Accumulation and depuration of paralytic shellfish poisoning toxins by purple clam *Hiatula rostrata* Lighttoot
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**Abstract**

Purple clams (*Hiatula rostrata* Lighttoot) accumulated paralytic shellfish poisoning (PSP) toxins produced by a toxic strain of the dinoflagellate *Alexandrium minutum* Halim for subsequent study of toxin distribution during depuration (detoxification by a nontoxic microalgal diet or starvation). The results confirm the data in the literature concerning the high toxicity of the digestive gland, and the depuration efficiency between feed with nontoxic microalgae and starvation is similar. The toxin profile of the purple clams was similar with that of *Alexandrium minutum* at the end of the exposure period; GTX4 and GTX1 were dominant. However, at the end of the depuration period, GTX3 and GTX2 were dominant. The non-visceral tissues were toxic after feeding with toxic algae. The toxicity was low and the profile were also similar with that of the toxic algae. No PSP toxins other than GTX-1, 2, 3 and 4 were detected in the experimental period.
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1. Introduction

The toxic dinoflagellate *Alexandrium minutum* Halim is a recurrent cause of toxicity associated with paralytic shellfish poisoning (PSP) toxins in southern Taiwan (Hwang et al., 1999). The purple clam *Hiatula rostrata* Lighttoot is known for prolonged PSP toxin retention, and the accumulation of high toxin levels (Hwang et al., 1987). Two food poisoning incidents due to ingesting the purple clam occurred in Tungkang, Pingtung Prefecture in 1986 and in Tungshih, Chia-yi Prefecture in 1991 (Hwang et al., 1995).

Filter-feeding bivalve molluscs vary widely in their capacity to ingest toxigenic dinoflagellates and to sequester PSP toxins in various anatomical compartments (Lassus et al., 1989; Bricelj et al., 1991). An understanding of toxin conversions in shellfish is critically important since toxins of low potency in dinoflagellate cells can be converted to highly potent toxins through bacterial, enzymatic, or pH-mediated activity (Shimizu and Yoshioka, 1981; Sullivan et al., 1983), thereby affecting net toxicity. In previous studies (Chen and Chou, 1998), we found that another species of purple clams, *Hiatula diphos*, could accumulate PSP toxins to a high concentration (3149 μg STX eq/100 g shellfish meat) in a short time (by 6 days). The main purpose of this study was to determine: (1) the toxic algal ingestion rate and toxin assimilation efficiency; (2) the rate of toxicity and toxin incorporation and loss in this species, comparing the depuration rate between clams fed with non-toxic algae and clams which were starved; and (3) the localization and fate of individual toxins in different purple clam tissues following exposure to toxic algae.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and culture environment

Strain AMTK-1 of *Alexandrium minutum* Halim was isolated in 1986 from the Tungkang area where PSP occurred due to the ingestion of purple clams. The unialgal isolates were batch cultured in K-medium (Keller and Guillard, 1985) and illuminated with continuous light of 60 μmol m⁻² sec⁻¹ at 20–22°C. *Pavlova salina* cultures, used as nontoxic live feed for control purposes, were also
maintained under the same conditions. Algal cells of both toxic and nontoxic species were harvested for feeding experiments in exponential growth phase.

Purple clams (Hiatula rostrata Lighttoot) average 6.86 cm (SE = 0.57, n = 200) in shell length (mean tissue wet weight = 9.08 g, SE = 1.28), were collected from an aquaculture pond in Tungkang, Pingtung Prefecture. The clams were brought to the laboratory and placed in a 130_l tank with filtered sea water (20 ppt salinity). Continuous aeration was carried out at 17°C throughout the holding period. An acclimation period of 1 week preceded the period. An acclimation period of 1 week preceded the experiment in order to eliminate individuals that were too weak or showed physiological defects. During the exposure period, lasting 18 days, the clams were fed with weak or showed physiological defects. During the exposure period, lasting 18 days, the clams were fed with weak or showed physiological defects.

Fig. 1. The amount of ingested toxic dinoflagellate (A. minutum) cells (dashed line) and the mouse unit toxicity detected in purple clams (H. rostrata) during the accumulation and depuration period (solid line). Algal cells taken by each of the purple clams were calculated from the daily reduction of the cells. Values of toxicity are means ± SE converted from HPLC analysis of four replications.

2.2. Assay of toxicity and HPLC

The toxicity of purple clams was monitored during the contamination and depuration periods. The clams (n = 4) were periodically removed for toxin analysis after dissection into three parts: digestive gland, siphon and other tissues (including the foot, gill, adductor muscle and mantle). The method of extraction of PSP toxins was according to Chen and Chou (1998). Tissues were homogenized with 15 ml 0.1 M HCl, the extracts were defatted twice with chloroform, and the aqueous layer was ultrafiltered by centrifugation (10,000 MW cut-off). Subsequently, 10 l of the supernatant were injected into the HPLC with a post-column reaction system (modified from Nagashima et al., 1987). The column used was a 5 l, 250 × 4.6 mm Cosmosil 5C18-AR column at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. Fluorescent PSP toxin derivatives were detected using a Hitachi F-1000 spectrophotometer with excitation at 336 nm and emission at 390 nm.

