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Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the notion of hyper pseudo \( BCK \)-algebras, which is a generalization of pseudo \( BCK \)-algebras and hyper \( BCK \)-algebras and we investigates some related properties. In follow, we define some kinds of hyper pseudo \( BCK \)-ideals of a hyper pseudo \( BCK \)-algebra and we find the relations among them. Finally, we characterize the hyper pseudo \( BCK \)-ideals of type 4 generated by a nonempty subset.
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1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The study of \( BCK \)-algebras was initiated by Y. Imai and K. Iséki [8] in 1966 as a generalization of the concept of set-theoretic difference and propositional calculi. In order to extend \( BCK \)-algebras in a noncommutative form, Georgescu and Iorgulescu [4] introduced the notion of pseudo \( BCK \)-algebras and studied their properties. The pseudo \( BCK \)-algebras as generalization...
of $BCK$-algebras in order to give a structure corresponding to pseudo $MV$-algebras, as the bounded commutative $BCK$-algebras corresponds to $MV$-algebra. Hyperstructure theory (called also multialgebras) was introduced in 1934 by F. Marty [11] at the 8th Congress of Scandinavian Mathematiciens. Since then many researchers have worked on algebraic hyperstructures and developed it. A recent book [3] contains a wealth of applications. Via this book, Corsini and Leoreanu presented some of the numerous applications of algebraic hyperstructures, especially those from the last fifteen years, to the following subjects: geometry, hypergraphs, binary relations, lattices, fuzzy sets and rough sets, automata, cryptography, codes, median algebras, relation algebras, artificial intelligence and probabilities. Hyperstructures have many applications to several sectors of both pure and applied sciences. In [1, 10, 12, 13], R. A. Borzooei, M.M. Zahedi et al. applied the hyperstructures to $BCK$-algebras and introduced the notion of a hyper $BCK$-algebra (hyper $K$-algebra) which is a generalization of $BCK$-algebra and investigated some related properties.

Now, in this paper we define the notions of hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebra, hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideals of hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebra and we obtain some related results which have been mentioned in the abstract.

**Definition 1.1.** [10] By a hyper $BCK$-algebra we mean a nonempty set $H$ endowed with a hyperoperation "$\circ$" and a constant 0 satisfy the following axioms:

(HK1) $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) \leq x \circ y$,
(HK2) $(x \circ y) \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ y$,
(HK3) $x \circ H \leq \{x\}$,
(HK4) $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ imply $x = y$,

for all $x, y, z \in H$, where $x \leq y$ is defined by $0 \in x \circ y$ and for every $A, B \subseteq H$, $A \leq B$ is defined by $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in B$ such that $a \leq b$. In such case, we call "$\leq"$ the hyperorder in $H$.

**Definition 1.2.** [10] Let $I$ be a nonempty subset of hyper $BCK$-algebra $H$ and $0 \in I$. Then $I$ is said to be a

(i) hyper $BCK$-ideal of $H$ if for all $x, y \in H$, $y \in I$ and $x \circ y \leq I$, imply $x \in I$.
(ii) weak hyper $BCK$-ideal of $H$ if for all $x, y \in H$, $y \in I$ and $x \circ y \subseteq I$, imply $x \in I$.

**Definition 1.3.** [4] A pseudo $BCK$-algebra is a structure $X = (X, *, \circ, 0)$, where "$*$" and "$\circ$" are binary operations on $X$ and "$0$" is a constant element of $X$, that satisfies the following:

(a1) $(x * y) \circ (x * z) \leq z \circ y$, $(x \circ y) * (x \circ z) \leq z \circ y$,
(a2) $x * (x \circ y) \leq y$, $x \circ (x * y) \leq y$,
(a3) $x \leq x$,
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(a4) $0 \preceq x$,
(a5) $x \preceq y, y \preceq x$ implies $x = y$,
(a6) $x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow x * y = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \circ y = 0$.

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Let $X$ be a pseudo $BCK$-algebra, we denote $*(y, I) = \{ x \in X \mid x \preceq y \in I \}$ and $\circ(y, I) = \{ x \in X \mid x \circ y \in I \}$.

