Proteome-wide prediction of PKA phosphorylation sites in eukaryotic kingdom
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A B S T R A C T
Protein phosphorylation is one of the most essential post-translational modifications (PTMs), and orchestrates a variety of cellular functions and processes. Besides experimental studies, numerous computational predictors implemented in various algorithms have been developed for phosphorylation sites prediction. However, large-scale predictions of kinase-specific phosphorylation sites have not been successfully pursued and remained to be a great challenge. In this work, we raised a “kiss farewell” model and conducted a high-throughput prediction of cAMP-dependent kinase (PKA) phosphorylation sites. Since a protein kinase (PK) should at least “kiss” its substrates and then run away, we proposed a PKA-binding protein to be a potential PKA substrate if at least one PKA site was predicted. To improve the prediction specificity, we reduced false positive rate (FPR) less than 1% when the cut-off value was set as 4. Successfully, we predicted 1387, 630, 568 and 912 potential PKA sites from 410, 217, 173 and 260 PKA-interacting proteins, respectively. Most of these potential phosphorylation sites remained to be experimentally verified. In addition, we detected two sites in one of PKA regulatory subunits to be conserved in eukaryotes as potentially ancient regulatory signals. Our prediction results provide an excellent resource for delineating PKA-mediated signaling pathways and their system integration underlying cellular dynamics and plasticity.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Phosphorylation is one of the most ubiquitous and important post-translational modifications (PTMs) of proteins, and implicated in almost all kinds of cellular processes and pathways [1,2]. In eukaryotes, phosphorylation is carried out by numerous protein kinases (PKs), which are members of kinome or kinase superfamily [3,4]. Each PK recognizes distinct S/T or Y residues in protein sequences and only modifies a defined subset of substrates specifically, to ensure signaling fidelity. Thus, identification of phosphorylated substrates with their kinase-specific phosphorylation sites is the foundation for understanding the molecular mechanism of phosphorylation dynamics.

Besides experimental studies, various computational approaches have been extensively employed and achieved great successes for phosphorylation sites prediction. Numerous predictors have been developed mainly for two purposes: prediction of general or kinase-specific phosphorylation sites. For the former question, NetPhos [5] and DiPhos [6] were constructed. And for the latter, we and other researchers have contributed great efforts and developed several online tools, e.g., GPS [7,8], PPSP [9], ScanSite [10], KinasePhos [11], PredPhospho [12], NetPhosK [13], Predikin [14] and pkA PS [15], etc. Recently, NetPhosYeast was constructed to predict phosphorylation sites in yeast specifically, as the first organism-specific predictor [16].

Given ~10 phosphorylation predictors in hand, a great challenge is emerging that how we can make sense for large-scale predictions of phosphorylation sites in proteome-wide level. To our knowledge, only a few articles have addressed the problem [15,17,18]. With Predikin, Brinkworth et al. predicted cognate PKs for 383 unannotated phosphorylation sites of 216 peptide sequences in yeast [17]. Combined with a motif-based strategy and protein association information, Linding et al. developed NetworkKIN to predict associated PKs for 7143 un-annotated phosphorylation sites in PhosphoELM database, and constructed in vivo phosphorylation networks [18]. In addition, Neuberger et al. used pkA PS directly to predict PKA (cAMP-dependent kinase) sites in human proteome [15]. But there were only 4860 of 40,887 (11.9%) human proteins predicted not to contain a phosphorylation network. I n
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After speciation, respectively. Also, HsPRKX was reported to play lack of a MUSCLE 3.6 with default parameters [30]. The phylogenetic tree for (Table 1). Then these protein sequences were multi-aligned by Joining method with bootstrap test (Fig. 1). By BLAST searching, the best hit of ScTPK1 in Phospho.ELM, ver 6.0 [27]. Despite four decades efforts on sites in Saccharomyces cerevisiae potential PKA substrates with 1387, 630, 568 and 912 potential PKA 1% in this work. Successfully, we predicted 410, 217, 173 and 260 the theoretical maximum of FPR. And the FPR was reduced lower than false positive rate (FPR), we developed a simple method to estimate protein interaction (PPI) databases. Then the GPS software [7,8] was employed to predict PKA-specific sites in these proteins. As lack of a “gold standard” negative data set to precisely evaluate the false positive rate (FPR), we developed a simple method to estimate the theoretical maximum of FPR. And the FPR was reduced lower than 1% in this work. Successfully, we predicted 410, 217, 173 and 260 potential PKA substrates with 1387, 630, 568 and 912 potential PKA sites in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila melanogaster and Homo sapiens, respectively. These data sets serve as a good start point for further experimentation.