2.3. Calculation

The conversion of peak areas of HPLC-resolved toxins into mouse units was according to the following formulation: Toxicity (MU/ml) = (19.7A1 + 0.57A2 + 1.61 A3 + 8.11A4) × 10^-6, where MU = 1 mouse unit and A1, A2, A3, A4 represented the peak areas of the resolved toxins, GTX-1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively. The suitability of the formula was based on the fact that there were no resolved peaks other than those corresponding to the above four toxins in the tested material. The calibration factors for each toxin were adopted from mouse assays of partially purified toxin mixtures with the reported specific toxicity (Genelah and Shimizu, 1981) and the reported specific fluorescent response (Sullivan et al., 1985). This provides a way to convert the HPLC data to the generally accepted mouse toxicity units as STX equivalents (AOAC, 1995). In our case it was observed that 1 MU (ICR strain, male, 20 g) was equivalent to 0.259 l STX by the calibration procedure suggested by the AOAC (Su and Chou, 1993). According to the above formula and the corresponding mouse responses to each toxin, the specific amount (μmol) of each individual toxin could thus be calculated from its peak area. Correlation factors for each toxin were 1.2 × 10^-10, 7.2 × 10^-12, 7.2 × 10^-12, and 1.2 × 10^-10 for GTX-1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The toxicity and amount of partially purified toxin mixtures were certified by Dr Y. Oshima, Tohoku University, Japan (personal communication).

3. Results

Average toxicities accumulated in each clam were calculated by the total mouse units that were converted from HPLC analysis of the shellfish extracts and the accumulated cells of toxic dinoflagellates taken by their filter-feeding activities (Fig. 1). In A. minutum, the relative amount of individual toxins was constant throughout the feeding period. In this experiment, the toxicity of A. minutum ranged from 7.0 × 10^-5 MU/cell to 1.0 × 10^-3 MU/cell. In the purple clams, the maximal PSP levels were reached on the last day of exposure (175 μg STX eq/100 g shellfish meat), which was half of the toxicity of ingested dinoflagellates. The clams lost 75% of their body burden of toxin on the first day of feeding with nontoxic algae and 50% on the first day of starvation (Fig. 1). That is, the group fed non-toxic algae showed more rapid initial gut clearance.

The changes in toxicity levels over an 18-day period
showed a rapid loss of toxicity during the first 6 days and then a relatively constant concentration during the next 24 days (both those starved and those fed with non-toxic algae) (Fig. 1). From this point of view, the best detoxification method is to delay the time of sale, so that toxins can be released from the shellfish. The digestive gland accounts for most of the toxicity in purple clam, which exhibits faster toxin decay rates, and detoxification generally proceeds in two stages (Fig. 2): (1) rapid loss of toxin (primarily in the faeces) because of clearance of unassimilated toxin from undigested cells or in dissolved form in the gut lumen, and (2) slower release of assimilated toxin either bound to the digestive gland or incorporated within other tissues.

Detoxification rates of the purple clams are described by the general exponential equation 
\[ T_t = T_0 e^{-kt}, \]
which becomes: 
\[ \ln T_t = 3.2342 - 0.0903t; \]
\[ r^2 = 0.89 \] (for the fed nontoxic algae group) and 
\[ \ln T_t = 3.3485 - 0.0957t; \]
\[ r^2 = 0.87 \] (for the starved group) where \( t \) = detoxification period (day); \( T_0 \) = maximum total toxin amount (nmol/g shellfish meat); \( T_t \) = total toxin amount (nmol/g shellfish meat) in detoxification period; and the slopes represent the toxin decay coefficient \( k \). The toxin half-life for the fed nontoxic algae group was 7.7 days and 7.2 days for the starved group.

During the initial days of feeding, GTX3 and GTX2 were the major toxins in the shellfish, and the toxin profile was different from that of the dinoflagellates. In A. minutum, GTX4 and GTX1 were the dominant toxins (92–94%) and biotransformation might have occurred from GTX4 and GTX1 to GTX3 and GTX2. At the end of the contamination period, we found undigested algal cells by microscopy of the digestive glands of purple clams, so the toxin profile was similar to that of the toxic algae. GTX4 and GTX1 accounted for 92.2% of the molar toxin content in the shellfish. During the depuration period, GTX3 and GTX2 were the major PSP toxins, so that the results resembled those of the field survey of our study (data not shown). By day 24, the amount of GTX4 had increased, whereas GTX1 had decreased. If this was by epimerization, thermodynamics would favor the opposite pattern, which indicated that enzymatic transformation might have occurred. By day 54, GTX3 and GTX2 were the only toxins that could be detected. By day 60, toxins levels were undetectable both in the clams fed with non-toxic algae and in starved clams. The concentrations of GTX3 and GTX2 remained fairly constant during the experiment, which means that the decay rate among the toxins was different, and that biotransformation might have occurred. We suggest that the purple clams tended to selectively retain GTX3 and GTX2 during the depuration period, or that GTX4 and GTX1 have a shorter half life than GTX3 and GTX2 (Fig. 3).

