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ABSTRACT

With advancements in technology, transistor sizes are shrinking resulting in reduced power supply voltage and thereby reduced noise margin which makes the devices susceptible to electromagnetic noises. The trend of integrating more circuits on a single die at ever growing operating frequency increases interference among circuits and with the outside world. In order to make circuits electromagnetically compatible, it is essential to reduce emissions from the circuit and understand the causes of failure due to interference of noise from other sources coupling into the circuit so that a robust design can be created.

Two topics are explored in this thesis. The first topic deals with a case study of the immunity of low power Pierce crystal oscillators which includes the cause of failures and its mechanism. This knowledge can be used to design circuits which may have better immunity to those failure modes. The second chapter presents a preliminary study on using current mode logic (CML) for reducing emissions from the clock distribution network (CDN), which is one of the biggest contributors of emissions in a digital IC. A simple clock tree is designed with CML and is compared with a clock tree designed using standard single-ended CMOS logic, by analyzing its performance in terms of power consumption, noise, jitter, and rise and fall time.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Digital and analog integrated circuits are widely used in devices which may be exposed to electromagnetic noise which can interfere with their functionality. The noise coupled to the IC could be from another circuit present in the IC or from external sources. The vulnerability of these circuits increase when the operation voltage gets smaller and the device density gets higher which enables to create more complex high speed circuits which produce higher switching noise resulting in increased risk of emissions [13]. Reduced power supply voltages and maximum voltage threshold mean that electronic devices which were once working fine may have immunity issues when implemented and integrated in newer technologies. Immunity is a big concern in fast growing industries like consumer electronics, portable devices and automotive electronics. It is essential to build circuits which have low emissions but also show good immunity to noise from other systems.

This thesis has two separate parts; the first part deals with a study of the immunity of a Pierce crystal oscillator which is commonly used for clock generation in digital circuits. Changes to the crystal oscillator operation due to external electromagnetic events may cause errors in the generated clock frequency and thus data errors in the systems using this clock. The second part presents a brief initial investigation of CML on reducing emissions from Integrated Circuits (IC) by targeting one of the biggest sources of high-frequency power consumption [15] in the IC: the clock distribution network (CDN).
2. IC IMMUNITY – IMPACT OF EFT ON LOW POWER CRYSTAL OSCILLATORS AND ITS FAILURE MECHANISMS

2.1. INTRODUCTION

A clock is an essential component for any IC with synchronous digital circuits. Clock signal generation can be either on chip or can be derived externally from an external reference clock. It is important that the clock should be precise, stable and free of jitter. Quartz crystal oscillator circuits are capable of producing clocks with high accuracy and stability. However in the event of noise coupled into the circuit due to EFT, pulsed RF, etc. can cause the clock to drift from its ideal operation to the point that it causes a functional failure. It is essential to understand the cause of failure in order to design better oscillators. In this work a low power Pierce crystal oscillator has been designed and its immunity to electrically fast transients (EFTs) was tested through simulations using a realistic model of an IC, based on which the cause and failure mechanisms involved are analyzed.

2.2. BACKGROUND

2.2.1. Pierce Crystal Oscillator. Many integrated circuits use Pierce crystal oscillators for clock generation, since this oscillator is easy and simple to design. A crystal oscillator consists of two blocks: an inverting amplifier and a feedback path. The inverting amplifier provides a closed loop gain ≥ 1 and a phase shift of 180 degrees. The feedback path consists of a frequency selective network and a negative feedback. The frequency of oscillation is determined by the crystal, which also gives an 180 degrees phase shift due to its parallel mode in this case, and load capacitors. This satisfies the
Barkhausen’s conditions for sustained oscillation. A basic Pierce crystal oscillator circuit is shown in Fig. 2.1, which is commonly used in digital circuits and microprocessors.

![Basic Pierce crystal oscillator circuit diagram](image)

**Fig. 2.1. Basic Pierce crystal oscillator circuit.**

**2.2.2. Low Power Crystal Oscillators.** Low power consumption from any circuit is generally desired as long as speed or performance metrics are within the design objectives. For a crystal oscillator it is compulsory to drive the crystal with low power since otherwise the lifetime of the crystal would be reduced. Even though crystals are inexpensive, they are the heart of digital circuits and their failure will halt the operation of the circuit. In general many commercial crystals have specifications for the drive level of the crystal to be less than 500 µW. Therefore, it is necessary to take precautions and implement sufficient control mechanisms to limit the drive level of the crystal in a
crystal oscillator circuit design. A simple block diagram for a low power Pierce crystal oscillator is shown in Fig. 2.2.

![Simple block diagram of a low power Pierce crystal oscillator](image)

Fig. 2.2. Simple block diagram of a low power Pierce crystal oscillator.

### 2.3. DESIGN AND SIMULATION MODELS

#### 2.3.1. Low Power Pierce Crystal Oscillator. A possible CMOS implementation of a low power Pierce crystal oscillator presented like in [16] was chosen for the study. The schematic of the entire CMOS implementation is shown in Fig. 2.3 and its functional waveforms are shown in Fig. 2.4. The amplifier (Fig. 2.3(d)) is implemented by a NMOS transistor biased in the active region which has low gain compared to an inverter but does not introduce strong non-linear effects. This transistor’s gain is controlled by another NMOS transistor which is designed to allow high gain during startup and then reduces it to the minimum required for a good sustained oscillation. The gain of the amplifier is
controlled by a gain control voltage generated by the low power control circuit shown in Fig. 2.3(b) which is designed to provide a stable control voltage against small variations in power supply. The PFETs connected to the Vdd act as a constant current source which is biased by a bias voltage of 1.52 V. The entire oscillator circuit is designed in such a way that it requires a single common bias voltage required by the low power control circuitry and the differential amplifier. The bias voltage generator is shown in Fig. 2.3(c). Since the gain of the amplifier is small the peak-peak voltage of oscillation is about 500 mV which can’t be effectively converted into a digital clock by a single ended buffer, a differential is amplifier is used in between which outputs a signal with a swing of about 3 V. The differential amplifier is based on current mode logic (CML) which is shown in Fig. 2.3(e) along with a single ended buffer to produce a digital clock output.