Results

Analysis of PKA proteins/isofoms in four eukaryotic organisms

PKA was firstly identified in rabbit skeletal muscle [25], conserved in eukaryotes as a serine/threonine kinase sub-family, activated by cAMP and plays important roles in a large number of cellular processes [26]. Currently, there were 337 PKA-specific phosphorylation sites from 210 substrates experimentally identified in vivo or in vitro (Phospho.ELM, ver 6.0) [27]. Despite four decades efforts on identifying PKA targets with their sites, most of the studies were focused on mammalians. For example, there were only one and three PKA substrates with sites experimentally identified in S. cerevisiae and D. melanogaster, respectively. Especially, although a PKA gene of kin-1 in C. elegans was discovered [28], there were no substrates of kin-1 reported. Recently, Ptacek et al. used the proteome chip technology to carry out a large-scale survey to identify in vitro PK-specific substrates in S. cerevisiae [29]. Totally, they identified 256, 29 and 79 in vitro substrates for TPK1, TPK2 and TPK3. Although the results still remained to be experimentally verified in vivo, and the exact PK-specific phosphorylation sites were not mapped, this work provided useful information for further experimental consideration. Taken together, identification of PKA substrates with their sites in eukaryotes still remain to be a great challenge.

From the annotation and classification of the kinase.com database, we obtained 3, 1, 4, 5 distinct components of PKA sub-family in S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens, respectively (Table 1). Then these protein sequences were multi-aligned by MUSCLE 3.6 with default parameters [30]. The phylogenetic tree for PKA sub-family was constructed by MEGA 3.1 [31], with Neighbor-joining method with bootstrap test (Fig. 1). By BLAST searching, the best hit of ScTPK1 in E. Coli, ORF708 (Q47592) was chosen as the outgroup. Interestingly, ScTPK1, ScTPK2 and ScTPK3 of S. cerevisiae and HsPRKACA, HsPRKACB and HsPRKACG of H. sapiens are separated after speciation, respectively. Also, HsPRKX was reported to play important roles in morphogenesis and the functions are not shared with other PKA components [32]. In our analysis, HsPRKX, HsPRKX and DmPKA-C3 were clustered into a distinct branch. Thus, proteins of PKA sub-family might have similar but distinctive functions. Despite the functional similarity and diversity of PKA sub-family, we hypothesized that all PKA proteins recognize similar peptide motifs/profiles for modification. Thus, computational predictor constructed for PKA-specific phosphorylation sites could be generally applied for eukaryotes.

PKA-interacting proteins: experimental verified vs. predicted

Frankly, prediction of the exhaustive set of PKA substrates in a proteome will not make much sense, because the PKA recognition sequences may appear too frequently in proteins. For example, Neuberger et al. used pkalPS directly to predict PKA substrates in human proteome [15], But there were 36,027 of 40,887 (88.1%) human proteins predicted as potential substrates with at least one PKA site [15]. Here, we adopted sufficient conditions for predicting a reliable data set. We proposed a simple “kiss farewell” model that the PKA should at least kiss its targets and then say farewell for modification. And the PKA must physically bind with its substrates or form a complex by direct or indirect interaction. Although such an interaction might be transient, and the binding affinity might also be weak, we believed that there were still a significant proportion of the interactions between PKA with its substrates, which could be detected in standard protein–protein interaction screenings. Thus, a PKA-interacting protein is highly probable to be a real substrate of PKA, if at least one PKA site is predicted with high confidence.

