

AN IMAGE-BASED FRAMEWORK FOR AUTOMATED DISCREPANCY QUANTIFICATION AND REALIGNMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ASSEMBLIES

Mohammad Nahangi

&

Thomas Czerniawski, Jamie Yeung, Carl Haas, Scott Walbridge, Jeffrey West



OUTLINE

- Problem statement and motivation
- Related background
- Proposed framework
- Experimental results
- Conclusions and outlook

PROBLEM STATEMENT





PROBLEM STATEMENT





RELATED BACKGROUND

- Discrepancy quantification
 - » Deviation analysis
 - » Our previous research







RELATED BACKGROUND

• Image-based 3D reconstruction



Adupardaat-20120dt3al. 2011





CONTRIBUTION

Developing an accurate and reliable framework for quick discrepancy quantification between the built and designed states for industrial assemblies

PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

 3D model generation and fabrication/assembly control





METHODOLOGY





STEP 1: IMAGE-BASED 3D RECONSTRUCTION

- Potential tools for 3D reconstruction
 - » MATLAB image processing toolbox
 - » Point Cloud Library (PCL)
 - » OpenCV (Computer Vision)-University of Washington
 - » Online servers (Autodesk 123D Catch-Microsoft Photosynth)





STEP 1: IMAGE-BASED 3D RECONSTRUCTION

- Summary of 3D reconstruction
 - » Finding common features in the images taken,
 - » Matching the common features detected, and
 - » Transforming the images into a global coordinate system based on the previously matched features



Jahanshahi et al. 2009





- Preprocessing
 - » Clutter removal



» 3D CAD model format conversion







- Point cloud registration-Iterative Closest Point (ICP)
 - » A modified (constrained) registration is developed for considering real, on-site situations for assembly and erection







Kinematics chain development-robotics analogy



 Quantification of local discrepancies and transformation into global coordinate system





• Design of experiments





• Camera properties (Canon SX 40-HS)

Camera type	Digital camera	
Image resolution (size)	4000×3000 [L] *, 2816×2112 [M1] * 1600×1200 [M2] *, 640×480 [S]	Current 2005 (File 3.2), if the second secon
Shutter speed	1/3200 sec (min)- 15 sec (max)	
Focal length	24-840 mm	
+ FI] I FN		

* [L]: Large, [M]: Medium, [S]: Small.







Size of images taken	[L]: 4000×3000
Number of images processed	59
Total number of points retrieved	134,742
Number of points retrieved from the pipe spool	15,152
Total processing time	26 min







CONCLUSIONS

Remarked observations

- » Accuracy (concurs with previous studies)
- » Time-related aspects
- » Applicability
- Path forward
 - » Time effectiveness improvement
 - » Real-time assembly
 - » Smart and guided quality control











Question &

Discussion



