Dietary intake and development of a quantitative food-frequency questionnaire for a lifestyle intervention to reduce the risk of chronic diseases in Canadian First Nations in north-western Ontario
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Abstract

Objectives: To characterise the diet of First Nations in north-western Ontario, highlight foods for a lifestyle intervention and develop a quantitative food-frequency questionnaire (QFFQ).

Design: Cross-sectional survey using single 24 h dietary recalls.


Subjects: 129 First Nations (Oji-Cree and Ojibway) men and women aged between 18 and 80 years.

Results: The greatest contributors to energy were breads, pasta dishes and chips (contributing over 20% to total energy intake). 'Added fats' such as butter and margarine added to breads and vegetables made up the single largest source of total fat intake (8.4%). The largest contributors to sugar were sugar itself, soda and other sweetened beverages (contributing over 45% combined). The mean number of servings consumed of fruits, vegetables and dairy products were much lower than recommended. The mean daily meat intake was more than twice that recommended. A 119-item QFFQ was developed including seven bread items, five soups or stews, 24 meat- or fish-based dishes, eight rice or pasta dishes, nine fruits and 14 vegetables. Frequency of consumption was assessed by eight categories ranging from 'Never or less than one time in one month' to 'two or more times a day'.

Conclusion: We were able to highlight foods for intervention to improve dietary intake based on the major sources of energy, fat and sugar and the low consumption of fruit and vegetable items. The QFFQ is being used to evaluate a diet and lifestyle intervention in First Nations in north-western Ontario.

Diet-related chronic diseases such as cancer, obesity, cardiovascular disease (CVD) and diabetes disproportionately affect Canadian First Nations1–6. Overweight and obesity are more prevalent among First Nations than in the general Canadian population2–9. Overall cancer incidence has been increasing among some First Nations10 and First Nations cancer patients have a lower survival period compared with the Canadian population in general11. The prevalence of CVD is almost three times higher among First Nations than in the general Canadian population11. The public health, social and economic impact of the diabetes epidemic in First Nations is tremendous in terms of high rates of CVD, renal failure and retinopathy5,6,11–14. A diabetes risk factor study conducted in one First Nations community found the age-standardised prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance to be 26.1% and 13.6%, respectively15. In this population, risk factors associated with diabetes included obesity, high fat intake and low fibre intake15–17.

Little is known about the usual diets of adult First Nations. What is known is on the basis of a few cross-sectional studies, mainly focused on children18–22. What information we do have on First Nations indicates variability in the proportion of traditional foods consumed,
with elders consuming these foods much more frequently than children(22).

For the past several decades, First Nations, like many indigenous peoples worldwide, have been changing from a locally obtained diet to one centred on manufactured foods(18,23,24). Changes in the First Nations’ diet and lifestyle have accelerated in the last 40–50 years, associated with settlement on reserves and with increasing prevalence of CVD and other chronic diseases(18,25).

The traditional First Nations’ diet is defined as one containing plant and animal foods harvested from the local environment; these traditional foods are locally called ‘bush foods’ to reflect their origin from the land(18). Historically, First Nations were hunter–gatherers and had seasonal patterns of food consumption. Traditional foods are still highly valued among aboriginal people for maintaining health and cultural identity(26).

Exploration of current patterns of food and nutrient intake among First Nations, and their implications for health indicators, require instruments adapted to the setting and the population. Without accurate knowledge of dietary intake it is difficult to track changes in food intake and to develop culturally appropriate dietary interventions for the reduction of chronic diseases.

The present paper describes an assessment of dietary intake using 24 h dietary recalls in eight First Nations populations. The data were used to: (1) highlight foods for a community-based intervention; and (2) develop a quantitative food-frequency questionnaire (QFFQ) for programme evaluation. However, as so few dietary data are available for these populations, we also describe the diet in terms of food, food group and nutrient intakes.

Methods

Settings and subjects

The study was conducted in eight First Nations (Oji-Cree and Ojibway) in north-western Ontario, where there are over 60 First Nations communities with on-reserve populations ranging from 60 to over 2000 people per community. The semi-remote First Nations in the southern part of the region have road access to nearby non-Native towns. In the north, remote First Nations are isolated by the vast boreal forest and numerous lakes and are accessible only by air for about 10 months of the year. Semi-remote First Nations generally do not have supermarkets, schools or nursing stations on the reserve and instead access these services in the nearest non-Native town. Remote First Nations generally have grocery stores, schools through to 8th grade and nursing stations on the reserve.

