Plasmonic Nature of the Terahertz Conductivity Peak in Single-Wall Carbon Nanotubes
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ABSTRACT: Plasmon resonance is expected to occur in metallic and doped semiconducting carbon nanotubes in the terahertz frequency range, but its convincing identification has so far been elusive. The origin of the terahertz conductivity peak commonly observed for carbon nanotube ensembles remains controversial. Here we present results of optical, terahertz, and direct current (DC) transport measurements on highly enriched metallic and semiconducting nanotube films. A broad and strong terahertz conductivity peak appears in both types of films, whose behaviors are consistent with the plasmon resonance explanation, firmly ruling out other alternative explanations such as absorption due to curvature-induced gaps.
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Understanding the dynamic and plasmonic properties of charge carriers in single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) is crucial for emerging applications of SWCNT-based ultrafast electronics and optoelectronics devices, especially in the terahertz (THz) range. SWCNTs with different chiralities exhibit either semiconducting or metallic properties, providing great flexibility for a variety of THz and plasmonic applications, including sources, detectors, and antennas, and polarizers. A pronounced, finite-frequency peak in THz conductivity spectra has been universally observed in diverse types of SWCNT samples, containing both semiconducting and metallic nanotubes. Two interpretations have emerged regarding the THz peak, but there is no consensus about its origin. One of the possible mechanisms proposed by many authors is based on interband absorption across the curvature-induced bandgap in nonarmchair metallic SWCNTs, while the other is the plasmon resonance in metallic and doped semiconducting SWCNTs due to their finite lengths. Hence, spectroscopic studies of type-sorted SWCNT samples are crucial for determining which of the two working hypotheses is correct.

In the first scenario, direct interband absorption occurs in the rolled-up graphene sheet in nonarmchair metallic SWCNTs. The magnitude of the induced bandgap is given by $E_{\text{ind}} = (3\gamma_0^2d_0^2c_0^2)/(4d_0^2)\cos 3\alpha$, where $a_{\text{CC}} = 0.142$ nm is the interatomic distance in graphene, $d_0$ is the nanotube diameter, $\gamma_0 \approx 3.2$ eV is the tight-binding transfer integral, and $\alpha$ is the chiral angle. For a SWCNT with $d_0 \sim 1.5$ nm and $\alpha \sim 0$, $E_{\text{ind}} \sim 20$ meV. If this scenario is correct, the THz peak should (1) appear only in nonarmchair ($\alpha \neq 30^\circ$) metallic SWCNTs, (2) show a sensitive dependence on $d_0$, (3) be suppressed by doping or optical pumping,22 have a strong (4) temperature dependence and (5) polarization dependence. It is also important to note that no detailed theory exists for predicting the line shape for interband absorption in nonarmchair metallic SWCNTs including excitonic effects.

In the second scenario, THz radiation incident onto a finite-length metallic or doped semiconducting SWCNT launches collective charge oscillations (plasmons) along the nanotube axis with a frequency given by the charge density and nanotube length. The expected experimental signatures of this process are: (1) the THz peak should be observable both in metallic and doped semiconducting nanotubes; (2) the frequency of the THz peak should systematically depend on the nanotube length in a predictable manner; (3) the THz peak intensity should be enhanced by doping in semiconducting SWCNTs; (4) there should be weak temperature dependence; and (5) there should be strong polarization dependence, with no resonance expected for polarization perpendicular to the nanotube axis.

To systematically prove or disprove these scenarios, broadband spectroscopic studies on well-separated semiconducting and metallic SWCNT samples are necessary. In particular, in order to correctly interpret THz spectra, it is important to monitor interband transitions in the near-infrared (NIR), visible (vis), and ultraviolet (UV). Hence, we performed absorption spectroscopy studies from the THz to the UV as well as DC transport measurements on highly enriched semiconducting and metallic SWCNT films. We clearly observed a broad and pronounced THz peak in both types of
films. However, in semiconductor-enriched films, the peak significantly decreased in intensity after carriers were removed by annealing. In both types of films, the THz peak showed a very weak temperature dependence. These observations led us to conclude that this peak is due to plasmon resonance of free carriers in metallic and doped semiconducting nanotubes, rather than interband absorption in non-armchair metallic nanotubes.