To obtain a comprehensive protein–protein interaction (PPI) map for each organism, several public PPI databases were employed, including DIP [33], BioGrid [34], Grid [35], MINT [36], BIND [37], Wormbase [38] and HPRD [39]. Since most of entries in BIND for S. cerevisiae were covered in other databases, we only used DIP, BioGrid, Grid and MINT to retrieve yeast PPI data. Also, Wormbase and HPRD only contained PPI data for C. elegans and H. sapiens, respectively. Since the protein names in the databases are different, we mapped all these proteins into Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL (UniProt) database. Then all PPI data were integrated into a non-redundant data set for each species, with the number of 32,987, 5,959, 30,558 and 51,529 pairs in S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens, respectively (Table 2). Furthermore, a pre-calculated PPI database, STRING, was also downloaded directly [40]. Finally, the sequences of both of experimentally verified and predicted PKA-binding proteins were retrieved (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinase.coma</th>
<th>UniProtb</th>
<th>Exp. PPIc</th>
<th>String PPId</th>
<th>Known sub.e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae</td>
<td>TPK1</td>
<td>KAPA_YEAST</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TPK2</td>
<td>KAPB_YEAST</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TPK3</td>
<td>KAPC_YEAST</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. elegans</td>
<td>kin-1</td>
<td>KAPC_CAEEL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. melanogaster</td>
<td>Pka-C1</td>
<td>KAPC_DROME</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pka-C2</td>
<td>KDC1_DROME</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG12069</td>
<td>Q9V47_DROME</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. sapiens</td>
<td>PKRACa</td>
<td>O22P5A_HUMAN</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PKRACB</td>
<td>KAPC_HUMAN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PKRACG</td>
<td>Q5V2Q2_HUMAN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRKX</td>
<td>PRKX_HUMAN</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRKY</td>
<td>PRKY_HUMAN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a PKA names in kinase.com database.
b Accession numbers of PKA proteins in Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL (UniProt) database.
c The number of experimentally verified PKA-binding proteins.
d The number of predicted PKA-interacting proteins.
e The experimentally verified substrates for PKA proteins.
Clearly, most of PKA-binding proteins were experimentally identified in H. sapiens. Especially, the alpha-catalytic subunit of PKA in human, PRKACA, attracted the most studies. Totally, there were 154 PRKACA-binding proteins identified, while only one PRKACB-interacting protein was discovered (Table 1). And PRKACG-binding proteins still remain to be reported. Most of PKA-binding proteins in S. cerevisiae (TPK1, 2, 3) were identified from large-scale experiments. And only three substrates of PKA were identified in S. cerevisiae. Thus, these yeast PKA-binding proteins would be an excellent data set for PKA substrates identification. Again, since PRKACA was proved to interact with more than 150 proteins, it could be estimated that PRKACB and PRKACG also have numerous binding proteins. In this regard, even predicted PPIs will be also important for large-scale prediction of PKA substrates.

Control and reduction of false positive rate (FPR)

Control of false positive prediction is the key point in large-scale predictions of kinase-specific phosphorylation sites. The false positive rate (FPR) is the proportion of negative sites that are erroneously predicted as positive hits. Actually, the real PKA phosphorylation sites are only a very small part of all S/T residues in proteins. For PKA, the positive sites vs. the negative sites in current data set is ≈1:56 (337 vs. 18,964). Thus, even a predictor with sensitivity (Sn) of 100% with a FPR of 10% will generate ~85% of false positive hits in final predictions. In this regard, the FPR should be controlled and reduced greatly.

Given a data set containing all non-phosphorylation sites, the FPR could be easily computed. However, precise calculation of FPR is unavailable due to lack of a “gold standard” negative data set. Therefore, we developed an alternatively method to estimate the FPR theoretically. We randomly generated 10,000 heptapeptides as XXX-S/T-XXX, which X is a random amino acid and the centered residue is S or T. Then we used GPS to score these peptides. The distribution of GPS score of PKA on these peptides were shown in Fig. 2. Although there were a few sites to be real hits, its proportion would be very small. With this approach, we estimated the theoretical maximum of FPR for PKA. The procedure was repeated twenty times and the average FPR value was calculated. The theoretical FPRs with cut-off values of 4, 2.4 and 1 were estimated as 0.94%, 5.18% and 26.09%, respectively. Thus, we selected the cut-off value of 4 for large-scale predictions.

