

Question Answering Systems: A Review on Present Developments, Challenges and Trends

Lorena Kodra

Department of Computer Engineering
Polytechnic University of Tirana
Tirana, Albania

Elinda Kajo Meçe

Department of Computer Engineering
Polytechnic University of Tirana
Tirana, Albania

Abstract—Question Answering Systems (QAS) are becoming a model for the future of web search. In this paper we present a study of the latest research in this area. We collected publications from top conferences and journals on information retrieval, knowledge management, artificial intelligence, web intelligence, natural language processing and the semantic web. We identified and classified the topics of Question Answering (QA) being researched on and the solutions that are being proposed. In this study we also identified the issues being most researched on, the most popular solutions being proposed and the newest trends to help researchers gain an insight on the latest developments and trends of the research being done in the area of question answering.

Keywords—Question answering systems; community question answering systems

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we present a study of the latest research being done on question answering systems. We attempt to give an answer to questions like: Are researchers gaining or losing interest in QAS? What are the characteristics of QAS being given most attention to? What are the topics of the research being given most attention to? What are the challenges faced by researchers in this area? What kinds of solutions are being proposed? What are the newest features being applied? What are possible trends of the research in this area? We collected publications from top conferences and journals on information retrieval, knowledge management, artificial intelligence, web intelligence, natural language processing and the semantic web in the last three years and made a quantitative and topic-based analysis of these publications. Our work can be used to help researchers gain an insight on the present state and latest trends of the research being done in the area of question answering systems.

Unlike related work [1], [2] that classify and report the state of the art of question answering systems, our study makes a quantitative analysis on the amount of research being done in the area of question answering as well as topic-based classification and research trend identification. To the best of our knowledge this is the first review of QAS from this perspective.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we describe the methodology used in our study and define objectives and research questions. Section 3 makes a quantitative and topic-based analysis of the collected research.

Section 4 discusses the results and conclusions derived from our study. Finally, we list the selected papers in Appendix A.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Research Questions

As a primary step in the investigation, retrieval and selection of the most accurate publications for our review we have defined the following research questions:

RQ1: Are researchers gaining or losing interest in QAS?

RQ2: What are the characteristics of QAS being given most attention to?

RQ3: What are the topics of the research being given most attention to?

RQ4: What are the challenges faced by researchers in this area?

RQ5: What kinds of solutions are being proposed?

RQ6: What are the trends of research in this area?

B. Search Keywords and Source Selection

In order to extract the most relevant information for our review we used the following keywords and their combination and synonyms. The search string below was used as a query to search for publications in different online digital libraries:

“Question answering” OR “question answer” OR “question answering system” OR “question answering systems”). The search for these keywords was done on the title of the publication, as well as the abstract.

We selected three of the top scientific digital libraries that represent primary sources for computer science research publications. We did not include online archives Google Scholar and ArXiv because they index content from existing digital libraries. The sources are shown in Table 1.

TABLE I. SOURCES SELECTED FOR THE SEARCH PROCESS

Source	URL
IEEEExplore	http://ieeexplore.ieee.org
ACM Digital Library	http://dl.acm.org
Springer Link	http://link.springer.com

C. Inclusion Criteria

Table 2 lists the inclusion and exclusion criteria that we used to collect papers.

TABLE II. INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA SOURCES SELECTED FOR THE SEARCH PROCESS

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Relevant to the topic of our review	Review papers
Papers that have been published in the last three years (2014 - 2016)	Reports
Published in top conferences and journals on information retrieval, web intelligence, artificial intelligence, natural language processing and the semantic web	

We did not collect review papers and reports because our aim is to analyze the existing implementations and developments of QAS.

The selected conferences are: SIGIR - Special Interest Group on Information Retrieval, CIKM - Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, AAAI - Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence Conference on Artificial Intelligence, WWW - World Wide Web Conference, WI - International Conference on Web Intelligence, ECIR - European Conference on Information Retrieval, WSDM - International Conference on Web Search and Web Data Mining, ICML - International Conference on Machine Learning, ISWC - International Semantic Web Conference, ESWC - European Semantic Web Conference, EMNLP - Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, COLING - International Conference on Computational Linguistics, ACL - Association for Computational Linguistics, ECAI - European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, ECML - European Conference on Machine Learning. The selected journals are: NLE - Natural Language Engineering, LRE - Language Resources and Evaluation, TACL - Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics, COLI - Journal of Computational Linguistics, JML - Journal of Machine Learning, JMLR - Journal of Machine Learning Research, IRJ - Information Retrieval Journal, Journal of Web Semantics.

III. QUANTITATIVE AND TOPIC-BASED ANALYSIS

We first make a quantitative analysis of the collected research. We had divided the papers into two categories: new systems and existing system improvement. Table 3 shows the total number of publications for each year, as well as the number of publications for each category.

TABLE III. PUBLICATIONS THROUGHOUT THE YEARS

Year	Total number of publications	New systems/ Improvements	New systems/ Improvements (%)
2016	57	39 / 18	68.5 / 31.5
2015	37	24 / 13	64.9 / 35.1
2014	35	25 / 10	71.5 / 28.5
Total	129	88 / 41	68.3 / 31.7

These data suggest that QAS are gaining popularity and interest from the research community. We also notice that the majority of contributions are new QAS. This suggests that QAS is a rapidly growing and evolving field of research where new ideas are being implemented continuously with success. This also justifies the fact that a considerable amount of research is being done on improving and implementing new ideas to existing state of the art QAS and incremental results are being achieved. As regards RQ1 we can say that there is a growing trend in publications indicating an increased interest in this area from the research community.

For the topic-based analysis we make a classification of the systems described in the collected papers. We identify the amount of research being done according to this classification and try to answer the research questions posed in Section 2.

