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SUMMARY As process technology is scaled down, a typical system on a chip (SoC) becomes denser. In scaled process technology, process variation becomes greater and increasingly affects the SoC circuits. Moreover, the process variation strongly affects network-on-chips (NoCs) that have a synchronous network across the chip. Therefore, its network frequency is degraded. We propose a process-variation-adaptive NoC with a variation-adaptive variable-cycle router (VAVCR). The proposed VAVCR can configure its cycle latency adaptively on a processor core basis, corresponding to the process variation. It can increase the network frequency, which is limited by the process variation in a conventional router. Furthermore, we propose a variable-cycle pipeline adaptive routing (VCPAR) method with VAVCR, the proposed VCPAR can reduce packet latency and has tolerance to network congestion. The total execution time reduction of the proposed VAVCR with VCPAR is 15.7%, on average, for five task graphs.
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1. Introduction

The minimum feature size of a CMOS process technology is scaled down, which enables higher density and lower chip fabrication cost. However, process variation is increased by technology scaling. Process variation strongly affects system-on-a-chip (SoC) circuit characteristics. A network-on-chip (NoC), which is one SoC that is emerging as a highly efficient network fabric for many-core processors [1], [12], [13], commonly adopts a synchronous design for a network across the chip. The NoC in a many-core processor has many network components, each of which is affected by process variation. The network component delays vary considerably as the network components become more numerous. Therefore, the frequency of a large-scale chip-wide synchronous network is degraded to the level of the slowest network component. Many studies have been undertaken to find means to mitigate the variations of many-core processors using dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS) [2], application scheduling [11], fine-grain body biasing (FGBB) [2], and dynamic voltage-frequency core scaling (DVFCS) [3]. However, no study has specifically addressed variation in a large-scale chip-wide synchronous network. In this paper, we examine process variation in an NoC.

The contribution of this paper is a proposal for a process-variation-adaptive NoC using a variation-adaptive variable-cycle router (VAVCR) and a novel routing scheme named variable-cycle pipeline adaptive routing (VCPAR) for the NoC with the VAVCR. The proposed VAVCR can configure the cycle latency of the router in adaptation to the spatial process variation. Thereby, the NoC with the proposed VAVCR can enhance the network frequency and the overall throughput. The proposed VCPAR is adaptive to the variable cycle latency of the proposed VAVCR. The VCPAR can reduce packet latency and can be tolerant of network congestion.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the background of our work including the impact of process variation on NoC circuits. Section 3 presents the proposed VAVCR and VCPAR. In Sect. 4, we evaluate the proposed VCPAR method with the proposed VAVCR, and exhibit their effectiveness. Section 5 discusses the settings of the network frequency. In Sect. 6, we conclude this paper.

2. Background

2.1 Process Variation

Technology scaling increases the threshold-voltage ($V_{th}$) variation of MOS transistors composed of die-to-die (D2D) and within-die (WID) variations, of which the WID variation is divided into systematic and random variations. This paper considers the WID variation because it affects the characteristics of individual cores within a die, which turns out to be core-to-core (C2C) variation. Systematic variation results mainly from lens aberration and has a spatial correlation [14]. Therefore, neighboring transistors have similar characteristics. In contrast, random variation results mainly from random dopant fluctuation (RDF) and line-edge roughness (LER): random variations show no spatial correlation. For that reason, individual transistors have different characteristics from those of neighboring transistors.

2.2 Process Variation in NoC

Process variation in an NoC shows up as variation of op-
Fig. 1 Operating frequency variation in a GALS NoC. The operating frequencies of processor cores $(F_{\text{MAX, Pmn}})$ vary. The network frequency $(F_{\text{network}})$ is determined by the minimum operating frequency in routers.

