#### APPLICATION OF PROSPECT THEORY TO MANAGEMENT DECISIONS UNDER RISK ON CONSTRUCTION PROJECT

#### ICSC'15 Jean-Charles Fiolet, Dr Carl T. Haas 06/10/15



## INTRODUCTION

• How are we making decisions ?





## INTRODUCTION

#### **Expected Monetary Value**



$$EV(x_1, p_1; ...; x_n, p_n) = p_1 x_1 + \dots + p_n x_n$$

 $p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1$ 







Introduction

## QUESTIONNAIRE



Change

**Certainty Effect** 

Non Compliance

Loss Aversion



Under Budget

On Budget

**Over Budget** 

Expected Values

Expected Values Equal

Expected Values different



#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE**





#### EXAMPLE





### **RESULTS EV EQUAL**

		A (Risk Ta	king)	B (No Risk)		Interval (95% confidence)	
	Q	Percentage	EV	Percentage	EV		
On Budget	15	36%	-\$200	64%	-\$200	+/- 13%	

- Participants are mainly Risk Avoiding
- Project cost performance has an impact on the results





## **RESULTS EV DIFFERENT**

		A (Risk Ta	king)	B (No Risk)		Interval (95% confidence)	
	Q	Percentage	EV	Percentage	EV		
On Budget	2	72%	-\$168	28%	-\$207	+/- 12%	

- Participants are mainly Risk Taking
- No influence of the cost performance
- Still 35% of the participants are Risk avoiding





#### **COMPUTATION OF THE EU**





# CONCLUSION

- Application of Behavioural Economics
  - » Risk-Avoiding Behaviour
  - » Influence of the Project Cost Performance
  - » Influence of the decision-makers experience
  - ➔ Creation of small losses



#### **THANK YOU!**



Introduction Prospect Theory Methodology Results Conclusion **COMPUTATION OF THE EU**  $EU(x_1, p_1; ...; x_n, p_n) = \pi(p_1)v(x_1) + \cdots + \pi(p_n)v(x_n)$ 





## AGE COMPARISON

	< 45 years old		A (Risk Taking)		B (No Risk)		Interval (95% confidence)		
5		Q	Percentage	EV	Percentage	EV			
	Under Budget	6	19%	-\$150	81%	-\$150	+/-	15%	
5	On Budget	15	30%	-\$200	70%	-\$200	+/-	17%	
	Over Budget	20	44%	-\$300	56%	-\$300	+/-	19%	

- Least experienced participants are more Risk Averse
- Cost performance has an important impact on the results



UNIVERSITY OF WATER 14

Younger

**Older Participants** 

## AGE COMPARISON

< 45 years old	< 45 years old		A (Risk Taking)		lisk)	Interval (95% confidence)	
	Q	Percentage	EV	Percentage	EV		
Under Budget	6	31%	-\$150	69%	-\$150	+/- 18%	
On Budget	15	42%	-\$200	58%	-\$200	+/- 19%	
Over Budget	20	62%	-\$300	38%	-\$300	+/- 19%	

- Most experienced participants are more Risk Taking
- Cost performance has an important impact on the results



