

# Genetics of hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: progress and future challenges

Gianpaolo Suriano<sup>†</sup>,  
Paulo Ferreira, Ana Rita  
Mateus, Joana Correia,  
Lara Henriques &  
Raquel Seruca

<sup>†</sup>Author for correspondence  
Institute of Molecular  
Pathology & Immunology of  
the University of Porto, Rua  
Dr Roberto Frias S/N  
4200-465, Porto, Portugal  
Tel.: +35 122 557 0700;  
Fax: +35 122 557 0799;  
gsuriano@ipatimup.pt

Hereditary gastric cancer is a rare cancer susceptibility syndrome. One third of hereditary diffuse gastric cancer syndrome families carry germline mutations of the E-cadherin gene. Owing to the limitation of the current endoscopic screening techniques and since no chemoprevention is yet available, total prophylactic gastrectomy is the only option offered to carriers of inactivating mutations in genetic counseling. In this regard, 30% of the E-cadherin germline mutations reported to date are of the missense type, and since their pathogenic significance is not straightforward, the management of carriers of such mutations is suboptimal. In the absence of definitive clinical evidence, functional *in vitro* studies together with *in silico* analysis have been used to infer the pathogenic significance of germline missense mutations. Since most of the HDGC families reported to date are negative for E-cadherin germline mutations, the identification of alternative genes underlying the tumorigenesis of diffuse gastric has become an important target for research.

## Gastric cancer

Gastric cancer is one of the three leading causes of cancer death worldwide. According to Lauren's classification, there are two major histopathological variants, namely, an intestinal type and a diffuse type [1]. The intestinal variant shows components of glandular or intestinal architecture together with tubular structures. On the other hand, in the diffuse variant, single cells or poorly cohesive clusters of cells infiltrate the gastric wall. These cells contain a globule of intracellular mucin and an eccentric nucleus, recalling a signet ring.

As much as 90% of gastric cancer cases arise in a sporadic setting, whereas, for the remaining 10%, familial clustering is observed [2-4]. Both familial and sporadic gastric cancers are products of multiple genetic and epigenetic alterations that transform normal gastric epithelial cells into malignant neoplasms. These include, activation of oncogenes through mutation and/or amplification, or biallelic inactivation of tumor suppressor genes through mutation and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) or promoter hypermethylation [5]. It appears that the molecular basis of the differences in morphology and behavior of intestinal versus diffuse gastric cancers could be attributed at least in part to differences in E-cadherin function [6]. The genetic alteration underlying the hereditary forms of intestinal gastric cancer still remains to be identified.

## E-cadherin

E-cadherin is a transmembrane glycoprotein critical for establishing and maintaining

polarized and differentiated epithelia during development and in adult tissues. Adherens junctions (AJs) cluster, via homophilic interactions, through the extracellular domains of calcium-dependent E-cadherin molecules on the surface of homotypic neighbor cells [7].  $\beta$ -catenin binds through its Armadillo repeats to the distal region of the E-cadherin tail, thereby stabilizing the E-cadherin molecule and facilitating transport of the newly synthesized protein to the cell surface [8].  $\beta$ -catenin binds to  $\alpha$ -catenin and links components of the AJs to the actin cytoskeleton. p120ctn, another member of the catenin family, interacts with E-cadherin at the level of its juxtamembrane domain. Although static at first, AJs undergo dynamic rearrangement with cadherin molecules entering the endocytosis pathway, being either recycled back to the plasma membrane or ubiquitinated for lysosomal degradation [9]. A role for E-cadherin in tumor development is now well established, with many human carcinomas, such as skin, head and neck, lung, breast, thyroid, gastric, colon and ovarian, exhibiting reduced E-cadherin expression relative to their normal cellular counterparts [10]. Experimental evidence supports a role for the E-cadherin complex both in suppressing invasion and metastasis formation [10]. Somatic mutations in cadherin type 1 (*CDH1*) have been identified in 40-83% of sporadic diffuse-type gastric cancers, but not in sporadic intestinal-type gastric cancers [11]. This observation provided the rationale for considering the *CDH1* gene as a candidate susceptibility factor for hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC) [12].

