Effect of Constant Light on Parturition and Postpartum Reproduction in the Rat
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LIGHT-DARK (LD) cyclicity is known to exert a strong influence on a wide range of reproductive processes in the female laboratory rat. For a cycling female on a standard lighting regime, both the preovulatory surge of luteinizing hormone and ovulation are synchronized with the LD cycle. The former typically begins between 1400 and 1500 h (LD 14:10, L on at 0500), the latter during the early hours of the morning (dark phase) of estrus (11,33). Estrous behavior is also influenced by LD cyclicity, commencing in the late part of the light phase of proestrus and continuing for 7 h or more into the dark phase (20,30). The critical role of light cyclicity in this process is demonstrated by the finding that the introduction of constant light disrupts the female's cyclicity, and fertility is typically diminished. To ascertain whether constant light similarly diminishes the fertility of postpartum females, LL and LD animals were compared over three successive pregnancies including parturition, postpartum estrus and spontaneous ovulation, the timing of estrus relative to parturition, and the number, body weights, and viability of offspring. While the 24 h pattern of parturition differed for LL and LD groups, postpartum estrus and ovulation occurred reliably in both groups. The LD group showed greater variation in the birth-estrus interval, the result of a delayed estrus in those females giving birth late in the light phase. The likelihood of spontaneous ovulation and overall successful reproduction was high for both groups. Thus, unlike the cycling female, the fertility of the postpartum female is relatively unaffected by constant light. As such, these findings suggest that LD cyclicity is not critical for postpartum ovulation and estrus.
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The diurnal rhythm of light and darkness also plays a role in determining the time of parturition in the rat. Numerous reports have demonstrated a strong bias toward birthing in the light phase of the photoperiod (22,25). Furthermore, altering day length or introducing constant light has a significant effect on the distribution of births over the 24 h cycle (3,4,13,22,24,26).

Within the immediate postpartum period, ovulation and estrus are likewise influenced by the LD cycle. These events depend partly on the time of birth, which itself is affected by LD cyclicity. Thus, most reports indicate that a specified amount of time after birth must elapse before postpartum estrus and ovulation occur. The result is that postpartum estrus, occurring some 6–12 h after daytime birth, is typically observed during the dark phase (8,14,15,19,33). But the LD cycle also appears to affect postpartum ovulation and estrus through a second mechanism. Specifically, births that occur late in the light phase often result in a further delay in postpartum ovulation and heat of 6–12 h (14). Such findings have led to the suggestion that the occurrence of postpartum heat depends on two separate mechanisms. One is the time interval since parturition, with its beginning possibly being activated by the events surrounding the labor process (e.g., cervical stimulation, 14). The second mechanism is a circadian process entrained to the LD cycle which signals time of day, and thus is responsible for coordinating endocrine events nec-
essary for the postpartum preovulatory LH surge and estrous behavior (14,15,19). However, the precise way in which these mechanisms interact, and the relative importance of each, have yet to be fully understood.

The present study was designed to investigate further the relationships among parturition, postpartum estrus and ovulation, and LD cyclicity. Three questions were addressed. (i) What role does the LD cycle play in the occurrence and timing of parturition and postpartum estrus? (ii) Does long-term exposure to constant light (LL) reduce the female’s capacity for successful reproduction during the postpartum by interfering with estrus/ovulation or by reducing offspring viability, as typically occurs in the light-induced constant estrus female? (iii) Does long-term exposure to constant light eliminate spontaneous ovulation during postpartum estrus, as in the light-induced constant estrus female?

Subjects and Groups

Forty-nine female rats, obtained from the local colony of the RxU Wistar strain and maintained on a 14:10 LD schedule (lights on at 0500), were housed individually with food and water ad lib under constant temperature (21 ± 1°C) in an isosexual room (6 × 4 m) with standard fluorescent overhead lighting. Cages were positioned such that each received direct photic stimulation from the light source.

Approximately half the females (n = 26) were nulliparous (2–3 mos), the rest were primiparous (n = 23) (4–5 mos). Beginning at 1700, females were moved to a separate room for mating with an experienced male and remained there overnight. If sperm was found in the vaginal tract the next morning, the female was returned to her home cage and this day was designated Day 1 of gestation. If no sperm was found, the procedure was repeated nightly until a positive vaginal smear occurred. Using this procedure, mating and male ejaculation occurred after the onset of the LH surge but prior to ovulation; as such, the timing of fertilization was determined by the ovulatory sequence.

To facilitate implantation during the first pregnancy, females were maintained on an LD schedule until Day 6 of gestation. On this day, the first group (LD), consisting of females drawn equally from nulliparous and primiparous groups, continued on the light regimen described above (LD 14:10). The remaining females forming the second group (LL) were placed in a similar room under a constant light regimen (LL 24:0).

