentially up to phase 6 on 11 June 2009 after global spread of the pandemic virus was confirmed [1].

In this study we present an analysis of 1,771 confirmed cases of influenza A(H1N1)v who developed the disease by 17 July 2009 and were reported to the National Surveillance Network in Peru, which since 2006 has conducted virological surveillance of influenza and other respiratory viruses by establishing sentinel sites throughout the country [2]. The patients' age distribution, their clinical characteristics as well as their spatial distribution were studied. Estimates of transmission potential from the initial epidemic phase were also derived and compared with published estimates from other regions of the world.

Methods

Surveillance system

On 24 April 2009, the public health authorities of Peru implemented new regulations for epidemiological surveillance and outbreak control of influenza A(H1N1)v defining the procedures of

FIGURE 1

Geographical distribution of confirmed cases of influenza A(H1N1)v in Peru, as of 17 July 2009 (n=1,771)



detection, notification, investigation, follow-up and epidemiological control of A(H1N1)v cases in Peru.

An active surveillance system was established at all airports (especially in travellers returning from affected areas) and healthcare facilities, including private clinics. Also a telephone hotline (INFOSALUD) was made available by the Ministry of Health for citizens reporting influenza-like illness. A suspected case was defined as a person with a sudden onset of fever ($\geq 38^{\circ}\text{C}$) and respiratory symptoms. Suspected cases and their contacts were visited in their homes for clinical evaluation and nasal or pharyngeal specimens were taken from symptomatic persons and submitted to the National Institute of Health or the United States Naval Medical Research Center Detachment for RT-PCR as described by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Suspected cases were informed about control measures to limit spread (voluntary isolation, use of face masks, and increased hygiene). Contacts of cases were monitored daily via phone calls or home visits. Symptomatic contacts were subjected to the same procedure as suspected cases. Clinical and epidemiological data were collected utilising a case report form (CRF) from all patients who met the case definition. Antivirals were given to all suspected cases until early July when the containment strategy was replaced by mitigation approach and treatment began to be administered only to high-risk groups.

Descriptive epidemiology

Based on the clinical and epidemiological data of the National Surveillance Network, we characterised the descriptive


epidemiological features of influenza A(H1N1)v infection in Peru. First, we described the distribution of cases as a function of space, age and gender. Time-dependent characteristics were more analytically examined to estimate the transmission potential (see below). We also examined travel history of cases returning from countries with ongoing epidemics of A(H1N1)v infection, and the age-distributions between imported and indigenous cases were compared by means of non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. Second, we characterised frequency of symptoms reported for confirmed cases. The clinical-epidemiological forms were entered into a database created in Microsoft (MS) Office Access 2003, and data were analysed using MATLAB (The Mathworks, Inc.).

Estimation of transmission potential

A key epidemiological quantity which informs the expected magnitude of an epidemic is the basic reproduction number (denoted by R_0), defined as the average number of secondary cases generated by a primary case in an entirely susceptible population [3,4]. When $R_0 > 1$ an epidemic can occur while $R_0 < 1$ cannot support an epidemic. The reproduction number, R was estimated exploring time-evolution of confirmed cases. Statistical methods were based on pure birth process (to estimate the intrinsic growth rate r) and renewal process (to estimate R using r), and were identical to those given elsewhere [5]. Whereas we analysed the temporal distribution including all possible primary cases (i.e. including imported cases) as the number of imported cases was in a negligible order, we also examined the estimate excluding imported cases (as it can then exclude imported cases from the category of secondary cases).

FIGURE 2

Age distribution of confirmed cases of influenza A(H1N1)v reported in Peru as of 17 July 2009 (n=1,765*)




*Number of cases with available data on age

Results

The first influenza A(H1N1)v confirmed case in Peru was a Peruvian citizen returning from New York on 9 May with a respiratory disease. Since then the pandemic has quickly spread throughout the country. As of 17 July 2009, a total of 1,771 cases, involving eight deaths, have been confirmed. This yields a crude case fatality ratio of 0.33 % (95% confidence interval: 0.14, 0.65). Of the 1,771 cases, 1,420 (80.1%) were from Lima, the capital city, 84 (4.7%) from Piura and 81 (4.6%) from La Libertad. Figure 1 shows the geographic distribution of confirmed cases of influenza A(H1N1)v in Peru.

FIGURE 3
Clinical characteristics of confirmed cases of influenza A(H1N1)v reported in Peru as of 17 July 2009 (n=1,771)



A total of 78 (4.4%) confirmed cases had a history of recent travel to the United States, Dominican Republic or Argentina. Imported cases generated clusters of different sizes that established indigenous transmission in Peru. For example, between 8 and 30 May, 600 private high school students travelled to Punta Cana in the Dominican Republic for vacations. One student presented influenza-like illness before returning and other 11 students developed symptoms upon returning to Peru.