![Diagram of GALS NoC](image)

The operating frequencies of individual cores. Considering synchronous designs for entire NoC processor cores in situations where operating frequencies vary, each core in the NoC must synchronize with the slowest core. Therefore, the throughput of the entire NoC processor degrades with increasing impact of the process variation. Global-asynchronous local-synchronous (GALS) designs, in which the fabric with individual cores and network elements operate at their own maximum frequencies, are widely adopted in NoC design. The network portion composed of routers, wires, and buffers is designed frequently at a single frequency and in a single voltage domain [3], [15], [16] because the design of the network portion in an NoC is too complicated and overly costly when adopting multi-frequency and multi-voltage design. However, when the network portion is with a single frequency and a single voltage domain, its operating frequency is determined by the slowest component (such as a router and a buffer) because operating frequencies of routers and buffers distributed across the entire chip vary according to process variation. This issue is extremely important in a large NoC fabricated using scaled process technology.

Figure 1 portrays the operating frequency variation in a GALS NoC. A processor core and a router communicate asynchronously with each other at a different frequency. An operating frequency in a processor core is determined by each maximum operating frequency $(F_{\text{MAX, Pmn}})$. The network frequency on the entire NoC $(F_{\text{network}})$ is determined by the minimum (= worst) operating frequency among all routers. Detailed discussion of the variations in a processor core and an NoC are presented respectively in Sects. 2.3 and 2.4.

### 2.3 Impact of Variation in Processor Core

This section presents a description of the impact of the process variation to the processor core. Assuming a 20 FO4 inverter chain delay as a single pipeline stage in the processor core, we conducted Monte Carlo simulations in a 65-nm process technology using a SPICE circuit simulator. The systematic variation in a threshold voltage $(V_{\text{th}})$ arises as C2C variation. In this simulation, the standard deviation of the systematic variation, $\sigma_{\text{system}}$, is calculated with [4], as 6.3% of the average $V_{\text{th}}$. Random variation is apparent at individual transistors. Consequently, it affects all circuits in the core. We use standard deviations of random variations in NMOSes and PMOSes from actual measurement [5]. We set the respective standard deviations, $\sigma_{\text{rnd, NMOS}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{rnd, PMOS}}$, to 43 mV and 28 mV (in sizing of $L = 60 \text{ nm}$ and $W = 140 \text{ nm}$). The parameters used for estimation of the operating frequency are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 Parameters used for operating frequency estimation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>65-nm CMOS</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{system}}$</th>
<th>0.063 / $\mu V_{\text{th}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process corner</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{vol, NMOS}}$</td>
<td>43 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>25°C</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{vol, PMOS}}$</td>
<td>28 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Monte Carlo</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Operating frequency distribution of 20 FO4 inverters](image)

Table 2 Operating frequency characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$W_{\text{frequency}}$</th>
<th>$1,237.7$ MHz</th>
<th>$W_{\text{frequency}} + 3\sigma_{\text{frequency}}$</th>
<th>$1,653.6$ MHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{frequency}}$</td>
<td>$145.4$ MHz</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{frequency}} - 3\sigma_{\text{frequency}}$</td>
<td>$801.5$ MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$1,802.1$ MHz</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>$775.3$ MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4 Impact of Variation in On-Chip Networks

Figure 3 depicts the organization of a router with virtual channels (VCs) [12]. Figure 4 presents a Gantt chart of each pipeline stage of the router. The pipeline stages are described as follows. The next routing computation stage (NRC) determines a hop direction for the next router, not for the current router. The virtual channel allocation stage (VA) allocates output VCs to the input packets. The switch allocation stage (SA) arbitrates the crossbar switch for the flit. The switch traversal stage (ST) delivers the packet across the crossbar to the output buffer. The link traversal stage (LT) traverses the packet from the output buffer to the next router. The SA, ST, and LT stages operate on every flit of the packet, differently from the NRC and VC stages, which compute once per packet.

As described in Sect. 2.2, $F_{\text{network}}$ is degraded by a single frequency domain for the entire network portion because all components of the network portion must be synchronized with the slowest one. In this section, the delay variation in each pipeline stage of the router is evaluated. We used an open-source RTL of a router [6]. The router was synthesized using a 65-nm process technology with Synopsys Design Compiler. The configurations of the router synthesis are shown in Table 3. Then, the synthesized netlist was evaluated using a SPICE circuit simulator, and the delay variation was obtained. As parameters for the variation, the parameters shown in Table 1 were used as described in Sect. 2.1.