We separated semiconducting and metallic SWCNTs using the technique of density gradient ultracentrifugation (DGU).31,33−39 As shown in Figure 1a, different coloration occurs between the metal- and semiconductor-enriched solutions due to the subtraction of different colors by distinct interband transitions.39 Figure 1b presents the attenuation, −log10 T, where T is the transmittance, in the NIR−vis−UV range for solution and film samples of SWCNTs. The M11 and M22 (S22, S33, and S44) interband transitions are absent in the semiconductor (metal) case. The semiconductor-enriched solution (blue solid line) exhibits sharp features, coming from different (n,m) species, which are not resolved in the corresponding film (blue dashed line). This is expected because the nanotubes are individually suspended in the solution while they are bundled in the film, which broadens interband absorption peaks. However, interestingly, in the metallic case, bundling-induced broadening seems nonexistent; that is, the M11 and M22 peaks maintain their shapes between the solution (red solid curve) and film (red dashed curve) forms.

Figure 1c shows the effect of annealing on the attenuation spectra of semiconductor− and metal-enriched films from the mid-infrared (MIR) to the UV, again showing the robustness of the interband features of metallic SWCNTs. The films were held at 550 °C for five hours in vacuum (<10−5 Torr) to remove the adsorbed molecules that act as dopants to provide carriers.40,41 The removal of carriers results in an enhancement of the S11 peak as well as a small (~20 meV) red shift of both the S11 and the S22 peaks. We interpret these annealing-induced spectral changes in the semiconducting film in terms of Pauli blocking and bandgap renormalization; namely, before annealing, carriers occupy some near-band-edge states, which not only prevent interband transitions but also modify the bandgap via many-body interactions. On the contrary, there are basically no doping effects in the metallic film, except the change seen in the S11 region due to a small amount (estimated to be ~5%) of residual semiconducting SWCNTs.

Attenuation spectra of the semiconductor- and metal-enriched SWCNT films at room temperature in a very broad spectral range, from the THz to the UV, are shown in Figure 2a, demonstrating the existence of a broad and pronounced THz peak in both types of films; the peak is slightly smaller in width and lower in frequency in the metallic film. Annealing effects are strikingly different between the two types of films: in the semiconducting film (Figure 2b), the THz peak is significantly suppressed by carrier removal, whereas in the metallic film (Figure 2c), the THz peak exhibits a small decrease in intensity at its peak position, but there is an increase in absorption at the lowest frequency side of the spectrum toward DC. Note that the sharp features above 100 THz are interband transitions (S11, S22, S33, M11, and M22), whose behaviors upon annealing are discussed in the last paragraph. Furthermore, we performed temperature-dependent spectroscopy of this broad THz peak down to 79 K, as shown in Figure 3. There is very little spectral change between 300 and 79 K for both before (Figure 3a and b) and after (Figure 3c and d) annealing in both metallic (Figure 3a and c) and semiconducting (Figure 3b and d) nanotubes.

Based on these observations, we can rule out the curvature-induced gap interpretation for the appearance of the THz peak. There are three lines of argument that strongly support this conclusion. First, interband transitions for curvature-induced gaps should exist only in metallic samples. However, the THz peak clearly appears in the semiconducting film with a strength comparable to that in the metallic film. Second, an optical excitation across a ~20 meV gap should be extremely sensitive to carrier doping due to Pauli blocking. The nanotubes need to be almost intrinsic in order to make the curvature-gap transition dominate the low-energy excitation. Our data show

Figure 1. (a) Unsorted, metal-enriched, and semiconductor-enriched SWCNTs suspended in aqueous solution. The type separation is achieved by density gradient ultracentrifugation (DGU). (b) NIR-to-UV attenuation spectra for semiconductor-enriched (blue curves) and metal-enriched (red curves) SWCNT samples in solution (solid curves) and film (dashed curves) forms. (c) NIR-to-UV attenuation spectra for semiconductor-enriched (blue curves) and metal-enriched (red curves) SWCNT films before (dashed curves) and after (solid curves) annealing.
the opposite: doping semiconducting nanotubes enhances the THz peak. In the metallic film (which contains nonarmchair metallic nanotubes), on the other hand, doping has only small influence. Third, a very weak temperature dependence was observed for the THz peak. A curvature-induced gap is calculated to be 0–20 meV (0 for armchair and 20 meV for zigzag tubes) for the metallic nanotubes used in this study ($d_b \sim 1.5$ nm), which is comparable with or much less than the room temperature thermal energy (26 meV). Therefore, such interband absorption is expected to be very sensitive to the thermal carrier distribution, in contradiction with our observation.