Procedure

For an overview of the experimental procedure, see Table 1. Beginning on Day 21 of gestation, females were visually checked every 60–90 min for signs of labor or birth. The appearance of the first pup was considered the time of onset of parturition. If no parturitional process was observed directly, birth time was estimated as the midpoint between the two nearest observations. At birth, pups were counted and weighed, and large litters were culled to 10. Small litters were adjusted upward to 4 from litters of the same day having more than 10 pups.

Beginning 3–4 h after parturition, females underwent tests for sexual receptivity (defined by full lordotic posture in response to an attempted mount by a male) with a sexually experienced male every 60–90 min so that the interval between birth and the onset of postpartum estrus could be estimated. If the male did not attempt to mount within 5 min of the onset of testing, another male was substituted. For nonreceptive females, testing continued for approximately 15–20 min (typically 5–8 attempted mounts); she was then returned to her home cage until the next test 1 h later. For receptive females, copulation continued until the second ejaculation was noted, at which time the female was returned to her home cage.

Between the 4th and 9th day postpartum, pups were removed from the dam at 0600. The removal of pups was done simultaneously (the same calendar day) for all dams, independent of their day of parturition, to synchronize blastocyst implantation for the second gestation (see 35). Females were allowed to carry their new litters to term when all the measurements were repeated.

Between Day 5–7 after the second parturition, pups were again removed at 0600 on the same calendar day to synchronize implantation for the third gestation. For the third parturition, measurements were limited to the presence or absence of birth, pup weights, and litter size. During this postpartum, determination of spontaneous ovulation was made. Females were not placed with an active male during postpartum estrus, since such stimulation may be adequate to induce ovulation (31).

Spontaneous ovulation was assessed in an indirect way to minimize chances of false negative results which could emerge from two sources: examination of the animals prior to the ovulatory moment or examination too long after ovulation so that eggs had disappeared from the oviducts. As the corpora lutea of pregnancy gradually regress and diminish in size while those formed after postpartum ovulation develop in size, days 6–14 after parturition offer the unequivocal possibility for establishing the presence of corpora lutea which were derived from postpartum ovulation and which became activated through the suckling-induced rise in prolactin secretion. LL dams (n = 18) were examined for corpora lutea 10–14 days postpartum. As a control, 8 LD dams were examined 6–14 days following the third parturition.

Data Analyses

No significant differences were found between nulliparous and primiparous females on measures analyzed in this study; therefore these groups were combined for all further analyses. Analysis on most variables was carried out using a two-way ANOVA, with GROUP (LL vs. LD) as a between group factor, and BIRTH (1st, 2nd, or 3rd birth observed in this experiment) as a repeated measures factor. Posthoc analysis utilized the Newman–Keuls test. For several analyses, comparison across BIRTH was not meaningful (e.g., gestation length) and therefore t-tests were used to compare groups. When data showed dissimilar var-
RESULTS

Length of Gestation and Time of Birth

Gestation length. For the first birth, gestation length was shorter for LL females than for LD females [526.2 vs. 534.0 h; t(47) = 2.97, p = .005]. For the second birth, length of gestation, measured as the interval from removal of pups during the postpartum (to induce synchronized implantation) to the appearance of the first pup, did not differ between LL and LD groups [448.5 vs. 450.3 h; t(34) = .30, p = .77].

Day of birth. For the LL group, 92% of first births occurred on Day 22 (the rest on Day 23); for the LD group, 96% of first births occurred on Day 22 (the rest on Day 23). For the second birth of the LL group, 14% gave birth on the 17th day after pup removal, 18% on the 18th day, and 68% on the 19th day. For the LD group, 7% gave birth on the 16th day, 14% on the 18th day, and 78% on the 19th day.

Patterns of parturition within the 24 h cycle. Distribution of births over the 24 h period are illustrated in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. To test for specific birth patterns, the 24 h day was divided into four 6-h intervals. For the LD group, two of these intervals represented light (L) periods, and two represented mainly dark (D) periods: (1) 0000 to 0600 (D); 0600 to 1200 (L); (3) 1200 to 1800 (L); and (4) 1800 to 2400 (D).

LL females showed different patterns for the first and second parturitions [X²(3) = 13.03, p < .001]. Specifically, for the first parturition for LL subjects, 100% of births occurred between 1530 and 0115, an 8 h time frame. In contrast, second births for LL females were distributed evenly throughout the 24 h period. LD females showed a pattern of parturition that did not differ over first and second births [X²(3) = 1.51, p > .05], but one that was different from LL females [X²(3) = 36.9, p < .001]. With two exceptions all births from this group occurred during the light phase, between 0500 and 1900. Within the 14 h light phase, the greater percent (69%) of births occurred during the first 7 h period (z = 2.65; p = .008).