We assumed the link length between nodes as 1 mm for the delay evaluation.

The evaluated result for the delays of each pipeline stage is depicted in Fig. 5. The upper bound (i.e., the worst delay) of each stage is assumed as 99.7% of the whole. The longest delay in the pipeline stage is the virtual channel allocation (VA) stage. The delay of the VA stage varies: 627–1319 ps.


3.1 Process-Variation-Adaptive Variable-Cycle Router

In this section, a process-variation-adaptive variable-cycle router (VAVCR) is proposed. The proposed VAVCR can configure the cycle latency of the router corresponding to spatial process variation. The VAVCR can realize a variation-adaptive NoC configuration. Figure 6 presents timing diagrams of the conventional and proposed router pipelines. The values of the delays are brought from Fig. 5. Figures 6(a) and 6(c) show the worst delays (i.e., combination of the upper-bound delays in Fig. 5). Figures 6(b) and 6(d) show the best delays (i.e., the lower bounds in Fig. 5).

In the conventional router pipeline, the router frequency is determined by the worst delay (Fig. 6(a)). Accordingly, a great amount of slack emerges at the conventional router pipeline that operates in the best delay (Fig. 6(b)). Consequently, the larger the process variation, the greater is the slack at the conventional router pipeline.
Figures 6(c) and 6(d) portray timing diagrams of the proposed VAVCR pipeline. The VAVCR pipeline applies multi-cycle paths to NRC, VA, and SA stages (Fig. 6(c)) when a delay in the stages exceeds the predefined cycle time. The cycle time is set to 1/1,050 MHz in this example. In the case where no delay of the pipeline stage exceeds the predefined cycle time, the VAVCR pipeline does not apply the multi-cycle paths; it operates in the same way as the conventional pipeline, but it can do so at a higher frequency (compare Fig. 6(d) to Fig. 6(b)). Therefore, the proposed VAVCR pipeline can reduce the large slack at the conventional router pipeline, and can realize greater network throughput.

Figure 7 portrays a distribution of the router cycle latency for an 8 × 8 mesh network. The number in the circle is the latency of the router. Figures 7(a) and 7(b) respectively depict the networks of the conventional router and proposed VAVCR. In the proposed VAVCR, the routers are configured as a three-cycle latency router or a four-cycle latency router corresponding to the spatial process variation on the chip. The pipeline delay of each router can be measured in a burn-in test, and can configure the cycle latency in a testing process. $F_{\text{network}}$ can be increased to 1,050 MHz from 700 MHz by applying the proposed VAVCR.

3.2 Variable-Cycle Pipeline Adaptive Routing

In the proposed VAVCR, the packet latency is increased by the variable-cycle router pipeline. In this section, we propose a specific routing algorithm, considering the spatial distribution of the router latency.

The proposed variable-cycle pipeline adaptive routing (VCPAR) employs the odd-even turn model [18] to avoid deadlocks; it can select a hop direction adaptively considering their pipeline latencies with neighboring VAVCRs. VCPAR aims for low-latency routing in an NoC with the VAVCRs. The detailed procedure in the VCPAR algorithm is described as follows:

1. Each VAVCR has a distribution of the router latency similar to that shown in Fig. 7(b). The distribution information on the mutual router latencies is stored in a testing process.

2. Five ports of a VAVCR have five transmission counters storing the number of packet transmissions.

3. On the way to the destination router, if a next router position (NRP) is at the same row or at the same column, the hop direction is set to a straight-ahead direction (the row address and the column address are increased or decreased monotonically).

4. In a false case of Procedure 3 and if the destination is toward east:

   4.1 If the NRP is at an even column, then the available direction can be set to east.

   4.2 If the NRP is at an odd column, then the available direction can be set to east or either north or south according to the destination direction.