We studied the systems from three different points of view: 1) system characteristics; 2) research topic; 3) solution approaches.

A. System Characteristics

We identified five main characteristics of QAS: 1) System domain: open domain vs closed domain; 2) System type: Community Question Answering System (CQAS) vs non-community QAS; 3) Question type: factoid vs non-factoid questions; 4) Information source: documents vs structured Knowledge Base (KB); 5) Information source type: single vs multiple.

1) System domain

This characteristic describes the domain of the questions that a QAS can accept. Closed domain QAS accept questions only from a specific domain while open domain QAS do not have this limitation. The greatest part of the systems we studied is open domain with a ratio of 117 open domain to 12 closed domain QAS, translating to a percentage of 90.6% open domain to 9.4% closed domain.

2) System type

This characteristic describes the type of the system from a community perspective. Original QA systems were closed encyclopedic-like systems with the system relying on its own knowledge for answering questions. Some of the modern QA systems like Quora¹ or Yahoo! Answers² are community-based where the users rely on expertise from the community to get an answer for their question. The majority of the systems we studied were non-CQA with a ratio of 73 non-CQA to 56 CQA, translating to a percentage of 56.6% non-CQA to 43.4% CQA. This indicates that CQAs have gained an important part in QA research.

3) Question type

This characteristic describes the type of the questions the system can accept. A factoid QAS is a system that provides concise facts like "What is the population on Earth?" In contrast in a non-factoid QAS the system can be asked to provide an answer to a math question, how to change the oil of the car or even more complicated answers like those on Quiz

¹ <https://www.quora.com/> ² <https://answers.yahoo.com/>

Bowl³. The majority of the systems we studied were factoid QAS with a ratio of 111 factoid to 18 non-factoid QAS, translating to a percentage of 86% factoid to 14% non-factoid. We consider worth mentioning the fact that there is an increase in publications regarding non-factoid QAS throughout the years with 2 publications in 2014, 6 publications in 2015 and 10 publications in 2016.

4) Information source

This characteristic describes the source of information the QAS uses to generate the answer. We identified two types of information source: documents and structured KB. For the first type, the QAS information is organized as a set of documents from which it tries to make a match between question and answer. For the second type, the QAS information is organized in a form of structured KB where the data are linked by semantics. The majority of the systems we studied use documents as information source with a ratio of 71 KB-centric to 56 document-based QAS, translating to a percentage of 56.6% KB-centric to 43.4% document-based.

We consider worth mentioning the fact that for the year 2016 we identified five systems described in (P34), (P68), (P84), (P87) and (P90) that deal with image-based information source. The system described in (P68) has both text and image-based information source, while the others are entirely image-based. This kind of systems is not present during 2014 and 2015.

5) Information source type

This characteristic describes the types of information source the system uses. We identified two types of information source: single and multiple. Single information source systems use only internal information to generate an answer. This information may be organized either in a structured KB or as separate documents. A multiple information source type system uses external data like documents, web search, query logs, or even entire KBs besides its own internal information to generate an answer. The majority of the systems we studied are single information source systems with a ratio of 120 single information to 9 multiple information source systems, translating to a percentage of 93% single information to 7% multiple information source systems. We consider worth mentioning the fact that 75% of the contributions on multiple information source QAS were made in 2016.

B. Research Topics

We identified three research topics from the papers we collected: 1) question processing; 2) information source and organization; 3) answer processing.

1) Question processing

We identified 80 publications dealing with this topic. This number comprises 62 % of the total number of publications. We divided this topic into three subtopics: 1) question analysis and generation; 2) question routing; 3) question-answer matching.

The question analysis and generation subtopic deals with user query analysis, identification of query intent, generating of possible candidate questions from user query and selection of the most relevant question.

The question routing subtopic deals with finding possible answerers to a question posed by a user. This is relevant in CQAS routing a question to the right users improves overall system accuracy.

The question-answer matching deals with finding possible matches between user question and document text or KB entries in the information source.

Some of the publications we studied dealt with mixed subtopics totaling an amount of 14, translating to a percentage of 17.5% out of 80 publications.

Table 4 illustrates the number of publications for each subtopic.

2) Information source and organization

This topic deals with the way the information is organized in the QAS and its sources. We identified 13 publications dealing with this topic, comprising 16.25% of the total publications. We divided this topic into three subtopics: 1) knowledge base creation; 2) knowledge acquisition; 3) knowledge base linking.

The knowledge base creation subtopic deals with the way information is organized semantically in the QAS.

The knowledge acquisition subtopic deals with getting information from multiple sources in order to gain knowledge about the question topic to be able to find an answer.

The knowledge base linking subtopic deals with finding the right sources that can answer the user query and integrating partial answers from different sources.

Table 5 illustrates the number of publications for each subtopic.

TABLE IV. NUMBER OF PUBLICATIONS FOR QUESTION PROCESSING SUBTOPICS

Subtopic	Number of publications	Subtopic / topic ratio (%)
Question analysis and generation	55	68.7
Question routing	18	22.5
Question-answer matching	22	27.5

TABLE V. NUMBER OF PUBLICATIONS FOR INFORMATION SOURCE AND ORGANIZATION SUBTOPICS

Subtopic	Number of publications	Subtopic / topic ratio (%)
Knowledge base creation	4	30.7
Knowledge acquisition	5	38.4
Knowledge base linking	4	30.7

³ <http://hsquizbowl.org/db/>

TABLE VI. NUMBER OF PUBLICATIONS FOR QUESTION PROCESSING
SUBTOPICS

Subtopic	Number of publications	Subtopic / topic (%)
Answer detection and ranking	26	40
Answer summarizing and generation	12	18.4
Answer validation and selection	21	32.3

3) Answer processing

We identified 65 publications dealing with this topic, making up for 50.3% of the total number of publications. We divided this topic into three subtopics: 1) answer detection and ranking; 2) answer summarizing and generation; 3) answer validation and selection.

