Editorial Open Access

Do We Have the Right Dose? Dose Adjustments for Organ Dysfunction

Michelle A. Rudek*

Division of Chemical Therapeutics, Department of Oncology, Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD 21231, USA

Keywords: Organ dysfunction; Clinical trials; Pharmacokinetics

Cancer patients with adequate hepatic or renal function are typically studied in clinical trials. Since most anticancer agents are cleared via hepatic or renal mechanisms, dose adjustments would be anticipated. Yet when the drug is approved, dosing modification guidelines are often lacking for patients who have varying degrees of hepatic or renal dysfunction. Therefore, oncologists may start therapy with an empirically-derived lower starting dose due to the perception that a patient with organ dysfunction would have poorer tolerability due to increased toxicity. The United States FDA and the European Medicines Agency (EMEA) have developed guidances on the conduct of studies addressing the optimal dose in patients with hepatic [1,2] or renal [3,4] dysfunction. These guidance's are subjected to interpretation of whether these studies should be conducted in a cancer patient population or in healthy volunteers having hepatic or renal dysfunction. To add to the issue, growing evidence demonstrates that renal dysfunction can alter the pharmacokinetics of the drugs which are not eliminated renally [5]. Therefore, the EMEA is considering a revision of the current renal dysfunction guidance [6].

With traditional cytotoxic agents, the clinical trials need to be conducted in cancer patients due to ethical and safety concerns. Conducting clinical trials in cancer patients with hepatic or renal dysfunction can prove challenging due to the overall poor health of these patients with the potential for rapid decline of performance status. Despite the challenges, multi-institutional trials have been conducted and are the gold-standard in order to facilitate accrual and provide sound dosing recommendations. Trials have been conducted in this fashion in cancer patients for bortezomib [7,8], erlotinib [9], imatinib [10,11], sorafenib [12], and tipifarnib [13]. Patients were enrolled into cohorts that were defined based on simple organ function parameters commonly available to a community oncologist. These trials were designed to provide definitive dosing recommendations with dose escalation of cohorts by not only addressing the pharmacokinetic differences but also tolerability in 54 to 150 cancer patients. This approach has lead to more sound dosing recommendations.

Many pharmaceutical companies are now conducting trials with molecularly-targeted drugs in healthy volunteers with end-organ dysfunction. Healthy volunteer studies are ethical with molecularly-targeted drugs that have minimal or no toxicity noted in toxicology studies. One may theorize that this is to minimize the number of patients or the duration of the trials in order to answer the key regulatory issue of defining a dose based on pharmacokinetic differences. Recent examples of hepatic dysfunction trials include a single-dose pharmacokinetic and tolerability assessment for axitinib [14] and bosutinib [15]. While both trials demonstrated a significant increase in exposure yet similar tolerability, these trials were only conducted with a single dose in patients with hepatic dysfunction. The pertinent clinical question of long-term tolerability remains and is necessary to determined in order to provide clinical benefit to a patient.

While the pharmaceutical companies are trying to address the regulatory concerns in an expeditious fashion, these companies are not providing adequate long-term dosing information for oncologists.

The more prudent approach would be to utilize the single-dose healthy volunteer trials to derive a proposed dose that could be confirmed in a smaller cancer patient population utilizing just one dose per cohort with the potential for intra-patient dose alterations. Additionally, a population pharmacokinetic approach could be applied to the data to re-confirm the dosing recommendations derived from both studies. The end result would satisfy drug companies, regulatory agencies, and oncologists as the long-term tolerability of the molecularly-targeted drugs could be determined more comprehensively.