**Keywords:** candidate genes, E-cadherin, gastric cancer, germline, hereditary diffuse gastric cancer, mutations, prophylactic gastrectomy

future  
medicine

### Genetics of hereditary gastric cancer

HDGC is an autosomal dominant inherited gastric cancer susceptibility syndrome. For the majority of families with clustering of gastric cancers, the etiology is likely multifactorial [13]. In 1998, germline truncating mutations of the *E-cadherin* gene were described in three Maori families with predisposition to diffuse gastric cancer [12]. Similar mutations have since been described in close to 60 other families of different ethnic backgrounds [14]. In 1999, the International GC Linkage Consortium (IGCLC) was formed and the first clinical criteria for HDGC were defined as two or more documented cases of diffuse gastric cancer in first/second degree relatives, with at least one diagnosed before the age of 50; and three or more cases of documented diffuse gastric cancer in first/second degree relatives, independently of age of onset [15]. Recently, on the basis of the results obtained from the ascertainment of 73 new HDGC families, it was demonstrated that the presence in the pedigree of a confirmed diffuse carcinoma diagnosed before the age of 50, together with a heavy history of gastric cancer, represent the optimal criterion for the identification of E-cadherin germline mutations in HDGC families [16,17]. In addition, *CDH1* mutations were also identified in early-onset isolated cases of diffuse gastric cancer (age <35 years) and in families with multiple cases of lobular breast cancer (LBC) or any history of gastric cancer [17], confirming the existence of an association between *CDH1* mutations and LBC.

### Management of hereditary diffuse gastric cancer

The importance of identifying the genetic basis of cancer susceptibility in HDGC families has been underscored by recent reports of endoscopically silent early diffuse gastric cancers in prophylactic gastrectomy samples from germline E-cadherin mutation carriers [17–19]. These findings suggest that prophylactic gastrectomy may be the best treatment for germline mutation carriers and that current endoscopic screening techniques might be inadequate. Nevertheless, the adverse effects on morbidity, mortality, nutritional status, and quality of life associated to this surgery should not be neglected [20]. Recently Show and colleagues discussed the potential of chromoendoscopy for the surveillance of E-cadherin mutation carriers [21]. When this modality is validated and becomes routinely available, it might represent a valid alternative to prophylactic gastrectomy, especially for

carriers who choose not to undergo prophylactic gastrectomy for medical or social reasons. To date, total prophylactic gastrectomies have been offered to and performed only on HDGC-carriers of inactivating, highly penetrant germline mutations. Owing to its high morbidity, it was suggested that prophylactic gastrectomy should not be recommended to members of HDGC families in which a causative mutation has not been identified and more in general to individuals with an unconfirmed risk [18]. In this respect, and as observed for other cancer predisposing genes such as *BRCA1* or *MLH1* [22,23], germline *CDH1* mutations of the missense type represent a problem for genetic counseling, since their pathogenic relevance is not straight-forward.

### CDH1 germline missense mutations

Of the 58 E-cadherin germline mutations reported to date in both hereditary diffuse gastric cancer families and early-onset diffuse gastric isolated cases [14], 19 (33%) are of the missense type (Table 1). These mutations span the entire coding region of the *E-cadherin* gene, without preferential hot-spot. Contrary to the E-cadherin germline truncating mutations for which 80% disease-penetrance is estimated [6], missense mutations display a low-penetrance phenotype, with few mutation carriers affected within pedigrees. This, together with the fact that these HDGC families are usually very small and providing very few individuals that are available for testing, has not allowed segregation analysis within E-cadherin germline missense mutations families. Lacking this clinical information, it appears very difficult to understand the pathogenic significance of missense mutations. In this regard, *in silico* analysis and *in vitro* functional assays have been performed to help infer the deleterious nature of E-cadherin germline missense variants [16,17,30,32,35].