Our observations are qualitatively consistent with the plasmon resonance interpretation. In particular, the THz peak is observed both in metallic and doped semiconducting nanotubes, its intensity is enhanced by doping in semiconducting SWCNTs, and there is no temperature dependence. Also, strong polarization dependence has been universally confirmed by many authors, with no resonance expected for polarization perpendicular to the nanotube axis. To gain more quantitative understanding via comparison with theoretical models, we performed a Kramers–Kronig transformation on our broadband transmission spectra $T(\omega)$ and obtained the phase spectra $\phi_i(\omega)$. Then we converted the transmission coefficient, $t(\omega) = (T(\omega))^{1/2} \exp i\phi_i(\omega)$, into the complex optical conductivity, $\sigma(\omega)$, through $t(\omega) = (1 + n_{\text{sub}})/(1 + n_{\text{sub}} + Z_s\sigma(\omega)d)$ under the thin-film approximation, which is valid from DC to the MIR in our case. Here, $Z_s = 377 \Omega$ is the vacuum impedance, $d$ is the film thickness, and $n_{\text{sub}}$ is the substrate refractive index. The real and imaginary parts of $\sigma(\omega)$ are plotted in Figure 4 for both films for both before and after annealing. One immediately notices the asymmetric line shape of the THz peak: the conductivity decays more slowly on the high frequency side. It is also noticeable that each trace has a finite conductivity in the DC limit, whose trend agrees with our DC transport measurements. Annealing results in changes in the DC values, also consistent with the $\sigma(\omega)$ results. The quantitative difference is likely due to the inhomogeneity of the current distribution in the DC measurements; THz radiation acts as a local probe, which is not sensitive to macroscopic inhomogeneity.

The observed asymmetric line shape cannot be reproduced by a single Lorentzian representing the plasmon resonance; it also cannot be fitted by the Drude–Smith model representing carrier localization. The finite DC conductance proves the existence of macroscopic percolating conduction channels. Namely, our film cannot be treated as a network of isolated nanotubes (or nanotube bundles) surrounded by air; a correct model has to take into account the intertube transport responsible for the finite macroscopic DC conductance. Therefore, we take into account two effects in our model to describe the experimental optical conductivity: (1) plasmon resonance, which represents the confined collective motion of carriers in the tube-length scale, and (2) Drude-like free carrier response, which describes the intertube transport and percolating channels in the macroscopic scale. The fitting equation we use is

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{i\sigma_{\text{plasmon}}\omega \gamma_{\text{plasmon}}}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 + i\omega \gamma_{\text{plasmon}}} + \frac{i\sigma_{\text{Drude}}\omega}{\omega + i\gamma_{\text{Drude}}}$$

where the first (second) term represents the contribution from the plasmon resonance (the Drude-like free electron response),

\[\text{Figure 2. THz-to-UV attenuation spectra of semiconductor- and metal-enriched SWCNT films at room temperature before and after annealing. (a) Semiconductor-enriched (blue) and metal-enriched (red) SWCNT films before annealing. (b) Semiconductor-enriched SWCNT film before (dashed) and after (solid) annealing. (c) Metal-enriched SWCNT film before (dashed) and after (solid) annealing.}\]

\[\text{Figure 3. Temperature dependence of the THz peak in (a) metal-enriched SWCNT film before annealing, (b) semiconductor-enriched SWCNT film before annealing, (c) metal-enriched SWCNT film after annealing, and (d) semiconductor-enriched film after annealing.}\]
Figure 4. DC and optical conductivity of semiconductor- and metal-enriched SWCNT films at room temperature before and after annealing. Real (solid lines) and imaginary (dashed lines) part of optical conductivity can be described by taking into account both the Drude-like free electron response and the quasi-1D plasmon resonance using eq 1. Fitting curves are shown as black solid lines. Open circles show the DC conductivity (solid lines) and imaginary (dashed lines) part of optical conductivity can be described by taking into account both the Drude-like free electron response and the quasi-1D plasmon resonance using eq 1. Fitting curves are shown as black solid lines. Open circles show the DC conductivity (located at zero frequency) obtained by DC transport measurements.