(a) Complete schematic.

Fig. 2.3. Schematic of the low power Pierce crystal oscillator.
(b) Low power control circuitry.

(c) Bias voltage generator.

Fig. 2.3. Schematic of the low power Pierce crystal oscillator (cont.).
(d) Amplifier connected to crystal model.

Fig. 2.3. Schematic of the low power Pierce crystal oscillator (cont.).
Fig. 2.3. Schematic of the low power Pierce crystal oscillator (cont.).

(e) Output buffer.
2.3.2. Simulation Model for Quartz Crystal. The quartz crystal resonator can be reduced to an equivalent electrical model which looks like a RLC resonator [17] as shown in Fig. 2.5. The series $L_S$ and $C_S$ determine the frequency of oscillation, $R_S$ models the losses in the crystal, $C_0$ models the holder capacitance or the capacitance between the two terminals, and $C_1$ and $C_2$ are the load capacitors for a Pierce oscillator which dominate stray capacitances seen at each terminal. The frequency of operation for series mode is given by:

$$f_S = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{L_S C_S}}$$

and for parallel mode by:
The values of $L_s$ and $C_s$ in Fig. 2.5 were chosen such that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi L_s C_s}} = f_s$. Other values were taken from the crystal datasheet.

2.3.3. EFT Test Signal. Electrically fast transients (EFT) are produced when there is a sudden change in the current flowing through an inductor due to turning off switches. Certain applications having loads like a motor have high inductances associated with it and require high voltages for its operation. When the load is switched on or off it results in a large change in current. The change in current results in a voltage given by,

$$V = -L \frac{dI}{dt}$$

Ideally when a switch is turned off, the current would be zero in an instant. So as per the above equation this will result in an infinite voltage which cannot occur in practice due to
the stray capacitances. But still a switching event can cause a high voltage spike which appears as a series of spikes until the switch is completely open due to the action of parasitic inductance and arcing of current at the switch. The EFT may be conducted to other components through the conductors or interconnect, or even be coupled to other traces or nearby structures and possible cause soft errors in digital circuits. It can also affect analog circuits, for example by saturating an amplifier.

To use the EFT to test circuits in simulation, the EFT can be modeled as voltage source for which the voltage values are derived from a real time voltage waveform for an EFT. The test signal used in the simulations and the basic test setup for simulation is shown in Fig. 2.6. The 33 nF injection capacitor is used for Vdd and Vss. For injecting into the analog pins Xi_Osc and Xo_Osc, a smaller capacitor of 2 pF should be used since it is seen as high impedance by the signal from the crystal at 10 MHz and is much smaller than the existing stabilization capacitors. The setup shown in figure is just to emphasize on the general EFT injection setup and does not show other components which may be connected to the pins (e.g. decoupling capacitance, connections to power supplies, etc.).

![EFT waveform](image)

(a) Waveform of a typical EFT.

Fig. 2.6. Illustration for EFT test setup.
2.3.4. Package Parasitics Model and ESD Protection. The circuit used for simulation also models the parasitic elements due to the pins of the IC (Fig. 2.7). The path between the pin to the silicon wafer has bond wires and lead frame which can be represented by the basic passive components shown in the Fig. 2.7(a) [18]. The circuit is shown with parasitics approximated from the circuit layout. Vdd has an on die capacitance connected between the Vdd and Vss pins on the PCB which is not shown in this illustration. Fig. 2.7(b) shows the ESD protection diodes which are placed for each pad in the IC.
2.4. OVERVIEW OF TEST SETUP

The simulations were done in Spectre, a tool part of Cadence Virtuoso, using AMI 0.6 µm technology. The overview of the test setup is shown in Fig. 2.8. The symbol of the IC is named as test_chip_emc. The crystal oscillator circuit is a part of this IC. The pin parasitic model is used only for the pins that are related to the crystal oscillator, namely xi_osc_2 (Xi_Osc), xo_osc_2 (Xo_Osc), clk_out_osc (Clk_Out), vdd2 (Vdd) and gnd1_2 (Vss). The model for the crystal used here corresponds to a typical 10 MHz crystal. The Vdd bus on the printed circuit board has a 5 nF decoupling capacitance. In SPICE simulations, the voltage and current sources are ideal by standard. In practice, these sources have limitations and will be susceptible to the test signals being injected for the experiments. It is essential to isolate the Vdd pin from the DC power supply so that only DC current from the power supply can pass through and not the EFT signal intended for the IC. This is achieved by using an inductor and a ferrite which prevent AC component from reaching the power supply.
2.5. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.5.1. Tests with Injection of EFT. Each pin, except for the Clk_Out of the crystal oscillator, was tested by injecting positive and negative EFT pulses of different magnitudes and the waveforms at Xi_Osc, Xo_Osc and Clk_Out were monitored for errors. For this circuit, an error may be quantified by the “duration of clock loss” and “clock recovery time” as illustrated in Fig. 2.9. The duration of clock loss is defined as the time span during which Clk_Out does not change state within a period of the clock.
The clock recovery time is defined as the time taken by Clk_Out to stabilize from amplitude and duty cycle/frequency distortions to approximately less than ten percent and one percent of actual value respectively.