5. In a false case of Procedure 2 and if the destination is toward the west:

   5.1 If the NRP is at an odd column, then the available direction can be set to west.

   5.2 If the NRP is at an even column, then the available direction can be set to west or either north or south according to the destination direction.
direction can be set to west.

5.2 If the NRP is at an even column, the available direction can be set to west or either north or south according to the destination direction.

6 If only one direction is available, then the packet is transmitted to that direction.

7 If two directions are available, then the VAVCR checks the transmission counters of the two ports.

7.1 If the two transmission counters have equal values, then the packet is transmitted to the direction which has the least pipeline latency.

7.2 If the two transmission counters have different values, then the packet is transmitted to the direction which has a lower value.

8 The transmission counter in the transmitted direction is incremented by a size of the packet. All transmission counters are decremented by one in each cycle.

The proposed VCPAR reduces packet latency with preferential selection of three-cycle latency routers unless the routers are congested (Fig. 8). The VCPAR uses only two-hop-ahead routers’ latencies and makes less complexity routing than other routing methods that compute global-variation-adaptive routing paths on an entire NoC. In addition, the transmission counter avoids congestion through specific paths by preferential routing and enhances the communication efficiency.

4. Evaluation

In this section, we present an evaluation of the proposed VAVCR and the proposed VCPAR. First, we present the evaluation of routing methods for the NoC with the VAVCR including the conventional routing and the proposed VCPAR in Sects. 4.1 and 4.2. From this evaluation, the routing method suitable for the NoC with the proposed VAVCR and the effectiveness of the proposed VCPAR can be obtained. Second, we evaluate the proposed VAVCR with VCPAR using task graphs in Sects. 4.3 and 4.4. Lastly, we estimate the area overhead of the proposed VAVCR with the VCPAR in Sect. 4.5.

4.1 Evaluation Methodology of Routing Methods

We used a BookSim simulator [7] to evaluate the entire NoC implemented with the proposed VAVCR. The BookSim simulator was modified to evaluate the proposed VAVCR and proposed VCPAR. The router configuration is identical to that shown in Table 3, except for the routing method.

The spatial process variation is modeled using a simplified VARIUS model [4]. The spatial correlation parameter is assumed as $\Phi = 0.5$. We used a simple spatial process variation model that has the same $V_{th}$ value within a single tile, which includes a processor core, router, and repeater buffers, as shown in Fig. 1. The variation parameters in Table 1 are used as explained in Sect. 2. The processor core frequencies are determined by the $V_{th}$ variation map and the frequency bins in Table 4. The router latencies of the proposed VAVCR are four cycles for Frequency 0 and 1 bins, and three cycles for Frequency 2 and 3 bins. Ten variation maps (chips) are taken in this evaluation. We evaluate the conventional routing method including X-Y DOR [19], ROMM [20], Togg X-Y (TXY) [21], Odd-Even Random, and the proposed VCPAR method. Odd-Even Random routing uses the Odd-Even turn model in which the next hop direction is determined randomly if it has two available directions. All evaluation in this section, the NoC with the proposed VAVCR is used.

Traffic patterns used for the routing evaluation are uniform random, transpose, bit reverse, hot spot with one hot spot, and hot spot with four hot spots (the hot spot percentage is 6%) [22]. The packet size is four flits and 16 flits.

4.2 Evaluation of the Routing Method

Figures 9 and 10 present the evaluation results of routing methods (respective packet size are four flits and 16 flits). The X-axis shows the injected traffic (packets/ cycle/node); the Y-axis specifies the average packet latency (= cycles) for the ten variation maps.

In the case of uniform random traffic (Fig. 9(a) and Fig. 10(a)), X-Y DOR outperforms the other routing methods. This is reasonable because the uniform random traffic is uniform and suitable for X-Y DOR [18]. In the transpose traffic (Fig. 9(b) and Fig. 10(b)) and the bit reverse traffic (Fig. 9(c) and Fig. 10(c)), the proposed VCPAR yields the lowest latency and exhibits the best tolerance to network congestion (except the transpose for the 16 flit packet.
Fig. 9 Evaluation results of routing methods (packet size is four flits): (a) uniform random traffic, (b) transpose traffic, (c) bit reverse traffic, (d) hot spot traffic with one hot spot node (the hot spot percentage is 6%), and (e) hot spot traffic with four hot spot nodes (the hot spot percentage is 6%).