Answer detection and ranking deals with detecting possible answers for a user question and ranking them according to question relevance.

Answer summarizing and generation deals with aggregating answers from possible different sources as well as summarizing and generating the final answer.

Answer validation and selection deals with validating possible candidate answers and selecting the most relevant one.

Some of the publications we studied deal with multiple subtopics from the same topic, such as answer detection and ranking, as well as answer validation and selection. There is also a considerable amount of publications dealing with both the question processing topic and answering process topic. This phenomenon occurs also for some publications dealing with question processing, information source and answer processing. The topic overlapping occurs for 26 distinct publications, translating to a percentage of 20% of the total number of publications.

Table 6 illustrates the number of publications for each subtopic.

C. Research Challenges

In order to address RQ4 we identified the main research challenges involved in the selected publications. We divided them into two categories according to system characteristics: 1) KBQA; and 2) CQA.

1) Research challenges in Knowledge Base Question Answering Systems

We identified the following challenges for KBQA systems:

- **Lexical gap between natural language and structured semantics of the knowledge base:** We identified it as the most frequent problem. It concerns differences in sentence representations between the unstructured natural language question and the structured knowledge base. It also concerns the many ways of expressing knowledge in a knowledge base.
- **Entity identification and linking:** This was another prominent challenge. The challenge of entity identification and linking concerns the ability of the

system to correctly identify the subject entity in question and link it to a triple in the knowledge base.

- **Questions involving multiple entities:** It concerns the ability of the system to identify and reason over multiple subject entities in question and link it to the relevant triple in the knowledge base.
- **Passage question answering:** This is a challenge on non-factoid question answering where the answer is in the form of a paragraph. Question-answer matching is a challenging task as it requires effective representations that capture the complex semantic relations between questions and answers.

2) Research challenges in Community Question Answering Systems

We identified the following challenges for CQA systems:

- **Lexical gap between questions:** It was one of the most frequent problems in the selected publications. It concerns differences in natural language formulation of questions. Different users ask for the same information but they formulate the question in different ways. This results in many questions that are semantically equivalent but differ lexically.
- **Lexical gap between questions and answers:** This was another frequent problem. Similar to the lexical gap between questions, sometimes question and answers can be highly asymmetric in the information they contain. There is also a technical terminology gap between questions and answers. Questions are posed by novices or non-experts who use less technical terminology while experts who answer questions use the correct terms.
- **Deviation from question:** It concerns the phenomenon of answer thread becoming irrelevant to the question. Answers are given in the form of comments but sometimes users engage in discussion and deviate from the original question.

D. Solution Approaches

The systems described in the papers we collected use techniques of Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine learning to complete their tasks. We identified three approaches: 1) neural networks; 2) probabilistic model; 3) algebraic model.

For the first approach, the neural networks are used as reasoning agents that select candidate answers and determine their relevance to the given question. For this approach we identified 36 publications translating to a percentage of 27.9% out of 129 publications.

In QAS that use probabilistic model, similarities are computed as probabilities that an answer is relevant to a given question. The answers are ranked based on their probability of relevance to the question. The process of answer selection is treated as a probabilistic inference. For the probabilistic models approach we identified 57 publications, translating to a percentage of 44.1% out of 129 publications.

TABLE VII. NUMBER OF PUBLICATIONS FOR EACH SOLUTION APPROACH

Solution approach	Number of publications	Number of publications (%)
Neural networks	36	27.9
Probabilistic model	57	44.1
Algebraic model	36	27.9

In QAS that use the algebraic model, the question and candidate answers are represented as vectors in a multidimensional space. The system computes the similarity between these vectors as a scalar value. The more similar an answer vector is to a question vector, the more likely it is that the answer is relevant to the question. For this approach we identified 36 publications, translating to a percentage of 27.9% out of 129 publications.

Table 7 summarizes these results.

IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented a study on the current state of research on question answering systems. We can answer RQ2 from three different points of view: domain type, question type, system type. From the domain type point of view, the QAS that are most popular and are being given more attention to are open domain QAS. This is justified by the need of modern systems to be extensive and inclusive of all areas of information and knowledge.

From the question type point of view, the QAS that are most popular and are being given more attention to are factoid question answering. However, we noticed a growing number of contributions, especially in 2016, on non-factoid QAS. This fact suggests a growing interest in the research community for this kind of QAS and a possible trend towards systems that are more intelligent and closer to humans.

From the system type point of view, the QAS that are most popular and are being given more attention to, are non-Community QAS with the most number of contributions. However we noticed that a great amount of research is being done on CQAS and the difference in publications for the two systems is not very big. This reflects the increasing role that social networking and online communities have in the acquisition of knowledge.

To answer RQ3 we identified the topics of research with more contributions. We can say that most of the research is being done on issues regarding question processing. This is justified by the need to understand user questions better in order to provide a more accurate answer. We also find worth mentioning that a considerable amount of research is being done on issues involving all the answering process from information source organization to question analysis and answer generation.

As regards RQ4, the most prominent challenge is the lexical gap. It is evident in the difference between questions expressed in natural language and the semantically structured information of the KB. The lexical gap is also present in CQAS as the difference between user questions asking for the same thing using different words, as well as between answer and question which can, sometimes, differ considerably from a lexical point of view. Another prominent challenge for KBQA

systems was the question entity identification, especially in questions involving multiple entities. The lexical gap can have a negative effect on this problem and increase the difficulty of entity identification.