### Predicting the impact of amino acid substitutions on protein function through evolutionary conservation

This approach assumes that functionally relevant amino acids will be conserved between species. In figure 1 the authors have aligned the E-cadherin protein sequence of five different animal-species (human, rat, mouse, dog and chicken) and highlighted in the human sequence the positions at which mutations have been found. If we consider the germline missense mutation W409R, this position only contains the amino acid tryptophan for all the sequences aligned.

**Table 1. CDH1 germline missense mutations found to date in the setting of both hereditary diffuse gastric cancer and early onset isolated cases.**

<b>E-cadherin germline missense mutation</b>	<b>Author/date</b>	<b>Setting</b>	<b>Functional significance</b>	<b>Ref.</b>
G62V (exon 3)	Shinamura <i>et al.</i> : (1999)	Early onset	ND	[24]
T118R (exon 3)	Unpublished data	HDGC	Yes	
P172R (exon 4)	Avizienyte <i>et al.</i> : (2001)	HDGC	ND	[25]
L214P (exon 5)	Unpublished data	HDGC	Yes	
G239R (exon 6)	Unpublished data	HDGC	Yes	
D244G (exon 6)	Yoon <i>et al.</i> : (1999)	HDGC	ND	[26]
A298T (exon 7)	Brooks-Wilson <i>et al.</i> : (2004)	HDGC	Yes	[16]
T340A (exon 8)	Kim <i>et al.</i> : (2000)	ND	Yes	[27]
T340A (exon 8)	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> : (2002)	HDGC	Yes	[28]
W409R (exon 9)	Brooks-Wilson <i>et al.</i> : (2004)	Early onset	Yes	[16]
I415L (exon 9)	Wang <i>et al.</i> : (2003)	HDGC	ND	[29]
P429S (exon 9)	Suriano <i>et al.</i> : (2005)	Early onset	Yes	[17]
V487A (exon 10)	Yoon <i>et al.</i> : (1999)	HDGC	ND	[26]
A592T (exon 12)	Keller <i>et al.</i> : (2004)	Early onset	No	[30]
A592T (exon 12)	Salahshor <i>et al.</i> : (2001)	HDGC	No	[31]
T599S* (exon 12)	Kim <i>et al.</i> : (2000)	ND <sup>†</sup>	ND	[27]
A617T (exon 12)	Suriano <i>et al.</i> : (2003)	Early onset	No	[32]
A634V (exon 12)	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> : (2004)	HDGC	Yes	[33]
A634V (exon 12)	Suriano <i>et al.</i> : (2003)	Early onset	Yes	[32]
R732Q (exon 14)	Brooks-Wilson <i>et al.</i> : (2004)	HDGC	Yes	[16]
P799R (exon 15)	Keller <i>et al.</i> : (2004)	HDGC	Yes	[30]
V832M (exon 16)	Yabuta <i>et al.</i> : (2002)	HDGC	Yes	[34]

\*Was reported as L599V;

<sup>†</sup>Patients were classified according to the Amsterdam criteria for hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC).

HDGC: Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer syndrome; CDH1: Cadherin Type 1

This would indicate that substitution to any other amino acid is selected against and that tryptophan is necessary for protein function. Therefore, a change to any other amino acid will be predicted to be deleterious to protein function. On the other hand, considering the germline mutation I487L, at this position the alignment contains the hydrophobic amino acids isoleucine and valine, therefore it could be predicted that this position can only contain amino acids with hydrophobic character. Since leucine is also a hydrophobic amino acid, the change to I487L could be tolerated, but not changes to other residues, such as charged or polar amino acid. Using this rationale, of the 19 missense mutations reported, 10 (53%) were predicted to affect protein function (highlighted in red, Figure 1), while the remaining 9 (47%) would be tolerated (reported in black, Figure 1).

Other secondary effects, such as reduced mRNA stability or abnormal splicing, are considered below.