Table 1. Parameters Extracted through Fitting the THz Optical Conductivity Spectra with Equation 1a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>semiconductor</th>
<th>metal</th>
<th>semiconductor annealed</th>
<th>metal annealed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{\text{plasmon}} \times 10^2 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} )</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{\text{Drude}} \times 10^7 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} )</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.05</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_0/2\pi \text{ (THz)} )</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_{\text{plasmon}} \text{ (THz)} )</td>
<td>152 ± 10</td>
<td>72 ± 5</td>
<td>73 ± 5</td>
<td>66 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_{\text{Drude}} \text{ (THz)} )</td>
<td>300 ± 20</td>
<td>142 ± 10</td>
<td>220 ± 20</td>
<td>140 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{\text{DC}} \times 10^2 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} )</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>14.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a\( \sigma_{\text{plasmon}} \) is the plasmon conductivity at the resonance frequency \( (\omega_0) \), \( \sigma_{\text{Drude}} \) is the Drude conductivity in the DC limit, \( \gamma_{\text{plasmon}} \) is the plasmon scattering rate, \( \gamma_{\text{Drude}} \) is the free electron scattering rate, and \( \sigma_{\text{DC}} \) is the DC conductivity determined through transport measurements.
semiconductor film can be understood as the lack of macroscopic percolating channels for the residual metallic nanotubes.

In summary, we performed transmission spectroscopy over a wide range (from the THz to the UV) as well as DC transport measurements of semiconductor- and metal-enriched SWCNT samples. Our experimental results show that the broad THz peak originates from a plasmon resonance in both the metallic and the doped semiconducting carbon nanotubes rather than the interband excitation of the curvature-induced gap in nonarmchair metallic nanotubes. The intraband free electron response also contributes to the low-energy excitation spectrum, especially in the metal-enriched film after annealing. Our studies provide fundamental insight into the low-energy excitation in SWCNTs, while the broadband spectroscopy of semiconducting and metallic type-separated nanotube samples also provide basic knowledge useful for emerging applications of SWCNTs in plasmonics and optoelectronics in the technologically important THz frequency range. Future studies on films of SWCNTs enriched in single chiralities should provide further information on the chirality dependence of low-energy excitations in SWCNTs. In particular, samples of single-chirality nonarmchair metallic nanotubes, such as (7,4) nanotubes, will be useful for elucidating the nature of interband absorption across the curvature-induced gaps.

