

Article

Biological Control of Fungal Diseases by *Trichoderma aggressivum* f. *europaeum* and Its Compatibility with Fungicides

Brenda Sánchez-Montesinos¹, Mila Santos^{1,*}, Alejandro Moreno-Gavira¹, Teresa Marín-Rodulfo¹, Francisco J. Gea² and Fernando Diánez^{1,*}

¹ Departamento de Agronomía, Escuela Superior de Ingeniería, Universidad de Almería, 04120 Almería, Spain; brensam@hotmail.com (B.S.-M.); alejanmoga@gmail.com (A.M.-G.); tere_rodu@hotmail.com (T.M.-R.)

² Centro de Investigación, Experimentación y Servicios del Champiñón (CIES), Quintanar del Rey, 16220 Cuenca, Spain; fjgea.cies@dipucuenca.es

* Correspondence: msantos@ual.es (M.S.); fdianez@ual.es (F.D.); Tel.: +34-62-818-8339 (M.S.)

Abstract: Our purpose was to evaluate the ability of *Trichoderma aggressivum* f. *europaeum* as a biological control agent against diseases from fungal phytopathogens. Twelve isolates of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* were obtained from several substrates used for *Agaricus bisporus* cultivation from farms in Castilla-La Mancha (Spain). Growth rates of the 12 isolates were determined, and their antagonistic activity was analysed in vitro against *Botrytis cinerea*, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, *Fusarium solani* f. *cucurbitae*, *Pythium aphanidermatum*, *Rhizoctonia solani*, and *Mycosphaerella melonis*, and all isolates had high growth rates. *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* showed high antagonistic activity for different phytopathogens, greater than 80%, except for *P. aphanidermatum* at approximately 65%. The most effective isolate, *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1, inhibited *B. cinerea*, *S. sclerotiorum*, and *M. melonis* growth by 100% in detached leaves assay and inhibited germination of *S. sclerotiorum* sclerotia. Disease incidence and severity in plant assays for pathosystems ranged from 22% for *F. solani* to 80% for *M. melonis*. This isolate reduced the incidence of *Podosphaera xanthii* in zucchini leaves by 66.78%. The high compatibility by this isolate with fungicides could allow its use in combination with different pest management strategies. Based on the results, *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 should be considered for studies in commercial greenhouses as a biological control agent.

Keywords: biological control; *Trichoderma*; fungal phytopathogens; diseases; fungicides



Citation: Sánchez-Montesinos, B.; Santos, M.; Moreno-Gavira, A.; Marín-Rodulfo, T.; Gea, F.J.; Diánez, F. Biological Control of Fungal Diseases by *Trichoderma aggressivum* f. *europaeum* and Its Compatibility with Fungicides. *J. Fungi* **2021**, *7*, 598. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7080598>

Academic Editor: Paloma Melgarejo

Received: 11 June 2021

Accepted: 21 July 2021

Published: 24 July 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In the past few decades, the use of plant growth-promoting microbes (PGPMs) and microbial biological control agents (MBCAs) has greatly increased in agriculture, mainly because consumers and producers are interested in reducing synthetic organic pesticides and chemical fertiliser residues in food, and in reducing the environmental impact of agricultural production. The extensive use of synthetic pesticides since 1945 has resulted in long-term environmental problems [1] and high health risks for humans [2]. About 3 to 4.6 million tons of pesticides are used annually, and the global intensive use of chemical fertilisers was about 109.1, 45.5, and 37.6 million tons of N, P₂O₅, and K₂O, respectively, in 2017 (35.9% average increase since 2002) [3–5]; it directly endangers soil and water resources, causes environmental pollution [6] and inflicts diseases at alarming levels [7]. Therefore, sustainable agriculture will be achieved by biological pest and fertiliser management strategies; both are more natural and environmentally friendly alternative solutions to manage crop production with reduced use of pesticides and fertilisers.

Among the numerous microbes used as PGPMs or MBCAs (also termed probiotics), bacterial or fungal strains are the most frequently used against causal agents of aerial and soil diseases in plants. However, *Trichoderma* remains the gold standard of biological control. Numerous species of this genus, including *T. harzianum* Rifai; *T. viride* Pers; *T. atroviride* P. Karst; *T. virens* J.H. Mill, Giddens and A.A. Foster; *T. longibrachiatum* Rifai; *T. polysporum*

Rifai; *T. stromaticum* Samuels and Pardo-Schulth; *T. hamatum* Bainier; *T. asperellum* Samuels, Lieckf and Nirenberg; *T. citrinoviride* Bissett; *T. saturnisporum* Hammill; *T. aggressivum* Samuels and W. Gams have been described as biological control agents (BCAs) against phytopathogens [8–24]. Several studies have shown that species in this genus present most mechanisms identified in biological control, namely competition for resources (space and nutrients) [25], production and excretion of metabolites (antibiotics, cell wall-degrading enzymes, siderophores) [26–30], mycoparasitism on phytopathogenic fungi [31], and induction of defence responses (systemic acquired resistance, induced systemic resistance, and hypersensitive response) [32–37]. Among these, competition, mycoparasitism, and the production of antifungal compounds are considered the most important mechanisms [38,39]. Mycoparasitism is based on the recognition, binding, and rupture of the cell wall of the host fungus by numerous enzymes, primarily chitinolytic and glucanolytic enzymes [28,40]. As a result of mycoparasitism, many species of *Trichoderma*, including those indicated above, have been identified as causative agents of the disease known as green mould in mushroom crops in different countries [41–47]. *T. pleurotum* and *T. pleuroticola* have been detected in *P. ostreatus* [48], *T. aggressivum* in *Agaricus bisporus* [49], and *T. harzianum*, *T. longibrachiatum*, *Trichoderma ghanense*, *T. asperellum*, and *T. atroviride* in *Agaricus* compost substrates and *Pleurotus*, although they are not particularly aggressive [41]. One of these species, *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* Samuels & W. Gams, previously known as *T. harzianum* Th2 biotype, is responsible for *Agaricus* green mould problems in Europe [50]. Hyphal coiling and the formation of appressoria like structures is usually associated with mycoparasitism by *Trichoderma* species [32]. However, this kind of interaction between *T. aggressivum* and *A. bisporus* has rarely been observed [51]. Its most successful mechanism of action is its competitive tolerance to the inhibitory effect of numerous bacteria and fungi, which accounts for its rapid growth and sporulation [52,53], although *T. aggressivum* can be considered a mycopathogen given its effect on *A. bisporus* hyphae, as well as its induction of an oxidative stress response [54]. O'Brien et al. [55] reported a change in the production of intracellular proteins in the presence of *A. bisporus* directly related to stress tolerance, cell signalling, longevity, and structure. These functions may be part of the capability of *T. aggressivum* to displace *A. bisporus* and to decrease mushroom performance. It grows quickly (1 mm h⁻¹) at 27 °C, producing a cottony layer of aerial mycelium. Sporulation starts only after 4 d and occurs in the central area, in concentric green bands. This species efficiently competes for space and nutrients, and produces extracellular enzymes, toxic secondary metabolites, and volatile organic compounds, resulting in drastic crop losses.

As for other *Trichoderma*, this species can be considered a biological control agent or a plant growth promoter. Accordingly, Ordaz-Ochoa et al. [56] described mycelial growth inhibition in dual cultures of different *Armillaria* spp. isolates from avocado by *T. aggressivum*. Recently, Sánchez-Montesinos et al. [24] highlighted the ability of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* to promote melon plant growth under saline conditions. These authors also reported the damping-off control caused by *Pythium ultimum* in melon seedlings after applying *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum*, reducing disease severity by 63%. Rodríguez et al. [57] have isolated and identified *T. aggressivum* as mycoparasites on coffee rusts caused by *Hemileia vastatrix*, which was then described for the first time in the tropics. This benefit has also been observed in the germination and development of tomato and pepper seedlings, leading to increased root dry weight by 66.66% and 36.36%, respectively [58]. Similarly, Lee et al. [59] have reported *Arabidopsis* growth promotion mediated by volatile compounds produced by *T. aggressivum*, with an increase of 37.1% in fresh shoot weight and 82.5% in chlorophyll. However, little is known about the role that *T. aggressivum* may play in fighting different phytopathogens both in vitro and in vivo.

Therefore, the main goals of this study are to (a) isolate and select *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* (TAE) strains, obtained from substrates of *A. bisporus* cultures and from carpophores with green mould, (b) determine the in vitro antagonistic activity of TAE isolates against different phytopathogens of interest in agriculture, (c) assess the in vivo capacity for controlling the development of the disease caused by phytopathogens in

different pathosystems under greenhouse conditions, and (d) determine the compatibility of TAE with different fungicides commonly used to control fungal diseases.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Isolation and Identification of *Trichoderma* Isolates

A total of 12 isolates were obtained from mushroom farms located in Castilla-La Mancha (Spain) showing green mould disease symptoms (Figure 1). The isolates were maintained on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium at 25 °C in the dark and were characterised taxonomically and molecularly. Conidiophore morphology was examined by light microscopy and were consistent with the genus *Trichoderma* (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Green mould on the casing layer and mushroom (A,B). Isolate of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1: Culture (C) and conidiophores (D).