![Waveform showing “duration of clock loss” and “clock recovery time”](image)

Fig. 2.9. Waveform showing “duration of clock loss” and “clock recovery time”.

The simulation was done using a schematic with parasitic elements extracted from the layout. The magnitude of injected noise was started with a small value which could cause soft errors without permanently damaging the circuit. The magnitude of the injected noise was then increased to check for any interesting errors through simulation. Very large signals were sometimes injected for the purpose of analysis since when such noises are coupled into practical circuits, they leave them damaged permanently. Example results are shown in Fig. 2.10 where each plot shows the waveform on Vdd, Xi_Osc and Xo_Osc, Clk_Out and shows the frequency of Clk_Out respectively. Plots showing the resulting errors in the output when EFTs of different magnitudes was injected into the pins are shown in Fig. 2.11 and Fig. 2.12. The data from simulations are tabulated in Appendix.
Vdd injected with 5 V EFT.

(b) Vdd injected with 30 V EFT.

Fig. 2.10. Simulation results.
(c) Vdd injected with -30 V EFT.

(d) Vss injected with 150 V EFT.

Fig. 2.10. Simulation results (cont.).
(e) Vss injected with -150 V EFT.

(f) Xi_Osc injected with 150 V EFT.

Fig. 2.10. Simulation results (cont.).
(g) Xi_Osc injected with -150 V EFT.

(h) Xo_Osc injected with 150 V EFT.

Fig. 2.10. Simulation results (cont.).
(i) Xo_Osc injected with -150 V EFT.

Fig. 2.10. Simulation results (cont.).
Fig. 2.11. Error plots for Vdd and Vss.
3.5.2. Cause and Mechanism of Failures. The input (Xi_Osc) and output (Xo_Osc) of the amplifier are compared by a differential buffer to make a decision on the high or low state of the clock which is then sent to a high gain single-ended inverter to derive the clock output (Clk_Out). As long as Xi_Osc and Xo_Osc swing around the same DC value, the differential buffer will detect a change in state. Since the crystal is required to be driven with low power, the amplitude of oscillation is kept low. In the event of an EFT, depending up which pin it couples into, one of the oscillating paths is affected more than the other which disturbs the crossover of oscillations between them (that is, the DC bias of one signal is changed relative to the other – see Fig. 2.10). The differential buffer fails to detect the transitions at the point when the voltages on Xi_Osc
and Xo_Osc stop crossing over one another even though oscillation are seen at the pins individually which results in loss of clock.

The simulation results show certain patterns for the noise coupled into the circuit. The waveforms show that Xo_Osc often shows a greater reaction to noise on Vdd and Vss than Xi_Osc, for example in Fig. 2.10 (b) and (e). This phenomenon can be explained using the simplified circuit in Fig. 2.13. The Gain Control net is connected to a diode apart from the gate of M9 and is the source of energy during low to high phase of oscillation at Xo_Osc. The diode acts as a feedback path and it is reverse biased when voltage at Xo_Osc is greater than the Gain Control voltage. When the voltage at Xo_Osc drops below the gain control voltage plus the turn on voltage of the diode, the diode gets forward biased and starts pulling up Xo_Osc. This action also reduces the gain of M9 which limits current through M8 thereby weakening the pull-down of Xo_Osc. The gain control voltage is generated by the low power control circuitry block which is designed for stability against only minor variations in Vdd or Vss [1]. A big change in Vdd or Vss impacts the gain control voltage, which is directly reflected on Xo_Osc through the diode. For noise injections into Vss, the mechanism is slightly different. The EFT couples through C1 and C2 equivalently but Xi_Osc is connected to the gate of M8 which is seen as high impedance when compared to the Xo_Osc which is connected to the drain of M8. The high impedance limits current through C1 and does not allow it to charge when compared to the current through C2. So the impact of EFT on Xi_Osc disappears with the EFT whereas the charge in C2 has to be discharged after the EFT event disappears.

The results for EFT injections onto Xi_Osc and Xo_Osc pins reveal that Xi_Osc is more susceptible for this case compared to the Xo_Osc. The underlying reason is that
apart from the feedback resistor which is common for both the crystal pins, Xo_Osc has a control mechanism through the diode. The diode monitors the voltage at Xo_Osc and opposes a big change in voltage. If Xo_Osc voltage gets lower than the gain control voltage, the diode turns on and provides positive charge. If the Xo_Osc goes higher than gain control voltage, the diode turns off and cuts off energy to that pin. This explains the relatively quick stabilization of the Xo_Osc voltage once the EFT event stops.

Fig. 2.13. Simplified schematic of the low power crystal oscillator.