Subjects for dietary recalls were selected from four remote First Nations communities – with on-reserve populations of 420, 481, 1125 and 2057, and four semi-remote communities – with on reserve populations of 247, 239, 122 and 151(27). In half of the First Nations communities, subjects were sampled randomly from the band membership list and a fieldworker was asked to contact subjects from this list. If someone was unavailable, after up to five attempts at contact, at different times of the day and on at least two different days, the next person on the list was contacted. If someone was deceased or had moved off the reserve another household was chosen from the list. In the remaining First Nations communities, a membership list was not available; therefore a fieldworker was asked to select from people representing the geographical area of the reserve and to include people from all age decades (19–90 years) and both sexes. For example, the fieldworkers selected people who lived close to the food store as well as those who lived at the edges of the reserve. The fieldworker was informed of the need for the sample to be representative of the population residing on the reserve and was asked not to purposely select relatives and friends. The majority of the reserves had a fairly small population and within a reserve there was little difference in socio-economic status and access to food. Pregnant and lactating women were excluded.

In First Nations communities where the fieldworker randomly selected subjects from the band membership list, an appointment was set up for the nutrition researchers to visit respondents at home. For subjects who were sampled by the fieldworker, the nutrition researchers either asked the respondent to go to the health centre or an appointment was set up for the nutrition researcher to visit them at home. The project was explained in detail and if signed consent was given, an interview was conducted.

To obtain the maximum diversity in diet within the reserve, only one person per household was selected. If two randomly selected subjects lived in the same household, only one was selected and another household was chosen to provide a 24 h recall.

The study was approved by the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health Committee on Human Research, the University of Western Ontario Ethics Review Board and by the councils of the participating bands. Informed consent was obtained from all respondents.

Sources of data

24 h dietary recalls

Twenty-four-hour dietary recall data were collected (one per individual) by two nutrition researchers (one was a PhD, the other a doctoral student) following a standard manual of procedures* in August 2003 and August 2004. The 24 h dietary recall systematically sought and recorded information about foods and drinks consumed during the preceding 24 h period. Portion size was assessed using

---

familiar household units such as a bowl of soup, standard units such as a slice of bread, or three-dimensional food models (NASCO Company, www.eNASCO.com) that had been carefully chosen to best estimate the amount consumed. A range of models was carefully selected with the help of the fieldworkers to represent different portion sizes. We obtained bowls, cups, plates and other household utensils that were familiar to the populations. We also obtained packetts of local foods such as chips and chocolate bars so the participants could more easily relate their portion to that available in the store, for store-bought foods. The participants had the freedom to report multiple servings or proportions of servings, such as a half, of each model, household utensil or standard unit such as a slice of bread.

Data from each 24 h dietary recall were recorded on dietary assessment forms. An additional list of questions was included to prompt for easily forgotten foods such as sweets and snacks. All data were examined for completeness by the two nutrition researchers before they left the respondents. Recalls covered both weekdays and weekend days. All interviews were conducted in English except for a few with older respondents who only spoke their Native language; a local fieldworker translated in these cases. On completion of the interview the respondents were given CAN$5 to thank them for their time.

**Portion weights**

To derive portion weights for all the foods reported in the 24 h dietary recalls, a graduate nutrition student (trained by the first author) conducted food weighing for all the portions assessed by household utensils, three-dimensional food models or standard units for which there were no published data. Weights were assessed using an electronic kitchen scale (Aquatronic Baker’s Dream Scale; Salter Houseware Ltd). The average weight was calculated from 10 measurements. For example, 10 pieces of bannock (a type of bread) were weighed from many sources including home-made, and an average weight was obtained.

**Development of the QFFQ**

The frequency of foods reported in the 24 h dietary recalls was tabulated. Any food or drink item that was consumed by two or more respondents was included in the draft QFFQ with the exception of foods very low in energy and nutrients, such as condiments and spices.

The ordering of the food list and the selection of food models to assess portion sizes were carefully considered for each food item listed on the draft QFFQ with the assistance from the First Nations fieldworkers. To ensure no foods had been omitted that were consumed by the First Nations respondents, blank lines were added to the draft QFFQ for ‘Any other food items consumed’.

To capture more foods that could be omitted due to seasonality, we also included input from community members and a local dietitian. For example, they suggested some animal meats available mostly in the winter months be added to the draft QFFQ.