Females (52%) were slightly more affected than males (48%). The most affected age group was that of 5-14 years (Figure 2). The age of the cases ranged from 0 to 87 years with a mean of 18.5 years and a median of 13 years. The mean age of the imported cases was 28 years while indigenous cases had a mean age of 18 years (Mann-Whitney test, $P < 0.001$).

Figure 3 summarises the clinical characteristics of the confirmed cases of influenza A(H1N1)v infection. The most frequent symptoms were fever (94%), cough (93%), sore throat (77%), general malaise (77%) and rhinorrhoea (76%). Gastrointestinal symptoms including abdominal pain (28%), vomiting (26%) and diarrhoea (16%) were not uncommon.

Epidemic curve and transmissibility

Figure 4A shows the temporal distribution of confirmed cases as a function of the date of onset. The number of cases greatly increased from mid-June to mid-July. It should be noted that cases in mid-July are likely underestimated due to reporting delay, and the temporal dynamics are also influenced by spatial spread from Lima to the rest of the country in the subsequent time periods. Based on the epidemic curve, the first three weeks (from 6 to 29 May) were considered as "random phase". Informed by deviation of our simple model from the observed data (i.e. Akaike Information Criterion obtained from negative loglikelihood and a single parameter to be estimated), 30 May was assumed to be the starting time point of exponential growth (and called Day 1). We also assumed that the exponential growth phase continued up to 20 June (for three weeks which should capture the dynamics of the first 6-10 generations), while allowing plus/minus two days. Including all imported cases, the intrinsic growth rate, r was estimated at 0.117 (95% CI: 0.106, 0.128) per day. Excluding all imported cases, r was estimated at 0.135 (95% CI: 0.122, 0.149) per day. Assuming that the mean generation time = 2.8 days, and coefficient of variation (CV) = 47.1%, R for these settings was estimated at 1.37 (95% CI: 1.33, 1.41) and 1.44 (95% CI: 1.39, 1.49), respectively. Figure 4B compares observed and predicted epidemic curves. We also examined the sensitivity of R for different lengths of mean generation time (ranging from 1.6 to 4.0 days) (Figure 4C), and the maximum likelihood estimate of R ranged from 1.2 to 1.6. When we use different windows (18 June to 22 June as the latest time points of exponential growth), R appeared to range from 1.3 to 1.4 (Figure 4D).

Discussion

The current pattern of spread of influenza A(H1N1)v in Peru is dominated by a wave that emanates from the capital city, Lima, the early dynamics of which may most likely be associated with high frequency of international travel, thereby increasing the chances of a major epidemic in the capital city.


Our early findings indicate that public health interventions need to be in accord with the epidemiological behaviours (e.g. temporal and spatial increase) and moderate severity of the disease. For

instance, while in some countries radical control measures aimed at rapid containment, such as contact tracing and complete proactive school closures, were conducted during the early phase of this pandemic, the epidemic in Peru without obvious school clusters during the early phase did not offer an opportunity to implement similar countermeasures. In such settings it may be more realistic to focus interventions on minimising mortality at the population level (e.g. early diagnosis and treatment of severe cases).

Despite the lack of obvious large clusters, the great majority of cases were documented among school age children and young adults, with the lowest frequency of cases among seniors, a pattern that is consistent with reports from other countries [5-8]. It should be noted that the age-distribution of cases could change as the epidemic develops. Also, it should be noted that the impact of high school and university students (i.e. those aged from 15 to 19 years) on the transmission dynamics is presumably smaller

FIGURE 4

A) Epidemic curve of confirmed cases of influenza A(H1N1)v in Peru by date of symptoms onset, 8 May 2009 to 17 July 2009; B) Exponential growth fit to the early epidemic phase of influenza A(H1N1)v in Peru. Data are the black dots, the solid line is the exponential fit to the data, and dashed lines correspond to uncertainty bounds of the expectation based on the confidence limits of the intrinsic growth phase; C) The reproduction number estimates from the early epidemic phase of the epidemic curve of influenza A(H1N1)v cases in Peru as a function of plausible mean generation times and D) using different end dates of the initial growth phase.



than that observed in Japan [5]. While this age group, especially the presence of high-school clusters, may have contributed more significantly to generating a higher estimate of R in Japan [5], our estimate of R is probably less affected by such school clusters and therefore not so likely to be an overestimate.

The frequency of respiratory symptoms recorded for A(H1N1)v cases in Peru is in line with those reported for other influenza-like infections in Peru [8], but the gastrointestinal symptoms that included abdominal pain, vomiting and diarrhoea were remarkably more common among cases infected with the pandemic virus. Similar observations were made in other countries including Mexico [6] and Japan [9].

