

Revisiting Dirichlet's Solution over an \mathbb{R}^2 Ball Using Poisson's Kernel

Humberto Serrano

Universidad Distrital Francisco Jose de Caldas, Bogota, Colombia

Copyright © 2015 Humberto Serrano. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

The present work is aimed at revisiting the continuity of the solution to Dirichlet's homogeneous problem applied to a unit radius ball, \bar{B} in \mathbb{R}^2 by using Poisson's Kernel. Continuity in the solution is rigorously justified over ∂B . This reasoning is supported by the theorem of existence of solutions to Dirichlet's problem for balls [4] as well as by the uniform convergence of Poisson's kernel $P_r(\theta)$ in $0 \leq r < 1$ (with constant r). Therefore, the coefficients of the corresponding Fourier series for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are as follows

$$\frac{a_0}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta) d\theta = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta) \cos n\theta d\theta = 2r^n.$$

From this series representation of $P_r(\theta)$ it can be readily observed that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\varphi - \theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B} K(x, y, v, w) ds = 1.$$

This result, coupled with the continuity of function f defined over ∂B , which indicates the values of u on the boundary of Ω , implies the continuity of u as a solution over \bar{B} .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35C15, 30B50, 31A05, 42B05

Keywords: Poisson's Kernel, Analytic Function, Harmonic Function

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 and $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function over $\partial\Omega$. The maximum principle [5], [4], [2] implies that if $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\Delta u = 0$ in $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}$, then $u(x, y)$ is uniquely determined for its values over $\partial\Omega$. That is to say, the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u \equiv f & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

has a single solution u in $C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$. If u_1 and u_2 are solutions to problem (1) and $v = u_1 - u_2$ is considered, then $\Delta v = 0$ in Ω and $v \equiv 0$ over $\partial\Omega$, that is v , is harmonic in Ω , taking the value of zero on the boundary. By using the maximum principle [5], it can be concluded that $v \equiv 0$ in $\overline{\Omega}$, which implies that $u_1 = u_2$ in $\overline{\Omega}$.

Dirichlet's problem (1) consists in finding a function $u(x, y)$ once its corresponding values on $\partial\Omega$ are given, considering that $\Omega = B_R(0)$ is a ball with radius R centered at the origin.

An important complex-variable-derived result that is used in the present work is that both the real and the imaginary parts of an analytic function defined in Ω are harmonic functions in Ω [3], [1].

The construction of the solution to the problem in (1) uses Poisson's formula [4]. Here, the result in [4] is used for the particular case where $n = 2$ and $\Omega = B_1(0)$.

In [4], it is shown that function $u(x, y)$, which satisfies (1), belongs to the space defined by $C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ and also that u is harmonic in Ω since the Green function $G = G(x, y)$, for Ω and $\partial G / \partial \vec{n}$, is harmonic in (x, y) . The existence of G suggests the representation of function u in terms of its corresponding values over $\partial\Omega$.

In [1], a functional linear operator called Poisson's Integral is defined for any function $U(\theta)$ that is piecewise continuous in $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$. The operator on U is defined as follows:

$$P(U)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right] U(\theta) d\theta$$

H. A. Schwarz [1] shows that $P(U)(z)$ represents a harmonic function for $|z| < 1$ and $P(U)(z) \rightarrow U(\theta_0)$ when $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta_0}$, assuming that U is continuous at θ_0 . Schwarz also presents an interesting geometric interpretation of Poisson's formula.

2 Leading result from revisiting

Let $\Omega = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ have boundary $\partial\Omega = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ and let $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function on $\partial\Omega$, then there is a unique function $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ that satisfies (1). Function u is defined by Poisson's Integral formula as [4]

$$u(re^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\varphi - \theta) f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad (2)$$

for all $0 \leq r < 1, -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi, -\pi \leq \varphi \leq \pi$ [4], [3]. When constructing the solution to problem (1), some properties of complex analytic functions are used, [3], [1], together with Poisson's Integral formula [4].

