Autologous Fat Grafting in Facial Volumetric Restoration
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Abstract: The authors reported their surgical experience about structural fat grafting in the management of facial volumetric deficit. The purpose of this study was to assess the real indications, cosmetic results, complications, and global patient satisfaction of the Coleman technique in redefining facial contours in congenital and postoperative deformities. A retrospective analysis of 32 patients grafted according to Coleman’s technique was performed, and the long-term outcomes and patient satisfaction were evaluated. The mean postoperative clinical follow-up was 14 months. The morphological changes were analyzed by comparing the photographic presurgical facial contour and the postoperative correction of soft tissue defects. All consecutive cases reported showed a progressive fat resorption for 3 months after surgery and its stable integration only after this period. Best results were performed in the treatment of genetically determined syndromes, such as the Franceschetti and Romberg syndromes. The authors suggest this surgical technique also for the treatment of unaesthetic cutaneous abscess cavity after incision and drainage. Unsatisfactory outcomes were obtained in the treatment of the posttraumatic facial scar, which needed more surgical procedures.
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T he use of adipose tissue transfer for the correction of maxillofacial defects was first reported by Neuber and proposed for the aesthetic treatment of facial soft tissue deficiency.1 This was based on the belief that fat is an ideal filler; natural, stable, and without the complications of the earlier fillers.2 It is autologous and based on the belief that fat is an ideal filler: natural, stable, and completely biocompatible, in most patients available in sufficient quantities, naturally integrated into the host tissue, removable if necessary, and potentially permanent.3 The clinical success has been limited due to the lack of a real vascular connection between the fat graft and the surrounding tissues in the receiving site. From that time, several surgical techniques have been described to improve the graft aesthetic result and its long-term survival.4–8 At the end of the 1980s, Coleman proposed an original fat grafting technique also for the treatment of unaesthetic cutaneous abscess cavity after incision and drainage. Unsatisfactory outcomes were obtained in the treatment of the posttraumatic facial scar, which needed more surgical procedures.
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the non-living components (oil, blood, water, and lidocaine) from the suctioned aspirate. This procedure obtained highly purified fat tissue, preserving the integrity of the adipocyte wall but separating the fluid fat portion from the serous bloody part. This purified body fat was put in 1-mL syringes and aseptically reinjected using a 17-gauge blunt tip cannula. The cannula inserted through small skin incision (2 mm) layered the fat in small aliquots (0.1 mL) with a linear deposition into the facial area requiring enhancement working from the underlying periosteum up to the subdermis. All fat layers were grafted slowly from the deep to superficial layers trying to preserve fat cell survival and architecture. In the treatment of the posttraumatic facial scar, a release with blade of the scar contracture was obtained before. In post-surgery phase, aside from systemic antibiotic prophylaxis (1 g of amoxicillin, twice a day, for 7 days) in donor site, heparin (4000 IU) was locally administered and a compression dressing was applied. All patients were discharged 2 days after surgery without any adverse reactions. The mean postoperative clinical follow-up was 14 months. Postoperative photograph was performed at 1, 3, and 6 months. Patient satisfaction was evaluated using a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) ranging from 1 (least satisfied possible) to 10 (most satisfied possible) at 6 months after surgery.

**RESULTS**

The study comprised 32 patients, but only 27 completed the postoperative follow-up and we reported only their data; after the first follow-up, 5 (1 female and 4 males) patients were not available to continue the study. We analyzed the morphological changes by comparing the photographic presurgical facial contour and the postoperative correction of facial soft tissue deficits. Table 1 reports the indications for fat transfer. Due to its ease of access and availability, in all cases reported, the donor site was the abdominal wall. In all cases, no intrasurgical complications can be referred. After 6 months post-surgery, in 21 cases, excellent integration of the new fat in the recipient sites was observed and a satisfactory aesthetic result was obtained (Figs. 4, 5). In 6 patients (2 facial scars, 2 Franceschetti syndromes, 1 post-mandibular resection, 1 zygomatic trauma) for assuring a satisfactory aesthetic result, it was necessary to suggest a second fat transfer (Fig. 6). In fact, after 6 months, in these patients, the graft showed a progressive resorption and an unaesthetic dispersion to the neighboring tissues. One patient did not give consent for a second surgical treatment (post-mandibular resection). The retrospective analysis of photographic documentation of all cases reported showed a progressive fat resorption for 3 months after surgery and its stable integration only after this period. Few post-surgery complications associated to autologous fat transfer were reported; in 7 cases, the recipient site presented edema and bruising minimized with an anti-inflammatory therapy (400 mg ibuprofen, 2 times daily, for 10 days). No infections or damages to underlying structures were reported.

High patient satisfaction was reported (8.2 average on VAS). Especially the patients with genetic syndromes evidenced their satisfaction after the surgical treatment. Also, the patients affected by facial deficit due to previous surgical treatment of dental abscess were very satisfied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Patients</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean Age</th>
<th>Cause of Facial Defect</th>
<th>Mean Follow-Up</th>
<th>Satisfaction on VAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Scar</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2M-1F</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Post-mandibular resection</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5M-2F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Franceschetti syndrome</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6M-2F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Abscess</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2M-2F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Rosenthal syndrome</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 1.** Preoperative frontal projection of a 15-year-old man affected by Franceschetti syndrome with the classic bilateral facial defects.

**FIGURE 2.** A 30-year-old woman with Romberg syndrome with the atrophy on the left side of the face. The preoperative frontal projection.

**FIGURE 3.** A 52-year-old woman with posttraumatic facial scar in the left hemimandibular region.
Several authors promoted fat as an ideal filler: autologous, biocompatible, stable, in most patients available in sufficient quantities, naturally integrated, and easily removable if necessary.\textsuperscript{3,9–12} Some authors\textsuperscript{21,22} add that it is potentially permanent too, but others\textsuperscript{21,22} claim results are inconsistent and uncertain. From Coleman’s technique, different approaches for fat harvesting, processing, and injection were suggested for improving the cosmetic outcome and graft survival.\textsuperscript{22–28} Recently, immunohistochemical studies of the extracellular matrix of the lipoaspirate showed the presence of adipose-derived stem cells.\textsuperscript{17–19}

For its volumetric qualities and regenerative capacity, fat harvesting was suggested in many facial asymmetries: after trauma, post-tumor resection, congenital complex, and hemifacial asymmetry (Franceschetti and Romberg syndromes).\textsuperscript{4,13–16,29–34} Our best results, following the traditional Coleman technique, were performed in the treatment of genetically determined syndromes, such as the Franceschetti and Romberg syndromes. Autologous fat transportation appears, particularly indicated in complex reconstructive surgery, as a powerful tool to improve facial aesthetics.\textsuperscript{3,31–33} The patients expressed their satisfaction as reported in Table 1. Also, facial asymmetry after surgical therapy of dental abscess was treated with the same technique. In these clinical cases, the soft tissue deficit was limited and able to receive the harvested fat, improving the facial contour. Different satisfactory outcomes were obtained in the treatment of the posttraumatic facial scar, which needed more surgical procedures. In these cases, it was more difficult to assure an adequate fat subdermic reinjection and the aesthetic modeling of inextensible tissue appears more complex and unpredictable.\textsuperscript{35}

The authors suggest autologous fat grafting for the aesthetic treatment of many facial defects. Best results are reported in the treatment of genetically determined syndromes (Franceschetti, Romberg) and for unaesthetic cutaneous abscess cavity after incision and drainage. Unsatisfactory outcomes are reported in the treatment of the posttraumatic facial scar, which needed more surgical procedures.