As regards RQ5 we can say that the solutions being applied to solve various issues of the answering process are natural language processing and machine learning methods implemented with neural networks, algebraic and probabilistic models with the latter having the most number of contributions.

To answer RQ6 we identified some new characteristics that are recently being integrated into QAS and tried to identify possible research trends. We noticed a growing number of contributions on multiple knowledge base QAS, with 75% of them during the year 2016. This is indicative of increased research interest in this type of systems and a future research trend justified by the need to create more flexible systems that obtain and validate answers from multiple and possibly external sources in cases when a single KB is not enough to answer the question. We also noticed constant increase in the amount of contributions on non-factoid QAS. We can identify this as an increased research interest and future research trend towards systems that are more intelligent and closer to humans.

We consider worth mentioning a new type of information source for QAS that is being researched on during the last year. This is image-based information retrieval where the information source for finding the answer is either entirely composed of an image database or is a text and image hybrid. We can identify this as a research trend motivated by the need to create QAS that go beyond the traditional boundaries of text based systems towards a more complete artificial intelligence.

As a last point of discussion we find worth mentioning an overlapping of some of the QAS that we studied with other areas such as user behavior (P59) and decision support systems (P9), (P111). However, there is a limited number of research contributions and we cannot identify possible trends.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dwivedia, S., Vaishali, S.: Research and reviews in question answering system. International Conference on Computational Intelligence: Modeling Techniques and Applications (2013)
- [2] Shekarpour, S., Endris, K. M., Kumar, A. J., Lukovnikov, D., Singh, K., Thakkar, H., Lange, Ch.: Question Answering on Linked Data: Challenges and Future Directions. World Wide Web Conference (2016).

APPENDIX A

- (P1) Omari, Adi & Carmel, David & Rokhlenko, Oleg & Szpektor (2016) "Idan: Novelty based Ranking of Human Answers for Community Questions", SIGIR
- (P2) Petersil, Boaz & Mejer, Avihai & Szpektor, Idan & Crammer, Koby (2016) "That's Not My Question: Learning to Weight Unmatched Terms in CQA Vertical Search", SIGIR
- (P3) Savenkov, David & Agichtein, Eugene (2016) "When a Knowledge Base Is Not Enough: Question Answering over Knowledge Bases with External Text Data", SIGIR
- (P4) Ture, Ferhan & Jovic, Oliver (2016) "Ask Your TV: Real-Time Question Answering with Recurrent Neural Networks", SIGIR
- (P5) Boguraev, Branimir & Patwardhan, Siddhath & Kalyanpur, Jennifer Chu-Carroll & Lally, Adam (2014) "Parallel and nested decomposition for factoid questions", Natural Language Engineering

