jMetalCpp: optimizing molecular docking problems with a C++ metaheuristic framework
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ABSTRACT

Motivation: Molecular docking is a method for structure-based drug design and structural molecular biology which attempts to predict the position and orientation of a small molecule (ligand) in relation to a protein (receptor) in order to produce a stable complex with a minimum binding energy. One of the most widely used software packages for this purpose is AutoDock, which incorporates three metaheuristic techniques for that purpose. We propose the integration of AutoDock with jMetalCpp, an optimization framework thereby providing both single- and multi-objective algorithms that can be used to effectively solve docking problems.

Results: The resulting combination of AutoDock + jMetalCpp allows users of the former to easily use the metaheuristics provided by the latter. In this way, biologists have at their disposal a richer set of optimization techniques than those already provided in AutoDock. Moreover, designers of metaheuristic techniques can use molecular docking for case studies, which can lead to more efficient algorithms oriented to solving the target problems.

Availability: jMetalCpp software adapted to AutoDock is freely available as a C++ source code at http://khaos.uma.es/AutoDockjMetal/

Contact: jfam@lcc.uma.es

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 INTRODUCTION

The development of techniques such as high-throughput protein purification, X-ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy have contributed in the discovery of many structural details of protein-protein and ligand-protein complexes. Such advances in association with the completion of the human genome sequencing have allowed the identification of many therapeutic drug targets (Meng et al., 2011). For the last two decades, there has been an attempt to improve molecular docking techniques. Therefore, many researchers in this field have focused on improving the quality of the docking results. This depends on the energy scoring function for evaluating the results obtained and an optimization algorithm to detect the best state characterized by a minimum of binding energy. Furthermore, another challenge is to develop software solutions the scoring functions of which allow the rotation around torsional degrees of freedom of the flexible ligands and side chains of receptors. An example of these software packages is AutoDock (Morris et al., 2009), a C++ toolbox which has become the most cited and one of the most used software packages in molecular modeling studies, and also an efficient tool for virtual drug screening which has been applied in real cases which involve flexibility (Cosconati et al., 2010). The release of AutoDock 4.2 provides three optimization algorithms: a simulated annealing (SA) and two genetic algorithms (GAs), one of which, referred to as the Lamarckian GA (LGA), incorporates a local search. These algorithms are metaheuristic techniques, which are high level techniques that rule a set of underlying simpler methods (typically, heuristics) designed to find optimal or near-optimal solutions to a given optimization problem (Blum and Roli, 2003).

As mentioned, one important research area is to find appropriate search methods capable of producing the most accurate solutions to the docking problems. In this context, this paper proposes a software platform oriented to provide a set of metaheuristic techniques allowing molecular docking problems to be optimized. Our approach has been to integrate AutoDock with jMetal, an object-oriented framework for multi-objective optimization with metaheuristics (Durillo and Nebro, 2011), which has become one of the most popular software tools in the field. By multi-objective optimization we refer to solving problems that have two or more conflicting objectives that have to be maximized or minimized at the same time. If an optimization problem is composed of a unique objective function we say that it is single-objective problem. The underlying idea is to run the algorithms in jMetalCpp and to use the scoring function of AutoDock 4.2.

The original jMetal software is written in Java, so we have built a C++ implementation from scratch called jMetalCpp1, the idea of being to achieve a clean and efficient integration with AutoDock 4.2. By doing this, the resulting platform can benefit, on the one
hand, biologists interested in applying other algorithms than those provided by AutoDock to solve their target problems. On the other hand, researchers in metaheuristics frequently search for real-world problems to assess the performance of new algorithms, so our solution could lead to the appearance of more efficient techniques for solving molecular docking problems.

To the best of our knowledge, this platform is the first to integrate a set of single and multi-objective metaheuristics in order to solve docking problems.

2 METHODS

Although the original jMetal Java-based framework, jMetalCpp incorporates a set of multi-objective algorithms, it also includes a number of single-objective techniques that have been assessed using a set of benchmarking problems. The first group of algorithms includes Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) (Kennedy and Eberhart, 1995), a number of variants of genetic algorithms or GAs (steady-state, generational, cellular), and a differential evolution solver (DE) (Storn and Price, 1997). As for multi-objective metaheuristics, jMetalCpp provides both classic techniques such as NSGA-II (Deb et al., 2002) and more recent state-of-the-art algorithms such as the Speed-constrained Multi-objective PSO (SMPSO) (Nebro et al., 2009) and MOEA/D (Zhang and Li, 2007).

In order to solve the molecular docking problem, our purpose has been to carry out a clean integration of AutoDock 4.2 and jMetalCpp with certain goals in mind: the resulting software should be easy-to-use by AutoDock users, and it should be efficient (Supplementary Fig. S1). The execution parameters of a given experiment are configured using a docking parameter file (DPF), which contains the settings of the algorithm to be executed. If a jMetalCpp metaheuristic has been selected, then jMetalCpp takes control. As metaheuristics are iterative algorithms which work with sets of tentative solutions that are modified according to a number of operators (e.g., in the case of GAs the operators are selection, crossover, and mutation), whenever a new solution has to be evaluated, it is sent back to AutoDock to apply its scoring function. If the running algorithm is single-objective, AutoDock returns the total free binding energy; in the case of a multi-objective technique, we adopt the approach of Janson et al. (2008) and two values are returned: the intermolecular energy \( E_{\text{inter}} \) which describes the binding affinity of the ligand-receptor conformation, and the intramolecular energy \( E_{\text{inter}} \), that characterizes the ligand deformation. Once the algorithm iterations have finished, the best solution (or solutions in the case of selecting a multi-objective technique) is returned to the AutoDock code, so the Docking Log File (DLG) is created, containing the results obtained.

In this way, we achieve the first of the aforementioned goals, making the software easily usable. The second goal, efficiency, is achieved thanks to the use of multithreading. AutoDock and jMetalCpp run in their own thread inside the same process, communication between them is carried out through shared memory, and there is a negligible overhead when they synchronize.

3 DISCUSSION

The use of the jMetalCpp framework provides several advantages for those researchers in drug discovery that are interested in improving the docking results with metaheuristics that are different to the searching methods provided by AutoDock 4.2. To demonstrate the benefits of our proposal, we have evaluated a set of single-objective metaheuristics implemented in jMetalCpp on a first standard benchmark provided by Morris et al. (1998) and a second benchmark which involves flexibility in side chains of arg-8 residue of HIV-protease receptors (Morris et al., 2009). In this paper, we have demonstrated that it is possible to improve upon the results obtained by the AutoDock algorithms in some scenarios (López-Camacho et al., 2013).

Furthermore, jMetalCpp can be considered a useful tool for advanced users (or developers) with little C++ knowledge who can implement new metaheuristic techniques that can be integrated into this framework with relative ease. Consequently, these techniques can be quickly integrated inside AutoDock. The web site of jMetalCpp provides user instructions on how to install and run the jMetalCpp framework.

For future work, additional developments and improvements to be implemented in jMetalCpp are being planned, such as the integration of new single- (e.g., CMA-ES) and multi-objective metaheuristic techniques (e.g. SMS-EMOA). We are also considering integrating the AutoDock Vina docking function (Trott and Olson, 2010), a recently developed energy function, the use of which is spreading throughout the AutoDock user community.
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