Molecular identification was performed using the procedure of Carrasco et al. [60]. Sequencing of the rDNA region, including the spacers ITS1 and ITS2 and 5.8S rDNA, was conducted by automated DNA sequencing with fluorescent terminators using an ABI 377 Prism Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) by the Technical Service of University of Almería. ChromasPro® (Technelysium Pty. Ltd., Tewantin, Australia) analysis software was used to edit each sequence sample. The obtained sequences were compared with those registered in the GenBank (NCBI) database through MegaBLAST searches for identification [61,62]. Sequences were deposited in the EMBL database.

2.2. Growth of the *Trichoderma* Isolates in PDA

The growth of each isolate was evaluated *in vitro* by placing a 5 mm plug from the edge of a 7 d old pure PDA culture, 0.5 cm from the edge of the Petri dish. The isolates were incubated in a growth chamber at 25 °C in the dark. The colony diameters were recorded every day during culturing. The experiment was completely randomised with five replicates.

2.3. Dual Culture Test

Trichoderma isolates were screened for their antagonism against *B. cinerea* Pers, *S. sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary, *F. solani* f. *cucurbitae* W.C. Snyder & H.N. Hansen, *P. aphanidermatum* (Edson) Fitzp, *R. solani* J.G. Kühn and *M. melonis* (Pass.) by adopting the confrontation

assay of Santos et al. [63]. Petri dishes (9 cm diameter) containing 17 mL of PDA (Bioxon, Becton Dickinson, Mexico) were prepared. Then, 0.5-cm plugs of mycelium of all fungi were cut from the growing edge of seven days old cultures with active growth of each isolate. The plugs were placed at the ends of Petri dishes with a distance of 7.5 cm between the two fungi, antagonist–phytopathogen. All plates were sealed with Parafilm® and incubated in the dark at 25 °C during 3 to 10 days. Radial fungal colony growth was measured daily. Results were transformed into percentages of mycelium growth inhibition (PIRM: percentage inhibition of radial mycelia growth of the pathogen, R1: radial growth of pathogen in control plates, R2: radial growth of pathogen in dual culture plates). These tests were conducted in quintuplicate. Zones where antagonist and pathogen meet were also observed by light microscopy and cryo-fracturing electron scanning microscopy (cryo-sem) according to the procedure of Diáñez et al. [23]. Only one isolate was selected for subsequent tests, that is, the isolate with the highest growth rate, as well as a greater antagonistic capacity against a greater number of phytopathogens.

2.4. Antifungal Volatile Organic Compounds Bioassay

In vitro inhibition effects of volatile organic compounds produced by *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 against different phytopathogenic fungi was determined. For this, a disk (0.5 cm diameter) was cut from the actively growing edges of TAET1 plates and was placed at a distance of 0.5 cm from the edge onto one half of a divided plate containing PDA medium. Following a 48 h incubation period at 25 ± 1 °C, 0.5 cm mycelial plugs for each pathogen were placed on the other half of the divided plate, containing PDA, and the plates were immediately wrapped in Parafilm®. Measurements of radial mycelial growth were taken daily for fungi with rapid growth (*B. cinerea*, *S. sclerotiorum*, *P. aphanidermatum*, and *R. solani*) and every 2 d for those growing more slowly (*M. melonis* and *F. solani*) until the edge of the plate was reached [23]. The experiment was performed five times with five replicates.

2.5. Detached Leaf Assay

Suppressive effects of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 on *B. cinerea*, *S. sclerotiorum* and *M. melonis* were assessed using a detached leaf assay as described by Novak et al. [64] and Patial et al. [65]. Leaves of cucumber (variety, Super Marketer, Mascarell), pepper (var. Pimiento del Padrón, Mascarell) and tomato (var. Red Cherry, Fitó) seedlings were disinfected using 3% sodium hypochlorite for 30 s and were washed twice to remove residues. The leaves were immersed for 3 min in a solution containing TAET1 spores at a dose of 10^6 spores·mL⁻¹ or were immersed in an aqueous solution containing the fungicide Switch (cyprodinil 37.5% and fludioxonil 25% (WG) w/w; Syngenta, Basel, Switzerland) at 600 ppm to compare efficacy. Whole leaves or fragments were then placed on wet filter paper in plastic trays, and the centre of the leaf or leaf fragment was carefully punctured using a sterilised needle. A 0.5-cm disk containing the corresponding pathogen was placed at the puncture site. Petri dishes were then incubated at 25 °C, and number of leaves with symptoms were counted and photographed 72 h after inoculation. This experiment was repeated twice.

2.6. Degradation of Sclerotia of *Sclerotinia Sclerotiorum* by *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum*

TAET1 were evaluated for efficacy in colonising and destroying sclerotia in soil. Soil moistened to field capacity was sterilised at 120 °C for 60 min twice on two consecutive days. Sclerotia were disinfected with 1% NaOCl for 2 min. Five sclerotia (0.5–1 cm) were mixed with the soil and then transferred to a Petri dish. Cultures of TAET1 were grown on PDA for 7 d. Soil was infested with a 0.5 cm plug placed on the soil surface. The plates were kept at 25 °C in the dark. After 25 d, the sclerotia were recovered from the soil and rinsed in tap water. The number of recovered sclerotia and the presence of white mycelium on its surface were determined. The experiment was performed twice with five replicates.

2.7. Compatibility of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 with Fungicides

In vitro compatibility of TAET1 with different selected fungicides (Table 1) for mycelial growth inhibition was established using the poisoned food technique [66,67]. The minimum recommended dose (D2), the maximum recommended dose (D3), $0.5 \times D2$, and $1.5 \times D3$ (Table 1) of each fungicide were tested. Using a sterile cork borer, mycelial discs (0.5 cm diameter) were cut from actively growing seven-days-old fungal cultures and were placed in the centre of a Petri dish containing PDA supplemented with various pesticides. Five replicates were used per treatment. Fungicidal or fungistatic effects of each fungicide was determined by transferring the initial disk of TAET1, which did not grow with fungicide to a PDA plate without fungicide.

Toxicity, i.e., compatibility of TAET1 and the fungicide, was classified using the scale of the International Organisation for Biological Control (OILB) [68]. This classification groups compatibility between microorganisms and fungicides depending on the proportion of inhibition compared to a control (<30%: harmless; 30–75%: slightly toxic; 75–90%: moderately toxic; >90%: toxic).

2.8. Evaluation of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* on the Severity of Seven Phytopathogens

Biocontrol effects of TAET1 on different pathosystems was determined: *B. cinerea*–melon, *S. sclerotiorum*–pepper, *R. solani*–tomato, *F. solani*–zucchini, *P. aphanidermatum*–melon, *M. melonis*–melon, and *P. xanthii*–zucchini.

Seeds were disinfected using 2% hypochlorite for 3 min and were washed thoroughly with tap water to eliminate residues. Subsequently, the seeds were sown in 500 mL pots containing a commercial peat mix, at one seed per pot.

Then, 5 mL spore suspension of TAET1 was added to each pot at 1×10^6 propagules/plant; the control treatments received 5 mL water. To assess diseases of aerial parts, foliar spraying was carried out at the same dose to wet the whole plant with the TAET1 solution. Spraying was carried out three days before applying conidia/mycelium of the respective pathogen.

To prepare *P. aphanidermatum* inocula, the procedure described by Marin et al. [69] was followed. Inocula of the other phytopathogens were prepared by scraping and subsequent filtration, apart from *R. solani*, *S. sclerotiorum*, and *P. xanthii*. Phytopathogens were inoculated when the plants showed a second true leaf, and using a sterile micropipette, inoculation was performed by uniformly applying the zoospores/conidia suspension (5 mL) at a concentration of 10^4 CFU·mL⁻¹ uniformly to the peat surface. In the case of *B. cinerea* and *M. melonis*, the pathogen was applied by spraying the plant five times at the same concentration. Before this, the first true leaf had been cut to facilitate pathogen entry.

Inoculation with *R. solani* was carried out by mixing mycelium into the substrate; inoculation with *S. sclerotiorum* was performed using the spray mycelium method as described by Chen and Wang [70]. The stem was wounded to facilitate pathogen entry. Symptom severity was recorded continuously and 30–60 days after inoculation, a final disease severity index was estimated according to the following scale: 0 = healthy plant; 1 = initial symptoms; 2 = moderate symptoms (25%); 3 = affected plant (50%); 4 = severely affected plant (75%); and 5 = dead plant.

In addition, a second trial to test the biocontrol effect of TAET1 on the pathosystem *Fusarium solani* f. *cucurbitae*–zucchini, using two different doses 10^5 (F1) and 10^4 (F2) CFU·mL⁻¹, was carried out.

Table 1. Effect of different fungicides on mycelial growth of *P. variotii* at different doses (D1–D4). Mean values (\pm standard deviation) followed by different letters (line) indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) by least significant difference test (LSD). (1) Cytoskeleton and motor proteins, (2) signal transduction, (3) sterol biosynthesis in membranes, (4) amino acids and protein synthesis, (5) respiration, (6) lipid synthesis or transport/membrane integrity or function, (7) chemicals with multi-site activity, (8) host plant defence induction, (9) unknown mode of action, (10) nucleic acids metabolism, and (11) cell wall biosynthesis. Fungicide/fungistactic action (red letters): F: Fungicide; f: fungistactic.