***In vitro* characterization of the functional effect of germline missense mutations on the E-cadherin activity is the most informative tool currently available for the classification of mutations**

On the basis of the E-cadherin ability to mediate cell–cell adhesion and suppress cell invasion, the authors have created a functional cell model that determines the impact of missense mutation on the protein function [32]. Using this *in vitro* model we have characterized 13 of the 19 E-cadherin germline missense mutations reported to date (Table 1). With the exception of A617T and A592T, for which only mild effects were observed [30], all the other missense mutations impaired *in vitro* the E-cadherin ability to mediate cell–cell adhesion and suppress invasion,

supporting their pathogenic nature. Interestingly, A592T and A617T were also described to be present in normal population control at polymorphic frequency [30,32] and were also predicted to be tolerated by *in silico* characterization. Together, these findings suggest that A592T and A617T are neutral variants, which do not influence the risk of hereditary gastric cancer in general, but could have a predisposing role within the specific pedigrees reported.

The above mentioned *in vitro* system has also provided evidences for an association between the specific location of each mutation in the *E-cadherin* gene and cell phenotype [36]. It was demonstrated that mutations on the extracellular domain of the protein exhibit enhanced cell motility mediated through ras homolog gene pathway (RhoA) activation; on the contrary, the intracellular V832M mutation adjacent to the  $\beta$ -catenin-binding domain hampers cell motility by destabilizing the E-cadherin/ $\beta$ -catenin junctional complex [36]. These differences may account for distinct clinical outcomes depending on the domain affected by the mutations. Using the same subset of mutations, the authors were recently able to demonstrate that *in vitro* loss of functional E-cadherin renders cells more resistant to apoptotic stimuli [37]. The existence of a possible interplay between E-cadherin and the antiapoptotic B cell CLL/lymphoma 2 (bcl-2) was also demonstrated, bringing new insights into the understanding of the tumorigenic process independent of E-cadherin deregulation. As well worthy of note, the apoptotic agent taxol was used in this study to induce cell death. Taxol is a chemical widely used in the treatment of advanced cancers, including epithelial tumors resulting from E-cadherin loss; these results question the effectiveness of this treatment in these types of tumors and calls for further

**Figure 1. E-cadherin protein sequences from five different species (human, rat, mouse, chicken and dog) have been aligned.**

	G62V	T118R	P172R	L214P	G239R	D244G	A298T	T340A	I415L	W409R	P429S	V487A	A592T	A617T	T599S	A634V	R732Q	P799R	V832M
Human	G	T	P	L	G	D	A	T	W	I	P	V	A	T	A	A	R	P	V
Rat	G	S	P	L	G	D	A	T	W	V	P	V	A	N	P	A	R	P	V
Mouse	G	S	P	L	G	D	A	T	W	V	P	M	A	N	P	A	R	P	V
Chicken	G	R	P	L	G	D	G	N	W	I	P	V	G	S	K	S	R	P	V
Dog	G	R	P	L	G	D	G	V	W	I	L	L	G	Q	R	S	R	P	V

The positions of germline missense mutations affecting highly conserved residues have been high-lighted in red, in order to imply the degree of conservation.

research on the subject. Another aspect to be considered is that occasionally point mutations could be responsible for the activation of cryptic splice site, as reported for the germline mutation A634V. This missense alteration was identified in a colon cancer cell line and transcript analysis revealed an aberrant splicing associated to the mutation [38]. Interestingly, it was demonstrated that even the full-length mutated protein harbors *in vitro* dramatic functional consequences on the E-cadherin functions [32], further supporting its pathogenic nature.