A draft QFFQ was pilot-tested in a convenience sample of adult First Nations subjects representing both genders and a wide distribution of ages. Five First Nations fieldworkers and a graduate student were trained by the first author for five days on how to administer the instrument and a manual of procedures was developed. To ensure standardisation of the data collection, each interviewer practised multiple draft QFFQs under the supervision of the first author. The draft QFFQ was then refined accordingly. ‘Any other foods’ that were reported in the draft QFFQ were included to produce the final QFFQ.

**Analyses of dietary intake**

Nutrient intakes of respondents were estimated from the single 24 h dietary recalls. The recalls were coded and entered by a graduate nutrition student and analysed using Nutribase Clinical Nutrition Manager version 5-18 (CyberSoft Inc., Phoenix, AZ, USA). Nutribase Clinical calculates the nutrient intake and food group servings for each subject using values from the Canadian food composition tables (FCT). The Canadian FCT in Nutribase Clinical were updated to include estimated recipes for eight dishes unavailable in the Nutribase Clinical food composition database, based on information provided by community members and a local dietitian. To evaluate the overall quality of the diet, we compared our food group servings with those recommended by the Canadian Food Guide20.

To determine the major foods contributing to the intake of energy, fat and sugar, and to highlight the foods for intervention, we combined the percentage contribution for similar foods, such as different sodas and different chips. All other data analyses were undertaken using SAS version 9-1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**Results**

**Dietary intake**

A total of 140 subjects were contacted. Among them, 11 subjects either gave outright refusals or did not complete 24 h dietary recalls. Therefore, a total of 129 recalls were obtained (response rate is 92%): 65 from the remote and 64 from the semi-remote communities. Seven recalls (six men and one woman) were excluded because of extremely high intakes (>20·92 MJ (>5000 kcal)), leaving 47 men and 75 women with mean age of 42 and 44 years, respectively, in the final analyses.

Table 1 presents the mean and median daily energy, macro- and micronutrient intakes of the study sample by gender, in comparison with dietary intake from the Ontario Food Surveys (OFS) of the non-First Nations Canadian population residing in Ontario29. Both First
Nations men and women in our study sample had higher energy intake than non-First Nations in Ontario. The mean percentage energy provided by fat was 34% for First Nations men and 32% for First Nations women; higher than that in non-First Nations Canadians in Ontario (29). The percentage of energy from protein appeared similar in our sampled First Nations and Ontario women. Compared with non-First Nations in Ontario, First Nations in our study sample appeared to have lower vitamin intakes except for vitamin B12. Striking differences were seen in the intake of vitamin B12 between First Nations communities participating in this project and non-First Nations in Ontario participating in the OFS (67 μg vs. 6-1 μg in men and 56 μg vs. 4-3 μg in women), which could be attributed to greater consumption of fish such as trout and wild game foods in our sampled First Nations.

Table 2 presents the number of people who reported consuming traditional foods and the most frequently consumed foods (n = 129).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional foods</th>
<th>% of respondents</th>
<th>Most commonly consumed foods</th>
<th>% of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish, baked, fried or smoked</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moose meat, roasted</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blueberries</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tea</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moose soup or stew</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Margarine or butter</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer soup</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chips or popcorn</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck or goose soup</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>White bread</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck or goose, smoked or roasted</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sweetened drinks or juices</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moose liver or tongue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish soup</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regular soda</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nations men and women in our study sample had higher energy intake than non-First Nations in Ontario. The mean percentage energy provided by fat was 34% for First Nations men and 32% for First Nations women; higher than that in non-First Nations Canadians in Ontario (29). The percentage of energy from protein appeared similar in our sampled First Nations and Ontario women. Compared with non-First Nations in Ontario, First Nations in our study sample appeared to have lower vitamin intakes except for vitamin B12. Striking differences were seen in the intake of vitamin B12 between First Nations communities participating in this project and non-First Nations in Ontario participating in the OFS (67 μg vs. 6-1 μg in men and 56 μg vs. 4-3 μg in women), which could be attributed to greater consumption of fish such as trout and wild game foods in our sampled First Nations.

Table 2 presents the number of people who reported consuming traditional foods and the 10 most frequently consumed foods overall. Coffee, tea and sugar were each reported by over 80 people (over 60%). High-fat and high-sugar foods such as chips and sweetened drinks were also frequently reported. Traditional foods were consumed infrequently by our respondents. Bannock (a type of traditional bread), fish and moose meat were the most common traditional foods consumed.