Fungicide—Action Mechanisms	Doses (ppm)									
			D1 (0.5 × D2)		D2		D3		D4 (1.5 × D3)	
	D2	D3	Growth	Inhib.	Growth	Inhib.	Growth	Inhib.	Growth	Inhib.
Thiophanate-methyl 70% (WP) <i>w/w</i> —(1) (F: D1 D2 D3 D4)	500	1000	0 ± 0a	100.0%	0 ± 0a	100.0%	0 ± 0a	100.0%	0 ± 0a	100.0%
Pencycuron 25% (SC) <i>w/v</i> —(1)	5000	8000	55.8 ± 3.3a	19.1%	52 ± 1.6b	24.6%	50.8 ± 1.3b	26.4%	46 ± 0.7c	33.3%
Iprodione 50% (SC) <i>w/v</i> —(2)	1000	1500	63 ± 2.3a	8.7%	53 ± 1.6b	23.2%	43.6 ± 4.5c	36.8%	33.4 ± 5d	51.6%
Flutriafol 12.5% (SC) <i>w/v</i> —(3)	2000	2500	23.4 ± 1.7a	64.7%	19.4 ± 1.3b	70.7%	18.3 ± 1.2bc	72.4%	16.6 ± 2.6c	74.9%
Triadimenol 25% (EC) <i>w/v</i> —(3)	250	500	61.8 ± 3.7a	6.6%	55 ± 4.5b	16.9%	39 ± 3.1c	41.1%	34.2 ± 1.3d	48.3%
Myclobutanil 24% (EC) <i>w/v</i> —(3)	200	400	23.8 ± 0.8a	52.2%	16 ± 1.4b	67.9%	5.6 ± 0.5c	88.8%	3.4 ± 0.5d	93.2%
Fenhexamid 50% (WG) <i>w/w</i> —(3)	1500	2000	30 ± 0.7a	53.6%	26.8 ± 0.8b	58.5%	25.2 ± 0.4c	61.0%	23.4 ± 0.5d	63.8%
Pyrimethanil 40% (SC) P/V—(4)	1500	2000	5 ± 0a	92.7%	3.62 ± 0.4b	94.7%	2.28 ± 0.4c	96.7%	1.5 ± 0.9c	97.8%
Azoxystrobin 25% (SC) <i>w/v</i> —(5)	800	1000	35.3 ± 2.3a	46.7%	33.3 ± 1b	49.7%	31.2 ± 0.8bc	52.9%	30.7 ± 1.2c	53.6%
Kresoxim-methyl 50% (WG) <i>w/w</i> —(5)	200	500	60.4 ± 6.1a	14.0%	58.8 ± 7.7a	16.2%	49.3 ± 1.2b	29.8%	45.9 ± 0.7b	34.6%
Propamocarb 60.5% (SL) <i>w/v</i> —(6)	2500	5000	66.4 ± 1.5a	3.8%	54.6 ± 9.3b	20.9%	29.8 ± 4.1c	56.8%	21 ± 2.9d	69.6%
Copper hydroxide 35% (WG) <i>w/w</i> —(7)	2000	3000	24 ± 1.2a	62.8%	16.8 ± 1.5b	74.0%	15.2 ± 1.3b	76.5%	9.4 ± 0.5c	85.4%
Mancozeb 80% (WG) <i>w/w</i> —(7) (f: D2D3) (F: D4)	2000	3000	7 ± 7.3a	85.9%	0 ± 0b	100.0%	0 ± 0b	100.0%	0 ± 0b	100.0%
Chlorothalonil 50% (SC) <i>w/v</i> —(7)	2500	3000	3.6 ± 1.5a	94.6%	3 ± 1.2ab	95.5%	1.8 ± 0.8bc	97.3%	1 ± 1.2c	98.5%
Fosetyl-AL 80% (WG) <i>w/w</i> —(8)	2500	3000	45.2 ± 1.8a	9.2%	33 ± 0.7b	33.7%	27.8 ± 1.8c	44.2%	19.2 ± 1.3d	61.4%
Cymoxanil 60% (WG) <i>w/w</i> —(9)	200	300	70 ± 1a	−8.4%	65.8 ± 1.3b	−1.9%	61.2 ± 2.8c	5.3%	57.8 ± 2.4d	10.5%
Cyprodinil 37.5% + Fludioxonil 25% (WG) <i>w/w</i> —(4 + 2)	600	1000	7.8 ± 1.8a	84.3%	6.4 ± 0.5a	87.1%	4.6 ± 0.5b	90.8%	4.7 ± 1b	90.6%
Folpet 40% + Metalaxyl-M 10% (WP) <i>w/w</i> —(7 + 10)	2000	2500	14.6 ± 0.5a	78.8%	12.3 ± 0.7b	82.2%	10.2 ± 0.8c	85.2%	7.4 ± 0.5d	89.3%
Dimethomorph 7.5% + Mancozeb 66.7% (WG) <i>w/w</i> —(11 + 7)	2000	3000	53.6 ± 1.5a	22.3%	49.8 ± 1.3b	27.8%	45.8 ± 0.8c	33.6%	43.4 ± 1.1d	37.1%

To determine the control effect of TAET1 on powdery mildew, an inoculum of *P. xanthii* was prepared from field-collected zucchini leaves affected by cucurbit powdery mildew. Using a sprayer, sterile distilled water was sprayed under pressure to rinse of fungal conidia. The suspension was collected, and experimental plants were immediately inoculated at a concentration of 10^4 CFU·mL⁻¹. To determine the suppressive effect of TAET1 on the disease, the leaf area affected by powdery mildew was determined using WinDIAS 3.1.lnk software (Dynamax, Fresno, CA, USA) to calculate the proportion of affected leaf area with respect to total leaf area. Additionally, the numbers of affected leaves and petioles per plant were counted.

All pathogenicity tests were performed under greenhouse conditions and at different seasons to provide optimal environmental conditions for each pathogen. Experimental units consisted of four repetitions with 24 plants per pathosystem. Two experiments were conducted using a completely randomized block design.

2.9. Statistical Analyses

Data were analysed using analysis of variance with Statgraphics Centurion version XVI software. Mean separation was carried out using Fisher's least significant difference test. Data were tested using a one-way analysis of variance or Student's *t*-test; statistical significance is reported at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Morphological and Molecular Identification

The macro and microscopic observations of all isolates from mushroom farms confirmed that the different isolates belonged to the genus *Trichoderma* (Figure 1). The results of the identity analysis of the sequences obtained for the 12 strains allowed confirmation that they belonged to *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum*.

3.2. Growth of *Trichoderma* Isolates

In Figure 2, the results obtained from the mycelial growth of 12 isolates of *Trichoderma aggressivum* f. *europaeum* are shown. The colony growth of most isolates was fast, reaching the opposite end of the Petri dish within 96–120 h. The isolates TAET1, TAE493, and TAE1409 were the fastest, with a growth rate of 1.76, 1.74 and 1.73 cm d⁻¹, respectively. No isolates were discarded in determining the antagonistic activity of *T. aggressivum* against phytopathogens.




Figure 2. Growth rate (cm d⁻¹) of different *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* isolates. Different letters indicate significant differences according to the one-way ANOVA ($p = 0.05$). (Accession number TAET1: MW751677.1).

3.3. Effects of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* Isolates on the Radial Growth of Phytopathogens

The results from the comparison of the 12 *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* (TAE) isolates to the different phytopathogens are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Antagonistic potential of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* isolates against six phytopathogens in dual culture on PDA medium. % mycelial inhibition was calculated as $PIRM = (R1 - R2) \div R1 \times 100$, where: PIRM: percentage inhibition of radial mycelia growth of the pathogen, R1: radial growth of pathogen in control plates, R2: radial growth of pathogen in dual culture plates. Means with the same letter are not significantly different (LSD) according to ANOVA test ($p < 0.05$).

Isolates	% Inhibition of Mycelial Growth Plant Pathogens					
	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	<i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i>	<i>Fusarium solani</i>	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	<i>Mycosphaerella melonis</i>	<i>Pythium aphanidermatum</i>
TAE492	74.25 ± 1.11 ^d	85.25 ± 0.55 ^a	84.25 ± 1.42 ^e	80.75 ± 2.27 ^c	87.25 ± 2.05 ^{ab}	63.5 ± 0.55 ^b
TAE493	76.5 ± 2.40 ^{bcd}	84.00 ± 0.55 ^{ab}	85.75 ± 0.68 ^{cd}	81.75 ± 1.42 ^{bc}	87.25 ± 2.03 ^{cd}	63.75 ± 0.88 ^{ab}
TAE880	76.75 ± 1.11 ^{abcd}	84.25 ± 1.42 ^{ab}	86.00 ± 0.55 ^{bcd}	80.50 ± 0.68 ^c	87.00 ± 1.11 ^{de}	55.00 ± 1.25 ^e
TAE1320	70.25 ± 2.4 ^e	83.75 ± 1.25 ^{abc}	82.00 ± 0.68 ^f	76.00 ± 1.04 ^e	85.50 ± 1.42 ^b	58.5 ± 1.04 ^c
TAE1409	77.5 ± 3.30 ^{abcd}	83.5 ± 1.36 ^{abcd}	85.75 ± 1.42 ^{cd}	81.50 ± 1.04 ^{bc}	87.00 ± 1.11 ^{ab}	65.00 ± 0.88 ^a
TAEB80	78.25 ± 3.81 ^{abc}	81.75 ± 3.37 ^{bcd}	86.50 ± 0.55 ^{bcd}	80.50 ± 0.68 ^c	86.75 ± 2.59 ^{ab}	53.5 ± 0.55 ^f
TAET1	80.00 ± 1.76 ^a	84.00 ± 1.62 ^{ab}	89.75 ± 2.85 ^a	82.75 ± 0.55 ^{ab}	87.50 ± 0.88 ^{ab}	63.00 ± 1.11 ^b
TAET13	75.00 ± 5.79 ^{cd}	83.00 ± 2.27 ^{abcd}	87 ± 0.68 ^{bc}	76.75 ± 1.42 ^e	88.25 ± 2.09 ^a	59 ± 1.04 ^c
TAET2	75.50 ± 1.11 ^{cd}	83.25 ± 3.13 ^{abcd}	85.50 ± 0.68 ^{de}	78.50 ± 1.36 ^d	87.25 ± 2.05 ^{ab}	54.25 ± 1.42 ^{ef}
TAET3	76.75 ± 1.42 ^{abcd}	81.00 ± 2.40 ^{cd}	87.25 ± 0.55 ^b	82.25 ± 0.55 ^{ab}	87.00 ± 0.68 ^{ab}	58 ± 2.27 ^{cd}
TAET4	79.00 ± 1.04 ^{ab}	82.25 ± 4.27 ^{bcd}	89.25 ± 0.68 ^a	82.25 ± 0.55 ^{ab}	88.75 ± 0.88 ^a	65.00 ± 0.88 ^a
TAET5	78.00 ± 2.27 ^{abc}	80.75 ± 1.89 ^d	86.5 ± 0.55 ^{bcd}	83.25 ± 0.68 ^a	86.75 ± 0.68 ^{ab}	56.75 ± 0.68 ^d
P	0.0001	0.0818	0.0000	0.0000	0.2860	0.0000

