

## **The Flow Completion of a Manifold with Vector Field**

**Franz W. Kamber  
Peter W. Michor**

Vienna, Preprint ESI 918 (2000)

July 28, 2000

Supported by Federal Ministry of Science and Transport, Austria  
Available via <http://www.esi.ac.at>

# THE FLOW COMPLETION OF A MANIFOLD WITH VECTOR FIELD

FRANZ W. KAMBER, PETER W. MICHOR

Erwin Schrödinger International Institute  
of Mathematical Physics, Wien, Austria

August 2, 2000

ABSTRACT. For a vector field  $X$  on a smooth manifold  $M$  there exists a smooth but not necessarily Hausdorff manifold  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  and a complete vector field  $X_{\mathbb{R}}$  on it which is the universal completion of  $(M, X)$ .

**1. Theorem.** *Let  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  be a smooth vector field on a (connected) smooth manifold  $M$ .*

*Then there exists a universal flow completion  $j : (M, X) \rightarrow (M_{\mathbb{R}}, X_{\mathbb{R}})$  of  $(M, X)$ . Namely, there exists a (connected) smooth not necessarily Hausdorff manifold  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ , a complete vector field  $X_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathfrak{X}(M_{\mathbb{R}})$ , and an embedding  $j : M \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$  onto an open submanifold such that  $X$  and  $X_{\mathbb{R}}$  are  $j$ -related:  $Tj \circ X = X_{\mathbb{R}} \circ j$ . Moreover, for any other equivariant morphism  $f : (M, X) \rightarrow (N, Y)$  for a manifold  $N$  and a complete vector field  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$  there exists a unique equivariant morphism  $f_{\mathbb{R}} : (M_{\mathbb{R}}, X_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow (N, Y)$  with  $f_{\mathbb{R}} \circ j = f$ . The leave spaces  $M/X$  and  $M_{\mathbb{R}}/X_{\mathbb{R}}$  are homeomorphic.*

*Proof.* Consider the manifold  $\mathbb{R} \times M$  with coordinate function  $s$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the vector field  $\bar{X} := \partial_s \times X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times M)$ , and let  $M_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \times_{\bar{X}} M$  be the orbit space (or leaf space) of the vector field  $\bar{X}$ .

Consider the flow mapping  $\text{Fl}^{\bar{X}} : \mathcal{D}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times M$ , given by  $\text{Fl}_t^{\bar{X}}(s, x) = (s + t, \text{Fl}_t^X(x))$ , where the domain of definition  $\mathcal{D}(\bar{X}) \subset \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times M)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $\{0\} \times (\mathbb{R} \times M)$  with the property that  $\mathbb{R} \times \{x\} \cap \mathcal{D}(\bar{X})$  is an open interval times  $\{x\}$ .

For each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we consider the mapping

$$j_s : M \xrightarrow{\text{ins}_s} \{s\} \times M \subset \mathbb{R} \times M \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{R} \times_{\bar{X}} M = M_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37C10, 57R30.

*Key words and phrases.* flow completion, non-Hausdorff manifolds.

Supported by Erwin Schrödinger International Institute of Mathematical Physics, Wien, Austria. FWK was supported in part by The National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-9504084. PWM was supported by 'Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung, Projekt P 14195 MAT'.

Each mapping  $j_s$  is injective: A trajectory of  $\bar{X}$  can meet  $\{s\} \times M$  at most once since it projects onto the unit speed flow on  $\mathbb{R}$ .


Obviously, the image  $j_s(M)$  is open in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  in the quotient topology: If a trajectory hits  $\{s\} \times M$  in a point  $(s, x)$ , let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $M$  such that  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times (s - \varepsilon, s + \varepsilon) \times U \subset \mathcal{D}(\bar{X})$ . Then the trajectories hitting  $(s - \varepsilon, s + \varepsilon) \times U$  fill a flow invariant open neighborhood which projects on an open neighborhood of  $j_s(x)$  in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  which lies in  $j_s(M)$ . This argument also shows that  $j_s$  is a homeomorphism onto its image in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Let us use the mappings  $j_s : M \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$  as charts. The chart change then looks as follows: For  $r < s$  the set  $(j_s)^{-1}(j_r(M)) \subset M$  is just the open subset of all  $x \in M$  such that  $[0, s - r] \times \{(s, x)\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\bar{X})$ , and  $(j_s)^{-1} \circ j_r$  is given by  $\text{Fl}_{s-r}^X$  on this set. Thus the chart changes are smooth.

