Pregnancy, depression, antidepressants and breast-feeding
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“Pregnancy protects against depression.” This is a common belief, perhaps based in part on some women experiencing a heightened feeling of emotional well-being during pregnancy. However, the evidence indicates otherwise. In particular, pregnancy is a high-risk period for a relapse of depression. A recent prospective study, conducted on 201 patients who had been euthymic for at least 3 months, examined relapse rates over the course of pregnancy. Women who discontinued their medication had more frequent relapses when compared with women who maintained their medication, with a hazard ratio of 5.0. Moreover, in the women who discontinued their antidepressant, the reintroduction of medication decreased the risk of relapse, but to a much lesser extent than if medication was continued throughout pregnancy. Therefore, transient interruption of medication may still predispose pregnant women to a negative outcome. In addition, allowing major depression to occur during pregnancy may result in a negative impact on fetal conditions. Because the placental barrier is limited in its capacity to protect the fetus against the systemic perturbations that depression can produce, it appears imperative to prevent depressive relapses from occurring. The endogenous substances that can be produced in greater concentrations during depression, and could have a negative impact, include cortisol and catecholamines. The former can lead to increased corticotropin-releasing factor production, which can induce premature labour, whereas the latter can alter uterine blood flow and induce uterine irritability. Finally, depressed mothers may have a decreased appetite and may be more at risk of using alcohol or illicit drugs, factors that can have a negative impact on the fetus. Therefore, it is important to weigh the benefits of not allowing depression to occur during pregnancy against the risks of using antidepressants during this period. The use of antidepressants clearly offers a protective influence against such relapse.

“Antidepressants increase the risk of congenital malformations and perturb organ development.” Again, the evidence indicates otherwise. Reviews of the literature indicate that antidepressants, especially selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), do not increase the risk of major and minor malformations. However, there would appear to be a small, but statistically significant, increased risk of spontaneous abortions with SSRIs. The role of depression itself cannot be eliminated as a contributing factor to this increase from 8.7% to 12.4%. More troublesome is a recent study reporting an increase of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (PPHN) in babies whose mothers were exposed to SSRIs after the first 20 weeks of gestation. This study reported that 14 infants with PPHN had been exposed to an SSRI (3.7%) versus 6 control infants (0.7%). Nevertheless, it is important to mention that the crude risk of PPHN at any time in pregnancy was not increased by SSRI exposure. This seemed to result from an apparent, though not significant (p = 0.08), protective effect of SSRIs in the first 20 weeks. It is also possible that the finding resulted from studying a small number of subjects. As an illustration of the latter possibility, the number needed to treat to obtain 1 PPHN was 200. This study cannot establish causality, as pointed out by the authors themselves, but it is well known that serotonin has mitogenic and comitogenic effects on pulmonary smooth-muscle cells that can produce pulmonary hypertension (PH). It was thus postulated that elevated circulating levels of serotonin, presumably resulting from reuptake inhibition by the SSRIs, could be responsible for the proliferation of smooth-muscle cells seen in PH. The problem with this hypothesis is that SSRIs have been shown to protect against smooth-muscle hyperplasia in the pulmonary bed. This is because serotonin reuptake inhibition in the periphery decreases circulating levels of serotonin, since platelets can no longer store serotonin through reuptake, thereby decreasing any potential release.
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It should be noted, in support of this mechanism, that serotonin synthesis inhibition has the same effect as fluoxetine. In mice with the serotonin transporter (5-HTT) gene deleted, pulmonary hemodynamic parameters are normal, and when these mice are exposed to hypoxia, the number and medial-wall thickness of muscular pulmonary vessels are reduced. Finally, in patients with chronic pulmonary obstructive disease, the presence of two I alleles, which is associated with a higher level of 5-HTT expression in pulmonary artery smooth-muscle cells than the I/s or s/s genotypes, is associated with higher PH. Consequently, the purported role of SSRI exposure in PPHN after the first 20 weeks of pregnancy appears doubtful.

"Antidepressants during pregnancy may alter neurocognitive development and predispose to mood and anxiety disorders later in life." This possibility was raised on the basis of impaired performance of rodents in some models of rat depression and anxiety. A persistent decrease of serotonin-dependent neuronal firing activity in adulthood has also been reported following neonatal exposure of rats to a serotonin reuptake inhibitor, but this is inconsistent with the findings of an earlier study. Three studies of children exposed to antidepressants and followed up to the age of 7 years showed no significant difference in intelligence quotient, language and behaviour. A fourth study showed subtle changes in motor movement control in children, but these children were not age-matched and were tested at varying ages. The issue of predisposition to psychopathology thus remains an open question and will require well-controlled studies before any conclusion may be reached.

"Taking antidepressants while breast-feeding leads to harmful exposure to the baby." Antidepressants are present in breast milk generally at concentrations present in the plasma. However, when their levels are examined in the plasma of babies of mothers taking therapeutic doses, they are often undetectable or near the threshold of the method. This may appear surprising, but if one does the math, it is really what should be expected. In the case of paroxetine, for example, the plasma concentration is between 20 ng/mL and 100 ng/mL in individuals taking the minimal effective dose of 20 mg/d. This means that the baby is ingesting milk containing about this concentration of paroxetine. Assuming a 5-kg baby drinks about 1 litre per day, this would represent 100 000 ng/d or 0.1 mg/d if the mother has a plasma concentration of 100 ng/mL. This would only correspond to a daily dose of 1.5–2 mg/d for an adult of average weight. Nevertheless, it is still possible that such low exposure could lead to significant occupancy of 5-HTT in the brain. Indeed, an occupancy of about 50% of 5-HTT was recently reported in rat pups feeding from mothers receiving fluoxetine, despite the pups having very low or undetectable levels of fluoxetine/norfluoxetine. This degree of occupancy is still lower than that which is necessary to obtain an antidepressant effect (~80%), because there is a significant reserve of 5-HTT. Finally, the low level of SSRI exposure during breast-feeding does not impair infant weight gain, whereas an exposure to maternal depression lasting 2 months or more does impair weight gain.

In summary, it seems clear that the risks of not receiving adequate antidepressant treatment thus far outweigh the risks of adverse events, not only in infants but in mothers as well. The population should therefore learn to fear the illness more than the antidepressant.
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Correction

The following article should have been cited as reference 16 instead of the article that is currently cited in a recent *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience* article by Sidney H. Kennedy, Henning F. Andersen and Raymond W. Lam (Efficacy of escitalopram in the treatment of major depressive disorder compared with conventional selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and venlafaxine XR: a meta-analysis. *J Psychiatry Neuropsychiatry* 2006;31[2]:122-31).