Mean values (±standard deviation) followed by different letters (line) indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) by least significant difference test (LSD).

All TAE isolates showed high in vitro antagonistic activity against all phytopathogenic fungi tested. The highest inhibition percentages were detected for *F. solani* and *M. melonis*, which reached values close to 90%. In contrast, the lowest inhibition values were detected for *P. aphanidermatum*, with inhibition ranging from 53 to 65%. For the other phytopathogens, mycelial growth inhibition ranged from 70 to 90%. *Trichoderma* mycoparasitism process with hyphal coiling around the phytopathogens was observed (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Mycoparasitism by *Trichoderma* hyphae a coiling around the hyphae (A–E). Hook formation (C,E), wrapping (B,D) and hyphal lysis (F). Images were taken with a $\times 40$ objective.

One isolate was selected for the subsequent tests, TAET1, because it showed the highest growth rate and inhibition percentage for some phytopathogens.

3.4. Effect of Volatile Compounds on the Mycelial Growth of Phytopathogenic Fungi

The effect of volatile metabolites on the growth of phytopathogens is shown in Table 3. No significant inhibition percentages were found for most fungi, except for *F. solani* and *M. melonis*, which showed a decrease in growth in the last days, with mycelial inhibition percentages of 12.85% and 18.60%, respectively.

Table 3. Mycelial growth (cm) of phytopathogens on PDA medium due to exposure to *Trichoderma aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 volatiles vs. the growth control. * Means are significantly different (LSD) according to *t* students test ($p < 0.05$).

Isolates	Mycelial Growth (cm) of Plant Pathogens					
	<i>B. cinerea</i>	<i>S. sclerotiorum</i>	<i>F. solani</i>	<i>R. solani</i>	<i>M. melonis</i>	<i>P. aphanidermatum</i>
Control	4.26 ± 0.05	4.36 ± 0.11	4.26 ± 0.05	4.25 ± 0.05	4.20 ± 0.17	4.40 ± 0.10
TAET1	4.20 ± 0.17	4.16 ± 0.23	3.50 ± 0.40 *	4.10 ± 0.23	3.66 ± 0.25 *	4.23 ± 0.11
<i>p</i>	0.5614	0.2508	0.0303	0.2377	0.0390	0.2901

3.5. Detached Leaves Assay

Applying TAET1 spores on cucumber, pepper, and tomato leaves prevented the onset of symptoms caused by *B. cinerea*, *S. sclerotiorum*, and *M. melonis* in 100, 100, and 93% of the leaves, respectively, with the same efficacy as the control fungicide. Leaves not inoculated with TAET1 showed mycelial growth and obvious symptoms of rotting in 100% of the leaves for the three phytopathogens tested in this study (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Symptoms of *B. cinerea* (A–C), *M. melonis* (D–F) and *S. sclerotiorum* (G–I), infection on detached leaves treated with TAET1 (A,D,G), fungicide (C,F,I) or control (B,E,H).

3.6. *S. sclerotiorum* Sclerotia Degradation

Applying *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 resulted in a marked decrease in sclerotia viability (Table 4). Sclerotia treated with TAET1 did not show white mycelium on their surface or TAET1 conidiophores. Similarly, TAET1 sporulation was detected on the soil surface (Figure 5).

Table 4. Effects of applying *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 on sclerotia of *S. sclerotiorum*. Number of sclerotia recovered, percentage of sclerotial germination, and TAET1 colonisation measured after 25 d of incubation. * Values represent means of five replicates. Data were analysed using Student's *t*-test ($p < 0.05$).

	Sclerotia Recovered	Sclerotial Germination (%)	TAET1 Colonization (%)
Control	5	63.2	0
TAET1	2.1 *	0 *	36.8 *



Figure 5. Effect of TAET1 on sclerotial germination. Control: sclerotia with white mycelium on their surface. TAET1: Observation of *Trichoderma* on soil surface (green mass).

3.7. *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* Compatibility with Fungicides

The observed effects of 19 fungicides on growth and development of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 are presented in Table 1. The results indicated that mycelial growth of TAET1 was affected by the different doses of each of the fungicides tested in vitro, compared to the control. According to the OILB scale [68], the compatibility of the 19 fungicides tested using minimum (D2) and maximum (D3) recommended doses in horticultural crops was as follows: three were harmless (kresoxim-methyl, pencycuron and cymoxanil; inhibition <30%), four were slightly toxic (flutriafol, azoxystrobin, fenhexamid, fosetyl-Al; 30–75%), one was moderately toxic (folpet + metalaxyl-M; 75–90%), and four were toxic (thiophanate-methyl, pyrimethanil, mancozeb and chlorothalonil; >90%). Total growth inhibition at doses D2 and D3 were observed only in two fungicides (thiophanate-methyl and mancozeb) with fungicidal effects.

Seven fungicides showed different behaviour according to the scale at maximum and minimum doses; four (iprodione, triadimenol, propamocarb, and dimethomorph + mancozeb) were slightly toxic at the maximum doses (D3) and harmless at the minimum doses (D2); two (myclobutanil and copper oxychloride) were moderately toxic at (D3) and slightly toxic at (D2); and one (cyprodinil + fludioxonil) was toxic at (D3) and moderately toxic at (D2).

3.8. Biocontrol of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* against Fungal Diseases

T. aggressivum f. *europaeum* TAET1 decreased the disease severity in all study pathosystems (Figure 6).




Figure 6. Disease severity of phytopathogens in plants was rated 30–90 d after inoculation based on a 0–5 scale: where 0 = no visible disease symptoms and 5 = plant dead. T0: control; TAET1: *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1; Bot: *B. cinerea*; Mym: *M. melonis*; Scle: *S. sclerotiorum*; Rz: *R. solani*; FSC: *F. solani* f. *cucurbitae*; Pya: *P. aphanidermatum*. Mean standard deviation is expressed by error bars (24 plants per repetition). Means with the same letter are not significantly different (LSD) according to the ANOVA ($p < 0.05$).

The strongest effect was observed on *M. melonis*, with an 80.55% decrease in disease incidence. In *B. cinerea* and *S. sclerotiorum*, the decrease in disease severity reached 62% and 65.78%. Disease was also observed in the uninoculated controls (T0) of some of the pathosystems, because of the random arrangement of treatments. The severity of diseases caused by *P. aphanidermatum*, *R. solani*, and *F. solani* f. *cucurbitae* decreased by 58.69%, 67.44%, and 22.44%, respectively, after applying TAET1 spores (Figure 6). Two weeks after the last evaluation, no changes were observed in the severity index of the different pathosystems, except for *F. solani* f. *cucurbitae*, in which all plants reached mortality index 5. Repeating the test for *F. solani* f. *cucurbitae* with two inoculum concentrations confirmed the delay in disease onset, after applying TAET1, albeit without controlling the disease (Figure 7). Despite the high inoculum pressure, when the disease incidence was higher than 75%, applying TAET1 reduced the disease severity by 77 and 34%, upon F1 and F2 inoculum doses, respectively (Figure 8).



Figure 7. Comparison of the state of the plants at the end of the test: without FSC inoculum (A) and the treatments with F1 (B) and with F2 (C), with TAET1. FSC: Control without *F. solani* f. *cucurbitae* (FSC). F1: 10^5 CFU·mL⁻¹; (F2): 10^4 CFU·mL⁻¹.




Figure 8. Comparison of the TAET1 effect on the percentage of disease severity after applying two doses of FSC (F1: 10^5 CFU mL⁻¹; F2: 10^4 CFU mL⁻¹. 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%: disease severity percentages higher than 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% for F1 and F2. Means with the same letter are not significantly different (LSD) according to the ANOVA ($p < 0.05$).

In turn, foliar application of TAET1 considerably suppressed the disease caused by *P. xanthii* (Figure 9), with a reduction of 66.78% in the proportion of leaf area showing symptoms. Similarly, the number of leaves and petioles with powdery mildew was reduced by 31.42% and 33.39%, respectively.