### HDGC families negative for *CDH1* germline mutations

Since the first description of E-cadherin germline mutations in families with excess of diffuse gastric cancer and the definition of the HDGC syndrome, mutation analysis in families fulfilling the HDGC clinical criteria have yielded conflicting results. Two thirds of the HDGC families analyzed to date are negative for *CDH1* germline mutations [14,16,17], suggesting that most of these families might carry mutations in other, yet to be identified susceptibility genes. A candidate gene approach has been applied to identify novel susceptibility genes. Taking into account the biology of E-cadherin, obvious candidate genes for mutations are the E-cadherin binding partners within the adhesion complex, namely  $\beta$ -catenin,  $\gamma$ -catenin,  $\alpha$ -catenin and p120-catenin.  $\beta$ -catenin gene (*CTNNB1*) mutations have been described in intestinal-type gastric cancer and the authors also reported *CTNNB1* gene amplification in a mixed-type gastric cancer, but not in diffuse gastric cancer [39]. Oliveira and colleagues screened a series of 32 HDGC families and 23 early-onset gastric cancer patients for  $\beta$ -catenin exon 3 germline mutations, but no mutations were identified [33]. Recently, Huntsman and colleagues assessed the  $\alpha$ -catenin,  $\beta$ -catenin,  $\gamma$ -catenin and p120 catenin mutational status in 29 *CDH1* germline mutation negative HDGC families from North America and England, but no positive cases were found [6]. From this analysis, it appears that catenins are not major diffuse gastric cancer susceptibility genes.

Other candidate genes for which data on the mutational status in *CDH1* germline mutation negative HDGC families are available include: *TP53*, *Caspase-10* and *SMAD-4* [30,33], but none of these genes appear to be a key player in the tumorigenesis of diffuse gastric cancer. Compensating this lack of knowledge is a mandatory step not only towards an improved HDGC

families management, but also towards the understanding of the biology of diffuse gastric cancer tumorigenesis.

### Conclusions

Germline mutations of the E-cadherin gene represent the genetic cause of approximately 30% of HDGC families. E-cadherin germline inactivating mutations have a disease-penetrance in the range of 70 to 80%. Considering that diffuse gastric cancers become symptomatic only when they are incurable and the inadequacy of endoscopy screening, prophylactic total gastrectomy is the only option available for germline mutation carriers. This highlights the importance of genetic screening for the identification of at-risk individuals, also stressing that more effort should be put in the identification of alternative genes responsible for HDGC in families for E-cadherin germline mutations. Of the 58 E-cadherin germline mutations reported to date in both HDGC families and isolated cases, 19 (33%) are missense mutations, representing a clinical problem for the counseling of mutation carriers. In the absence of clinical observations, functional *in vitro* and *in silico* analyses of *CDH1* missense mutations have been used as an adjunct for the counseling of families.

### Future perspective

The identification of alternative genes in HDGC families negative for *CDH1* mutations as well as the development of evidence-based management of cancer risk for HDGC carriers of E-cadherin germline missense mutations represent the main target of research in HDGC. It is predictable that in the coming years, samples for full genome linkage analysis from HDGC families negative for E-cadherin germline mutations will be available, ultimately leading to the identification of a gene (likely a tumor-suppressor gene) other than E-cadherin responsible for HDGC when mutated.

It is also predictable that on the basis of the *in vitro* and *in silico* predictions, as well as clinical observations (i.e., cosegregation within pedigrees), the first prophylactic gastrectomies will also be performed also on carriers of missense mutations. This will enable the creation of more appropriate guidelines for the management of E-cadherin germline mutation carriers of the truncating and missense type. Moreover, by combining *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies, signaling pathways disturbed upon E-cadherin deregulation will be identified and their role in cancer progression assessed. These signal molecules will represent the ideal targets for potential therapeutic intervention.

**Executive summary****Gastric cancer**

- 90% of gastric cancer cases appear in a sporadic setting, whereas familial clustering is observed in the remaining 10%. Of these, only 1–3% are hereditary.
- Intestinal type and diffuse type are the two major histopathological variants of gastric cancer.
- Differences in E-cadherin function could, at least in part, account for differences in morphology and behavior of intestinal versus diffuse gastric cancers.

**E-cadherin**

- E-cadherin is a transmembrane glycoprotein critical for establishing and maintaining polarized and differentiated epithelia.
- A role for E-cadherin in tumor development is now well established, with many human carcinomas exhibiting reduced E-cadherin expression relative to their normal cellular counterparts.
- Somatic mutations in *cadherin Type 1 (CDH1)* have been identified in 40–83% of sporadic diffuse-type gastric cancers but not in sporadic intestinal-type gastric cancers, providing a rationale for considering *CDH1* as a candidate susceptibility factor for hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC).