2.6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

A low power Pierce crystal oscillator was implemented and simulated for its response to EFT events of different magnitudes to find its susceptibilities and to find sensitive parts in the circuit. The simulation data was then analyzed and the errors in the output were plotted to obtain curves which showed the extent to which each pin was
susceptible to the noise injections. Based on the results the failure mechanisms were identified which can be used to improve the circuit design. For instance, the differential buffer may be modified to track the phase change of the oscillations to better predict a logic change and make design decisions under moderate noise interference in the circuit. Another possibility would be to make an intelligent attempt to destroy an IC based on the study about its susceptibilities. For example, Xi_Osc was found to be the most vulnerable pin in the IC which may be targeted specifically to destroy its function. The knowledge of the circuit gained can also be used to create a universal model for Pierce crystal oscillators that can be used to predict failures to certain extent. The model for failure is not implemented in this work but may be done in future so that failures can be predicted without destroying the actual circuit or hardware.
3. IMPACT OF A CML–BASED CLOCK DISTRIBUTION NETWORK ON IC EMISSIONS

3.1. INTRODUCTION

Synchronous digital circuits use clock signals to obtain synchronous operation of their functional blocks and components. The clock is one of the fastest signals in an integrated circuit. Typically the clock is routed in the form of a tree branching out to different digital circuitry in such a way that the path from the root to the leaves have the same delay. Buffers are used along the paths to minimize rise- and fall-times. Depending upon the design complexity, the number of buffers may range from a few hundred to several millions. Designers may use either single ended or differential buffers. There are many tradeoffs in terms of speed, power consumption, etc between them. In general the single ended design offers simplicity, low power consumption, and low jitter whereas the differential design can operate with better immunity to noise but at the expense of design area and power consumption [7].

In a traditional single ended clock distribution networks, the buffers produce switching noise every time the clock undergoes a transition. This switching activity results in electromagnetic emissions which could couple to other circuits inside the IC or to other nearby ICs and interfere with their operation. This coupling can be particularly important in mixed signal design since analog circuits are very sensitive to noise. In the case of an IC, the dimensions are small enough that it cannot radiate efficiently by itself for frequencies below a few GHz, though at lower frequencies the clock tree causes noise on the power distribution network of the PCB which may cause radiation through the larger structures at the PCB level or the time varying switching currents on the lead frame.
of the IC may cause fields which could couple to nearby structures that act as efficient antennas, thus allowing the clock tree to cause a radiated emissions problem.

The use of differential clocks could reduce EMI but this increases static power dissipation which may not be desirable in certain designs. There is no rule of thumb for making a choice between single ended and differential clock distribution networks. It is important to understand the tradeoffs involved and decide the parameters based on the design objective of a circuit. The following chapter presents a preliminary study of the use of the relative advantage of current mode logic (CML) compared with single-ended logic within a clock tree. Comparisons are made for a single configuration, based on power consumption, rise and fall times, noise generated during transitions, and jitter.

3.2. BACKGROUND

3.2.1. Clock Distribution. A clock edge should reach every circuit in an IC at the same instant. A number of factors like propagation delay and skew due to interconnect and variable loads can throw the clock out of synchronization. In order to handle these uncertainties, a clock distribution network (CDN) relies on clock buffers for gain and signal propagation. The clock buffers are placed at specific locations to regulate the time of arrival of the clock at the destinations. There are numerous techniques to design a CDN. One of the simplest and most common structures of the CDN is the H-tree CDN (Fig. 3.1). Each clock buffer drives four more buffers which are placed equidistant from the previous stage in an effort to make the propagation delay and associated losses and capacitances equal. In this study the H-tree is used to compare the impact of CMOS
buffers and CML buffers on EMI in the following sections. A detailed description about clock trees is found in [14].

Fig. 3.1. H-Tree Scheme for CDN.

3.2.2. Differential Buffers. The differential amplifier, known for its properties like low level signaling and common mode noise rejection, is a common choice in many high speed and high performance analog and mixed signal designs. A detailed analysis and design of CMOS differential amplifier is discussed in [10]. A clock buffer is essentially a high gain amplifier. When differential buffers are implemented in a design for propagating a signal for a relatively long distance, apart from the benefits of preserving the signal from line losses, they also offer high immunity from noise interference which can improve the signal integrity [12]. This is one of the reasons why circuit designers choose differential signaling when it comes to creating a robust design.
3.2.3. Current Mode Logic. Current mode logic (CML) is commonly used in high speed CMOS digital design. CML is based on a differential architecture and can work at high speeds compared to single ended logic [3]. CML also offers low power operation and common mode noise immunity [7], [8]. For this reason, CML is commonly used as buffers for high speed inter die communications. Current mode logic realizes logic values by steering current between two branches. The basic building blocks of current mode logic are shown in Fig. 3.2. It consists of a DC current source, pull-up resistors and a logic block connected in between to implement some logic function.

![Diagram of CML](image)

**Fig. 3.2. Basic blocks of a CML.**

Fig. 3.3 shows a classic CML inverter circuit. Transistor M1 is always under saturation and acts as a constant current source. The size and biasing of M1 determines the maximum current that can flow through the transistors M2 and M3. M2 and M3 work
in either saturation or in the triode region depending upon the inputs $V_{in1}$ and $V_{in2}$. The load resistors determine the output voltage swing, $\Delta V = I \times R$. CML is typically designed for a 400 mV swing.

![CML Inverter Diagram](image)

**Fig. 3.3. CML inverter.**

**3.2.4. Advantages.** A CML buffer can work at higher frequencies than its single ended counterpart. CML does not depend on a PMOS transistor for a logic transition which can otherwise limit the bandwidth [1]. In some processes, which require an all CMOS implementation or where space is an issue, the resistors are replaced by active PMOS loads. This has some impact on the speed of operation [9] due to lower bandwidth of the PMOS transistor.