R was estimated at 1.37 in our setting in Peru. Sensitivity analysis revealed that the estimates lied in the range of 1.2 to 1.7, which is broadly consistent with previous estimates for this pandemic in other regions [10-12] and in line with estimates for seasonal influenza in temperate countries [13]. Nevertheless, it must be remembered that due to antiviral treatment which was administered to a substantial fraction of confirmed cases in early June our R calculation might be slightly underestimated. In addition, there is significant uncertainty associated with estimation of R in a setting where the reporting biases are likely to be changing on a daily basis. Validation of these estimates will be possible as additional data become available on population-based serosurveys and growth patterns observed in individual community-level outbreaks.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to the people of Dirección General de Epidemiología, the national network of epidemiology, The National Institute of Health and the virology laboratory and database personnel of US NMRC in Peru for all their hard work during this pandemic.

Disclaimers

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the U.S. Government.

The study was funded by the Ministry of Health of Peru and the U.S. DoD Global Emerging Infections Systems grant number 10107_09_LI. The Naval Medical Research Center participation was under Protocol NMRC.D.2002.0019 in compliance with all applicable Federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects.

Disclosure: None of the authors has a financial or personal conflict of interest related to this study. The corresponding author had full access to all data in the study and final responsibility for the decision to submit this publication.

Copyright Statement: Tadeusz J. Kochel is an U.S. military service member, and V. Alberto Laguna-Torres is employee of the U.S. Government. This work was prepared as part of their official duties. Title 17 U.S.C. § 105 provides that 'Copyright protection under this title is not available for any work of the United States Government'. Title 17 U.S.C. § 101

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). Influenza A (H1N1): WHO announces pandemic alert phase 6, of moderate severity. 11 June 2009. Available from: http://www.euro.who.int/mediacentre/PR/2009/20090611_1
2. Laguna-Torres VA, Gómez J, Ocaña V, Aguilar P, Saldarriaga T, Chavez E, et al. Influenza-like illness sentinel surveillance in Peru. *PLoS One*. 2009;4(7):e6118.
3. Anderson RM, May RM. *Infectious diseases of humans*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1991.
4. Diekmann O, Heesterbeek H. *Mathematical epidemiology of infectious diseases: model building, analysis and interpretation*. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2000.
5. Nishiura H, Castillo-Chavez C, Safan M, Chowell G. Transmission potential of the new influenza A(H1N1) virus and its age-specificity in Japan. *Euro Surveill*. 2009;14(22). pii: 19227. Available from: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=19227>

6. Ministry of Health of Mexico. Update on the S-OIV epidemic in Mexico. 23 July 2009. Available from: <http://portal.salud.gob.mx/contenidos/noticias/influenza/estadisticas.html>
7. Chowell G, Bertozzi SM, Colchero MA, Lopez-Gatell H, Alpuche-Aranda C, Hernandez M, et al. Severe Respiratory Disease Concurrent with the Circulation of H1N1 Influenza. *N Engl J Med*. 2009 Jun 29. [Epub ahead of print]
8. Influenza A(H1N1)v investigation teams. Modified surveillance of influenza A(H1N1)v virus infections in France. *Euro Surveill*. 2009;14(29):pii=19276. Available from: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=19276>
9. Shimada T, Gu Y, Kamiya H, Komiya N, Odaira F, Sunagawa T, et al. Epidemiology of influenza A(H1N1)v virus infection in Japan, May - June 2009. *Euro Surveill*. 2009;14(24):pii=19244. Available from: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=19244>
10. Fraser C, Donnelly CA, Cauchemez S, Hanage WP, Van Kerkhove MD, Hollingsworth TD, et al. Pandemic potential of a strain of influenza A (H1N1): early findings. *Science*. 2009;324(5934):1557-61.
11. Boëlle PY, Bernillon P, Desenclos JC. A preliminary estimation of the reproduction ratio for new influenza A(H1N1) from the outbreak in Mexico, March-April 2009. *Euro Surveill*. 2009;14(19):pii=19205. Available from: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=19205>
12. Nishiura H, Wilson N, Baker MG. Estimating the reproduction number of the novel influenza A virus (H1N1) in a Southern Hemisphere setting: preliminary estimate in New Zealand. *New Zealand Medical Journal*. 2009;122(1299):73-7.
13. Chowell G, Miller MA, Viboud C. Seasonal influenza in the United States, France, and Australia: transmission and prospects for control. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2008;136(6):852-64.

This article was published on 13 August 2009.

Citation style for this article: Munayco CV, Gómez J, Laguna-Torres VA, Arrasco J, Kochel TJ, Fiestas V, García J, Pérez J, Torres I, Condori F, Nishiura H, Chowell G. Epidemiological and transmissibility analysis of influenza A(H1N1)v in a southern hemisphere setting: Peru. *Euro Surveill*. 2009;14(32):pii=19299. Available online: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=19299>