**The genetics of hereditary gastric cancer**

- HDGC is an autosomal dominant inherited gastric cancer susceptibility syndrome caused by germline mutations of the *E-cadherin* gene.
- A total of 40% of families with multiple gastric cancers and at least one of the diffuse histotype diagnosed in an individual under the age of 50 harbor a pathogenic germline *CDH1* mutation, representing the optimal screening criterion.

**Management of HDGC**

- Prophylactic gastrectomy is, at the moment, the only treatment for germline mutation carriers.
- Prophylactic gastrectomies have been offered to and performed only on carriers of E-cadherin germline inactivating mutations.
- *CDH1* germline missense mutations appear in 30% of the mutation positive HDGC families. Since the pathogenic significance of missense mutations is not straightforward, the management of these HDGC families is suboptimal.

**CDH1 germline missense mutations**

- *In silico* analysis and *in vitro* functional assays have been used to infer the deleterious nature of E-cadherin germline missense variants.
- Evolutionary conservation uses sequence homology to predict whether an amino acid substitution will affect protein function and hence, potentially alter phenotype.
- *In vitro* functional studies represent the most powerful tool to address the pathogenic relevance of identified germline missense mutations. This *in vitro* system has provided important insights into the understanding of the E-cadherin-dependent tumorigenic process.

**HDGC families negative for CDH1 germline mutations**

- Two thirds of the HDGC families analyzed to date are negative for *CDH1* germline mutations.
- A candidate gene approach has been applied to identify novel susceptibility genes.
- $\alpha$ -catenin,  $\beta$ -catenin,  $\gamma$ -catenin and p120-catenin, as well as *TP53*, *Caspase-10* and *SMAD-4*, have been ruled out as possible alternative genes in HDGC families negative for E-cadherin germline mutations.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal, grant numbers: REEQ/218/SAU/2005, POCI/SAU-OBS/57670/2004. Agência de Inovação, grant number INV-ONC-DPN.

**Bibliography**

Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (•) or of considerable interest (••) to readers.

1. Lauren P: The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: diffuse and so-called intestinal-type carcinoma. An attempt at a histo-clinical classification. *Acta. Pathol. Microbiol. Scand.* 64, 31–49 (1965).
2. La Vecchia C, Lucchini F, Negri E, Boyle P, Maisonneuve P, Levi F: Trends of cancer mortality in Europe, 1955–1989: I, Digestive sites. *Eur. J. Cancer* 28(1), 132–235 (1992).
3. Zanghieri G, Di Gregorio C, Sacchetti C *et al.*: Familial occurrence of gastric cancer in the 2-year experience of a population-based registry. *Cancer* 66(9), 2047–2051 (1990).
4. Palli D, Galli M, Caporaso NE *et al.*: Family history and risk of stomach cancer in Italy. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 3(1), 15–18 (1994).
5. Scartozzi M, Galizia E, Freddari F, Berardi R, Cellerino R, Cascinu S: Molecular biology of sporadic gastric cancer: prognostic indicators and novel therapeutic approaches. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 30(25), 451–459 (2004).
6. Lynch HT, Grady W, Suriano G, Huntsman D: Gastric cancer: new genetic developments. *J. Surg. Oncol.* 90(3), 114–133 (2005).
7. Shore EM, Nelson WJ: Biosynthesis of the cell adhesion molecule uvomorulin (E-cadherin) in Madin-Darby canine kidney epithelial cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 266(29), 19672–19680 (1991).
8. D'Souza-Schorey C: Disassembling adherens junctions: breaking up is hard to do. *Trends Cell Biol.* 15(1), 19–26 (2005).