A big advantage of the CML is that it can minimize switching noise. Ideally CML consumes a constant current and does not induce switching noise in the power distribution lines when there is transition in the logic, unlike its single ended CMOS
counterpart. This is an important property which enables circuits designed using CML to be placed near sensitive circuits without interfering with them. Data security and cryptography ICs sometimes make use of this property to prevent differential power analysis attacks [11]. A major downside to CML, however, is that it consumes static power even when there are no transitions. This could possibly limit its application in certain designs where power consumption is a concern. Portable devices like cell phones and tablet PCs have strict power limitations. With increasing device densities, channeling out generated heat also becomes critical.

### 3.2.5. CML Buffer Designs

One common usage of CML involves I/O designs where high speed buffers at the rate of tens of Gbps are desired. These buffers require a high driving strength since the signals are meant to be transmitted off chip to other devices. High driving strength with fast rise/fall times can be achieved by tapering a chain of CML buffers as shown in Fig. 3.4 [5]. Buffer designs for I/O typically have a resistor as a pull up to allow good matching with off chip transmission lines. The resistor is generally small and can be implemented as drain resistance without much cost in area. The static power dissipation is justified because off chip signal transmissions need more power than driving a signal through the core interconnections of an IC and high-speed buffers typically work in a switching state.
The CML output buffer is driven by a series of tapered CML buffer stages to build up enough drive strength to drive off-chip loads. In this case the load is a transmission line with an appropriate termination. This work uses a capacitor, $C_{DN}$, for neutralizing the input-output coupling due to the device overlap capacitance [5].

For the core logic circuitry in the IC, an all CMOS design approach is preferred which is accomplished by using active PMOS loads. High resistances in the order of kilo-ohms are simple and area efficient when active loads are used. However active loads using PMOSFETs may not be as efficient as a resistor at higher frequencies and can suffer from amplitude attenuation. Fig. 3.5 shows the simulation results for the output of each buffer when four buffers are connected in series and a 100ps pulse was applied as input to the first buffer. In Fig. 3.5(b) the outputs of the buffers was implemented with resistors as pull-up and do not show significant amplitude attenuation as compared to the outputs of buffers with active load as shown in Fig. 3.5(c). The amplitude of the outputs from consecutive buffers using active loads is attenuated and has increased slopes compared to the buffers with resistive load. The performance of a PMOSFET can be
improved using techniques like inductive peaking and multiple supply voltages for thick gate oxide transistors as discussed in [9], but this requires additional components and design complexity.

(a) Schematic showing the connections between buffers.

(b) Pulse responses for CML buffer with “non-salicided resistor” as load.

Fig. 3.5. Illustration for amplitude attenuation (Figure from Ref [9]).
Ideally, the dynamic power generated by a CML gate is nearly zero, since the current drawn by a CML gate is constant. The static power dissipation, however, is relatively high. This power is due to the current, $I_{SS}$ from the constant current source which is present even when there are zero transitions in the logic. In other words, the static power dissipation will exist as long as the circuit is powered ON. A number of techniques have been suggested to reduce the static power consumption, for example as discussed in [6], [7]. These techniques, like putting the circuit to sleep when not in use, are also commonly used in single-ended clock tree design.

Though CML has high static power dissipation, the total power consumed remains constant with frequency. For single-ended CMOS logic, the dynamic power consumption increases at high frequencies and can be larger than the total power consumed by a CML gate for the same frequency, as shown in Fig. 3.6 [4]. The point
where the power consumption of CML is lower than single-ended logic depends on the design and its dynamic power consumption.

![Power consumption as a function of frequency](image)

Fig. 3.6. Power consumption as a function of frequency (Figure from Ref [4]).

While it has been clearly shown in the literatures that speed and power are the main trade-offs for CML [7], the relation between power and emission lacks some understanding. An analysis for performance of a CML logic gate under harsh electromagnetic environments has been presented in [2]. The impact of CML on emissions and performance in a clock distribution network has not been thoroughly studied in the existing literature, which is the motivation for the following study.

### 3.3. DESIGN OF CML BUFFER FOR CLOCK DISTRIBUTION NETWORK

**3.3.1. Design Objective.** The design objective is to build a simple clock distribution network using CML with comparable timing/jitter performance to a single-
ended CMOS logic design, and then to compare the two structures based on their potential to generate electromagnetic emissions. The requirements for a clock buffer in a clock distribution network are different from that of an I/O buffer. In the following sections, a simple methodology is presented to create CML clock buffers.

### 3.3.2. CML Buffer Design

An all MOSFET implementation of a CML buffer is shown in Fig. 3.7. The pull-up resistors are implemented by active PMOS FETs. In order to make an efficient logic transition without wasting power, the entire tail current should be steered to one of the branches towards the end of a transition. For this to happen, the transistors M1, M2 and M3 should always be in saturation or cut-off. Say for example, \( V_{IN,1} \) goes low and \( V_{IN,2} \) goes high. M1 should always be in saturation since it has to be a constant current source. M2 should be turned OFF and M3 should be in saturation so that the tail current \( I_{SS} \) flows through M5, M3 and M1. This results in \( V_{OUT,1} \) to go high (\( = V_{DD} \)) and \( V_{OUT,2} \) to go low (\( = V_{DD} - \Delta V \)).

![CML inverter with active load](image)

**Fig. 3.7.** CML inverter with active load.
Based on the above requirements, the CML buffer design equations can be formulated as follows.