Figure 9. Control of *P. xanthii* by spraying zucchini plants with TAET1. Mean proportion of leaf area with powdery mildew symptoms per plant, percentage of the number of leaves with symptoms per plant, and percentage of number of petioles with powdery mildew. Px: Application of *P. xanthii*. Px-TAET1: application of TAET1 3 days before application of *P. xanthii*. Data were tested using Student's *t*-test. * significant at $p < 0.05$.

4. Discussion

Species of the genus *Trichoderma* are of great interest for their benefits in agriculture and natural ecosystems. *Trichoderma* species have antagonistic activity against several soil-borne and aerial-borne plant pathogens, mainly fungi such as *Fusarium oxysporum* [31,71–75], *F. solani* [76–78], *B. cinerea* [79–85], *S. sclerotiorum* [82,86], *S. minor* [87], *Rhizoctonia solani* [72,88–90], *Phytophthora capsici* [91,92], *Phytophthora parasitica* [85], *Chondrostereum purpureum* [93], *Macrophomina phaseolina* [94], *Podosphaera xanthii* [95], *Alternaria alternata*, [96], *Pythium aphanidermatum* [97] and *Pythium ultimum* [24,98], among many others.

In the present study, the isolate *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 was selected to control different phytopathogens of interest in horticultural crops, assessing percentages of mycelial growth inhibition and disease severity reduction higher than those found in other *Trichoderma* species. For example, Zhang et al. [99] reported 75% and 82% in vitro mycelial growth inhibition of *B. cinerea* and *R. solani* by *T. longibrachiatum*, respectively. Fernandes et al. [100] also found good results of mycelial growth inhibition of *S. sclerotiorum*, *R. solani*, and *F. solani* when using different *Trichoderma* species. The same authors reported that *T. tomentosum* showed efficient antagonism against *S. sclerotiorum* and *R. solani* and moderate antagonism against *F. solani*. Additionally, Amin et al. [101] reported that *T. viride* highly inhibited the mycelial growth of *R. solani*, *S. rolfsii*, and *S. sclerotiorum* in comparison with *T. harzianum*. In general, the results from the comparison vary with the *Trichoderma* isolate and with the phytopathogen. Additionally, the results are affected by the growth medium, temperature, and other factors. Similar results were found in in vivo tests and in aerial or soil disease control. Rini and Sulochana [102] achieved 25% *Rhizoctonia* root rot incidence control after applying *T. harzianum* or *T. pseudokoningii*. In turn, Hafez et al. [103] reported approximately 40% control of powdery mildew caused by *P. xanthii* in cucumbers when using *T. harzianum* and *T. viride*. Both disease control percentages are lower than the values reached in this study with *T. aggressivum*. Very few studies have been conducted using *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* as the biological control agent. Sánchez-Montesinos et al. [24] have reported results very similar to those found

in this study when analysing *P. ultimum* after applying *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum*, even under saline stress conditions in melon plants. In *F. solani* f. *cucurbitae*, although the disease has not been well controlled, in contrast to the in vitro results, the disease onset was considerably delayed. Khanzada et al. [104] reported an in vitro mycelial growth inhibition close to 20% in comparison to *T. harzianum*. This percentage was higher for other species, reaching values close to 70% for *T. pseudokoningii*. Pérez-Hernández et al. [105] reported that applying *T. harzianum* strain T22 had no effect on disease control, in contrast to Roberti et al. [106], who detected *Fusarium* crown and foot rot control when applying the same strain, T22, and other formulations based on *Trichoderma*, in a range lower than 30%.

Interactions have been detected between *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 hyphae and different pathogens. Hyperparasitism and strong competition exerted because of rapid growth and sporulation have been the main inhibition mechanisms reported in the literature [25]. Compared to the results from other authors, little antagonistic activity because of volatile metabolites was observed in this study. Recently, the production of volatile organic compounds (VOC) of green mould (*T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum*) on different culture media have been described by Radványi et al. [107]. Similarly, Krupke et al. [108] described the production of the metabolite 3,4-dihydro-8-hydroxy-3-methylisocoumarin by *T. aggressivum*. Lee et al. [59] established the relationship between VOC production by *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* and *Arabidopsis* growth promotion. Pandey et al. [109] reported enzyme production and VOC effects on *Sclerotium rolfisii*, *R. bataticola*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. udum*, and *Colletotrichum capsici* mycelial growth, assessing inhibition percentages ranging from 8 to 55% in vitro. Different studies on *T. harzianum* have demonstrated that the production of volatile metabolites resulted in in vitro mycelial growth inhibition percentages higher than 50% for *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *dianthi* [110]. Similar results were found by El-Katatny et al. [111] because of overproduction of volatile metabolites against *Sclerotium rolfisii*.

Studies on *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* sensitivity to fungicides have generally focused on fungicides used to control green mould in cultivated mushrooms, such as prochloraz and metrafenone [112]. Unlike some results from this work, tests conducted by Kosanovic et al. [42] reported that *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* isolates were sensitive to chlorothalonil and carbendazim and less susceptible to iprodione, and some isolates were resistant to thiophanate-methyl and resistant to trifloxystrobin. Williams et al. [51] developed a selective medium for *Trichoderma* isolation from commercial *Agaricus bisporus* composts containing propamocarb and discarded captan for inhibiting sporulation. Other studies have analysed the sensitivity to chemical active substances or biological agents [49], and *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* has been applied as a biocontrol agent only sporadically; therefore, no compatibility studies have been performed on this species with fungicides commonly used to control diseases in horticultural crops. Our study provides information on the compatibility of *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 against different doses of fungicides in vitro. However, new studies must be conducted *in planta* to better establish the limits of each and to enable their use in integrated disease management.

There is no consensus on the use of pathogens derived from cultivated mushrooms [113,114]. Numerous commercial biofungicide formulations are based on species that have been described as causal agents of green mould. Similarly, although different *Trichoderma* species have been described as pathogenic agents for some plant species, such as pine seedlings [115], or as a pathogen causing ear rot disease in maize [116], and even as the cause of disease in humans after eating contaminated food [117,118] or in immunosuppressed patients [119,120], the use of *Trichoderma* in agriculture can be considered one of the best alternatives to chemical control because its benefits far outweigh any phytosanitary disadvantage.

5. Conclusions

Accordingly, based on the results from our study, we consider that *T. aggressivum* f. *europaeum* TAET1 should be studied in commercial greenhouses for future commercial purposes. Applications as a preventive and/or control of fungal plant diseases may be a

viable alternative to the use of conventional synthetic compounds. The high compatibility of this isolate with fungicides could allow its use in combination with different pest management strategies.

Author Contributions: F.D., M.S. and F.J.G. conceived and designed the experiments; A.M.-G., B.S.-M. and T.M.-R. performed the experiments; F.D. and F.J.G. analysed the data; M.S. wrote the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The present work benefited from the input of the project RTC-2017-6486-2 and was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this manuscript.

References

- Arora, N.K.; Tewari, S.; Singh, S.; Lal, N.; Maheshwari, D.K. PGPR for protection of plant health under saline conditions. In *Bacteria in Agrobiolgy: Stress Management*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2012; pp. 239–258.
- FAO. *Manual on Development and Use of FAO and WHO Specifications for Pesticides*; FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 228; FAO/WHO Joint Work: Rome, Italy, 2016.
- Pimentel, D. Pesticides and pest control. In *Integrated Pest Management: Innovation-Development Process*; Rajinder, P., Dhawan, A., Eds.; Springer: Heidelberg, Germany, 2009; Volume 1, pp. 83–87.
- Zhang, W.; Jiang, F.; Ou, J. Global pesticide consumption and pollution: With China as a focus. *Proc. Int. Acad. Ecol. Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *1*, 125–144.
- FAO. FAOSTAT. 2017. Available online: <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/> (accessed on 10 April 2020).
- Sun, B.; Zhang, L.; Yang, L.; Zhang, F.; Norse, D.; Zhu, Z. Agricultural non-point source pollution in China: Causes and mitigation measures. *Ambio* **2012**, *41*, 370–379. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Gerhardson, B. Biological substitutes for pesticides. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2002**, *20*, 338–343. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Weindling, R. *Trichoderma lignorum* as a parasite of other soil fungi. *Phytopathology* **1932**, *22*, 837–845.
- Sulaiman, M.M.; Yass, S.T.A.; Aish, A.A.; Abdullah, S.J.; Youssef, S.A. Activity of *Trichoderma* spp. against *Erwinia carotovora* causal agent of potato tuber soft rot. *Plant Arch.* **2020**, *20*, 115–118.
- Belanger, R.; Dufuor, N.; Caron, J.; Benhamou, N. Chronological events associated with the antagonistic properties of *Trichoderma harzianum* against *Botrytis cinerea*: Indirect evidence for sequential role of antibiotics and parasitism. *Biocontrol Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *5*, 41–54. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Yedidia, I.; Benhamou, N.; Chet, I. Induction of defense responses in cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.) by the Biocontrol agent *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1999**, *65*, 1061–1070. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Ahmed, A.S.; Ezziyyani, M.; Sánchez, C.P.; Candela, M. Effect of chitin on biological control activity of *Bacillus* spp. and *Trichoderma harzianum* against root rot disease in pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) plants. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2003**, *109*, 633–637. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Fraceto, L.; Maruyama, C.; Guilger, M.; Mishra, S.; Keswani, C.; Singh, H.; Lima, R. *Trichoderma harzianum* based novel formulations: Potential applications for management of next-gen agricultural challenges. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *93*, 2056–2063. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Tan, J.Y.; Shao, X.H.; Chen, L.H.; Chen, L.N.; Xu, H.L. Effect of formulations of *Trichoderma harzianum* SQR-T037 on the induction of resistance against Fusarium wilt in cucumber. *J. Food Agric. Environ.* **2012**, *10*, 1205–1209.
- Azeem, W. Evaluation of *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Azadirachta indica* in the management of *Meloidogyne incognita* in Tomato. *Pak. J. Zool.* **2020**, *53*, 119–125. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Yan, L.; Khan, R.A.A. Biological control of bacterial wilt in tomato through the metabolites produced by the biocontrol fungus, *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Egyptia J. Biol. Pest Control* **2021**, *31*, 5. [[CrossRef](#)]
- de Souza, J.T.; Pomella, A.W.; Bowers, J.H.; Pirovani, C.P.; Loguercio, L.L.; Hebbar, K.P. Genetic and biological diversity of *Trichoderma stromaticum*, a mycoparasite of the cacao witches'-broom pathogen. *Phytopathology* **2006**, *96*, 61–67. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Verma, M.; Brar, S.K.; Tyagi, R.D.; Sahai, V.; Prévost, D.; Valéro, J.R.; Surampalli, R.Y. Bench-scale fermentation of *Trichoderma viride* on wastewater sludge: Rheology, lytic enzymes and biocontrol activity. *Enzym. Microb. Technol.* **2007**, *41*, 764–771. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Sreenivasaprasad, S.; Manibushanrao, K. Efficacy of *Gliocladium virens* and *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* as biological control agents of groundnut root and stem rot diseases. *Int. J. Pest Manag.* **1993**, *39*, 167–171. [[CrossRef](#)]