3 Preliminary

This section presents and proves some of the basic properties of Poisson's kernel [3], [6]

1. In [3], [1] it is shown that a complex function $g(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ is analytic over a region Ω , if and only if $\text{Re}[g(z)] = u(x, y)$ and $\text{Im}[g(z)] = v(x, y)$ are harmonic in Ω , that is, $\Delta u = 0$ and $\Delta v = 0$ in Ω .
2. For all $-\infty < \theta < \infty, 0 \leq r < 1$, the following function is defined

$$P_r(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} e^{in\theta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n e^{in\theta} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n e^{-in\theta} \quad (3)$$

$P_r(\theta)$ is named Poisson's kernel. Let $z = re^{i\theta}$, then $|z| < 1$ and therefore

$$\frac{1 + re^{i\theta}}{1 - re^{i\theta}} = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} = (1 + z)(1 + z + z^2 + \dots) \quad (4)$$

$$= 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n e^{in\theta} \quad (5)$$

$$= 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Re} \left[\frac{1 + re^{i\theta}}{1 - re^{i\theta}} \right] = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n \cos n\theta \quad (7)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n (e^{in\theta} + e^{-in\theta}) = P_r(\theta) \quad (8)$$

also

$$\frac{1 + re^{i\theta}}{1 - re^{i\theta}} = \frac{1 - re^{-i\theta} + re^{i\theta} - r^2}{|1 - re^{i\theta}|^2} \quad (9)$$

then

$$P_r(\theta) = \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1 + re^{i\theta}}{1 - re^{i\theta}} \right] = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2} \quad (10)$$

3. The following is proof of the uniform convergence of $P_r(\theta)$ for all $-\infty < \theta < \infty$. Let $0 \leq r < 1$ be a fixed value r , then the series $2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n$ converges and also

$$|P_r(\theta)| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n e^{in\theta} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n e^{-in\theta} \right| \quad (11)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n \quad (12)$$

$$= 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n \quad (13)$$

Based on criterion M , by Weierstrass, $P_r(\theta)$ converges uniformly for all $0 \leq r < 1$, with fixed r and $-\infty < \theta < \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta) d\theta &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{in\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \int_{\pi}^{\pi} e^{in\theta} d\theta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} d\theta \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos n\theta d\theta = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Then, it is possible to evaluate (14) by substituting $t = \tan(\theta/2)$. Similarly, it can be verified that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\varphi - \theta) d\theta = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos n(\varphi - \theta) d\theta = 1 \quad (15)$$

4. Trivially, $P_r(\theta)$ is a positive, even and periodic function for all θ since (9) suggests that

$$P_r(\theta) > 0, \quad P_r(\theta) = P_r(-\theta) \quad \text{y} \quad P_r(\theta + 2\pi) = P_r(\theta) \quad (16)$$

4 Proof of (1)

According to [4], function $u : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$u(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - |(x, y)|^2}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(v, w)}{|(x, y) - (v, w)|^2} ds & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Omega \\ f(x, y) & \text{if } (x, y) \in \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

If $(x, y) \in \Omega$ and $(v, w) \in \partial\Omega$, there is $0 \leq r < 1$, $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $-\pi \leq \varphi \leq \pi$, such that $v = \cos \theta$, $w = \sin \theta$, $x = r \cos \varphi$, $y = r \sin \varphi$. Using (17), then

$$u(x, y) = u(re^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1 - r^2}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(v, w)}{(x - v)^2 + (y - w)^2} ds \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{1 - r^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{f(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)}{1 - 2r \cos(\varphi - \theta) + r^2} d\theta \quad (19)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\varphi - \theta) f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \quad (20)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1 + re^{(\varphi - \theta)}}{1 - re^{(\varphi - \theta)}} \right] f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \quad (21)$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right) f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right] \quad (22)$$

, where $z = re^{i\varphi}$. In [3], it is shown that

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right) f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \quad (23)$$

is an analytic function in Ω ; therefore, $\operatorname{Re}[g(z)]$ is a harmonic function in Ω , that is $\Delta u \equiv 0$ in Ω .

Let

$$K(x, y, v, w) = \frac{1 - |(x, y)|^2}{|(x, y) - (v, w)|^2}, \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \Omega \text{ and } (v, w) \in \partial\Omega \quad (24)$$

If $x = r \cos \varphi$, $y = r \sin \varphi$, $v = \cos \theta$, $w = \sin \theta$, then $K(x, y, v, w) = P_r(\varphi - \theta)$ and therefore

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} K(x, y, v, w) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\varphi - \theta) d\theta = 1 \quad (25)$$

Since u is harmonic in Ω , then u is continuous in Ω [3]. To complete the proof, continuity of u at every point of $\partial\Omega$ must be shown.