Consider the flow  $(t, (s, x)) \mapsto (s + t, x)$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$  which commutes with the flow of  $\bar{X}$  and thus induces a flow on the leave space  $M_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \times_{\bar{X}} M$ . Differentiating this flow we get a vector field  $X_{\mathbb{R}}$  on  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

The construction  $(M, X) \mapsto (M_{\mathbb{R}}, X_{\mathbb{R}})$  is a functor from the category of smooth Hausdorff manifolds with vector-fields and smooth mappings intertwining the vector fields into the category of possibly non-Hausdorff manifolds with complete smooth vector fields and smooth mappings intertwining these fields. For a pair  $(M, X)$  with  $X$  a complete vector field the flow completion  $(M_{\mathbb{R}}, X_{\mathbb{R}})$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $(M, X)$  since then any of the charts  $j_s : M \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$  is also surjective. From this the universal property follows.  $\square$


**2. Example.** Let  $(M, X) = (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \partial_x)$ . The trajectories of  $X$  on  $M$  and of  $\bar{X}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$  in the slices  $y = \text{constant}$  for  $y = 0$  and  $y \neq 0$  then look as follows:



The smooth manifold  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  then is  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the  $x$ -axis doubled:  $(x, 0)_+$  and  $(x, 0)_-$  cannot be separated for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The charts  $j_s(M)$  all are diffeomorphic to  $M = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  and contain  $(x, 0)_-$  for  $x < 0$  and  $(x, 0)_+$  for  $x > 0$ . The charts  $j_r(M)$  and  $j_s(M)$  are glued together by the shift  $x \mapsto x + s - r$ . In this example  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  is not Hausdorff, but its Hausdorff quotient (given by the equivalence relation generated by identifying non-separable points) is again a smooth manifold and has the universal property described in (1).

**3. Example.** Let  $(M, X) = (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \times [-1, 1], \partial_x)$ . The trajectories of  $\bar{X}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$  in the slices  $y = \text{constant}$  for  $|y| \leq 1$  and  $|y| \geq 1$  then look as in the second and third illustration above. The flow completion  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  then becomes  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the part  $\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1]$  doubled and the topology such that the points  $(x, -1)_-$  and  $(x, -1)_+$  cannot be separated as well as the points  $(x, 1)_-$  and  $(x, 1)_+$ . The

flow is just  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x + t, y)$ :



In this example  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  is not Hausdorff, and its Hausdorff quotient is not a smooth manifold any more. There are two obvious quotient manifolds which are Hausdorff, the cylinder and the plane. Thus none of these two has the universal property of (1).

**4. Non-Hausdorff smooth manifolds.** We met second countable smooth manifolds which need not be Hausdorff. Let us discuss a little their properties. They are  $T_1$ , since all points are closed; they are closed in a chart. The construction of the tangent bundle is by glueing the local tangent bundles. Smooth mappings and vector fields are defined as usual: Non separable pairs are mapped to non separable pairs. Vector fields admit flows as usual: These are given locally in the charts and are then glued together. If  $x$  and  $y$  are non separable points and if  $X$  is a vector field on the manifold, then for each  $t$  the points  $\text{Fl}_t^X(x)$  and  $\text{Fl}_t^X(y)$  are non separable. Theorem (1) can be extended to the category of not necessarily Hausdorff smooth manifolds and vector fields, without any change in the proof.

**5. Remark.** The ideas in this paper generalize to the setting of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -manifolds, where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite dimension Lie group. Let  $G$  be the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then one may construct the  $G$ -completion of a non-complete  $\mathfrak{g}$ -manifold. There are difficulties with the property  $T_1$ , not only with Hausdorff. This was our original road which was inspired by [1]. We treat the full theory in [2]. We thought that the special case of a vector field is interesting in its own.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Alekseevsky, D. V.; Michor, Peter W., *Differential Geometry of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -manifolds.*, Differ. Geom. Appl. **5** (1995), 371–403, math.DG/9309214.
- [2] Kamber, F. W.; Michor, P. W., *Completing Lie algebra actions to Lie group actions*, in preparation.

FRANZ W. KAMBER: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 WEST GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801, USA  
*E-mail address:* `kamber@math.uiuc.edu`

P. W. MICHOR: INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, STRUDLHOFGASSE 4, A-1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA; *and:* ERWIN SCHRÖDINGER INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATISCHE PHYSIK, BOLTZMANNGASSE 9, A-1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA  
*E-mail address:* `michor@pap.univie.ac.at`