9. Bryant DM, Stow JL: The ins and outs of E-cadherin trafficking. *Trends Cell Biol.* 14(8), 427–434 (2004).
10. Mareel M, Leroy A: Clinical, cellular, and molecular aspects of cancer invasion. *Physiol. Rev.* 83(2), 337–376 (2003).
- **A lucid and comprehensive overview of cell invasion.**
11. Berx G, Becker KF, Hofler H, van Roy F: Mutations of the human E-cadherin (CDH1) gene. *Hum. Mutat.* 12(4), 226–237 (1998).
12. Guilford P, Hopkins J, Harraway J *et al.*: E-cadherin germline mutations in familial gastric cancer. *Nature* 392(6674), 402–405 (1998).
- **First description of cadherin Type 1 (CDH1) germline mutations in hereditary diffuse gastric cancer families.**
13. Pharoah PD, Guilford P, Caldas C; International Gastric Cancer Linkage Consortium: Incidence of gastric cancer and breast cancer in CDH1 (E-cadherin) mutation carriers from hereditary diffuse gastric cancer families. *Gastroenterology* 121(6), 1348–1353 (2001).
14. Oliveira C, Seruca R, Carneiro F: Genetics, pathology and clinics of familial gastric cancer. *Virch. Arch.* (2006) (In press).
15. Caldas C, Carneiro F, Lynch HT *et al.*: Familial gastric cancer: overview and guidelines for management. *J. Med. Genet.* 36(12), 873–880 (1999).
16. Brooks-Wilson AR, Kaurah P, Suriano G *et al.*: Germline E-cadherin mutations in hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: assessment of 42 new families and review of genetic screening criteria. *J. Med. Genet.* 41(7), 508–517 (2004).
17. Suriano G, Yew S, Ferreira P *et al.*: Characterization of a recurrent germ line mutation of the e-cadherin gene: implications for genetic testing and clinical management. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 11(15), 5401–5419 (2005).
- **Modification of the HDGC clinical criteria.**
18. Huntsman DG, Carneiro F, Lewis FR *et al.*: Early gastric cancer in young, asymptomatic carriers of germ-line E-cadherin mutations. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 344(25), 1904–1919 (2001).
- **First prophylactic gastrectomies in HDGC carriers of E-cadherin germline mutations.**
19. Chun YS, Lindor NM, Smyrk TC *et al.*: Germline E-cadherin gene mutations: is prophylactic total gastrectomy indicated? *Cancer* 92(1), 181–187 (2001).
20. Blair V, Martin I, Shaw D *et al.*: Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: diagnosis and management. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 4(3), 262–275 (2006).
21. Shaw D, Blair V, Framp A *et al.*: Chromoendoscopic surveillance in hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: an alternative to prophylactic gastrectomy? *Gut* 54(4), 461–468 (2005).
- **Chromoendoscopy surveillance of E-cadherin germline mutation carriers as opposed to prophylactic gastrectomy.**
22. Fleming MA, Potter JD, Ramirez CJ, Ostrander GK, Ostrander EA: Understanding missense mutations in the BRCA1 gene: an evolutionary approach. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 100(3), 1151–1156 (2003).
23. Raevaara TE, Korhonen MK, Lohi H *et al.*: Functional significance and clinical phenotype of nontruncating mismatch repair variants of MLH1. *Gastroenterology* 129(2), 537–549 (2005).
24. Shinmura K, Kohno T, Takahashi M *et al.*: Familial gastric cancer: clinicopathological characteristics, RER phenotype and germline p53 and E-cadherin mutations. *Carcinogenesis* 20(6), 1127–1131 (1999).
25. Avizienyte E, Launonen V, Salovaara R, Kiviluoto T, Aaltonen LA: E-cadherin is not frequently mutated in hereditary gastric cancer. *J. Med. Genet.* 38(1), 49–52 (2001).
26. Yoon KA, Ku JL, Yang HK, Kim WH, Park SY, Park JG: Germline mutations of E-cadherin gene in Korean familial gastric cancer patients. *J. Hum. Genet.* 44(3), 177–180 (1999).
27. Kim HC, Wheeler JM, Kim JC *et al.*: The E-cadherin gene (CDH1) variants T340A and L599V in gastric and colorectal cancer patients in Korea. *Gut* 47(2), 262–267 (2000).