- (P6) Van Dijk, David & Tsagkias, Manos & de Rijke, Maarten (2015) "Early Detection of Topical Expertise in Community Question Answering" SIGIR
- (P7) Viet Hung, Nguyen Quoc & Chi Tang, Duong & Weidlich, Matthias & Aberer, Karl (2015) "ERICA: Expert Guidance in Validating Crowd Answers" SIGIR
- (P8) Bagdouri, Mossaab (2015) "Cross-Platform Question Routing for Better Question Answering", SIGIR
- (P9) Yang, Zi & Li, Ying & Cai, James & Nyberg, Eric (2014) "QUADS Question Answering for Decision Support", SIGIR
- (P10) Yeniterzi, Reyvan & Callan, Jamie (2014) "Analyzing bias in CQA-based expert finding test sets", SIGIR
- (P11) Keikha, Mostafa & Hyun Park, Jae & Croft, W. Bruce (2014) "Evaluating answer passages using summarization measures", SIGIR
- (P12) Araki, Jun & Callan, Jamie (2014) "An annotation similarity model in passage ranking for historical fact validation", SIGIR
- (P13) Nie, Liqiang & Li, Tao & Akbari, Mohammad & Shen, Jialie & Chua, Tat-Seng (2014) "WenZher: comprehensive vertical search for healthcare domain", SIGIR
- (P14) Bidoit, Nicole & Herschel, Melanie & Tzompanaki, Aikaterini (2015) "Efficient Computation of Polynomial Explanations of Why-Not Questions", CIKM
- (P15) Yin, Pengcheng & Duan, Nan & Kao, Ben & Bao, Junwei & Zhou, Ming (2015) "Answering Questions with Complex Semantic Constraints on Open Knowledge Bases", CIKM
- (P16) Bast, Hannah & Haussmann, Elmar (2015) "More Accurate Question Answering on Freebase", CIKM
- (P17) Tymoshenko, Kateryna & Moschitti, Alessandro (2015) "Assessing the Impact of Syntactic and Semantic Structures for Answer Passages Reranking", CIKM
- (P18) Völske, Michael & Braslavski, Pavel & Hagen, Matthias & Lezina, Galina & Stein, Benno (2015) "What Users Ask a Search Engine: Analyzing One Billion Russian Question Queries", CIKM
- (P19) Wang, Zhenghao & Yan, Shengquan & Wang, Huaming & Huang, Xuedong (2015) "Large-Scale Question Answering with Joint Embedding and Proof Tree Decoding", CIKM
- (P20) Chan, Wen & Du, Jintao & Yang, Weidong & Tang, Jinhui & Zhou, Xiangdong (2014) "Term Selection and Result Reranking for Question Retrieval by Exploiting Hierarchical Classification", CIKM
- (P21) Sondhi, Parikshit & Zhai, ChengXiang (2014) "Mining Semi-Structured Online Knowledge Bases to Answer Natural Language Questions on Community QA Websites", CIKM
- (P22) Carmel, David & Mejer, Avihai & Pinter, Yuval & Szpektor, Idan (2014) "Improving Term Weighting for Community Question Answering Search Using Syntactic Analysis", CIKM
- (P23) Zhang, Kai & Wu, Wei & Wu, Haocheng & Li, Zhoujun & Zhou, Ming (2014) "Question Retrieval with High Quality Answers in Community Question Answering", CIKM
- (P24) Zhang, Jingyuan & Kong, Xiangnan & Jie, Luo & Chang, Yi & Yu, Philip S. (2014) "NCR: A Scalable Network-Based Approach to Co-Ranking in Question-and-Answer Sites" CIKM
- (P25) Mukherjee, Subhabrata & Ajmera, Jitendra & Joshi, Sachindra (2014) "Domain Cartridge: Unsupervised Framework for Shallow Domain Ontology Construction from Corpus", CIKM
- (P26) Bagdouri, Mossaab & W. Oard, Douglas & Castelli, Vittorio (2014) "CLIR for Informal Content in Arabic Forum Posts", CIKM
- (P27) Hasanain, Maram & Elsayed, Tamer & Magdy, Walid (2014) "Identification of Answer-Seeking Questions in Arabic Microblogs", CIKM
- (P28) Fang, Hanyin & Wu, Fei & Zhao, Zhou & Duan, Xinyu & Zhuang, Yueting (2016) "Community-Based Question Answering via Heterogeneous Social Network Learning", AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence
- (P29) Mitra, Arindam & Baral, Chitta (2016) "Addressing a Question Answering Challenge by Combining Statistical Methods with Inductive Rule Learning and Reasoning", AAAI