References

- EMEA(EuropeanMedicinesAgency) (2005) Guideline on the evaluation of the pharmacokinetics of medicinal products in patients with impaired hepatic function.
- UnitedStatesFDA (2003) Pharmacokinetics in Patients with Impaired Hepatic Function: Study Design, Data Analysis, and Impact on Dosing and Labeling.
- EMEA(EuropeanMedicinesAgency) (2004) Guideline on the evaluation of the pharmacokinetics of medicinal products in patients with impaired renal function (CHMP/EWP/225/02).
- UnitedStatesFDA (1998) Pharmacokinetics in Patients with Impaired Renal Function - Study Design, Data Analysis, and Impact on Dosing and Labeling.
- Naud J, Nolin TD, Leblond FA, Pichette V (2012) Current understanding of drug disposition in kidney disease. J Clin Pharmacol 52: 10S-22S.
- EMEA(EuropeanMedicinesAgency) (2012) Concept paper on the need for revision of the Note for guidance on the evaluation of the pharmacokinetics of medicinal products in patients with impaired renal function.
- LoRusso PM, Venkatakrishnan K, Ramanathan RK, Sarantopoulos J, Mulkerin D, et al. (2012) Pharmacokinetics and safety of bortezomib in patients with advanced malignancies and varying degrees of liver dysfunction: phase I NCI Organ Dysfunction Working Group Study NCI-6432. Clin Cancer Res 18: 2954-2963.
- Leal TB, Remick SC, Takimoto CH, Ramanathan RK, Davies A, et al. (2011)
 Dose-escalating and pharmacological study of bortezomib in adult cancer patients with impaired renal function: a National Cancer Institute Organ Dysfunction Working Group Study. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 68: 1439-1447
- Miller AA, Murry DJ, Owzar K, Hollis DR, Lewis LD, et al. (2007) Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of erlotinib for solid tumors in patients with hepatic or renal dysfunction: CALGB 60101. J Clin Oncol 25: 3055-3060.
- 10. Gibbons J, Egorin MJ, Ramanathan RK, Fu P, Mulkerin DL, et al. (2008) Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of imatinib mesylate in patients with advanced malignancies and varying degrees of renal dysfunction: a study by the National Cancer Institute Organ Dysfunction Working Group. J Clin Oncol 26: 570-576.
- 11. Ramanathan RK, Egorin MJ, Takimoto CH, Remick SC, Doroshow JH, et al.

*Corresponding author: Michelle A. Rudek, Pharm.D., Ph.D., Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins, Bunting-Blaustein Cancer Research Bldg, 1650 Orleans Street, Room 1M52, Baltimore, MD 21231-1000, USA. Phone: (410) 614-6321; Fax: (410) 502-0895; E-mail: mrudek2@jhmi.edu

Received October 23, 2012; Accepted October 24, 2012; Published October 26, 2012

Citation: Rudek MA (2012) Do We Have the Right Dose? Dose Adjustments for Organ Dysfunction. Chemotherapy 1:e117. doi:10.4172/2167-7700.1000e117

Copyright: © 2012 Rudek MA. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

- (2008) Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of imatinib mesylate in patients with advanced malignancies and varying degrees of liver dysfunction: a study by the National Cancer Institute Organ Dysfunction Working Group. J Clin Oncol 26: 563-569.
- 12. Miller AA, Murry DJ, Owzar K, Hollis DR, Kennedy EB, et al. (2009) Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of sorafenib in patients with hepatic or renal dysfunction: CALGB 60301. J Clin Oncol 27: 1800-1805.
- Siegel-Lakhai WS, Crul M, De Porre P, Zhang S, Chang I, et al. (2006) Clinical and pharmacologic study of the farnesyltransferase inhibitor tipifarnib in cancer
- patients with normal or mildly or moderately impaired hepatic function. J Clin Oncol 24:4558-4564.
- Tortorici MA, Toh M, Rahavendran SV, Labadie RR, Alvey CW, et al. (2011) Influence of mild and moderate hepatic impairment on axitinib pharmacokinetics. Invest New Drugs 29: 1370-1380.
- Abbas R, Chalon S, Leister C, Gaaloul ME, Sonnichsen D (2012) Evaluation
 of the pharmacokinetics and safety of bosutinib in patients with chronic hepatic
 impairment and matched healthy subjects. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.

Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of OMICS Group submissions

Unique features:

- User friendly/feasible website-translation of your paper to 50 world's leading languages
- Audio Version of published paper
- Digital articles to share and explore

Special features:

- 200 Open Access Journals
- 15,000 editorial team
 21 days rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at PubMed (partial), Scopus, DOAJ, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: www.omicsonline.org/submission/