Note that, $*(y, I) \cap \circ(y, I) = \{ x \in X \mid x \preceq y \in I, x \circ y \in I \}$.

**Definition 1.4.** [9] Let $I$ be a nonempty subset of pseudo $BCK$-algebra $X$ and $0 \in I$. Then $I$ is said to be a
(i) pseudo-ideal of $X$ if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I) \subseteq I$ and $\circ(y, I) \subseteq I$.
(ii) weak pseudo-ideal of $X$ if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I) \cap \circ(y, I) \subseteq I$.

2. Hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebra

In 2001, G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu [3], extended the $BCK$-algebras in a noncommutative form and defined the notion of pseudo $BCK$-algebras. They gave a structure corresponding between pseudo $BCK$-algebras and pseudo $MV$-algebras. Moreover, in 2000, R. A. Borzooei and et al. [1,10], defined the notion of hyper $BCK$-algebras and hyper $K$-algebras as a generalization of $BCK$-algebras and in [5], Sh. Ghorbani and et al. defined the notion of hyper $MV$-algebra and they found a structure corresponding between hyper $MV$-algebras and hyper $K$-algebras, by some conditions. Now, in this section we generalize the notion of pseudo $BCK$-algebras and hyper $BCK$-algebras and we define the concept of hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebra. One of our motivation is to find a corresponding between this structure and hyper pseudo $MV$-algebras, in the future.

**Definition 2.1.** A hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebra is a structure $(H, \circ, *, 0)$, where " * " and " $\circ$ " are hyper operations on $H$ and "0" is a constant element, that satisfies the following:

(PHK1) $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) \ll x \circ y$, $(x * z) * (y * z) \ll x * y$.
(PHK2) $(x \circ y) * z = (x * z) \circ y$.
(PHK3) $x \circ H \ll \{ x \}$, $x \circ H \ll \{ x \}$.
(PHK4) $x \ll y$ and $y \ll x$ imply $x = y$.

for all $x, y, z \in H$, where $x \ll y \iff 0 \in x \circ y \iff 0 \in x * y$ and for every $A, B \subseteq H$, $A \ll B$ is defined by $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in B$ such that $a \ll b$.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $H$ be a hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebra. If $x * y = x \circ y$, for all $x, y \in H$, then $H$ is a hyper $BCK$-algebra and if " * " and " $\circ$ " are singleton, then $H$ is a pseudo $BCK$-algebra.

**Proof.** Let $H$ be a hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebra and $x * y = x \circ y$, for all $x, y \in H$. It is easy to see that $H$ is a hyper $BCK$-algebra. Now, assume that " * " and " $\circ$ "
are singleton. Then \( x \ll y \iff x \cdot y = 0 \iff x \cdot y = 0 \). Clearly (a6) and (a5) are hold. By (PHK1), \((x \circ z) \cdot (y \circ z) \ll x \cdot y \) and so \(((x \circ z) \cdot (y \circ z)) \cdot (x \cdot y) = 0 \).

By (PHK2), \(((x \circ z) \cdot (x \cdot y)) \cdot (y \circ z) = 0\), i.e. \((x \circ z) \cdot (x \cdot y) \ll (y \circ z)\). By the similar way, we can prove that if \((x \cdot z) \cdot (y \cdot z) \ll x \cdot y \), then \((x \cdot z) \cdot (y \cdot z) \ll y \cdot z \).

Therefore, (a1) is hold. Now, we need to show that \( 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \). By (PHK3), \( 0 \cdot 0 \ll 0 \). So, it is enough to show that \( 0 \ll 0 \cdot 0 \). If \( 0 \cdot (0 \cdot 0) = b \), we will prove that \( b = 0 \). Since by (PHK3), \( 0 \circ (0 \cdot 0) \ll 0 \), then \( b \ll 0 \), i.e. \( b \cdot 0 = 0 \).

We know that \( b \cdot 0 \ll b \) and so \( 0 \ll b \). Hence \( b = 0 \) by (PHK4) and so \( 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \).