20. Bansal, R.; Mukherjee, M.; Horwitz, B.A.; Mukherjee, P.K. Regulation of conidiation and antagonistic properties of the soil-borne plant beneficial fungus *Trichoderma virens* by a novel proline-, glycine-, tyrosine-rich protein and a GPI-anchored cell wall protein. *Curr. Genet.* **2019**, *65*, 953–964. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Park, Y.H.; Chandra Mishra, R.; Yoon, S.; Kim, H.; Park, C.; Seo, S.T.; Bae, H. Endophytic *Trichoderma citrinoviride* isolated from mountain-cultivated ginseng (*Panax ginseng*) has great potential as a biocontrol agent against ginseng pathogens. *J. Ginseng Res.* **2019**, *43*, 408–420. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Bunbury-Blanchette, A.L.; Walker, A.K. *Trichoderma* species show biocontrol potential in dual culture and greenhouse bioassays against Fusarium basal rot of onion. *Biol. Control* **2018**, *130*, 127–135. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Diáñez, F.; Santos, M.; Carretero, F.; Marín, F. *Trichoderma saturnisporum*, a new biological control agent. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2016**, *96*, 1934–1944. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Sánchez-Montesinos, B.; Diáñez, F.; Moreno-Gavira, A.; Gea, F.J.; Santos, M. Plant growth promotion and biocontrol of *Pythium ultimum* by saline tolerant *Trichoderma* isolates under salinity stress. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 2053. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Wells, H.D. *Trichoderma* as biocontrol agent. In *Biocontrol of Plant Diseases*; Mukerji, K.G., Garg, K.L., Eds.; CRC Press: New York, NY, USA, 1988; pp. 71–82.
26. Lewis, K.; Whipps, J.M.; Cooke, R.C. Mechanisms of biological disease control with special reference to the case study of *Pythium oligandrum* as an antagonist. In *Biotechnology of Fungi for Improving Plant Growth*; Whipps, J.M., Lumsden, R.D., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1989; pp. 191–217.
27. Suwan, S.; Isobe, M.; Kanokmedhakul, S.; Lourit, N.; Kanokmedhakul, K.; Soyong, K.; Koga, K. Elucidation of high micro-heterogeneity of an acidic-neutral trichotoxin mixture from *Trichoderma harzianum* by electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **2000**, *35*, 1438–1451. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Monte, E. Understanding *Trichoderma*: Between biotechnology and microbial ecology. *Int. Microbiol.* **2001**, *4*, 1–4. [[PubMed](#)]
29. Mohiddin, F.A.; Khan, M.R.; Khan, S.M.; Bhat, B.H. Why *Trichoderma* is considered super hero (super fungus) against the evil parasites? *Plant Pathol. J.* **2010**, *9*, 92–102. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Vinale, F.; Nigro, M.; Sivasithamparam, K.; Flematti, G.; Ghisalberti, E.L.; Ruocco, M.; Varlese, R.; Marra, R.; Lanzuise, S.; Eid, A.; et al. Harzianic acid: A novel siderophore from *Trichoderma harzianum*. *FEMS Microb. Lett.* **2013**, *347*, 123–129. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Vinale, F.; Sivasithamparam, K.; Ghisalberti, E.L.; Marra, R.; Woo, S.L.; Lorito, M. *Trichoderma*–plant–pathogen interactions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2008**, *40*, 1–10. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Harman, G.; Howell, C.; Viterbo, A.; Chet, I.; Lorito, M. *Trichoderma* species—opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2004**, *2*, 43–56. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Harman, G.E. Overview of mechanisms and uses of *Trichoderma* spp. *Phytopathology* **2006**, *96*, 190–194. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Haggag, W.M. Biotechnological aspects of plant resistant for fungal diseases management. *Am. Eur. J. Sustain. Agric.* **2008**, *2*, 1–18.
35. Bisen, K.; Keswani, C.; Patel, J.S.; Sarma, B.K.; Singh, H.B. *Trichoderma* spp.: Efficient inducers of systemic resistance in plants. In *Microbial-Mediated Induced Systemic Resistance in Plants*; Choudhary, D., Varma, A., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2016; pp. 185–195. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Benítez, T.; Rincón, A.M.; Limón, M.C.; Codón, A.C. Biocontrol mechanism of *Trichoderma* strains. *Int. Microbiol.* **2004**, *7*, 249–260.
37. Keswani, C.; Mishra, S.; Sarma, B.K.; Singh, S.P.; Singh, H.B. Unraveling the efficient applications of secondary metabolites of various *Trichoderma* spp. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *98*, 533–544. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Verma, M.; Brar, S.K.; Tyagi, R.D.; Surampalli, R.Y.; Valéro, J.R. Antagonistic fungi, *Trichoderma* spp.: Panoply of biological control. *Biochem. Eng. J.* **2007**, *37*, 1–20. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Harman, G.; Kubicek, C. *Trichoderma Gliocladium*; CRC Press: London, UK, 1998; Volume 2.
40. Geremia, R.A.; Goldman, G.H.; Jacobs, D.; Ardiles, W.; Vila, S.B.; Van Montagu, M.; Herrera-Estrella, A. Molecular characterization of the proteinase-encoding gene, *prb1*, related to mycoparasitism by *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **1993**, *8*, 603–613. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Hatvani, L.; Antal, Z.; Manczinger, L.; Szekeres, A.; Druzhinina, I.S.; Kubicek, C.P.; Nagy, A.; Nagy, E.; Vágvölgyi, C.; Kredics, L. Green mold diseases of *Agaricus* and *Pleurotus* spp. are caused by related but phylogenetically different *Trichoderma* species. *Phytopathology* **2007**, *97*, 532–537. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Kosanović, D.; Potočnik, I.; Duduk, B.; Vukojević, J.; Stajić, M.; Rekanović, E.; Milijašević-Marčić, S. *Trichoderma* species on *Agaricus bisporus* farms in Serbia and their biocontrol. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2013**, *163*, 218–230. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Jayalal, R.G.U.; Adikaram, N.K.B. Influence of *Trichoderma harzianum* metabolites on the development of green mould disease in the Oyster mushroom. *Ceylon J. Sci.* **2007**, *36*, 53–60.
44. Kim, C.S.; Park, M.S.; Kim, S.C.; Maekawa, N.; Yu, S.H. Identification of *Trichoderma*, a competitor of shiitake mushroom (*Lentinula edodes*), and competition between *Lentinula edodes* and *Trichoderma* species in Korea. *Plant Pathol. J.* **2012**, *28*, 137–148. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Błaszczyk, L.; Siwulski, M.; Sobieralski, K.; Frużyńska-Józwiak, D. Diversity of *Trichoderma* spp. causing *Pleurotus* green mould diseases in Central Europe. *Folia Microbiol.* **2013**, *58*, 325–333. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Wang, G.; Cao, X.; Ma, X.; Guo, M.; Liu, C.; Yan, L.; Bian, Y. Diversity and effect of *Trichoderma* spp. associated with green mold disease on *Lentinula edodes* in China. *MicrobiologyOpen* **2016**, *5*, 709–718. [[CrossRef](#)]