Methods. Suspensions enriched in metallic and semiconducting types of SWCNTs were produced via density gradient ultracentrifugation (DGU) using a three-surfactant system. Arc-discharge-P2-SWNT grade (Carbon Solutions Inc.) SWCNTs were initially dispersed in 1% (wt/vol) sodium deoxycholate (DOC) (sodium deoxycholate monohydrate, Aldrich, 97% purity) by bath sonication (Cole-Parmer 60 W ultrasonic cleaner, model #08849-00) for 30 minutes at a starting concentration of SWCNTs of 1 g/L. The suspension was then further sonicated by probe ultrasonicator (Cole-Parmer 500 W ultrasonic processor, model # CPX-600, 1/4" probe, 35% amplitude) for 6 hours while being cooled in a water bath maintained at 10 °C. Lastly, the suspension was then centrifuged for 1 hour at 208 400 g average (Sorvall Discovery 100SE Ultracentrifuge using a Beckman SW-41 Ti swing bucket rotor) to remove large bundles of SWCNTs. After centrifugation, the upper 80% of the supernatant was removed for use in DGU. For DGU, a mass density gradient was prepared composed of 1.5% (wt/vol) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (sodium dodecyl sulfate-molecular biology or electrophoresis grade, Sigma, 99% purity), 1.5% (wt/vol) sodium cholate (SC) (sodium cholate hydrate, Aldrich, 98% purity), and varying amounts of iodixanol (Opti-Prep density gradient medium, Sigma, 60% (wt/vol) solution in water). The gradient was layered inside a centrifuge tube in 2 mL volume steps starting from the bottom with 40% (wt/vol), 30% (wt/vol), 27.5% (wt/vol), 25% (wt/vol), 22.5% (wt/vol), and 20% (wt/vol) iodixanol. All gradient steps except the 40% layer contained 1.5% (wt/vol) SDS and 1.5% (wt/vol) SC. The 40% layer, which contained SWCNTs, was prepared by vortex mixing (Fisher Scientific Vortex Genie 2, model # 12-812, mixed at maximum setting) for 2 minutes 0.67 mL of the SWCNT supernatant prepared previously with 1.33 mL of 60% iodixanol, 1.5% SDS, and 1.5% SC to ultimately form 2 mL of 40% iodixanol, 1% SDS, 1% SC, and 0.33% DOC. The gradient was then centrifuged for 18 hours at 208 400 g average (Beckman SW-41 Ti swing bucket rotor). The top 250 μL of the metal-enriched (aqua green-blue-colored) fractions and semiconductor-enriched (rust-colored) fractions were extracted by hand-pipetting for measurements of suspension samples. For the preparation of film samples, vacuum filtration was performed on enriched SWCNT suspensions using a procedure modified from one previously described.40 Briefly, 8 mL of enriched SWCNT suspension was vacuum-filtered using 50 nm-pore size, polycarbonate filtration membranes. After filtration was finished and the film was dried, the film was washed by alternating filtrations of 50 mL of boiling nanopure water and 50 mL of 50%/50% vol ethanol/nanopure water through the SWCNT film and filtration membrane. This was repeated three times to remove surfactants and iodixanol. Finally, the films were immersed in bath of N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) to dissolve away the filtration membrane and transferred onto intrinsic silicon and sapphire substrates for optical measurements. The thickness of semiconductor- and metal-enriched films were measured via vertical scanning interferometry to be 410 nm and 190 nm, respectively. Annealing of prepared nanotube films was done in a vacuum quartz tube furnace at a base pressure of 3 × 10^-6 Torr. The films were initially heated to 423 K, 573 K, and lastly 823 K. At each temperature, the films remained at that temperature until no other chemical species were observed to desorb as determined by a monitoring furnace pressure. Upon completion of annealing, the films were brought back to room temperature under vacuum and then subsequently exposed to the atmosphere.

We used time-domain THz spectroscopy (TDTS) in the 0.15–2.5 THz range, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Jasco-660) in the 3–300 THz range, and a UV–vis–NIR double beam spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-3101PC scanning spectrophotometer) in the 300–1200 THz range (250–1000 nm in wavelength). The TDTS setup used a ZnTe crystal for THz generation and photoconductive antennas for detection with a 150 fs Ti:sapphire laser. DC transport studies were performed on the same films via four-point measurements with gold ohmic contacts under a nitrogen environment.

In analyzing the optical conductivity, we performed Kramers–Kronig (KK) transformation on our THz-to-UV transmission spectrum.20,24,42 We first interpolated the $T(\omega)$ data in the region between the THz and IR and then applied four-pass binomial smoothening to prevent spikes. On the UV side, we extrapolated $T(\omega)$ by using $1 - \omega_n^{\alpha_n}$, where $A$ is a real constant and $n$ is around 1. We chose $A$ and $n$ to make the extrapolation continuous and as smooth as possible. On the THz side, we did linear extrapolation on $T(\omega)$ to zero frequency. Finally, by using

$$
\phi(\omega) = -\frac{\omega}{\pi} \int \frac{\ln(T(\omega')) - \ln(T(\omega))}{\omega^2 - \omega'^2} d\omega'
$$

we were able to obtain the phase spectrum, $\phi(\omega)$. With $\phi(\omega)$ and $T(\omega)$, we obtained the transmission coefficient, $t(\omega) = (T(\omega))^{1/2} \exp i\phi(\omega)$.
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