By (PHK3), \( 0 \circ x \ll 0 \) and so by (PHK2), \( 0 = (0 \circ x) \cdot 0 = (0 \cdot 0) \circ x = 0 \circ x \), i.e. \( 0 \ll x \) and so (a4) is hold. By (PHK3), \( x \cdot 0 \ll x \) and using (PHK2), we obtain \( 0 = (x \cdot 0) \circ x = (x \cdot x) \cdot 0 \) i.e. \( x \cdot x \ll 0 \). Since by (a4) \( 0 \ll x \cdot x \), hence by (PHK4), \( x \circ x = 0 \), i.e. \( x \ll x \) and (a3) is hold. By (PHK2) and (a3), we have \((x \cdot (x \cdot y)) \circ y = (x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot y) = 0\), i.e. \( x \cdot (x \cdot y) \ll y \). By the similar way, we can prove that \( x \circ (x \cdot y) \ll y \), hence (a2) is hold. Therefore, \( H \) is a pseudo BCK-algebra.

Example 2.3. (i) Let \( H = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \). The hyperoperations \( \cdot \) and \( \circ \) on \( H \) be defined as follows:

\[
x \cdot y = \begin{cases} 
\{0\}, & \text{if } x < y, \\
\{0, x\}, & \text{if } x = y, \\
\{x\}, & \text{if } x > y.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
x \circ y = \begin{cases} 
\{0, x\}, & \text{if } x \leq y, \\
\{x\}, & \text{if } x > y.
\end{cases}
\]

Then \((H, \circ, \cdot, 0)\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra.

(ii) Let \( H = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \). The hyperoperations \( \cdot \) and \( \circ \) on \( H \) be defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \circ )</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>{1}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>{2}</td>
<td>{1}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>{3}</td>
<td>{3}</td>
<td>{0,3}</td>
<td>{0,3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \cdot )</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>{1}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>{2}</td>
<td>{1}</td>
<td>{0,1}</td>
<td>{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>{3}</td>
<td>{3}</td>
<td>{0,3}</td>
<td>{0,3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then \((H, \circ, \cdot, 0)\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra.

Proposition 2.4. In any hyper pseudo BCK-algebra \( H \), the following hold:

(i) \( 0 \circ 0 = \{0\}, \ 0 \cdot 0 = \{0\} \).

(ii) \( 0 \ll x \).

(iii) \( x \ll x \).

(iv) \( A \ll A \).

(v) \( A \subseteq B \implies A \ll B \).

(vi) \( 0 \circ x = \{0\}, \ 0 \cdot x = \{0\} \).

(vii) \( 0 \circ A = \{0\}, \ 0 \cdot A = \{0\} \).

(viii) \( A \ll \{0\} \implies A = \{0\} \).

(ix) \( x \circ 0 = \{x\}, \ x \cdot 0 = \{x\} \).
(x) \( x \circ 0 \ll \{ y \} \) implies \( x \ll y \) and \( x \ast 0 \ll \{ y \} \) implies \( x \ll y \).
(xi) \( y \ll z \) implies \( x \circ z \ll x \circ y \) and \( x \ast z \ll x \ast y \).
(xii) \( x \circ \{ y \} \) implies \( (x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) = \{ 0 \} \) and \( x \circ z \ll y \circ z \), \( x \ast y = \{ 0 \} \) implies \( (x \ast z) \ast (y \ast z) = \{ 0 \} \) and \( x \ast z \ll y \ast z \).
(xiii) \( A \cap \{ 0 \} = \{ 0 \} \) implies \( A = \{ 0 \} \) and \( A \ast \{ 0 \} = \{ 0 \} \) implies \( A = \{ 0 \} \).
(xiv) \( (A \circ c) \circ (B \ast c) \ll A \circ B \), \( (A \ast c) \ast (B \circ c) \ll A \ast B \).

for all \( x, y, z \in H \) and for all nonempty subsets \( A \) and \( B \) of \( H \).