47. Hermosa, R.; Viterbo, A.; Chet, I.; Monte, E. Plant-beneficial effects of *Trichoderma* and of its genes. *Microbiology* **2012**, *158*, 17–25. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Park, M.S.; Bae, K.S.; Yu, S.H. Two new species of *Trichoderma* associated with green mold of oyster mushroom cultivation in Korea. *Mycobiology* **2006**, *34*, 111–113. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Gea, F.J.; Navarro, M.J.; Santos, M.; Diáñez, F.; Carrasco, J. Control of fungal diseases in mushroom crops while dealing with fungicide resistance: A review. *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 585. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. Mamoun, L.M.; Savoie, J.M.; Olivier, J.M. Interactions between the pathogen *Trichoderma harzianum* Th2 and *Agaricus bisporus* in mushroom compost. *Mycologia* **2000**, *92*, 233–240. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Williams, J.; Clarkson, J.M.; Mills, P.R.; Cooper, R.M. A selective medium for quantitative re-isolation of *Trichoderma harzianum* from *Agaricus bisporus* compost. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2003**, *69*, 4190–4191. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Mumpuni, A.; Sharma, H.; Brown, A.E. Effect of metabolites produced by *Trichoderma harzianum* biotypes and *Agaricus bisporus* on their respective growth radii in culture. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1998**, *64*, 5053–5056. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Savoie, J.; Iapicco, R.; Largeteau-Mamoun, M. Factors influencing the competitive saprophytic ability of *Trichoderma harzianum* Th2 in mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) compost. *Mycol. Res.* **2001**, *105*, 1348–1356. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Kosanovic, D.; Grogan, H.; Kavanagh, K. Exposure of *Agaricus bisporus* to *Trichoderma aggressivum* f. *europaeum* leads to growth inhibition and induction of an oxidative stress response. *Fungal Biol.* **2020**, *124*, 814–820. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. O'Brien, M.; Grogan, H.; Kavanagh, K. Proteomic response of *Trichoderma aggressivum* f. *europaeum* to *Agaricus bisporus* tissue and mushroom compost. *Fungal Biol.* **2014**, *118*, 785–791.
56. Ordaz-Ochoa, J. Control Biológico y Químico de *Armillaria* spp., (Vahl.: Fr.) Karsten. Aisladas de Aguacate (*Persea americana* Mill. var. *drymifolia* (Schltdl. y Cham.) S.F. Blake). Maestría en Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Uruapan Michoacan, Mexico, 2017.
57. Rodríguez, M.C.H.; Evans, H.C.; de Abreu, L.M.; de Macedo, D.M.; Ndacnou, M.K.; Bekele, K.B.; Barreto, R.W. New species and records of *Trichoderma* isolated as mycoparasites and endophytes from cultivated and wild coffee in Africa. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 5671. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Sánchez-Montesinos, B.; Diáñez, F.; Moreno-Gavira, A.; Gea, F.J.; Santos, M. Role of *Trichoderma aggressivum* f. *europaeum* as plant-growth promoter in horticulture. *Agronomy* **2020**, *10*, 1004. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Lee, S.; Yap, M.; Behringer, G.; Hung, R.; Bennett, J.W. Volatile organic compounds emitted by *Trichoderma* species mediate plant growth. *Fungal Biol. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *3*, 7. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Carrasco, J.; Navarro, M.; Santos, M.; Diáñez, F.; Gea, F. Incidence, identification and pathogenicity of *Cladobotryum mycophilum*, causal agent of cobweb disease on *Agaricus bisporus* mushroom crops in Spain. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2016**, *168*, 214–224. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Zhang, Z.; Schwartz, S.; Wagner, L.; Miller, W. A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. *J. Comput. Biol.* **2000**, *7*, 203–214. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Morgulis, A.; Coulouris, G.; Raytselis, Y.; Madden, T.L.; Agarwala, R.; Schäffer, A.A. Database indexing for production MegaBLAST searches. *Bioinformatics* **2008**, *24*, 1757–1764. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Santos, M.; Diáñez, F.; del Valle, M.A.; Tello, J. Grape marc compost: Microbial studies and suppression of soil-borne mycosis in vegetable seedlings. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2008**, *24*, 1493–1505. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Novak, N.G.; Perez, F.G.; Jones, R.W.; Lawrence, S.D. Detached leaf assays to simplify gene expression studies in potato during infestation by chewing insect *Manduca sexta*. *J. Vis. Exp.* **2019**, *147*, e59153. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Patial, M.; Kumar, J.; Pal, D. Detached leaf assay for evaluating resistance to leaf rust Pst. 104-2 in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* **2017**, *55*, 789–794.
66. Diáñez, F.; Santos, M.; Blanco, R.; Tello, J.C. Fungicide resistance in *Botrytis cinerea* isolates from strawberry crops in Huelva (Southwestern Spain). *Phytoparasitica* **2002**, *30*, 529–534. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Nene, Y.L.; Thapliyal, R.N. *Evaluation of Fungicides for Plant Disease Control*, 3rd ed.; IBH Publishing Co.: New Dehli, India, 1993; p. 33.
68. Viñuela, E.; Jacas, J.A.; Marco, V.; Adan, A.; Budia, F. Los efectos de los plaguicidas sobre los organismos beneficiosos en agricultura. Grupo de trabajo de OILB plaguicidas y organismos beneficiosos. I. Insecticidas y acaricidas. *Phytoma* **1993**, *45*, 18–25.
69. Marín, F.; Diáñez, F.; Santos, M.; Carretero, F.; Gea, F.J.; Castañeda, C.; Navarro, M.J.; Yau, J.A. Control of *Phytophthora capsici* and *Phytophthora parasitica* on pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) with compost teas from different sources and their effects on plant growth promotion. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* **2014**, *53*, 216–228.
70. Chen, Y.; Wang, D. Two convenient methods to evaluate soybean for resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Dis.* **2005**, *89*, 1268–1272. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Dubey, S.C.; Suresh, M.; Singh, B. Evaluation of trichoderma species against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris* for integrated management of chickpea wilt. *Biol. Control* **2007**, *40*, 118–127. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Singh, S.; Balodi, R. Bio-management of soil borne pathogens infesting cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) under protected cultivation system. *Biol. Control* **2021**, *157*, 104569. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Díaz-Gutiérrez, C.; Arroyave, C.; Llugany, M.; Poschenrieder, C.; Martos, S.; Peláez, C. *Trichoderma asperellum* as a preventive and curative agent to control *Fusarium* wilt in *Stevia rebaudiana*. *Biol. Control* **2021**, *155*, 104537. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Jamil, A.; Musheer, N.; Ashraf, S. Antagonistic potential of *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Azadirachta indica* against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *capsici* for the management of chilli wilt. *J. Plant Dis. Prot.* **2021**, *128*, 161–172. [[CrossRef](#)]