Interval between parturition and postpartum estrus. The percent of females displaying postpartum estrous behavior following each birth, as well as the time interval between the onset of parturition and the onset of postpartum estrus, are provided in Table 2. No differences in the proportion of females showing postpartum estrus were found between LL and LD females after either first or second births (z ≈ .50; p ≈ .69). Because variances for the time interval between parturition and postpartum estrus lacked homogeneity across groups [Fmax(4,20) = 5.6; p < .01],
the Mann–Whitney and Wilcoxon tests were used to analyze differences on this variable. No differences were found across the first and second births ($p > .10$) or across the LD and LL groups ($p > .10$) (Table 2, Fig. 1, 2). However, both visual inspection of Fig. 2 and $F_{max}$ indicate that the birth-estrus interval was less varied for LL females than LD females [e.g., LL SEM = 0.43 vs. LD SEM = 1.08; $F_{max}(2, 23) = 5.6; p < .01$]. This difference in variability, together with previous research on postpartum estrus (2, 8, 15), suggested that the LD births followed two distinct patterns. The first pattern ($n = 26$) was characterized by birth early in the day (before 1200) with estrous behavior occurring within 7–13 h ($\bar{x} = 9.88$ h, range = 6.5–19.5); the second pattern ($n = 10$) was characterized by birth later in the day (after 1400) with estrous typically occurring 10–20 h later ($\bar{x} = 15.45$ h, range = 8.5–23.5). The parturition-to-estrus interval for these early and late birth patterns was statistically compared, with the resultant difference being significant ($t(31) = 4.22; p < .001$).

Successful impregnations and litter viability (Table 3). There was no difference in the proportion of litters carried to term when tested across the two pregnancies resulting from postpartum estrus ($z = .99; p > .05$). There was also no difference across groups (LL vs. LD) on this measure ($z = 1.04; p > .05$). In addition, there were no main effects (GROUP or BIRTH) for differences in number of live births, litter size, or pup weights across births or groups ($p \geq .572$ for all comparisons). There were, however, GROUP × BIRTH interactions on both litter size and pup weights [littersize: $F(2, 54) = 4.86; p = .011$; weight: $F(2, 54) = 3.43; p = .048$]. Post hoc analysis revealed that litter size was larger for the LD group (vs. LL) on the third birth ($p < .05$), but pup weight was also lower for the LD group on this birth ($p < .05$).

Number of females ovulating spontaneously. Following the third parturition, ovaries were inspected under magnification for the presence of corpora lutea originating from postpartum ovu-
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1 Because the correlation for the parturition-to-postpartum estrus interval between first and second births was low ($r = -.05; p = .86$) for individual females, data were treated as independent observations and compared with an independent $t$-test.
The presence of such structures would be an indication of spontaneous ovulation. In the LL group, corpora lutea were found in 13 of 18 (72%) females inspected. In LD females, all that were inspected (8 out of 8) were found to have corpora lutea. This difference was not significant (z = 1.67; p > .05).

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>LD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% giving birth</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x ± standard error)</td>
<td>(0.43)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% showing pp estrus</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x ± standard error)</td>
<td>(0.46)</td>
<td>(0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13/18</td>
<td>8/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%) showing corpora lutea</td>
<td>(72)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study confirm well-known findings regarding the influence of LD cyclicity on the time of parturition and postpartum estrus. Specifically, parturition is clearly inhibited by darkness (or, more precisely, the circadian mechanism entrained to the LD cycle) (22), constant light disrupts the normal 24 h pattern of birthing (3,4,26), and postpartum estrus is typically delayed by 10–20 h when birth occurs late in the light phase of the photoperiod (8,15). However, two new conclusions may be reached on the basis of these data. (i) An LD cycle is not necessary for estrus or spontaneous ovulation in the postpartum female as it is in the normally cycling female (27). (ii) Long-term exposure to constant light has no disruptive effect on estrous behavior, fertility, and pup viability in the postpartum female, as it does in the cycling female (5,6,12).

Regarding the first conclusion, it appears that circadian mechanisms regulated by LD cyclicity exert no effect on whether or not postpartum estrus and ovulation will occur. During the postpartum period, females in both LL and LD groups were receptive, as indicated by behavioral tests, and ovulated, as indicated by successful gestations. And despite long term exposure to constant light, most ovulations occurring in the LL group during the postpartum period were spontaneous. This pattern contrasts sharply with that of the normally cycling female where LD stimulation is essential to maintain the LH surge and spontaneous ovulation (6,32). Although it is possible that other (non-photic) circadian stimuli or the endogenous circadian clock trigger ovulation and estrus in the postpartum female, such nonphotically driven clocks are not adequate to maintain ovulation and cyclicity in the LL-induced constant estrus female.