- (P30) Clark, Peter & Etzioni, Oren & Khot, Tushar & Sabharwal, Ashish & Tafjord, Oyvind & Turney, Peter (2016) "Combining Retrieval, Statistics, and Inference to Answer Elementary Science Questions", AAAI
- (P31) Oh, Jong-Hoon & Torisawa, Kentaro & Hashimoto, Chikara & Iida, Ryu & Tanaka, Masahiro & Kloetzer, Julien (2016) "A Semi-Supervised Learning Approach to Why-Question Answering", AAAI
- (P32) Wu, Yu & Wu, Wei & Zhang, Xiang & Li, Zhoujun & Zhou, Ming (2016) "Improving Recommendation of Tail Tags for Questions in Community Question Answering", AAAI
- (P33) Zhang, Yuanzhe & He, Shizhu & Liu, Kang & Zhao, Jun (2016) "A Joint Model for Question Answering over Multiple Knowledge Bases", AAAI
- (P34) Ma, Lin & Lu, Zhengdong & Li, Hang (2016) "Learning to Answer Questions from Image Using Convolutional Neural Network", AAAI
- (P35) Pang, Liang & Lan, Yanyan & Guo, Jiafeng & Xu, Jun & Cheng, Xueqi (2016) "SPAN: Understanding a Question with Its Support Answers", AAAI
- (P36) Ma, Zongyang & Sun, Aixin & Yuan, Quan & Cong, Gao (2015) "A Tri-Role Topic Model for Domain-Specific Question Answering", AAAI
- (P37) Shen, Yikang & Rong, Wenge & Sun, Zhiwei & Ouyang, Yuanxin & Xiong, Zhang (2015) "Question/Answer Matching for CQA System via Combining Lexical and Sequential Information", AAAI
- (P38) Wu, Yu & Wu, Wei & Li, Zhoujun & Zhou, Ming (2015) "Mining Query Subtopics from Questions in Community Question Answering", AAAI
- (P39) Boteanu, Adrian & Chernova, Sonia (2015) "Solving and Explaining Analogy Questions Using Semantic Networks", AAAI
- (P40) Xu, Kun & Zhang, Sheng & Feng, Yansong & Huang, Songfang & Zhao, Dongyan (2015) "What Is the Longest River in the USA? Semantic Parsing for Aggregation Questions", AAAI
- (P41) Zhang, Wei-Nan & Ming, Zhao-Yan & Zhang, Yu & Liu, Ting & Chua, Tat-Seng (2015) "Exploring Key Concept Paraphrasing Based on Pivot Language Translation for Question Retrieval", AAAI
- (P42) Aydin, Bahadır Ismail & Yilmaz, Yavuz Selim & Li, Yaliang & Li, Qi & Gao, Jing, Gao & Demirbas, Murat (2014) "Crowdsourcing for Multiple-Choice Question Answering", AAAI
- (P43) Sun, Huan & Ma, Hao & He, Xiaodong & Yih, Wen-tau & Su, Yu & Yan, Xifeng (2016) "Table Cell Search for Question Answering", WWW Conference
- (P44) Tsur, Gilad & Pinter, Yuval & Szpektor, Idan & Carmel, David (2016) "Identifying Web Queries with Question Intent", WWW
- (P45) Shi, Hui & Maly, Kurt & Chong, Dazhi & Yan, Gongjun & He, Wu (2016) "Backward Chaining Ontology Reasoning Systems with Custom Rules", WWW
- (P46) Boudaer, Glenn & Loeckx, Johan (2016) "Enriching Topic Modelling with Users' Histories for Improving Tag Prediction in Q&A Systems", WWW
- (P47) Burel, Grégoire & Mulholland, Paul & Alani, Harith (2016) "Structural Normalisation Methods for Improving Best Answer Identification in Question Answering Communities", WWW
- (P48) Shekarpour, Saeedeh & Marx, Edgard & Ngonga Ngomo, Axel-Cyrille & Auer, Sören (2015) "SINA: Semantic interpretation of user queries for question answering on interlinked data", Journal of Web Semantics
- (P49) Singh, Priyanka & Dr. Simperl, Elena (2016) "Using Semantics to Search Answers for Unanswered Questions in Q&A Forums", WWW
- (P50) Kayes, Imrul & Kourtellis, Nicolas & Quercia, Daniele & Iamnitchi, Adriana & Bonchi, Francesco (2015) "The Social World of Content Abusers in Community Question Answering", WWW
- (P51) Sun, Huan & Ma, Hao & Yih, Wen-tau & Tsai, Chen-Tse & Liu, Jingjing & Chang, Wing-Mei (2015) "Open Domain Question Answering Via Semantic Enrichment", WWW
- (P52) Burel, Grégoire & Mulholland, Paul & He, Yulan & Alani, Harith (2015) "Modelling Question Selection Behaviour in Online Communities", WWW