Table 3 presents the major food sources of energy, fat and sugar derived from the dietary recalls. The greatest
Table 3 The 10 major food sources* and the percentage contribution of each food to energy, fat and sugar among First Nations men and women (n = 129)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food sources of energy</th>
<th>% contribution to energy</th>
<th>Food sources of total fat</th>
<th>% contribution to total fat</th>
<th>Food sources of total sugar</th>
<th>% contribution to total sugar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breads</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Margarine and butter</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasta dishes</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Chicken dishes</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Sodas</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chips and popcorn</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Chips and popcorn</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Drinks and juices</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken dishes</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Meat dishes</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato dishes</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Pasta dishes</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Cakes and pastries</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fried potatoes</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Fried potatoes</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Cookies</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Frankfurter and sausages</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Candies</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamburgers</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Breads</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Hamburgers</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Ice cream</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Pizza</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Banana</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The foods reported in the recalls were grouped in a very similar way to those listed in the Appendix.

Table 4 Mean and median daily servings of food groups consumed by First Nations men and women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food group</th>
<th>Recommended number of servings*</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Men (n = 47)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Women (n = 75)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dairy</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat and meat</td>
<td>alternatives</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>5–10†</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td>5–10†</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>5–12</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The recommended servings are from Canada’s Food Guide[28].
†The recommendation for vegetables and fruits is 5–10 servings in total per day.

Contributors to energy were breads, pasta dishes and chips. Fruits and vegetables did not contribute significantly to total energy intake. Added fats such as butter and margarine made up the single largest food group contributing to total fat intake, followed by chicken dishes and chips. The single largest contributor of sugar to the diet was sugar itself, which was commonly added to coffee and tea. Other major sources of sugar included soda and other sweetened beverages. These three items comprised over 45% of total sugar consumed. The foods reported in the recalls were grouped in a very similar way to those listed in the Appendix.

Table 4 presents the mean number of servings of each food group consumed by First Nations men and women. Both men and women consumed far fewer servings than recommended for dairy, fruits and vegetables, although servings of meat and meat alternatives were consumed above the recommendation.

**Development of the QFFQ**

All foods that were reported in the 24 h dietary recalls by two or more respondents were included on the QFFQ, including both store-purchased and traditional foods. Foods that would be promoted as part of the intervention were also added to the QFFQ in order to track changes in consumption pre- and post-intervention. For example, to lower sugar consumption, artificial sweeteners were recommended as additions to tea and coffee. We therefore added artificial sweeteners to the QFFQ. To reduce sugary drink intake, diet sodas and water instead of regular sodas were recommended and these were listed on the QFFQ. To reduce fat consumption, baked chips were recommended rather than fried chips, and were added on the QFFQ, as well as fruits and vegetables that were not reported in the recalls. Lower-fat spreads were added to the QFFQ as they would be promoted rather than butter or margarine.

The final instrument has 119 food and drink items and includes seven bread items, five soups or stews, 24 meat- or fish-based dishes, eight rice or pasta dishes, nine fruits, 14 vegetables, four cereals, 18 dairy products, eight sweets, 13 other foods, four beverages and five alcoholic beverages. Frequency of consumption is assessed by eight categories ranging from ‘Never or less than one time in 30 days’ to ‘two or more times a day’. Table 5 lists all items included on the QFFQ. Portion weights were assessed using food models, standard units and familiar household utensils. Forty-eight food items have portion size assessed using a standard units such as a slice of bread, 36 food items are assessed using three-dimensional food models, and 36 using household units such as a bowl or cup. Respondents are asked to recall intake over the last 30 days. A sample page of the QFFQ is given in the Appendix.
The timing of the nutrition transition in this population is complex, has been going on for decades (centuries for some groups whose treaty arrangements included flour, sugar, tea, lard) and continues today. The shift varies by degree of isolation and age, and is even different between different families within individual communities, but was probably accelerated in the 1960s in this specific region (central sub-Arctic)(30). Based on formative research conducted in the participating interviews(31), elders reported consuming primarily traditional foods when they were young, with the exception of commodities such as tea, flour and lard bought from trading posts. More market foods in the diet appeared as community members were forced to settle on their current reserve lands to access services such as schools and electricity, and full-time trapping became more difficult. As highways and airstrips were built in the 1960s and 1970s, an increasing amount of market foods became readily available to community members.