Conditions for M1 to be in saturation,

\[ V_{G1} = V_{GS1} = V_{bias} > V_{T, n} \] (1)

\[ V_{GD1} < V_{T, n} \text{ for M1 to be saturation.} \] (2)

\[ V_{D1} > (V_{bias} - V_{T, n}) \] (3)

From (1) and (2),

\[ V_{T, n} < V_{bias} < V_{DD} \]

Conditions for M3 to be in saturation (when \( V_{IN, 2} = V_{DD} \)),

\[ V_{GS3} = V_{G3} - V_{S3} = V_{DD} - V_{D1} < V_{T, n}; \] (4)

Since,

\[ V_{G3} = V_{DD} \]

\[ V_{GD3} = V_{G3} - V_{D3} \]

\[ V_{GD3} = V_{DD} - (V_{DD} - I_{M3} R_{M5}) \]

\[ V_{GD3} = I_{M3} R_{M5} < V_{T, n} \] (5)

Conditions for M2 to be OFF when M3 is in saturation (\( V_{IN, 1} = \text{low}; V_{IN, 2} = \text{high} \)). Since,

\[ V_{G2} = V_{DD} - \Delta V; \quad V_{D2} = V_{DD}; \quad V_{S2} = V_{D1}, \]

\[ V_{GD2} = V_{G2} - V_{D2} = |\Delta V| < V_{T, n} \] (6)
and,

\[ V_{GS2} = V_{G2} - V_{S2} = V_{DD} - \Delta V - V_{D1} < V_{T, n} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Equations (4) and (5) imply that the voltage drop \( \Delta V (= I_{M3} R_{M5} = I_{M2} R_{M4}) \) cannot be higher than the threshold voltage of an NFET, which depends on the process being used. This condition should not have any serious implications on the feasibility of the design due to noise margin requirements, since the threshold voltage scales down at a slower rate than the supply voltage with advances in technology.

**3.3.3. Drive Capability.** The capacitances associated with one CML buffer driving another has been discussed in [5]. Capacitance is directly related to the size of the transistors and interconnects. For this preliminary study, the impact of interconnect will be ignored. Generally in a CDN, all the buffers are identical and each buffer drives four buffers which are optimized for equal propagation delay and skew. The driving buffer sees four times its own input capacitance as a load (Fig. 3.8). The effective load capacitance is given by

\[ C_L = C_P + 4C_G + C_{Line} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where \( C_P \) represents the equivalent capacitances seen at output node of the driver, \( C_G \) is the gate capacitances at the input to the next stage and \( C_{Line} \) is the parasitic capacitances due to the trace or interconnects, which is approximated here as \( C_{Line}=0 \). The operation during high state and low state output for a single branch is not exactly symmetric. This is due to the different capacitances seen during high and low states in each branch of a
CML stage and to differences between the driving PFET and NFET. When the output goes high only the parasitic capacitances due to the active load M4 or M5 shows an effect on the slope of output. But when the output has to go low, the current has to charge the additional parasitic capacitance seen in M2 or M3 and M1.

Fig. 3.8. Load seen by a CML clock buffer in a CDN.

3.3.4. Proposed Design. Based on the above discussion, a CML clock buffer was designed to have low current or power consumption. This objective was mainly achieved by fixing two design goals. One was to minimize the effective load capacitance by optimizing the sizing of the transistors so that the transitions can be faster, smoother and also consume less current. The other was to maintain the swing level in this process for
which a high resistance is needed. The pull up resistor was implemented using active PMOS loads but the gate is not grounded as done in most conventional designs. Instead, a bias voltage is applied in such a way that the PMOS is not fully turned ON yielding high resistance to compensate for the low current in achieving the requiring swing $\Delta V$. This bias voltage could be removed with some effort, but is sufficient for this preliminary study. The schematic of a single stage CML clock buffer is shown in Fig. 3.9. Its performance and simulation results are discussed in the next section.

![Schematic of a single stage CML clock buffer](image)

**Fig. 3.9.** Proposed CML clock buffer.

### 3.4. RESULTS – ANALYSIS AND COMPARISION

This section will provide comparisons between CML and single-ended CMOS logic in terms of the power supply noise caused by its operation, rise and fall times in fan-out-of-4 conditions, jitter performance when the power supply is noisy and the
overall impact on emissions. All the simulations are performed in Spectre, a tool part of Cadence Virtuoso 6.1.3, using TSMC 180 nm deep technology. The waveforms are then plotted in Matlab R2011a. All the simulation results are obtained for a frequency of 1 GHz unless otherwise specified.

**3.4.1. Single Stage with a fan-out of 4.** First, the designed clock buffer was tested for its performance in a single stage H-tree, which is essentially one buffer driving four other buffers connected as fan-out-of-4 loads. The results will be compared with an equivalent circuit built in single-ended CMOS logic. The CML test schematic and resultant waveforms for both the CML and single-ended buffers are shown in Fig. 3.10 and Fig. 3.11, respectively. The simulation uses ideal pulse waveform generator inputs at the first buffer with ideal rise and fall times. A series of buffers are used before the circuit under test in order to create a more realistic input signal.

Table 3.1 shows the rise and fall time for the 5th buffer in the buffer chain (which drives the fan-out-of-4 load) for the CML clock buffer and the minimum sized single-ended CMOS buffer. Since the CML buffer was designed for low power consumption, it only has a pull-down current of $I_{SS} = 23 \, \mu A$, which is too small to charge the parasitic capacitances quick enough to have a fast fall time. For the single-ended CMOS buffer, the rising edge is not as fast as the falling edge due to the lower mobility of carriers in the pull-up PFET used.
Fig. 3.10. Schematic setup for CML buffer with FO 4 load connected.

(a) Inputs to CML buffer 5.

Fig. 3.11. Fan out of 4 performance for CML and single ended CMOS.
(b) Outputs 5 of CML buffer 5.

