

Challenges for a successful career as a PhD student

Ana Luiza Zaninotto¹
Tatiana Saito Paiva²
Marcia André²
Beatriz Silva Mattos²
Wellingson Silva Paiva³

¹Division of Psychology, Clinics Hospital at University of São Paulo Medical School, ²Department of Surgery, Prosthesis, and Maxillofacial Trauma, University of Sao Paulo Dentistry School, ³Division of Neurological Surgery, Department of Neurology, University of São Paulo Medical School, São Paulo, Brazil

Dear editor

Recently, we read publications relating to helping students to improve their scientific thinking and writing;^{1,2} in which Sørensen wrote a brilliant paper entitled “I-determinants for successful PhD or postdoctoral outcome”.³ There is a new world in clinical and experimental research with many challenges for training new researchers. There is notable intensification of the International Scientific Cooperation, requiring more systematic programs for PhD students and research fellow training. In this paper, the author describes his extensive experience relating on how to identify the characteristics of PhD students and postdoctoral fellows that can successfully perform the training program and became an independent researcher.

Sørensen³ highlights I-determinants characteristics to predict success or failure of the student in PhD and postdoctoral programs. He describes such characteristics, such as interest; incentive; idea; initiative; integrity; and interpersonal relationships, and scored in a way to predict success on the PhD program, in which the student needs to develop a realistic, relevant, and feasible project. The candidates for a postdoctoral program must have well-developed capacity for critical thinking, conceptualization, and reflection. The I-determinants for this category are: identity, independence, and image; implementation; innovative and important topics; in-depth knowledge for research topic; interactive and integrated with the scientific community; and internationally oriented.

Sørensen³ opens the possibility to discuss the differences between training programs from one country to another; thereby we will describe our experience in Brazil, and also consider some different points of view. In Brazil, there is no data collection related to the percentage of students that successfully finish the PhD program and became a postdoctoral researcher or an independent researcher. However, it has been shown that the number of PhD students increased 250% in the last 10 years.⁴ Also, we can identify some aspects, which are probably unique to our reality. In Brazil, there are few students who really want to become researchers. The socioeconomic aspects require that health care professionals, especially nonmedical professionals such as psychologists, nurses, biomedical, among others, to seek other ways to work and expand job possibilities such as giving classes or requesting better positions in their current job. Thus, as the primary objective of the PhD candidate in Brazil usually is not necessarily to become an independent researcher, but to open up employment opportunities. In this way, unfortunately many students are either not motivated nor engaged in research

Correspondence: Tatiana Saito Paiva
Department of Surgery, Prosthesis, and Maxillofacial Trauma, University of Sao Paulo, School of Dentistry, 255 Eneas Aguiar Street, Office 4079, Sao Paulo 05403010, Brazil
Tel +55 11 2661 7226
Fax +55 11 2548 6906
Email wellingsonpaiva@yahoo.com.br

innovation. As noted by Sørensen,³ a student seeking a PhD program for financial need or seeking only a qualification for promotion in another career, the prognosis is much less favorable than when the driving force is a genuine interest in research. Thus, in Brazil we have to face the limitation that probably most of the students do not have a primary interest in research. This unfavorable local scenario makes preparing for a good and independent researcher hard work.

The gap in scientific scenario between supply and demand of PhD students and job position is also a problem in wealthy countries like the USA.⁵ In these centers, PhD students and postdoctoral fellows carry out most of the scientific research. As a result, high-impact researchers train more scientists who are required to replace themselves; in total, thus generating more scientists than existing relevant positions in academia.^{5,6} However in the USA, there are more researchers working in industry, in functions related to research and development and more recently in unrelated functions for research with managerial functions and business consulting than in academia.⁵ In a Brazilian scenario, there are no vacancies in the labor market for researchers. Professionals who want to pursue a career as researchers need to become teachers, usually in major universities, which makes it a much more difficult path.

As a result of this doctoral students profile, the results are disastrous. Fernandes-Ferreira⁷ published the text “Um exército de doutores desempregado or An army of unemployed doctors”. The author discusses the problem of the growing number of unemployed juniors PhDs and low market capacity to absorb this demand.

On the other hand, there are students that successfully conclude their PhD program and who can take advantage

of a scholarship to study and dedicate them exclusively to doing research abroad during the PhD or postdoctoral program. Still, there are no guarantees and possibilities of integration in the labor market in which are usually restricted and precarious. So that, on many occasions, the student who finishes the PhD successfully chooses to try careering abroad.

In conclusion, we agree that it is important to discuss the ways to identify the PhD or the postdoctoral profile, which will conclude the program in a successful way, but it is also indispensable to discuss the real opportunities that these professionals will have in the future in their areas of research.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Velez FGS, Bonin CP, Chalita MR, Falcão DP, Fregni F, Amorim RFB. Avoiding mistakes while writing scientific manuscripts in health sciences. *Geriatr Gerontol Aging*. 2016;10(2):49–56.
2. Falcão DP, O'Brien AT, Amorim RFB, Moreno H, Fregni F, Chalita MR. Initial steps in writing and submitting a research paper. *Geriatr Gerontol Aging*. 2016;10(1):3–9.
3. Sørensen HT. I-determinants for a successful PhD or postdoctoral outcome. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2016;8:297–303.
4. Rodrigues SMS, Ribeiro MJB, Santos MJC. Ensino Superior: Mapeamento do Número de Alunos Matriculados na Pós-Graduação Stricto Sensu no Brasil. *Revista Gestão Universitária*. 2015;2(2):1–10. Portuguese.
5. Alberts B, Kirschner MW, Tilghman S, Varmus H. Rescuing US biomedical research from its systemic flaws. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014;111(16):5773–5777.
6. Alberts B, Kirschner MW, Tilghman S, Varmus H. Opinion: addressing systemic problems in the biomedical research enterprise. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015;112(7):1912–1913.
7. Fernandes-Ferreira H. Um exército de Doutores desempregados. Tribuna do Ceará. Available from: <http://tribunadoceara.uol.com.br/blogs/tribuna-cientifica/ciencia-e-politica/um-exercito-de-doutores-desempregados/>. Retrieved February 17, 2016.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Epidemiology ‘letters to the editor’ section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Epidemiology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Epidemiology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Epidemiology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on disease and drug epidemiology, identification of risk factors and screening procedures to develop optimal preventative initiatives and programs. Specific topics include: diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, screening, prevention, risk factor modification,

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-epidemiology-journal>

systematic reviews, risk and safety of medical interventions, epidemiology and biostatistical methods, and evaluation of guidelines, translational medicine, health policies and economic evaluations. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use.

Dovepress