Proof. (i) Let \( a \in 0 \ast 0 \ast \). Since by (PHK3), \( 0 \ast 0 \ll \{ 0 \} \), then \( a \ll 0 \). Now, we show that \( 0 \ll a \). Let \( b \in 0 \ast a \). Since by (PHK3) \( 0 \ast a \ll \{ 0 \} \) and so \( 0 \in b \ast 0 \). But, since \( b \ast 0 \ll \{ b \} \), then \( 0 \ll \{ b \} \) and so by (PHK4), \( b = 0 \). Hence \( 0 \in 0 \ast a \) and so \( 0 \ll a \). Therefore, by (PHK4), \( a = 0 \) and so \( 0 \ast 0 = \{ 0 \} \).

By the similar way, we can prove that \( 0 \ast 0 = \{ 0 \} \).

(ii) By (PHK3), \( 0 \circ x \ll \{ 0 \} \) and so by (PHK2) and (i), \( 0 \in (0 \circ x) \ast 0 = (0 \ast 0) \circ x = 0 \circ x \). Hence \( 0 \ll x \), for all \( x \in H \).

(iii) By (PHK3), \( x \circ 0 \ll \{ x \} \) and by using (PHK2), we obtain \( 0 \in (x \circ 0) \circ x = (x \circ x) \circ 0 = \bigcup_{a \in x \ast x} a \circ 0 \). Thus there exists \( a_0 \in x \ast x \) such that \( 0 \in a_0 \circ 0 \) i.e. \( a_0 \ll 0 \). Using (ii) we get \( a_0 = 0 \) and so \( 0 \in x \ast x \), i.e. \( x \ll x \).

(iv) By (iii), the proof is clear.

(v) The proof is easy.

(vi) Let \( a \in 0 \ast x \) for \( x \in H \). Since by (PHK3), \( 0 \ast x \ll \{ 0 \} \), then \( a \ll 0 \) and so by (ii) and (PHK4), \( a = 0 \). Hence \( 0 \ast x = \{ 0 \} \). By the similar way, we can prove that \( 0 \circ x = \{ 0 \} \).

(vii) By (vi), the proof is clear.

(viii) Let \( A \ll \{ 0 \} \) and \( a \in A \). Then \( a \ll 0 \) and so \( a = 0 \). Therefore \( A = \{ 0 \} \).

(ix) By (iv) and (PHK2), \( 0 \in (x \circ 0) \ast (x \circ 0) = (x \ast (x \circ 0)) \circ 0 \). Hence there exists \( a \in x \ast (x \circ 0) \) such that \( 0 \ll a \) and so \( a \ll 0 \). Now, by (ii) and (PHK4), \( a = 0 \) and so \( 0 \in x \ast (x \circ 0) \). Hence there exists \( t \in x \circ 0 \) such that \( 0 \in x \ast t \) and so \( x \ll t \). Now, since by (PHK3), \( x \circ 0 \ll \{ x \} \), then \( t \ll x \) and so \( t = x \). Thus, \( x \in x \circ 0 \). Now, let \( y \in x \circ 0 \). Then by (iii) and (PHK2), \( 0 \in y \ast y \subseteq (x \circ 0) \ast y = (x \ast y) \circ 0 \). Hence there exists \( u \in x \ast y \) such that \( 0 \ll u \circ 0 \) and so \( u \ll 0 \). Now, by (ii) and (PHK4), \( u = 0 \) and so \( 0 \in x \ast y \). Hence \( x \ll y \). Now, since by (PHK3), \( x \circ 0 \ll \{ x \} \), then \( y \ll x \) and so \( y = x \). Thus, \( x \circ 0 = \{ x \} \). By the similar way, we can prove that \( x \ast 0 = \{ x \} \).

(x) By (ix), the proof is clear.