(c) Input to single-ended CMOS buffer 5.

(d) Output of single-ended CMOS buffer 5.

Fig. 3.11. Fan out of 4 performance for CML and single ended CMOS (cont.).

Table 3.1. Performance for FO 4 loads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CMOS</th>
<th></th>
<th>CML</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Output</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise Time</td>
<td>50 ps</td>
<td>148 ps</td>
<td>84 ps</td>
<td>152 ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Time</td>
<td>37 ps</td>
<td>97 ps</td>
<td>103 ps</td>
<td>230 ps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4.2. Jitter Performance. The previous result on Table 3.1 showed that the rise and fall times for CML gate used here is higher than the single-ended CMOS logic. Experiments were performed to try to reduce the rise and fall times of the CML logic gate, but it was not reasonable to reduce them to the same level as the single-ended logic. The most important reason for fast rise-and-fall times is to minimize the impact of power supply noise on jitter. The CML gate should naturally be more resistant to power supply noise than the single-ended gate [7], so the rise- and fall-time is less important. If the two designs have similar jitter performance in the presence of noise, then it is reasonable to compare the emissions between the two circuits.

For this test setup, a chain of buffers were considered of which two stages are exposed to power supply noises (Fig. 3.12). In general different design strategies have their own strict upper limits for noises which are generated by the operation of their circuits. Since the testing conditions should be realistic, the power supply noise should occur at every instant and the noise voltage level should be less than 10% of the power supply voltage which is a standard design goal. A power supply voltage noise of +/- 160 mV was created in simulation by using single ended inverters made up of big FETs driving a capacitive load, switching at a frequency a little higher than the clock frequency so that the noise can occur at every instant with a period of the clock when the simulation is run for a large number of cycles. The noise generator was connected to power supply line for buffers 5 and 6 which was isolated from the power lines feeding the other stages. The big FETs are an approximation to transistors in an IC which creates switching noise. The output of this stage is then connected to a couple of normal stages and the output of the last stage, numbered 8 was monitored.
The simulation setup is shown in Fig. 3.12 and the jitter in the output clock in Fig. 3.13. The measured jitter for about 200 cycles of the clock is shown in Table 3.2. While the CML and single-ended buffers used here did not have the same jitter performance, they were comparable, indicating that the noise generated by these CML and single-ended clock trees could reasonably be compared to one another as “equivalent” clock trees for this preliminary study.

![Diagram](a) CML.

Fig. 3.12. Schematic setup for analyzing immunity under noisy power supply lines.
(b) Single ended CMOS.

Fig. 3.12. Schematic setup for analyzing immunity under noisy power supply lines (cont.).

(a) CML.

Fig. 3.13. Jitter in the output clock under noisy power supply lines.
Fig. 3.13. Jitter in the output clock under noisy power supply lines (cont.).

Table 3.2. Jitter performance (under 160 mV noise peak voltage to power supply voltage)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CMOS</th>
<th>CML</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rising edge</td>
<td>18 ps</td>
<td>34 ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling edge</td>
<td>26 ps</td>
<td>38 ps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.3. Clock Tree. To study the emissions from the circuits, the clock buffers were integrated into a complete four stage H-tree (Fig. 3.14) which has a total of 340 buffers. The total power or current consumption and the performance of this four stage H-tree should give a reasonable basis for comparing a four stage H-tree implements using the single-ended CMOS buffers. The waveforms for both the clock trees are shown in Fig. 3.15. The average current consumption for the CML design used here is 7.86 mA which is more than twice that of the single-ended CMOS logic version which is 3 mA. Some distortion in the output of the CMOS logic tree is observed because of the lack of added on-die decoupling capacitance, which would be present in a real design.
Fig. 3.15 and Fig. 3.16 show the time-domain waveform and frequency-domain spectrum for the total power supply current consumed by the CML and single-ended CMOS version of the four stages H-tree. The time-domain waveform shows significantly less fluctuation for the CML tree than the CMOS clock tree, indicating it will have far lower high-frequency emissions. Comparison of the current consumed by the two trees implemented here shows the current to be more than 100 dB lower for the CML tree at harmonics of 1 GHz, the input clock frequency. The even harmonics are much larger than the odd harmonics, since switching occurs on both the rising and falling edge of the clock.

Fig. 3.14. Schematic of 4 stage H-tree CDN.
(a) Output of last stage for CML clock tree.

(b) Total current consumed by the tree for CML clock tree.

(c) Output of last stage for single-ended CMOS clock tree.

(d) Total current consumed by the tree for single-ended CMOS clock tree.

Fig. 3.15. Input and output waveform for 4 stage H-tree CDN.
Fig. 3.16. Spectrum of the total current consumed by the CML and single-ended CMOS 4 stage H-tree.
3.5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

A simple CDN using four stages H-tree and CML clock buffers designed for low static power consumption was compared to an equivalent CDN designed using single-ended CMOS logic. Though the rise and fall times of the CML design were high, the jitter performance was comparable to the single-ended design, allowing reasonable comparison between the high-frequency noise generated by the two designs. For a four stage H-tree, the CML design 100 dB less dynamic power supply current noise at harmonics of the clock frequency than the single-ended design. While this level of reduction is not expected in practice, and many additional variables should be studied, this result illustrates that CML has the potential to significantly reduce emissions from the clock. Further study should be performed to confirm this result, using a more complete model of the power delivery network and circuit parasitics and more carefully tuning parameters between the CML and single-ended design to generate a completely fair comparison.
4. CONCLUSION

A low power Pierce crystal oscillator was tested with EFT injections through simulations and the resultant data was analyzed to find the cause and failure mechanisms. One of the main causes of failure was found to be unequal noise coupling to the crystal pins due to the feedback control present on only one pin.

