ABSTRACT - Botulinum toxin type A (BT-A) has been described as an important strategy to various types of pain such as cervical dystonia, myofascial pain syndrome and headache. Although BT-A efficacy has not been proven in tension type headache, its use in migraine continues controversial. In this open trial, we evaluated the efficacy of BT-A in refractory migraine. BT-A was injected in patients diagnosed with migraine who had previously used three classes of prophylactic drugs by at least one year with no response. The most important improvement was observed within 30 days, but pain intensity and frequency of headache had been decreased until the end of three months of follow up. Side effects of BT-A were mild and self limited. We conclude that BT-A seems to be a safe and effective treatment to refractory migraine patients.
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METHOD

This study was approved by the Ethical Comitee of the Federal University of Bahia and was performed according to the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. From June to November 2006, all patients with a diagnosis of migraine were consecutively evaluated in the clinic of cranio-facial pain of the Hospital Universitário Professor Edgard Santos - Federal University of Bahia. Patients with the diagnosis of migraine were submitted to a standardized questionnaire and neurologic examination in order to identify co-morbidities and drugs already used. If the patient were classified as refractory to three classes of drugs, the physician would suggest the use of BT-A.

After informed consent, patients were trained to fill a headache standardized diary, with objective questions about frequency of pain and the use of analgesics. In addition, Visual Analogic Scale (VAS) was used to measure the intensity of pain.
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Migraine was defined according IHS criteria\textsuperscript{15}. Patients were considered refractory after using three classes of prophylactic drugs by at least 1 year with no improvement. BT-A (Dysport\textsuperscript{®}) was administered in standardized doses of 250 U, distributed in 15 sites according Figure 1. Injections were applied by the same neurologist after specific training. Patients were reevaluated 15, 30, 60 and 90 days after BT-A injection following the same protocol. Statistical analysis was performed using Wilcoxon test by means of SPSS 9.0.

RESULTS

From a total of 15 patients, 13 were evaluated (12 female and 1 male patients, mean age of 41.54 years and 19.23 years of migraine) and followed over 4 months (two patients were lost in the follow up). The progression of pain is shown in Figure 2.

The most significant improvement was observed within 30 days ($p<0.05$), while pain intensity (VAS) decreased until the end of follow up ($p=0.03$).

The subjective analysis of pain performed by the patients were coincident with those performed by the physician, and showed improvement in 9 patients ($p<0.05$).

In the observed period of time the frequency of pain was reduced in 35\%, use of analgesics decreased in 61\%, and pain intensity in 62\%.

Hematoma in the site of injection was observed in 1 patient, itching in the head in 1 patient, and two patients complained of pain. All side effects were transitory and did not interfere with the patients activities.

DISCUSSION

In our study, BT-A proved to be a safe and well tolerated prophylactic treatment for patients with refractory migraine. Although being an open label study, the use of objective techniques allowed the impartial evaluation of the patients, and it was clear the improvement in the majority of them, as we could observe from the decrease of analgesic intake and the therapeutic assessment performed by the patient and physician.

As it is known, BT-A can achieve temporary chemodenervation, actively inhibiting ACh release by cleaving intracellular proteins involved in ACh exocytosis, mainly SNAP 25. This protein cleavage prevents ACh vesicle fusion with the plasma membrane and, therefore, inhibits ACh neurotransmitter release at the neuromuscular junction. The ACh inhibition prevents muscle contraction, and promotes temporary atrophy and posterior reinnervation. However, it has been described that BT-A alleviates pain associated with various neurologic conditions, with or without concomitant excess muscle contractions and before its muscle action, as observed in several types of neurological disorders\textsuperscript{7,16-18}. The mechanisms by which BT-A relieves pain is not fully understood. However, experimental studies performed by Aoki et al have failed to demonstrate improvement of acute pain in rats challenged with formalin injection, but showed improvement up to 46\% to chronic pain. Using the rat model, the authors observed that formalin-induced peripheral glutamate release in the rat footpad was significantly reduced by BT-A compared to saline\textsuperscript{8}. Other experiments have demonstrated the presence of C-fos within lamina I and II of the dorsal horn after injection of BT-A in rats, showing CNS influence of BT-A\textsuperscript{6}. As migraine has peripheral and central mechanisms, it is possible that BT-A can influence pain perception in different levels of the peripheral and central nervous system in a way not yet established.
Results of all studies published up to now have shown BT-A to be safe and well tolerated, and it its action was observed up to four months\textsuperscript{12,13}, making it an alternative to patients in whom other therapeutic options to migraine have failed. However, several questions such as the dose and injection site remain unsolved. Up to now, doses of Botox® has ranged from 16 to 200 U\textsuperscript{19,20} and those of Dysport® from 200 to 500 U\textsuperscript{21,22}. It seems that higher doses offer better results than lower, but the study of Silberstein et al. demonstrated the opposite, although methodological problems, such as uneven groups for comparison, can be observed. In this last paper, the group receiving 25 U of Botox® had a better result than the 75 U group. Both of them had better response than the placebo group\textsuperscript{23}.

The selected protocol for injections and injection application techniques sometimes are regarded as important causes of lack of efficacy of BT-A. Regarding the site of injection, all authors use BT-A in the frontal and temporal region, and the majority of them also inject in two nuchal points, close to the occipital implant of the trapezius muscle. It seems clear that there is no standardized point in the head for the injections, but a larger distribution of points seems compatible with better results.
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