- (P53)Feng, Guangyu & Xiong, Kun & Tang, Yang & Cui, Anqi & Li, Hang & Yang, Qiang & Li, Ming (2015) "Question Classification by Approximating Semantics", WWW
- (P54)C. Raghavi, Khyathi & Chinnakotla, Manoj & Shrivastava, Manish (2015) "'Answer ka type kya he?": Learning to Classify Questions in Code-Mixed Language", WWW
- (P55)Chaturvedi, Snigdha & Castelli, Vittorio & Florian, Radu & M. Nallapati, Ramesh & Raghavan, Hema (2014) "Joint question clustering and relevance prediction for open domain non-factoid question answering", WWW
- (P56)West, Robert & Gabrilovich, Evgeniy & Murphy, Kevin & Sun, Shaohua & Gupta, Rahul & Lin, Dekang (2014) "Knowledge base completion via search-based question answering", WWW
- (P57)Kim, Kanghak & Lee, Sunho & Son, Jeonghoon & Cha, Meeyoung (2014) "Finding informative Q&As on twitter", WWW
- (P58)Peng, Baolin & Rong, Wenge & Ouyang, Yuanxin & Li, Chao & Xiong, Zhang (2014) "Learning joint representation for community question answering with tri-modal DBM", WWW
- (P59)Pudipeddi, Jagat & Akoglu, Leman & Tong, Hanghang (2014) "User churn in focused question answering sites: characterizations and prediction", WWW
- (P60)Meng, Zide & Gandon, Fabien & Faron Zucker, Catherine (2016) "Joint Model of Topics, Expertises, Activities and Trends for Question Answering Web Applications", International Conference on Web Intelligence
- (P61)Ruan, Haipeng & Li, Yuan & Wang, Qinglin & Liu, Yu (2016) "A Research on Sentence Similarity for Question Answering System Based on Multi-feature Fusion", WI
- (P62)Gallagher, Sean & Zdrozny, Wlodek (2016) "Leveraging Large Corpora Using Internet Search for Question Answering", WI
- (P63)Razzaghi, Fatemeh & Minaee, Hamed & A. Ghorbani, Ali (2016) "Context Free Frequently Asked Questions Detection Using Machine Learning Techniques", WI
- (P64)Zheng, Suncong & Bao, Hongyun & Zhao, Jun & Zhang, Jie & Qi, Zhenyu & Hao, Hongwei (2015) "A Novel Hierarchical Convolutional Neural Network for Question Answering over Paragraphs", WI
- (P65)Cabrio, Elena & Faron-Zucker, Catherine & Gandon, Fabien & Hallili, Amine & G.B Tettamanzi, Andrea (2015) "Answering N-Relation Natural Language Questions in the Commercial Domain", WI
- (P66)Meng, Zide & Gandon, Fabien & Faron-Zucker, Catherine (2015) "Simplified Detection and Labeling of Overlapping Communities of Interest in Question-and-Answer Sites", WI
- (P67)Chiang, Chung-Lun & Chen, Shih-Ying & Cheng, Pu-Jen (2014) "Summarizing Search Results with Community-Based Question Answering", WI
- (P68)Xiong, Caiming & Merity, Stephen & Socher, Richard (2016) "Dynamic Memory Networks for Visual and Textual Question Answering", International Conference on Machine Learning
- (P69)Chen, Long & Jose, Joemon M. & Yu, Haitao & Yuan, Fajie & Zhang, Dell (2016) "A Semantic Graph based Topic Model for Question Retrieval in Community Question Answering", WSDM
- (P70)Zhang, Kai & Wu, Wei & Wang, Fang & Zhou, Ming & Li, Zhoujun (2016) "Learning Distributed Representations of Data in Community Question Answering for Question Retrieval" WSDMs
- (P71)Wu, Haocheng & Wu, Wei & Zhou, Ming & Chen, Enhong & Duan, Lei & Shum, Heung-Yeung (2014) "Improving search relevance for short queries in community question answering", International Conference on Web Search and Web Data Mining WSDM
- (P72)Yang, Liu & Ai, Qingyao & Spina, Damiano & Chen, Ruy-Cheng & Pang, Liang & Croft, W. Bruce & Guo, Jiafeng & Scholer, Falk (2016) "Beyond Factoid QA: Effective Methods for Non-factoid Answer Sentence Retrieval", European Conference on Information Retrieval
- (P73)Braunstein, Liora & Kurland, Oren & Carmel, David & Szpektor, Idan & Shtok, Anna (2016) "Supporting Human Answers for Advice-Seeking Questions in CQA Sites", ECIR
- (P74)Höffner, Konrad & Lehmann, Jens & Usbeck, Ricardo (2016) "CubeQA—Question Answering on RDF Data Cubes", International Semantic Web Conference ISWC
- (P75)Zhang, Wei Emma & Abebe, Ermyas & Z. Sheng, Quan & Taylor, Kerry (2016) "Towards Building Open Knowledge Base From Programming Question-Answering Communities", ISWC
- (P76)Lee, Jongmin & Ham, Youngkyoung & Lee, Tony (2016) "XB; A Large-scale Korean Knowledge Base for Question Answering Systems", ISWC
- (P77)Song, Dezhao & Schilder, Frank & Smiley, Chares & Brew, Chris & Zielund, Tom & Bretz, Hiroko & Martin, Robert & Dale, Chris & Duprey, John & Miller, Tim & Harrison, Johanna (2015) "TR Discover, A Natural Language Question Answering System for Interlinked Datasets", ISWC
- (P78)Yao, Siyu & Liu, Jun & Wang, Meng & Wei, Bifan & Chen, Xuelu (2015) "ANNA: Answering Why-Not Questions for SPARQL", ISWC
- (P79)Cabrio, Elena & Aprosio, Alessio Palmero & Villata, Serena (2014) "Reconciling Information in DBpedia through a Question Answering System", ISWC
- (P80)Hamon, Thierry & Grabar, Natalia & Mouglin, Fleur (2014) "Natural Language Question Analysis for Querying Biomedical Linked Data", ISWC
- (P81)Both, Andreas & Diefenbach, Dennis & Shekarpour, Saeedeh & Lange, Christoph (2016) "Qanary -- An Extensible Vocabulary for Open Question Answering Systems", ESWC
- (P82)Usbeck, Ricardo & Ngonga Ngomo, Axel-Cyrille & Bühmann, Lorenz & Unger, Christina (2015) "HAWK – Hybrid Question Answering using Linked Data", ESWC
- (P83)Cabrio, Elena & Sachidananda, Vivek & Troncy, Raphael (2014) "Boosting QAKIS with multimedia answer visualization", ESWC
- (P84)Fukui, Akira & Huk Park, Dong & Yang, Daylen & Rohrbach, Anna & Darrell, Trevor & Rohrbach Marcus (2016) "Multimodal Compact Bilinear Pooling for Visual Question Answering and Visual Grounding", Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing EMNLP
- (P85)Sharp, Rebecca & Surdeanu, Mihai & Jansen, Peter & Clark, Peter & Hammond, Michael (2016) "Creating Causal Embeddings for Question Answering with Minimal Supervision", EMNLP
- (P86)Ture, Ferhan & Boschee, Elizabeth (2016) "Learning to Translate for Multilingual Question Answering", EMNLP
- (P87)Krishnamurthy, Jayant & Tafjord, Oyvind & Kembhavi, Aniruddha (2016) "Semantic Parsing to Probabilistic Programs for Situated Question Answering", EMNLP
- (P88)Nakov, Preslav & Márquez, Lluís & Guzmán, Francisco (2016) "It Takes Three to Tango: Triangulation Approach to Answer Ranking in Community Question Answering", EMNLP
- (P89)Golub, David & He, Xiaodong (2016) "Character-Level Question Answering with Attention", EMNLP
- (P90)Ray, Arijit & Christie, Gordon & Bansal, Mohit & Batra, Dhruv & Parikh, Devi (2016) "Question Relevance in VQA: Identifying Non-Visual And False-Premise Questions", EMNLP
- (P91)Khot, Tushar & Balasubramanian, Niranjan & Gribkoff, Eric & Sabharwal, Ashish & Clark, Peter & Etzioni, Oren (2015) "Exploring Markov Logic Networks for Question Answering", EMNLP
- (P92)Li, Yang & Clark, Peter (2015) "Answering Elementary Science Questions by Constructing Coherent Scenes using Background Knowledge", EMNLP
- (P93)Joty, Shafiq & Barrón-Cedeño, Alberto & Da San Martino, Giovanni & Filice, Simone & Márquez, Lluís & Moschitti, Alessandro & Nakov, Preslav (2015) "Global Thread-level Inference for Comment Classification in Community Question Answering", EMNLP
- (P94)Iyyer, Mohit & Boyd-Graber, Jordan & Claudino, Leonardo & Socher, Richard & Daumé III, Hal (2014) "A Neural Network for Factoid Question Answering over Paragraphs", EMNLP
- (P95)He, Shizhu & Liu, Kang & Zhang, Yuanzhe & Xu, Liheng & Zhao, Jun (2014) "Question Answering over Linked Data Using First-order Logic", EMNLP
- (P96)Wang, Quan & Liu, Jing & Wang, Bin & Guo, Li (2014) "A Regularized Competition Model for Question Difficulty Estimation in Community Question Answering Services", EMNLP