Large-scale prediction of PKA phosphorylation sites for its binding proteins

In this work, we directly employed GPS 1.10 with the cut-off value of 4 (high threshold) to predict PKA sites in its interacting proteins. For S. cerevisiae, we also included a data set from a high-throughput experiment [29], to make the prediction more integrated.

Then the theoretically maximal false positive hits for each data set was calculated (Table 3). Firstly, all serine (S) and threonine (T) residues in PKA-binding proteins were accounted. If all of these residues are real negative sites, the false positive hits could be

---

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>Est. false</th>
<th>Pr (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae</td>
<td>47,755</td>
<td>1387</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>67.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. elegans</td>
<td>28,467</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>57.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. melanogaster</td>
<td>24,179</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>60.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. sapiens</td>
<td>31,093</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>67.98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The false positive rate (FPR) of GPS 1.10 is 0.94% under the high threshold (cut-off value of 4). Then given total S and T residues, the theoretically maximal false positive hit and minimal precision (Pr) for each organism was calculated, respectively.

---

a Total S and T residues.

b S/T residues predicted as positive hits.

c Estimated false positive hits.

d Theoretically minimal precisions.
calculated (0.94% Number of all S/T residues). Since not all of S/T residues in PKA-interacting are negative sites, the false positive hits will be over-estimated. However, although the false positive rate was over-estimated, our analysis still generated satisfying results. For example, there were 31,093 S/T residues contained in human PKA-binding proteins. And GPS predicted out 912 sites as positive hits. With the maximal FPR of 0.94% at cut-off value of 4, there were at most 292 (31,093×0.94%) sites to be false positive hits. Then we could calculate the minimal precision in this instance as 67.98% (620/912). Also, we defined theoretically minimal precision (Pr) as below:

\[
\text{Pr} = \frac{M-N^*\text{FPR}}{M}
\]

Here, \( N \) is the total number of S/T sites for prediction; \( M \) is the number of predicted sites by GPS. When the cut-off value was taken as 4, the precision (Pr) values were 67.63%, 57.46%, 60.04% and 67.98% for \( \text{S. cerevisiae} \), \( \text{H. sapiens} \), \( \text{D. melanogaster} \) and \( \text{C. elegans} \), respectively (Table 3).

Successfully, we predicted 410, 217, 173 and 260 potential PKA substrates in \( \text{S. cerevisiae} \), \( \text{H. sapiens} \), \( \text{D. melanogaster} \) and \( \text{C. elegans} \), respectively (Table 4). Then we used the Fisher’s Exact Test (http://www.langsrud.com/fisher.htm) to evaluate whether the predicted PKA substrates would be statistically present in \( \text{S. cerevisiae} \), \( \text{D. melanogaster} \) and \( \text{H. sapiens} \) (p-value < 0.05) but not in \( \text{C. elegans} \) (p-value > 0.05). The results could be attributed to the different qualities of PPI data sets. Obviously, the quality of experimental PPI data was much better than predicted data. In \( \text{H. sapiens} \), there were 157 of 348 potential PKA-binding proteins to be experimentally verified (p-value = 3.8E−22). But in \( \text{C. elegans} \), there were only 2 proteins experimentally identified (p-value = 0.9) (Table 4). In this regard, further experimental progresses on PKA-interacting proteins verification will be a great help for PKA substrates identification.