In non-visceral tissues, an interesting result was that the...
toxicity could only be detected during the depuration period. After feeding with toxic algae, other tissues became toxic at day 21 and toxicity disappeared at day 36 in the group fed nontoxic algae. In the starvation group, other tissues became toxic by day 21 and toxicity disappeared at day 42. Whether the purple clams are a special case needs further experiments to determine. In other tissues, the major toxin was GTX4, and the amounts of the other three toxins were low (both in starved clams and in those fed with non-toxic algae) (Fig. 4). In the siphon, GTX4 was the only toxin which appeared during the depuration period (Fig. 5).

4. Discussion

In Taiwan, the purple clam *Hiatula rostrata* is the only shellfish species which was reported to result in food poisoning incidents (Hwang et al., 1995). Compared with other economically important bivalves, namely oysters *Crassostrea gigas* and hard clams *Meretrix lusoria*, purple clams accumulated higher toxicity than these two bivalves in previous feeding experiments (Chou and Su, 1989). Recent results have shown, however, that toxin production of the algae may not be constant, but varies with the growth conditions of the algae (Boyer et al., 1987). The variability in toxin production implies that it is impossible to calculate the toxicity in the clams from the ingestion rate, because toxins might have been released into the water from old or dead *A. minutum* cells, and various clam species may differ significantly in detoxification rates. We also found that the depuration efficiency of purple clams was high, so that they could not accumulate PSP toxins over a long time. In this experiment, the depuration efficiency of purple clam was similar between starved individuals and those fed with nontoxic microalgae, which means that the effect on toxin metabolism of the two depuration methods was similar. Biphasic (fast and slow) depuration kinetics were also found for PSP toxicity in the scallop *Pecten maximus* (Lassus et al., 1989). Lee (1993) suggested a two-compartment model based upon the uptake and detoxification kinetics of PSP toxins which might be sufficient to describe toxin dynamics of whole shellfish. Detoxification of the other tissues can occur either by transfer of toxins back to the digestive gland from where they are ultimately excreted, or perhaps by direct loss through leakage or diffusive excretion from the surface of these organs. In our experiments, the processes involved in toxin kinetics appear to involve only first-order processes, so one-compartment modelling can be
used, which was similar with the results of blue mussels, *Mytilus edulis* (Silvert and Cembella, 1995). We found that purple clams are able to detoxify to acceptable levels for human consumption within ca. 3–4 weeks, similar to the northern quahog *Mercenaria mercenaria* (Bricelj et al., 1991).

Comparing the toxicity of non-visceral tissues of the purple clam with other bivalves (Bricelj et al., 1990, 1991, 1995; Lassus et al., 1996), the appearance of toxicity in non-visceral tissues only in the depuration period is unique. Whereas other clams showed an increasing trend in toxicity in the organs other than digestive gland in contamination period. Whether the feeding time or other factors are relevant to anatomical distribution of PSP toxicity still needs to be confirmed. In purple clams, the siphons did not accumulate significant toxin levels, but in the Alaskan butter clam, *Saxidomus giganteus*, PSP toxins were concentrated in pigmented, melanin-containing tissues such as the siphons (Smolowitz and Doucette, 1995). As in the siphons, the toxin concentrations in other non-visceral tissues of purple clams were also low, similar to those found in other clams (Bricelj et al., 1990). However, during the depuration period, GTX3 and GTX2 were the major toxins in the digestive gland whereas GTX4 was the major and only PSP toxin detected in non-visceral tissues. The different toxin composition in different portions might be due to differential toxin retention ability among different organs.

It is noteworthy that STX and other PSP toxins were not detected during the contamination and depuration periods. A previous study of toxin profiles showed that naturally contaminated purple clams contained trace amounts of neoSTX and STX (Hwang et al., 1987). In contrast, purple clams did not contain STX during this experiment and STX was not detected in the *A. minutum* strain used in the toxin uptake study. The appearance of STXs in a previous field survey report (Hwang et al., 1987) may have originated from toxin biotransformation in tissues, through artifactual conversion during sample preparation, or through dinoflagellates other than *A. minutum* which contain STX in the field.
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