(xi) Let \( y \ll z \), for \( y, z \in H \). Since \( 0 \in y \circ z \), then by (PHK1) for any \( x \in H \), \( (x \circ z) \circ 0 \subseteq (x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) \ll x \circ y \) and so \( (x \circ z) \circ 0 \ll x \circ y \). Hence for any \( a \in x \circ z \), there exists \( b \in x \circ y \) such that \( a \ll b \). Now, since by (ix), \( a \in a \circ 0 \), then \( a \ll b \) and this means that \( x \circ z \ll x \circ y \). By the similar way, we can prove that \( x \ast z \ll x \ast y \).
By the similar way, we can prove the other case.

Let $A$ be a nonempty subset of $H$ and $x \in (A \circ c) \circ (B \circ c)$. Then there exist $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $x \in (a \circ c) \circ (b \circ c)$. Since by (PHK1), $(a \circ c) \circ (b \circ c) \leq a \circ b$ then there exists $y \in a \circ b$ such that $x \leq y$.

Now, since $y \in a \circ b \leq A \circ B$, then $(A \circ c) \circ (B \circ c) \leq A \circ B$. By the similar way, we can prove that $(A \circ c) \circ (B \circ c) \leq A \circ B$.

\[\square\]

**Note:**

(i) From now on, in this paper $H$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebra, unless otherwise stated.

(ii) For any nonempty subset $I$ of $H$ and any element $y$ of $H$, we denote

\[*(y, I)^{\leq} = \{x \in H \mid x \leq y \leq I\}, \quad o(y, I)^{\leq} = \{x \in H \mid x \circ y \leq I\}\]

\[*(y, I)^{\subseteq} = \{x \in H \mid x \leq y \leq I\}, \quad o(y, I)^{\subseteq} = \{x \in H \mid x \circ y \leq I\}\]

### 3. Hyper Pseudo $BCK$-Ideals

In this section we generalize the notion of pseudo and weak pseudo $BCK$-ideals in pseudo $BCK$-algebras and (weak) hyper $BCK$-ideals in hyper $BCK$-algebras to the hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebras and we get the following cases for hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideals. In fact, these following 12 cases are the natural generalization of ideals in the hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebras.

Define the notions of hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideals of type 1, 2, $\ldots$, 12. Then we state and prove some theorems which determine the relationships between these notions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $I$ be a nonempty subset of $H$ and $0 \in I$. Then $I$ is said to be a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal if

(i) type (1), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\leq} \subseteq I$ and $o(y, I)^{\leq} \subseteq I$.

(ii) type (2), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$ and $o(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$.

(iii) type (3), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\leq} \subseteq I$ and $o(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$.

(iv) type (4), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$ and $o(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$.

(v) type (5), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\leq} \subseteq I$ or $o(y, I)^{\leq} \subseteq I$.

(vi) type (6), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$ or $o(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$.

(vii) type (7), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\leq} \subseteq I$ or $o(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$.

(viii) type (8), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$ or $o(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$.

(ix) type (9), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\leq} \cap o(y, I)^{\leq} \subseteq I$.

(x) type (10), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\subseteq} \cap o(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$.

(xi) type (11), if for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I)^{\leq} \cap o(y, I)^{\subseteq} \subseteq I$.
Theorem 3.2. (i) If $H$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 9 in $H$ is a hyper $BCK$-ideal in $H$ and any hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of types 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 12 in $H$ is a weak hyper $BCK$-ideal in $H$.

(ii) If "$*$" and "$\circ$" are singleton, then any hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 4 in $H$ is a pseudo-ideal in $H$ and any hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of types 2, 3, 4, 8 and 12 in $H$ is a weak pseudo-ideal in $H$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $x * y = x \circ y$, for all $x, y \in H$ and $I$ be a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1. First, we prove that $I$ is a hyper $BCK$-ideal in $H$. So, let $y \in I$ and $x \circ y \leq I$. Then $x \in o(y, I) \leq I$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1, then $o(y, I) \leq I$ and so $x \in I$. Hence $I$ is a hyper $BCK$-ideal in $H$. Now, we prove that $I$ is a weak hyper $BCK$-ideal in $H$. So, let $y \in I$ and $x \circ y \leq I$. Then $x \circ y \leq I$ and so $x \in o(y, I) \leq I$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1, then $o(y, I) \leq I$ and so $x \in I$. Hence $I$ is a weak hyper $BCK$-ideal in $H$. By the similar way, we can prove the other cases.