Females maintained under constant light displayed fairly consistent intervals between birth and estrus, whereas females maintained under the LD cycle showed substantial variation in this interval. This higher variation in LD females resulted from the extended birth-to-estrus interval observed in animals delivering shortly before darkness. This finding suggests that the primary role of LD rhythms in the postpartum rat is that of fine-tuning the timing of the inevitable events of postpartum estrus and ovulation. That is, since these events occur reliably in the absence of an LD cycle, the actual trigger for the LH surge, ovulation and estrous behavior apparently does not depend on LD stimulation.

One suggested trigger for postpartum ovulation and estrus is the parturitional process itself (11). That is, unlike the cycling female where the LH surge and ovulation rely on LD cyclicity, postpartum fertility may depend more upon events surrounding or stimulated by the labor process. If events embedded in the parturitional process are sufficient for triggering postpartum estrus, how then might a late afternoon birth delay this event? Previous models suggest that circadian mechanisms “allow” the estrus to occur by acting as a gating mechanism. Our data, along with others, indicate that the period of impending darkness represents a closed gate, whereas the recent onset of light represents an open gate. The endocrinological events underlying this interactive model, however, are more difficult to ascertain. Estrous behavior occurs in response to ovarian hormones, namely long term exposure (12-48 h) to estrogen, and a short term rise in progesterone. Estrogen levels in the rat are known to rise significantly before birth (23,28,34) to about 40-70% of the levels normally found in the cycling estrous female. The latency between the release of estrogen around parturition and its neural activation of estrous behavior may define the typical interval between birth and postpartum heat. However, if the events for postpartum estrus and ovulation are set in motion around the time of parturition (e.g. through estrogen release or cervical stimulation), to account for the delay in estrus seen in females giving birth in the late afternoon, there is need for a mechanism that can postpone the stimulatory action of this hormone with respect to the onset of receptivity. Such postponement may be effected through the delay of progesterone release, the result of dark-induced inhibition of the preovulatory LH surge. Yet estrogen by itself is capable of inducing receptivity in ovariectomized rats (10) and unpublished observations (van der Schoot & Rowland) suggest that the postpartum LH surge (see 29) and progesterone rise are not necessary for postpartum estrus. Therefore, a mechanism capable of postponing the activating effect of estrogen, already present in the system at parturition, on estrous behavior remains to be elucidated. It may be that the estrogen release associated with late afternoon births occurs in the morning or midday, thereby postponing attainment of a behavioral threshold. For example, when estrogen is administered to ovariectomized rats at morning or midnight (16,17), behavioral sensitivity (tested 48 h later) is lower than when administered during the onset or middle of the night. Perhaps a similar circadian mechanism—one which effects
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**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>LD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% pup mortality</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean litter size</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean pup weight</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% successful pregnancy</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% pup mortality</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean litter size</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean pup weight</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% successful pregnancy</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% pup mortality</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean litter size</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean pup weight</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
changes in sensitivity to estrogen—is operative in the postpartum rat, such that the onset of estrous behavior is delayed when endogenous estrogen first becomes available at morning or midday.

A second conclusion drawn from this study is that constant light does not disrupt overall fertility or litter viability in the lactating and/or pregnant female. Such findings are in marked contrast with the effects of constant light on the fertility of the female that regresses to a state of constant estrus. Specifically, in females exposed to only two weeks of constant light, blastocyst implantation following mating is sharply reduced (21). Estrous cyclicity has been shown to depend greatly within as little as 24–30 days of constant light (e.g., 9,18); and data from our own lab with 25 cycling females indicates that by 55 days of constant light exposure, 96% had ceased to cycle (van der Schoot, unpublished observations). Moreover, the incidence of pregnancy resulting from matings during light-induced estrus is sharply reduced (21).

In sharp contrast with the effects of constant light on the fertility of the lactating and/or pregnant female. Such findings are in marked contrast with the effects of constant light on the fertility of the female that regresses to a state of constant estrus. Specifically, in females exposed to only two weeks of constant light, blastocyst implantation following mating is sharply reduced (21). Estrous cyclicity has been shown to depend greatly within as little as 24–30 days of constant light (e.g., 9,18); and data from our own lab with 25 cycling females indicates that by 55 days of constant light exposure, 96% had ceased to cycle (van der Schoot, unpublished observations). Moreover, the incidence of pregnancy resulting from matings during light-induced estrus is sharply reduced (21).

In conclusion, the fact that postpartum estrus behavior, ovulation, implantation, and high litter viability all occurred reliably in females under constant light suggests that the extent to which LD cyclicity influences fertility may differ greatly in the cycling vs. immediate postpartum rat. In the cycling rat, the LD cycle may occupy a more regulatory (central) role with respect to reproduction, whereas in the postpartum-estrus rat, the LD cycle appears to play a fine-tuning role. Interestingly, the strong resistance of the postpartum-pregnant rat to the anti-fertility effects of constant light suggests that such females could provide a useful model for future studies investigating constant light effects in animals that need to be impregnated for research or experimental purposes.
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