Successful predictions were found in mammalians, especially in human, we carried out a large-scale predictions (Table 4). The detailed results of the large-scale predictions were shown in Supplementary Table 1–4. In this analysis, two questions should be addressed. The first problem was whether our approach could significantly enrich the prediction set of proteins with PKA sites. Firstly, we carried out proteome-wide predictions of PKA sites in the four proteomes directly (Table 4). Then we used the Fisher’s Exact Test (http://www.langsrud.com/fisher.htm) to evaluate whether the predicted PKA substrates were significantly enriched in \( \text{S. cerevisiae} \), \( \text{D. melanogaster} \) and \( \text{H. sapiens} \) (p-value < 0.05) but not in \( \text{C. elegans} \) (p-value > 0.05). The results could be attributed to the different qualities of PPI data sets. Obviously, the quality of experimental PPI data was much better than predicted data. In \( \text{H. sapiens} \), there were 157 of 348 potential PKA-binding proteins to be experimentally verified (p-value = 3.8E−22). But in \( \text{C. elegans} \), there were only 2 proteins experimentally identified (p-value = 0.9) (Table 4). In this regard, further experimental progresses on PKA-interacting proteins verification will be a great help for PKA substrates identification.
Also, we randomly selected several predicted PKA substrates to depict our analysis (Table 5). Five experimentally verified PKA substrates were picked out, including GMFB (GMFB_HUMAN), SLC4A4 (SLC4A4_HUMAN), HDAC8 (HDAC8_HUMAN), PPP1R9B (NEB2_HUMAN) and BCY1 (KAPR YEAST). These PKA substrates were both of experimentally verified and predicted as PKA-interacting proteins, with diverse functions. For example, HDAC8 is a member of human class I Histone deacetylases, and its enzymatic activity is negatively regulated by PKA phosphorylation [41]. Also, we listed five predicted PKA substrates for experimentally verified or predicted PKA-binding proteins, separately. The GFAP (GFAP_HUMAN), C11orf17 (BCA3_HUMAN), PHOX2A (PHOX2A_HUMAN), kin-2 (KAPR CAEEL) and CHK1 (CHK1 YEAST) were experimentally verified and also predicted as PKA-interacting proteins (Table 5). Mutations of GFAP, a class-III intermediate filament, are involved in Alexander disease [42]. And CHK1, as a protein kinase, plays an important role as a checkpoint of DNA damage to arrest cell cycle progression [43]. These proteins physically interact with PKA and were predicted as potential PKA substrates. And experimentally verified PKA sites in these proteins will be important to elucidate their biological dynamics and functions under phosphorylation regulation. Again, five predicted PKA-binding proteins were also shown, including KRAS (RASK HUMAN), CNR1 (CNR1_HUMAN), Pka-R2 (KAPR2 DROME), gpa-16 (GPA16 CAEEL) and Bub2 (BUB2 YEAST) (Table 5). These proteins are also important in various cellular processes. For example, Bub2 is a TBC (Tre-2/Bub2/Cdc16) domain-containing protein, as a GTase activator and spindle checkpoint during mitosis [44]. And our predictions might be useful for further experimental design.

Two conserved PKA phosphorylation signals across eukaryotes

An interesting question is emerging: Are there any potential phosphorylation signals conserved across eukaryotes? From conserved PKA-binding proteins, the conserved and predicted PKA substrates were retrieved and shown in Supplementary Table 5. Only one of PKA regulatory subunits is conserved in four organisms, with the name of ScBCY1 (KAPR YEAST), Cekin-2 (KAPR CAEEL), DmPka-R2 (KAPR2 DROME), and HsPRKAR2A (KAP2 HUMAN) in S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens, respectively.

In S. cerevisiae, ScBCY1 was proved to be a PKA substrate with the verified site of S145. Also, ScBCY1 was experimentally verified and predicted as an interacting protein of TPK1, TPK2 and TPK3. And in C. elegans, Cekin-2 was also proved to interact with PKA/kin-1. Again, in H. sapiens, HsPRKAR2A was also verified as a PKA target with the site of S98. It was experimentally verified to interact with PRKK, but prediction PPI data proposed it could interact with all members of PKA sub-family. Finally, in D. melanogaster, only prediction PPI data suggest it could interact with fly Pka-C1 and PAK-C3.

The protein sequences of four PKA regulatory subunits were retrieved and predicted by GPS, with the cut-off value of 4. Successfully, several potential PKA sites were predicted, including S74, T144, S145 and T380 of ScBCY1, S122 of Cekin-2, S51, S84 and S323 of DmPka-R2, and S98 and S349 of HsPRKAR2A. Both of two experimentally verified sites were predicted correctly by GPS. And newly predicted sites are useful for further experimental verifications.