28. Oliveira C, Bordin MC, Grehan N *et al.*: Screening E-cadherin in gastric cancer families reveals germline mutations only in hereditary diffuse gastric cancer kindred. *Hum. Mutat.* 19(5), 510–517 (2002).
29. Wang Y, Song JP, Ikeda M, Shinmura K, Yokota J, Sugimura H: Ile-Leu substitution (I415L) in germline E-cadherin gene (CDH1) in Japanese familial gastric cancer. *Jpn J. Clin. Oncol.* 33(1), 17–20 (2003).
30. Keller G, Vogelsang H, Becker I *et al.*: Germline mutations of the E-cadherin(CDH1) and TP53 genes, rather than of RUNX3 and HPP1, contribute to genetic predisposition in German gastric cancer patients. *J. Med. Genet.* 41(6), e89 (2004).
31. Salahshor S, Hou H, Diep CB *et al.*: A germline E-cadherin mutation in a family with gastric and colon cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 8(4), 439–443 (2001).
32. Suriano G, Oliveira C, Ferreira P *et al.*: Identification of CDH1 germline missense mutations associated with functional inactivation of the E-cadherin protein in young gastric cancer probands. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12(5), 575–582 (2003).
- **A functional *in vitro* system for the functional characterization of E-cadherin germline missense mutations.**
33. Oliveira C, Ferreira P, Nabais S *et al.*: E-Cadherin (CDH1) and p53 rather than SMAD4 and Caspase-10 germline mutations contribute to genetic predisposition in Portuguese gastric cancer patients. *Eur. J. Cancer* 40(12), 1897–1903 (2004).
34. Yabuta T, Shinmura K, Tani M *et al.*: E-cadherin gene variants in gastric cancer families whose probands are diagnosed with diffuse gastric cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* 101(5), 434–441 (2002).
35. Suriano G, Mulholland D, de Wever O *et al.*: The intracellular E-cadherin germline mutation V832 M lacks the ability to mediate cell-cell adhesion and to suppress invasion. *Oncogene* 22(36), 5716–5719 (2003).
36. Suriano G, Oliveira MJ, Huntsman D *et al.*: E-cadherin germline missense mutations and cell phenotype: evidence for the independence of cell invasion on the motile capabilities of the cells. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12(22), 3007–3016 (2003).
37. Ferreira P, Oliveira MJ, Beraldi E *et al.*: Loss of functional E-cadherin renders cells more resistant to the apoptotic agent taxol *in vitro*. *Exp. Cell Res.* 310(1), 99–104 (2005).
- **Discussion of the possibility that E-cadherin deregulation could render cells more resistant to apoptosis.**
38. Vecsey-Semjen B, Becker KF, Sinski A *et al.*: Novel colon cancer cell lines leading to better understanding of the diversity of respective primary cancers. *Oncogene* 21(30), 4646–4662 (2002).
39. Suriano G, Vrcelj N, Senz J *et al.*:  $\beta$ -catenin (CTNNB1) gene amplification: a new mechanism of protein overexpression in cancer. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 42(3), 238–246 (2005).

#### Affiliations

- Gianpaolo Suriano  
Institute of Molecular Pathology & Immunology  
of the University of Porto, Rua Dr Roberto Frias  
S/N 4200–465, Porto, Portugal  
Tel.: +35 122 557 0700  
Fax: +35 122 557 0799  
gsuriano@ipatimup.pt

- *Paulo Ferreira*  
*Institute of Molecular Pathology & Immunology*  
*of the University of Porto, Porto, Portugal*
- *Ana Rita Mateus*  
*Institute of Molecular Pathology & Immunology*  
*of the University of Porto, Porto, Portugal*
- *Joana Correia*  
*Institute of Molecular Pathology & Immunology*  
*of the University of Porto, Porto, Portugal*
- *Lara Henriques*  
*Institute of Molecular Pathology & Immunology*  
*of the University of Porto, Porto, Portugal*
- *Raquel Seruca*  
*Institute of Molecular Pathology & Immunology*  
*of the University of Porto, Porto, Portugal*

Author Proof