Energy and fat intakes were high in our sampled First Nations. In a study of dietary intakes of 16 aboriginal communities in the Northwest Territories, Receveur et al.(24) found that among Dene and Metis populations there was a shift away from traditional foods to market foods; among the Dene, energy and fat intakes were high in their diet with the addition of market foods. At the community level, changes in food sources and food consumption are also dependent on the degree of isolation and age, as well as changes in traditional ways of life, such as hunting and fishing.
foods, with associated increases in energy, carbohydrate, total fat and saturated fat intakes. Communities with low traditional food intake had approximately 15% higher total energy, carbohydrate, total fat and saturated fat intakes\(^2\). In a recent survey across Canada, only 35% of First Nations adults reported consuming a balanced and nutritious diet always or almost always, while 12% rarely or never consumed a balanced and nutritious diet\(^3\). Our findings provide additional support to these observed trends. In addition, differences in the median percentage energy provided by fat in both men and women were also observed between our study sample and the general Canadian population in the 2004 Canadian Nutrition Survey (46% vs. 31-6% in men, 33% vs. 32-2% in women\(^3\)). However, daily median intakes of calcium and vitamin C were much lower in our sampled First Nations compared with men and women in the 2004 Canadian Nutrition Survey\(^3\). Differences in calcium intake may also be due to the fact that we did not biochemically analyse the recipes but obtained the nutrient composition from calculations. It is possible that calcium from bone fragments was not accounted for, and hence our calcium intakes may in fact be lower than the true values consumed. Higher fat intake in First Nations in our study sample compared with both non-First Nations in Ontario and the general Canadian population could be related to a high consumption of added fat such as butter and margarine and snack foods such as chips and popcorn. Our results are also similar to other studies of Native North American diet\(^4\),\(^5\) in that the diet is characterised by consumption of many less nutrient-dense foods providing mainly fat and sugars.

FFQs measure usual intake, an advantage over other dietary assessment methods such as short-term recalls and diet records\(^6\). Obtaining an appropriate food list for a unique population is the most crucial step in the process of developing an FFQ\(^7\). The foods selected must be commonly consumed and contain significant amounts of nutrients or foods of interest\(^8\). Our QFFQ included all foods reported in the 24h dietary recalls by two or more respondents and any other foods reported by a local dietitian or the community members that may not have been reported due to seasonality. It was also locally adapted by involving First Nations in the development of the instrument, who assisted with composing and ordering of the food list and selecting food models to assess portion sizes. Their input allowed us to appropriately incorporate traditional foods into the instrument. Our final QFFQ does contain foods available at other times of the year, both traditional and non-traditional. The QFFQ will be used to evaluate a nutrition intervention programme and to track changes in the consumption of promoted foods and less healthy food choices such as chips and sodas. As in other studies, portion sizes for the QFFQ were assessed using appropriate food models to aid the participants in describing their usual amount consumed\(^9\).

Development of a locally appropriate dietary assessment instrument is crucial for accurately assessing diet in cultural groups\(^10\). Smith et al\(^11\) undertook a survey of Pima Indians in the Gila River Indian Community in Arizona using both 24h dietary recalls and an FFQ. The FFQ yielded approximately 30% higher total energy results than the recalls and suggests that the FFQ may be a more accurate method of estimating energy and nutrient intakes of Pima Indians. Hence, there is a need to develop an FFQ to assess more accurately the diet of First Nations.

A few studies have assessed traditional food intake and food intake in general among Native North Americans and have examined overall dietary quality using 24h dietary recalls and FFQs\(^12\),\(^13\). These studies illustrate the important contribution that traditional foods make to Native North American diets. Our dietary recall results show a low consumption of traditional foods, although data were collected during August in both years and may not have captured traditional foods consumed in other months. These findings agree with those of Wolever et al\(^14\) who found significant traditional food consumption only among the oldest respondents in a remote First Nations community.

Murphy et al\(^15\) examined diet using an FFQ in Alaska Natives residing along the Yukon and Kuskokwim rivers who consume seal, walrus and beaver that are not available to our study of north-western Ontario First Nations. Among non-traditional foods, pilot biscuits, white bread, potatoes and rice were more frequently reported in Alaska Natives\(^15\) than in our study on First Nations. This again highlights differences in dietary practices between different Native North American populations and emphasises the need for developing population-specific FFQs.