- (P97) Bordes, Antoine & Chopra, Sumit & Weston, Jason (2014) "Question Answering with Subgraph Embeddings", EMNLP
- (P98) Yang, Min-Chul & Duan, Nan & Zhou, Ming & Rim, Hae-Chang (2014) "Joint Relational Embeddings for Knowledge-based Question Answering", EMNLP
- (P99) Madabushi, Harish Tayyar & Lee, Mark (2016) "High Accuracy Rule-based Question Classification using Question Syntax and Semantics", International Conference on Computational Linguistics COLING
- (P100) Xiang, Yang & Zhou, Xiaoqiang & Chen, Qingcai & Zheng, Zhihui & Tang, Buzhou & Wang, Xiaolong & Qin, Yang (2016) "Incorporating Label Dependency for Answer Quality Tagging in Community Question Answering via CNN - LSTM - CRF", COLING
- (P101) Suggu, Sai Praneeth & Goutham, Kushwanth N. & Chinnakotla, Manoj K. & Shrivastava, Manish (2016) "Hand in Glove: Deep Feature Fusion Network Architectures for Answer Quality Prediction in Community Question Answering", COLING
- (P102) Romeo, Salvatore & Da San Martino, Giovanni & Barrón-Cedeño, Alberto & Moschitti, Alessandro & Belinkov, Yonatan & Hsu, Wei-Ning & Zhang, Yu & Mohtarami, Mitra & Glass, James (2016) "Neural Attention for Learning to Rank Questions in Community Question Answering", COLING
- (P103) Yin, Wenpeng & Yu, Mo & Xiang, Bing & Zhou, Bowen & Schütze, Hinrich (2016) "Simple Question Answering by Attentive Convolutional Neural Network", COLING
- (P104) Kumar, Vineet & Joshi, Sachindra (2016) "Non-sentential Question Resolution using Sequence to Sequence Learning", COLING
- (P105) Xu, Kun & Feng, Yansong & Huang, Songfang & Zhao Dongyan (2016) "Hybrid Question Answering over Knowledge Base and Free Text", COLING
- (P106) Bao, Junwei & Duan, Nan & Yan, Zhao & Zhou, Ming & Zhao, Tiejun (2016) "Constraint-Based Question Answering with Knowledge Graph", COLING
- (P107) Barrón-Cedeño, Alberto & Da San Martino, Giovanni & Romeo, Salvatore & Moschitti, Alessandro (2016) "Selecting Sentences versus Selecting Tree Constituents for Automatic Question Ranking", COLING
- (P108) Zhou, Guangyou & Chen, Yubo & Zeng, Daojian & Zhao, Jun (2014) "Group Non-negative Matrix Factorization with Natural Categories for Question Retrieval in Community Question Answer Archives", COLING
- (P109) Xiao, Yang & Zhao, Wayne Xin & Wang, Kun & Xiao, Zhen (2014) "Knowledge Sharing via Social Login: Exploiting Microblogging Service for Warming up Social Question Answering Websites", COLING
- (P110) Soulier, Laure & Tamine, Lynda & Nguyen, Gia-Hung (2016) "Answering Twitter Questions: a Model for Recommending Answers through Social Collaboration", Conference on Information and Knowledge Management CIKM
- (P111) Goodwin, Travis R. & Harabagiu, Sanda M. (2016) "Medical Question Answering for Clinical Decision Support", CIKM
- (P112) Meng, Lingxun & Li, Yan & Liu, Mengyi & Shu, Peng (2016) "Skipping Word: A Character-Sequential Representation based Framework for Question Answering", CIKM
- (P113) Learning to Re-Rank Questions in Community Question Answering Using Advanced Features
- (P114) Das, Arpita & Yenala, Harish & Chinnakotla, Manoj & Shrivastava, Manish (2016) "Together we stand: Siamese Networks for Similar Question Retrieval", Association for Computational Linguistics ACL
- (P115) Angeli, Gabor & Nayak, Neha & Manning, Christopher D. (2016) "Combining Natural Logic and Shallow Reasoning for Question Answering", ACL
- (P116) Tan, Ming & dos Santos, Cicero & Xiang, Bing & Zhou, Bowen (2016) "Improved Representation Learning for Question Answer Matching", ACL
- (P117) Dai, Zihang & Li, Lei & Xu, Wei (2016) "CFO: Conditional Focused Neural Question Answering with Large-scale Knowledge Bases", ACL
- (P118) Xu, Kun & Reddy, Siva & Feng, Yansong & Huang, Songfang & Zhao, Dongyan (2016) "Question Answering on Freebase via Relation Extraction and Textual Evidence", ACL
- (P119) Guzmán, Francisco & Márquez, Lluís & Nakov, Preslav (2016) "Machine Translation Evaluation Meets Community Question Answering", ACL
- (P120) Zhou, Guangyou & He, Tingting & Zhao, Jun & Hu, Po (2015) "Learning Continuous Word Embedding with Metadata for Question Retrieval in Community Question Answering", ACL
- (P121) Dong, Li & Wei, Furu & Zhou, Ming & Xu, Ke (2015) "Question Answering over Freebase with Multi-Column Convolutional Neural Networks", ACL
- (P122) Yih, Wen-tau & Chang, Ming-Wei & He, Xiaodong & Gao, Jianfeng (2015) "Semantic Parsing via Staged Query Graph Generation: Question Answering with Knowledge Base", ACL
- (P123) Barrón-Cedeño, Alberto & Filice, Simone & Da San Martino, Giovanni & Joty, Shafiq & Márquez, Lluís & Nakov, Preslav & Moschitti, Alessandro (2015) "Thread-Level Information for Comment Classification in Community Question Answering", ACL
- (P124) dos Santos, Cicero & Barbosa, Luciano & Bogdanova, Dasha & Zadrozny, Bianca (2015) "Learning Hybrid Representations to Retrieve Semantically Equivalent Questions", ACL
- (P125) Wang, Di & Nyberg, Eric (2015) "A Long Short-Term Memory Model for Answer Sentence Selection in Question Answering", ACL
- (P126) Zhou, Xiaoqiang & Hu, Baotian & Chen, Qingcai & Tang, Buzhou & Wang, Xiaolong (2015) "Answer Sequence Learning with Neural Networks for Answer Selection in Community Question Answering", ACL
- (P127) Yao, Xuchen & Van Durme, Benjamin (2014) "Information Extraction over Structured Data: Question Answering with Freebase", ACL
- (P128) Bao, Junwei & Duan, Nan & Zhou, Ming & Zhao, Tiejun (2014) "Knowledge-Based Question Answering as Machine Translation", ACL
- (P129) Yih, Wen-tau & He, Xiaodong & Meek, Christopher (2014) "Semantic Parsing for Single-Relation Question Answering", ACL