We aligned the four proteins with MUSCLE 3.6 [30]. And conserved PKA sites were shown in Table 6 and Fig. 3. The first potentially conserved phosphorylation signal was S145, S84 and S99 of yeast, fruit fly and human separately, while it was not conserved in nematode. We also checked the PKA regulatory subunit in other numerous species, while the site was conserved and predicted as a PKA site (GPS score -4) (data not shown). Thus the phosphorylation signal is potentially conserved across eukaryotes expect nematodes. And the second potential phosphorylation site is T380, T323, S323 and S350 in S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens, respectively. Although T323 of Cekin-2 was predicted with score of 3.111, which was lower than the threshold, we proposed it to be a potentially conserved PKA site (Fig. 3). Again, we also found the site was conserved in other species with the GPS score greater than 4. Thus, the PKA regulatory subunit might be co-evolved with PKA as its conserved substrate and interacting protein. Our analysis proposed that PKA sites on the PKA regulatory subunit were not well conserved in nematodes. However, there was only one PKA gene (kin-1) in C. elegans, while other organisms have multi-PKA genes. Thus, the recognition profile of nematode PKA/kin-1 might be slightly different against other organisms. Also, several real PKA sites in nematode may diminish during evolution, and several newly generated sites still retain original functions. In this regard, experimental identification of PKA/kin-1 sites in nematodes will be a great help for understanding similarity and specificity of PKA recognition and modification during evolution.

Discussion

For prediction of kinase-specific phosphorylation sites, a widely-adopted hypothesis is that a kinase could recognize specific sequence profiles/motifs/patterns around phosphorylation sites for modification in a substrate [7,8,13]. If a given protein is really phosphorylated by a kinase, the current predictors, including GPS [7,8], PPSP [9], ScanSite [10], KinasePhos [11], PredPhospho [12], NetPhosK [13], Predlinkin [14] and pkaiS [15], could predict potential kinase-specific sites in the protein. However, only the short phospho-peptide could not provide full specificity for a kinase recognition in vivo. Previously, researchers proposed various mechanisms, such as subcellular localization of kinases with their substrates, co-complex or physical interaction [19–21]. However, these additional mechanisms were not included in the current predictors. In those cases where known interacting partners are not PKA substrates, the current predictors will still predict potential PKA-specific phosphorylation sites in these proteins. In this regard, directly prediction of PKA substrates in a proteome will not make much sense, because the PKA recognition sequences may appear too frequently in proteins.

In this article, we performed a large-scale prediction of PKA phosphorylation sites from its interacting proteins in four eukaryotes. We hypothesized that different PKA genes conserved in eukaryotes recognize similar consensus motif/profile for modification. Also, we raised a simple ‘kiss farewell’ model that the PKA should at least kiss its targets and then say farewell for modification, by direct physical binding or indirectly forming a co-complex mediated by other linker

---

**Table 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UniProt (SRS)</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>GPS score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ScBCY1</td>
<td>KAPR YEAST</td>
<td>S145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cekin-2</td>
<td>KAPR CAEEL</td>
<td>T380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DmPka-R2</td>
<td>KAPR2 DROME</td>
<td>S99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HsPRKAR2A</td>
<td>KAP2 HUMAN</td>
<td>S122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Two potentially conserved phosphorylation signals are shown. The first one is S145 of S. cerevisiae, S84 of D. melanogaster and S99 of H. sapiens, but not conserved in C. elegans. The second one is T380, T323, S323 and S350 in S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens, respectively. The yeast S145 and human S98 were experimentally verified to be phosphorylated by ScBCY1 and HsPRKAR2A, respectively.
proteins. Although the interactions between PKA and its substrates might be transient or weak, our approach could still significantly enrich the prediction set of proteins with PKA sites, at least in S. cerevisiae, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens. Based on these two hypotheses, we employed GPS 1.10 directly. The theoretically maximal false positive rate (FPR) was controlled and reduced as 0.94%, when the cut-off value is taken as 4. Successfully, we predicted 410, 217, 173 and 260 potential PKA substrates with 1387, 630, 568 and 912 potential PKA sites in S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens, respectively. Most of the potential substrates with their sites still remain to be experimentally verified. Our prediction results provide a useful data set for further experimental verification. Furthermore, our analysis also provides a linkage between current studies of PPI and PTM to be useful for further PTM researches.