The dietary data were used to help develop an integrated multilevel intervention strategy to reduce diet- and lifestyle-related risk factors for diabetes in First Nations populations. Components of the intervention that focused on diet were based on identifying and promoting affordable and acceptable healthier alternatives to the foods that contributed the greatest amount of energy, fat and sugar in adults in the seven First Nations. The Zhiwaapenewin Akino’maagewin: Teaching to Prevent Diabetes (ZATPD) programme was an integrated multi-institutional diabetes prevention programme with school, store and community components. The primary goals of the intervention were to improve dietary choices and physical activity by increasing knowledge, self-efficacy and attitudes about healthier behaviours, as well improving availability or opportunities to perform them. The three components were integrated through five thematic phases lasting six to eight weeks each. For instance, when students were learning about added sugar in beverages, the store component promoted beverages lower in sugar, and in band offices the programme assistant worked to improve the beverage choices offered and conducted taste tests of healthier options.
Initial findings show that the intervention was successful in improving knowledge and increased the purchasing of healthy foods.\textsuperscript{203} One intention of working with school, store and health/social services staff was to integrate programme activities with existing activities in order to promote sustainability of the programme after the end of the funded intervention.

The work described has limitations. Our results were based on single 24 h dietary recalls, and the sample is not representative of all Canadian First Nations. The sample for this study was not entirely random, which limits our ability to generalise to other First Nations in the region. On the other hand, our sampling frame was stratified by reserve, gender and age group in order to ensure we had respondents who consumed a range of foods and were from a variety of economic circumstances, characteristics essential for the development of an effective intervention.

In light of the enormous cultural and geographic heterogeneity of Aboriginal communities in Canada, our QFFQ and our choice of foods for intervention are likely to be more appropriate to the communities in the sub-Arctic boreal forest regions of central Canada rather than all First Nations. It should also be noted that the primary purpose of the project was to identify foods for inclusion on a QFFQ and highlight foods and drinks for intervention, and not to describe nutrient intake. To date, we have not conducted a validation study of the QFFQ; this is planned for next year.

Conclusions

This study developed, for the first time, an up-to-date, culture-specific QFFQ which will enable us to assess the effectiveness of a community-based intervention and track changes in consumption of targeted foods, as well as determine overall food, food group and nutrient intakes in Canadian First Nations in north-western Ontario. This study adds to the limited literature on adult First Nations dietary intake. The data resulting from the 24 h dietary recalls provided strong justification for targeting specific foods for an intervention aimed at reducing risk of diabetes and other chronic diseases in First Nations. This instrument will be used as part of the evaluation strategy for a main trial of the intervention to be implemented in many First Nations communities.
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Appendix – Sample page of the quantitative food-frequency questionnaire

How often during the last 30 days did you USUALLY eat the following foods and how much do you USUALLY eat at one time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food</th>
<th>Usual portion size</th>
<th>Never or &lt;1×30 days</th>
<th>1×/30 days</th>
<th>1×/week</th>
<th>2–3×/week</th>
<th>4–6×/week</th>
<th>7–13×/week</th>
<th>14–26×/week</th>
<th>27–31×/week</th>
<th>1×/day</th>
<th>7×/week</th>
<th>2 or more ×/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White bread (including toast, sliced sourdough bread and garlic bread)</td>
<td>#______slices</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White rolls, dinner rolls, buns, biscuits or sub rolls (NOT including buns of hamburgers)</td>
<td>#______rolls</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown bread (including toast), whole-wheat bread or roll (60% or 100%)</td>
<td>#______slices</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baked bannock</td>
<td>#______</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fried bannock (including bannock burger, Indian taco or bannock fried with hot dog/beef)</td>
<td>#_____A*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crackers (including Premium, Ritz, etc.)</td>
<td>#______crackers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot biscuits</td>
<td>#______</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Others?</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOUPS OR STEWS [Remember to praise respondent!]**

| Food                                                                 | #______R*           | 0                   | 1          | 2        | 3         | 4         | 5          | 6          | 7          |         |         |                 |
| Canned meat stew or soups, Campbell condensed soups or packaged soup (any kind) | #______R*           | 0                   | 1          | 2        | 3         | 4         | 5          | 6          | 7          |         |         |                 |
| Noodle soup, including Mr. Noodles, Oodles of Noodles, Ramen noodles | #______R*           | 0                   | 1          | 2        | 3         | 4         | 5          | 6          | 7          |         |         |                 |

*A and R, letters representing different food models used for assessing different portion sizes.*