75. El-Komy, M.H.; Saleh, A.A.; Eranthodi, A.; Molan, Y.Y. Characterization of novel *Trichoderma asperellum* isolates to select effective biocontrol agents against tomato *Fusarium* wilt. *Plant Pathol. J.* **2015**, *31*, 50–60. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Rojo, F.G.; Reynoso, M.M.; Ferez, M.; Chulze, S.N.; Torres, A.M. Biological control by *Trichoderma* species of *Fusarium solani* causing peanut brown root rot under field conditions. *Crop Prot.* **2007**, *26*, 549–555. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Morsy, E.M.; Abdel-Kawi, K.A.; Khalil, M.N.A. Efficiency of *Trichoderma viride* and *Bacillus subtilis* as biocontrol agents against *Fusarium solani* on tomato plants. *Egypt. J. Phytopathol.* **2009**, *37*, 47–57.
78. Amira, M.B.; López, D.; Mohamed, A.T.; Khouaja, A.; Chaar, H.; Fumanal, B.; Gousset-Dupont, A.; Bonhomme, L.; Label, P.; Goupil, P. Beneficial effect of *Trichoderma harzianum* strain *Ths97* in biocontrolling *Fusarium solani* causal agent of root rot disease in olive trees. *Biol. Control* **2017**, *110*, 70–78. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Zimand, G.; Elad, Y.; Chet, I. Effect of *Trichoderma harzianum* on *Botrytis cinerea* pathogenicity. *Phytopathology* **1996**, *86*, 1255–1260. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Kapat, A.; Zimand, Y.; Elad, Y. Effect of two isolates of *Trichoderma harzianum* on the activity of hydrolytic enzymes produced by *Botrytis cinerea*. *Physiol. Mol. Plant. Pathol.* **1998**, *52*, 127–137. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Elad, Y.; Kapat, A. The role of *Trichoderma harzianum* protease in the biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea*. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **1999**, *105*, 177–189. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Elad, Y. *Trichoderma harzianum* T39 preparation for biocontrol of plant diseases—control of *Botrytis cinerea*, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Cladosporium fulvum*. *Biocont. Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *10*, 499–507. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Yang, H.H.; Yang, S.L.; Peng, K.C.; Lo, C.T.; Liu, S.Y. Induced proteome of *Trichoderma harzianum* by *Botrytis cinerea*. *Mycol. Res.* **2009**, *113*, 924–932. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Fedele, G.; Brischetto, C.; Rossi, V. Biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea* on Grape Berries as influenced by temperature and humidity. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 1232. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Choudhary, A.K.; Singh, N.; Singh, D. Evaluation of the bioformulation of potent native strains of *Trichoderma* spp. against the foot rot/gummosis of Kinnow mandarin. *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control* **2021**, *31*, 90. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Manganiello, G.; Nicastro, N.; Caputo, M.; Zaccardelli, M.; Cardi, T.; Pane, C. Functional hyperspectral imaging by high-related vegetation indices to track the wide-spectrum *Trichoderma* biocontrol activity against soil-borne diseases of baby-leaf vegetables. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 630059. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
87. Abdollahzadeh, J.; Goltapeh, E.M.; Rouhani, H. Evaluation of antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma* species in biological control of causal agents of crown and root rot of sunflower (*Sclerotinia minor*) in vitro. *Agric. Sci. Tabriz* **2003**, *13*, 13–23.
88. Pandey, S.; Pundhir, V.S. Mycoparasitism of potato black scurf pathogen (*Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn) by biological control agents to sustain production. *Indian J. Hortic.* **2013**, *70*, 71–75.
89. Lucon, M.C.M.; Koike, C.M.; Ishikawa, I.A.; Patrício, F.R.A.; Harakava, R. Bioprospection of *Trichoderma* spp. isolates to control *Rhizoctonia solani* on cucumber seedling production. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira* **2009**, *44*, 225–232. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Zhang, Y.; Zhuang, W.Y. *Trichoderma brevicrassum* strain TC967 with capacities of diminishing cucumber disease caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* and promoting plant growth. *Biol. Control* **2020**, *142*, 104151. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Ezziyyani, M.; Requena, M.E.; Egea-Gilabert, C.; Candela, M.E. Biological control of *Phytophthora* root rot of pepper using *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Streptomyces rochei* in combination. *Int. J. Environ. Sci.* **2007**, *155*, 342–349. [[CrossRef](#)]
92. Roberts, D.P.; Maul, J.E.; McKenna, L.F.; Emche, S.E.; Meyer, S.L.F.; Collins, R.T.; Bowers, J.H. Selection of genetically diverse *Trichoderma* spp. isolates for suppression of *Phytophthora capsici* on bell pepper. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **2010**, *56*, 864–873. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Schubert, M.; Fink, S.; Schwarze, F.W.M.R. Evaluation of *Trichoderma* spp. as a biocontrol agent against wood decay fungi in urban trees. *Biol. Control* **2008**, *45*, 111–123. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Swehla, A.; Pandey, A.K.; Nair, R.M. Bioactivity of *Trichoderma harzianum* isolates against the fungal root rot pathogens with special reference to *Macrophomina phaseolina* causing dry root rot of mungbean. *Indian Phytopathol.* **2020**, *73*, 787–792. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Elad, Y. Biological control of foliar pathogens by means of *Trichoderma harzianum* and potential modes of action. *Crop Prot.* **2000**, *19*, 709–714. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Gveroska, B.; Ziberoski, J. *Trichoderma harzianum* as a biocontrol agent against *Alternaria alternata* on tobacco. *ATI Appl. Tech. Innov.* **2012**, *7*, 67–76. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Le, H.T.; Black, L.L.; Sikora, R.A. Evaluation of *Trichoderma* spp. for biocontrol of tomato sudden caused by *Pythium aphanidermatum* following flooding in tropical hot season. *Commun. Agric. Appl. Biol. Sci.* **2003**, *68*, 463–474.
98. Ramesh, R. Management of damping off in brinjal using biocontrol agents. *J. Mycol. Plant Pathol.* **2004**, *34*, 666–669.
99. Zhang, S.; Xua, B.; Zhanga, J.; Ganc, Y. Identification of the antifungal activity of *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* T6 and assessment of bioactive substances in controlling phytopathogens. *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* **2018**, *147*, 59–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Fernandes, T.; Lopes, A.C.A.; Steindorff, S.A.R.; Jesuino, S.A.R.; Ulhoa, C. Mycoparasitism studies of *Trichoderma* species against three phytopathogenic fungi: Evaluation of antagonism and hydrolytic enzyme production. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **2013**, *35*, 1461–1468.
101. Amin, F.; Razdan, V.K.; Mohiddin, F.A.; Bhat, K.A.; Sheikh, P.A. Effect of volatile metabolites of *Trichoderma* species against seven fungal plant pathogens in-vitro. *J. Phytol.* **2010**, *2*, 34–37.
102. Rini, C.R.; Sulochana, K.K. Management of seedling rot of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) using *Trichoderma* spp. and fluorescent pseudomonads (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*). *J. Trop. Agric.* **2006**, *44*, 79–82.
103. Hafez, Y.M.; El-Nagar, A.S.; Elzaawely, A.A.; Kamel, S.; Maswada, H.F. Biological control of *Podosphaera xanthii* the causal agent of squash powdery mildew disease by up-regulation of defense-related enzymes. *Egyptia J. Biol. Pest Control* **2018**, *28*, 57. [[CrossRef](#)]

104. Khanzada, M.A.; Tanveer, M.; Maitlo, S.A.; Hajano, J.; Ujjan, A.A.; Syed, R.N.; Lodhi, A.M.; Rajput, A.Q. Comparative efficacy of chemical fungicides, plant extracts and biocontrol agents against *Fusarium solani* under laboratory conditions. *Pak. J. Phytopathol.* **2016**, *28*, 133–139.
105. Pérez-Hernández, A.; Porcel-Rodríguez, E.; Gómez-Vázquez, J. Survival of *Fusarium solani* f. sp. *cucurbitae* and fungicide application, soil solarization and biosolarization for control of crown and foot rot of zucchini squash. *Plant Dis.* **2017**, *101*, 1507–1514. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
106. Roberti, R.; Veronesi, A.; Flamigni, F. Evaluation of microbial products for the control of zucchini foot and root rot caused by *Fusarium solani* f. sp. *cucurbitae* race 1. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* **2012**, *51*, 317–331.
107. Radványi, D.; Geösel, A.; Jókai, Z.; Fodor, S.P.; Gere, A. Detection and identification of microbial volatile organic compounds of the green mold disease: MVOC profile on different media. *Int. J. Agric. Environ. Inf. Sys.* **2020**, *11*, 14–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Krupke, O.A.; Castle, A.J.; Rinker, D.L. The North American mushroom competitor, *Trichoderma aggressivum* f. *aggressivum*, produces antifungal compounds in mushroom compost that inhibit mycelial growth of the commercial mushroom *Agaricus bisporus*. *Mycol. Res.* **2003**, *107*, 1467–1475. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Pandey, V.; Shukla, A.; Kumar, J. Physiological and molecular signalling involved in disease management through *Trichoderma*: An effective biocontrol paradigm. In *Current Trends in Plant Disease Diagnostics and Management Practices*; Kumar, P., Gupta, V.K., Tiwari, A.K., Kamle, M., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2016; pp. 317–346.
110. Mahalakshmi, P.; Yesu, R.I. Biocontrol potential of *Trichoderma* species against wilt disease of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *dianthi*. *J. Biopest.* **2013**, *6*, 32–36.
111. El-Katatny, M.; Gudelj, M.; Robra, K.H.; Elnaghy, M.; Gübitz, G. Characterization of a chitinase and an endo- β -1,3-glucanase from *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai T24 involved in control of the phytopathogen *Sclerotium Rolfsii*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2001**, *56*, 137–143. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Luković, J.; Milijašević-Marčić, S.; Hatvani, L.; Kredics, L.; Szűcs, A.; Vágvölgyi, C.; Duduk, N.; Vico, I.; Potočnik, I. Sensitivity of *Trichoderma* strains from edible mushrooms to the fungicides prochloraz and metrafenone. *J. Environ. Sci. Health* **2020**, *56*, 54–63. [[CrossRef](#)]
113. Komon-Zelazowska, M.; Bissett, J.; Zafari, D.; Hatvani, L.; Manczinger, L.; Woo, S.; Lorito, M.; Kredics, L.; Kubicek, C.P.; Druzhinina, I.S. Genetically closely related but phenotypically divergent *Trichoderma* species cause world-wide green mould disease in oyster mushroom farms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2007**, *73*, 7416–7426. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
114. Oskiera, M.; Szczech, M.; Stępowaska, A.; Smolińska, U.; Bartoszewski, G. Monitoring of *Trichoderma* species in agricultural soil in response to application of biopreparations. *Biol. Control* **2017**, *113*, 65–72. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. Destri Nicosia, M.G.L.; Mosca, S.; Mercurio, R.; Schena, L. Dieback of *Pinus nigra* seedlings caused by a strain of *Trichoderma viride*. *Plant Dis.* **2015**, *99*, 44–49. [[CrossRef](#)]
116. Pfordt, A.; Schiwiek, S.; Karlovsky, P.; von Tiedemann, A. *Trichoderma Afroharzianum* Ear Rot—A new disease on maize in Europe. *Front. Agron.* **2020**, *2*, 547758. [[CrossRef](#)]
117. Tijerino, A.; Cardoza, R.E.; Moraga, J.; Malmierca, M.G.; Vicente, F.; Aleu, J.; Collado, I.G.; Gutierrez, S.; Monte, E.; Hermosa, R. Overexpression of the trichodiene synthase gene *tri5* increases trichodermin production and antimicrobial activity in *Trichoderma brevicompactum*. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **2011**, *48*, 285–296. [[CrossRef](#)]
118. Yang, Y.; Yu, S.; Tan, Y.; Liu, N.; Wu, A. Individual and combined cytotoxic effects of co-occurring deoxynivalenol family mycotoxins on human gastric epithelial cells. *Toxins* **2017**, *9*, 96. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
119. Muñoz, F.M.; Demmler, G.J.; Travis, W.R.; Ogden, A.K.; Rossmann, S.N.; Rinaldi, M.G. *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* infection in a pediatric patient with aplastic anemia. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1997**, *35*, 499–503. [[CrossRef](#)]
120. Sautour, M.; Chrétien, M.L.; Valot, S.; Lafon, I.; Basmaciyan, L.; Legouge, C.; Verrier, T.; Gonssaud, B.; Abou-Hanna, H.; Dalle, F.; et al. First case of proven invasive pulmonary infection due to *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* in a neutropenic patient with acute leukemia. *J. Mycol. Med.* **2018**, *28*, 659–662. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]