Materials and methods

Preparation of benchmark sequences for four eukaryotic proteomes

There are many public databases of protein sequences, while the protein names or accession numbers are various without standardization. Although other databases could be chosen, in this work, we used Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL (UniProt) database as the benchmark (May, 2006). From Sequence Retrieval System (SRS5) at ExPASy website (http://www.expasy.ch/srs5/), we retrieved protein sequences for four eukaryotic organisms. Totally, there were 7565, 23,283, 27,867 and 70,646 protein sequences obtained from S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens, separately.

Collecting all members of PKA sub-family with their protein sequences

The kinase.com database identified and collected most of the protein kinases in human, mouse and other eukaryotic organisms [33,4]. Then these PKs were classified into many sub-families [3,4]. The PKA sub-family is composed of several PKA paralogs/isofoms to be conserved and important in various cellular processes. Totally, there were 3, 1, 4 and 5 PKA members found in S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens, respectively (Table 1).

Retrieving PKA-interacting proteins

Both of experimentally verified and predicted protein–protein interaction (PPI) databases were employed for retrieving of the PKA-binding proteins. Currently, large-scale PPI data is only available for S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens. Thus we focused on the four organisms in the study. For experimentally verified PPI data, we took out PPI data from several public databases, including DIP [33], BioGrid [34], Grid [35], MINT [36], BIND [37], Wormbase [38] and HPRD [39]. All these PPI data were integrated into an aligned and non-redundant data set, with the number of PPI pairs is 52,987, 5959, 30,558 and 51,529 in S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, D. melanogaster and H. sapiens, respectively (Table 2). For predicted PPI data, we simply used the STRING database, which is an excellent pre-calculated PPI database for numerous species [40]. Both of predicted PPI data and their corresponding sequences were downloaded. The detailed statistics of PPI data in four species are shown in Table 2. In addition, Pucek et al. carried out a large-scale experiment to identify PK-specific substrates in S. cerevisiae [29]. Totally, there were 256, 29, and 79 targets identified for TPK1, TPK2, and TPK3, respectively (Table 1). However, these substrates were not experimentally verified in vivo. And the exact PKA phosphorylation sites in these substrates were not mapped. Thus for S. cerevisiae, we also adopted this data set to make the analysis more integrated.

The experimentally verified and predicted PPI data were mapped to Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL (UniProt) database by BLAST for normalization of protein names (Table 1). Also, the experimentally verified substrates for eukaryotic PKA proteins were also listed (Table 1). Although there were 140 human proteins identified as PKA substrates, however, most of the annotation information in Phospho.ELM was “PKA Group”. Thus, the information of which human PKA isofom will phosphorylate the proteins was not known. There was only one protein clearly annotated as “PKA alpha” (Table 1).

Prediction of PKA-specific phosphorylation sites with GPS

Previously, the GPS (Group-based Phosphorylation Scoring method, ver 1.10) software was developed for general purpose to predict PK-specific phosphorylation sites, including PKA [7–8]. PKA group is a Serine/Threonine kinase sub-family. And the training data set was taken from Phospho.ELM (Ver 2.0) with 180 verified PKA sites [27]. Three cut-off values of 1, 2.4 and 4 were established for low, medium and high threshold, respectively. And the prediction performance under high threshold was Sn 67.80% and Sp 97.88%. As the database updated, now there were 337 PKA sites identified (Ver 6.0). To test whether these new collected data will reduce the prediction performance of GPS, we re-calculated the sensitivity (Sn) and specificity (Sp) of GPS with the newly generated data set. In this study, the threshold was chosen as 4, and the prediction performance of GPS on current data set was Sn 64.99% and Sp 97.83%. Thus, the prediction performance of GPS 1.10 is still satisfying and slightly reduced for the new data. In this regard, we used GPS 1.10 directly for PKA phosphorylation sites prediction.

Orthologs detection for PKA-interacting proteins

To detect conserved PKA-binding proteins in the four species, we downloaded stand-alone InParanoid program 1.0 (http://inparanoid.sbc.su.se/) [45]. With the default parameters, we calculated orthologs pairwisely from protein sequences of four eukaryotic proteomes (Supplementary Table 5).
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