Fig. 10 Evaluation results of routing methods (packet size is 16 flits): (a) uniform random traffic, (b) transpose traffic, (c) bit reverse traffic, (d) hot spot traffic with one hot spot node (the hot spot percentage is 6%), and (e) hot spot traffic with four hot spot nodes (the hot spot percentage is 6%).

size (Fig. 10(b)). TXY can avoid congestion on the specific paths in the transpose because it can select the next hop direction randomly from two available directions. This fact demonstrates that preferentially selecting three-cycle latency routers can reduce the packet latency; adaptability based on direction selection with the transmission counter...
Fig. 11 Evaluation results of STG-random: (a) normalized execution time and (b) normalized latency.

Fig. 12 Evaluation results of STG-robot: (a) normalized execution time and (b) normalized latency.

(described in Sect. 4.1) alleviates network congestion. The same tendency is observed for hot spot traffic (Figs. 9(d) and 9(e), Figs. 10(d) and 10(e)). The proposed VCPAR outperforms the other routing methods in the hot spot traffic. The proposed VCPAR with the VAVCR makes use of process variation and has a low-latency feature even in the network congestion.

4.3 Evaluation Methodology of VAVCR with VCPAR

To evaluate the proposed VAVCR with the VCPAR, we use the same methodologies and parameters described in Sect. 4.1. In this evaluation, 100 different variation maps (chips) are assessed. The network frequency for the conventional router and proposed VAVCR are 700 MHz and 1,050 MHz, respectively. The conventional router adopts X-Y DOR as a routing method.

In reality, the optimal network frequency for the proposed VAVCR, which maximizes throughput, depends on characteristics of the traffic pattern. In this evaluation, we took 1,050 MHz as $F_{\text{network}}$. The detailed discussion on the optimal network frequency will follow in Sect. 5.

As the traffic pattern used in this evaluation, we used the standard task graph set (STG) [8] and task graphs for free (TGFF) [9]. For the STG, we used random (500 tasks, the task graph number is 0000), robot, sparse\(^{\dagger}\), and fppp\(^{\dagger\dagger}\). We set the packet size of each edge as $16 \pm 8$ flits. For TGFF, we set parameters as follows: number of tasks = 500, processing cycle of tasks = $3,000 \pm 1,500$; and packet size = $32 \pm 16$ flits [10]. Each task in the task graph is assigned to the processor core based on the critical path method [23].

4.4 Evaluation of VAVCR with VCPAR

Figures 11–15 present the evaluation results for the conventional router and the proposed VAVCR with the VCPAR. They signify the total execution times of the task graphs. Each result includes the evaluation of 100 variation maps (chips): The index number is from 0 to 99 in the figures. The execution times are normalized by the average of the conventional router. The dashed and chained lines respectively represent the average of the conventional router and the proposed VAVCR with the VCPAR.

In Fig. 11, the proposed VAVCR is shown to reduce the total execution time of the STG-random by 14.6% on average. The packet latency of the STG-random is increased by 31% on average. The execution time is reduced because of the increase in the network frequency.

\(^{\dagger}\)STG-robot: is a task graph for Newton–Euler dynamic control calculation.

\(^{\dagger\dagger}\)STG-sparse is a task graph for a random sparse matrix solver of an electronic circuit simulation.