A simple initial investigation on the potential to reduce emissions from the IC was performed based on current mode logic (CML). The emissions from a CML-based clock distribution network (CDN), was demonstrated to have much lower emissions without significantly greater power consumption but at a cost of roughly double the area than a clock tree based on single-ended CMOS logic. The analyses had many assumptions which may be difficult to achieve in practical designs. A more detailed study is needed that includes a more realistic simulation model in order to make a better comparison between CML and single ended CMOS design.
APPENDIX

The simulation data and results for EFT injection into various pins of the Pierce crystal oscillator are tabulated in Table A.1.

Table A.1. Numerical data from simulation results

Vdd injected with positive EFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied EFT</th>
<th>Peak positive voltage seen at VDD</th>
<th>Duration of loss in clock output</th>
<th>Time to recover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 V</td>
<td>5.57 V</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>450n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 V</td>
<td>6.6 V</td>
<td>425n s</td>
<td>550n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 V</td>
<td>8.6 V</td>
<td>500n s</td>
<td>1.5u s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 V</td>
<td>10.2 V</td>
<td>800n s</td>
<td>1.8u s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 V</td>
<td>15 V</td>
<td>1.9u s</td>
<td>2.4u s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vdd injected with negative EFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied EFT</th>
<th>Peak negative voltage seen at VDD</th>
<th>Duration of loss in clock output</th>
<th>Time to recover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5 V</td>
<td>4.2 V</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>500n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15 V</td>
<td>2.45 V</td>
<td>400n s</td>
<td>600n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30 V</td>
<td>-0.27 V</td>
<td>500n s</td>
<td>700n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-50 V</td>
<td>-1.3 V</td>
<td>550n s</td>
<td>4.4u s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-100 V</td>
<td>-7.3 V</td>
<td>3u s</td>
<td>4u s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vss injected with positive EFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied EFT</th>
<th>Peak positive voltage seen at Vss pin</th>
<th>Duration of loss in clock output</th>
<th>Time to recover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 V</td>
<td>1 V</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>300n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 V</td>
<td>1.9 V</td>
<td>300n s</td>
<td>400n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 V</td>
<td>3 V</td>
<td>440n s</td>
<td>600n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 V</td>
<td>4 V</td>
<td>500n s</td>
<td>2μs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 V</td>
<td>4.9 V</td>
<td>1.42μs</td>
<td>5μs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vss injected with negative EFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied EFT</th>
<th>Peak negative voltage seen at Vss pin</th>
<th>Duration of loss in clock output</th>
<th>Time to recover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-50 V</td>
<td>-0.98 V</td>
<td>160n s</td>
<td>350n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-100 V</td>
<td>-1.9 V</td>
<td>350n s</td>
<td>450n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-150 V</td>
<td>-2.8 V</td>
<td>360n s</td>
<td>590n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-200 V</td>
<td>-3.7 V</td>
<td>400n s</td>
<td>750n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-250 V</td>
<td>-4.8 V</td>
<td>400n s</td>
<td>1.3μs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Xi_Osc injected with positive EFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied EFT</th>
<th>Peak positive voltage seen at Xi_Pin</th>
<th>Duration of loss in clock output</th>
<th>Time to recover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 V</td>
<td>4 V</td>
<td>360n s</td>
<td>450n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 V</td>
<td>5.77 V</td>
<td>450n s</td>
<td>550n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 V</td>
<td>6.2 V</td>
<td>550n s</td>
<td>600n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 V</td>
<td>6.4 V</td>
<td>550n s</td>
<td>600n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 V</td>
<td>6.77 V</td>
<td>560n s</td>
<td>750n s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Xi_Osc injected with negative EFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied EFT</th>
<th>Peak negative voltage seen at Xi_Pin</th>
<th>Duration of loss in clock output</th>
<th>Time to recover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-50 V</td>
<td>-746mV</td>
<td>450n s</td>
<td>550n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-100 V</td>
<td>-922mV</td>
<td>640n s</td>
<td>740n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-150 V</td>
<td>-1.2V</td>
<td>790n s</td>
<td>890n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-200 V</td>
<td>-1.45V</td>
<td>790n s</td>
<td>890n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-250 V</td>
<td>-1.6V</td>
<td>840n s</td>
<td>950n s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Xo_Osc injected with positive EFT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied EFT</th>
<th>Peak positive voltage seen at Xo_Pin</th>
<th>Duration of loss in clock output</th>
<th>Time to recover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 V</td>
<td>3.92 V</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>350n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 V</td>
<td>5.77 V</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>450n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 V</td>
<td>6.1 V</td>
<td>250n s</td>
<td>450n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 V</td>
<td>6.3 V</td>
<td>280n s</td>
<td>450n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 V</td>
<td>6.43 V</td>
<td>360n s</td>
<td>450n s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Xo_Osc injected with negative EFT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied EFT</th>
<th>Peak negative voltage seen at Xo_Pin</th>
<th>Duration of loss in clock output</th>
<th>Time to recover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-50 V</td>
<td>-596m V</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>420n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-100 V</td>
<td>-992m V</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>520n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-150 V</td>
<td>-1 V</td>
<td>260n s</td>
<td>500n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-200 V</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>260n s</td>
<td>500n s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-250 V</td>
<td>-1.8 V</td>
<td>410n s</td>
<td>510n s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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