Let $(x_0, y_0) \in \partial\Omega$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary value. Then $\delta > 0$ can be chosen such that, if $|(x, y) - (x_0, y_0)| < \delta$ and $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega$, then, due to the

continuity of f in $\partial\Omega$, $|f(x, y) - f(x_0, y_0)| < \epsilon$ is obtained, and since $f(\partial\Omega)$ is closed and bounded in \mathbb{R} , There is a value of $M > 0$ such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq M$ for all $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega$.

Let $(x, y) \in \Omega$, y $|(x, y) - (x_0, y_0)| < \delta/2$;

$$|u(x, y) - u(x_0, y_0)| = \frac{1 - |(x, y)|^2}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(v, w)}{|(x, y) - (v, w)|^2} ds - u(x_0, y_0) \right| \quad (26)$$

Then by (25)

$$|u(x, y) - u(x_0, y_0)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} K(x, y, v, w) [f(v, w) - f(x_0, y_0)] ds \right| \quad (27)$$

Let \tilde{B} be the set $\partial\Omega \cap B_\delta(x_0, y_0) = \{(v, w) : |(v, w) - (x_0, y_0)| < \delta\}$, and let $\partial\Omega - \tilde{B} = \{(v, w) : |(v, w) - (x_0, y_0)| \geq \delta\}$ from (27), therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, y) - u(x_0, y_0)| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{B}} K(x, y, v, w) [f(v, w) - f(x_0, y_0)] ds \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega - \tilde{B}} K(x, y, v, w) [f(v, w) - f(x_0, y_0)] ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

If $(v, w) \in \tilde{B}$, then

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{B}} K(x, y, v, w) [f(v, w) - f(x_0, y_0)] ds \right| < \epsilon \quad (28)$$

Now, since

$$||x_0, y_0) - (v, w)|| - |(x, y) - (x_0, y_0)| \leq |(x, y) - (v, w)| \quad (29)$$

from (29), and also if $(v, w) \in \partial\Omega - \tilde{B}$, then the following holds

$$K(x, y, v, w) = \frac{1 - |(x, y)|^2}{|(x, y) - (v, w)|^2} \leq \frac{1 - |(x, y)|^2}{(\delta/2)^2} \quad (30)$$

From (28) and (30) it is observed that

$$|u(x, y) - u(x_0, y_0)| \leq \epsilon + \frac{2M(1 - |(x, y)|^2)}{(\delta/2)^2} \quad (31)$$

Therefore, if

$$|(x, y) - (x_0, y_0)| \leq \frac{\epsilon(\delta/2)^2}{2M} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - |(x, y)| \leq |(x, y) - (x_0, y_0)| \leq \frac{\epsilon(\delta/2)^2}{2M}$$

then $|u(x, y) - u(x_0, y_0)| < \epsilon + \epsilon$. Therefore u is a continuous function at (x_0, y_0) .

5 Conclusions

The present work has shown that the solution $u(x, y)$ to problem (1) is the real part of an analytic function $g(z) = g(x + iy)$ defined in (23) for a unit-radius ball B centered at the origin; therefore, $\Delta u = 0$ in B and u is continuous within B .

Regarding the continuity analysis of u on the boundary of B , a local argument was considered together with two cases for $(x_0, y_0) \in \partial B$, namely

1. For $(x, y) \in \partial B$, it was considered that f is continuous and bounded on ∂B , and therefore if $|(x, y) - (x_0, y_0)|$ is small enough, then $|u(x, y) - u(x_0, y_0)| < \epsilon$.
2. if $(x, y) \in B$, then $u(x, y) \rightarrow f(x_0, y_0)$ when $(x, y) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)$.

References

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors. *Complex Analysis An Introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable*. Mac-Graw Hill, Inc., 3 edition, 1979.
- [2] Haim Brezis. *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-70914-7>
- [3] J. B. Conway. *Functions of one complex variable*. Springer Verlag, 2 edition, 1973. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-9972-2>
- [4] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptical Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer, 2001. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61798-0>
- [5] M. Protter and H. Weinberger. *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1967.
- [6] Georgi P. Tolstov. *Fourier Series*. Dover Publications, Inc., 1962.

Received: February 3, 2015; Published: June 15, 2015