\(^{\dagger\dagger\dagger}\)STG-fppp is a task graph for subroutine of SPEC95fp fppp.
The packet latency of the STG-random is increased because of the existence of the four-cycle routers. Irrespective of the amount of the increase in the packet latency, the proposed VAVCR reduces the total execution time. Similarly, the proposed VAVCR reduces the total execution times of the STG-robot (Fig. 12(a)), STG-sparse (Fig. 13(a)), STG-fpppp (Fig. 14(a)), and TGFF (Fig. 15(a)) by 12.3%, 29.3%, 22.1%, and 0.3% on average, respectively. The packet latencies of the STG-robot (Fig. 12(b)), STG-sparse (Fig. 13(b)), STG-fpppp (Fig. 14(b)), and TGFF (Fig. 15(b)) were increased by 17.5%, 8.6%, 11.1%, and 32.5% on average, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Evaluation results of 100 variation maps. ($F_{\text{network}} = 1.050 \text{ MHz}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduction of the total execution time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STG-random</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STG-robot</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STG-sparse</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STG-fpppp</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFF [17]</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. of 5 TGs</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The proposed VAVCR can efficiently reduce the total execution time necessary for executing network-bound tasks such as STG-random, STG-sparse, and STG-fpppp. In contrast, the proposed VAVCR reduces it inefficiently when executing computation-bound tasks such as TGFF.

Table 5 presents a summary of the reductions of the total execution time, the increases in the packet latency, the standard deviations of the total execution times in the conventional router, and the proposed VAVCR with the VCPAR, the standard deviations of the packet latencies in the conventional router, and the proposed VAVCR with the VCPAR. They are 15.7%, 20.2%, 2.85%, 2.79%, 3.29%, and 4.87% on average of the five task graphs (TGs), respectively.

4.5 Area Overhead of the VAVCR w/ VCPAR

To estimate the area overhead of the proposed VAVCR with the VCPAR, its transistor count is to be compared with that of the conventional router. The transistor count of the conventional router and the proposed VAVCR with the VCPAR are 618.1 k and 629.1 k, respectively. The area overhead of the proposed VAVCR with the VCPAR is 1.78% as a single router, which infers that the total area overhead will turn out almost negligible because a router portion is much smaller than a processor portion in an NoC.

5. Discussion on the Network Frequency Optimization

In this section, the optimization of the network frequency is discussed. The execution time and packet latency depends on $F_{network}$. Figures 16(a) and 16(b) show the reduction of the total execution time and increase in the packet latency when $F_{network}$ is varied. 100 variation maps are again utilized as well as in Sect. 4.4. “Static” in the figure means “full use of the network”, in which a single packet has 16 flits. We utilize “Static” as a reference to be compared with the other five task graphs.

The reductions in the total execution times of the STG-random and STG-fpppp monotonically increase with $F_{network}$ because they do not incur network congestion; a faster $F_{network}$ is better in these cases. The STG-random presents degradation of the execution time at a network frequency of 850 MHz or less because its traffic is uniform and thus X-Y DOR is eligible for it (we have already discussed this in Sect. 4.2). In contrast, the STG-sparse and STG-robot that incur network congestion have local maximums at 1,100 MHz and 950 MHz, respectively, in terms of reduction in the execution time. Note that they have similar shapes to “Static” that fully uses the network. The TGFF is not affected by $F_{network}$ at all because it is a compute-bound task; the computation occupies over 99% of the total execution cycles.

From this discussion, an appropriate $F_{network}$ should be set by designers based on characteristics of a traffic pattern.

6. Conclusion

As described in this paper, we proposed a process-variation-adaptive NoC with a variation-adaptive variable-cycle router (VAVCR) and a variable-cycle pipeline adaptive routing method (VCPAR). The proposed VAVCR can configure its cycle latency adaptively corresponding to the spatial process variation. It increases the network frequency, which is limited by the slowest network component in the conventional router. The proposed VAVCR can reduce the total execution time by 15.7% based on an average of the five task graphs at a network frequency of 1,050 MHz. The proposed VCPAR can reduce packet latencies in the NoC adaptively with variable cycle router and can efficiently suppress network congestion.

Fig. 16 (a) reduction of the total execution time versus $F_{network}$ (averaged by 100 variation maps) and (b) increase in the packet latency versus $F_{network}$ (averaged by 100 variation maps).
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