(ii) Assume that "$*$" and "$\circ$" are singleton. Then

\[ *(y, I) = \{ x \in H \mid x * y \leq I \} = \{ x \in H \mid x * y \in I \} = *(y, I) \]
\[ o(y, I) = \{ x \in H \mid x \circ y \leq I \} = \{ x \in H \mid x \circ y \in I \} = o(y, I) \]

Now, let $I$ be a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 4. First, we prove that $I$ is a pseudo-ideal in $H$. So, let $y \in I$, $x \in *(y, I)$ and $z \in o(y, I)$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 4, then $*(y, I) \subseteq I$ and $o(y, I) = o(y, I) \subseteq I$ and so $x \in I$ and $z \in I$. Hence $I$ is a pseudo-ideal in $H$. Now, we prove that $I$ is a weak pseudo-ideal in $H$. So, let $y \in I$ and $x \in *(y, I) \cap o(y, I)$. Then $x \in *(y, I)$ and $x \in o(y, I)$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 4, then $*(y, I) \subseteq I$ and $o(y, I) = o(y, I) \subseteq I$ and so $x \in I$. Hence $I$ is a weak pseudo-ideal in $H$. The proof of other cases are the similar.

\[ \square \]

Theorem 3.3. (i) Any hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of types 1, 2 and 3 in $H$ are equivalent.

(ii) Every hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1 in $H$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of types 4 and 5.

(iii) Every hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 4 in $H$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 8.

Proof. (i) Let $I$ be a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1. We will prove that $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 2. It is enough to prove that for any $y \in I$, $*(y, I) \subseteq I$. Let $y \in I$ and $x \in *(y, I) \subseteq I$. Then $x * y \leq I$ and so $x * y \leq I$. Hence $x \in *(y, I) \subseteq I$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1, then $*(y, I) \subseteq I$ and so $x \in I$. Therefore, $*(y, I) \subseteq I$.
Now, let $I$ be a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 2. We will prove that $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 3. Let $y \in I$ and $x \in o(y, I) \subseteq I$. Then $x \circ y \subseteq I$ and so $x \circ y \ll I$. Hence $x \in o(y, I) \subseteq I$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 2, then $o(y, I) \subseteq I$ and so $x \in I$. Hence $o(y, I) \subseteq I$. Now, let $y \in I$ and $z \in (y, I) \subseteq I$. Then $z \star y \ll I$. We should prove that $z \in I$. Let $z \notin I$, by contrary. First, we claim that for any $w \in H$, if $w \notin I$ then $w \ll I$. Now, let $w \in H$ and $w \notin I$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 2 and $0 \in I$, then $o(0, I) \subseteq I$ and so $w \notin o(0, I)$. Hence $w \circ 0 \ll I$ and so by Theorem 2.4 (ix), $w \ll I$. Now, since by hypothesis $(y, I) \subseteq I$, then $z \notin (y, I)$ and so $z \star y \ll I$. Then there exists $w \in z \star y$ such that $w \notin I$ and so by above, $w \notin I$, which is impossible. Because $z \star y \ll I$ and $w \in z \star y$ then $w \ll I$. Hence $z \in I$ and so $(y, I) \ll \subseteq I$.

Now, let $I$ be a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 3. We will prove that $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1. It is enough to prove that for any $y \in I$, $o(y, I) \ll \subseteq I$. Similar to above, we can proof that if $x \in o(y, I) \subseteq I$ then $x \in I$.

(ii) Let $I$ be a hyper pseudo-ideal of type 1. We will prove that $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 4. Let $y \in I$, $x \in *(y, I) \subseteq I$ and $z \in o(y, I) \subseteq I$. Then $x \star y \subseteq I$ and $z \circ y \subseteq I$ and so $x \star y \subseteq I$ and $z \circ y \ll I$. Hence $x \in *(y, I) \subseteq I$ and $z \in o(y, I) \subseteq I$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1, then $*(y, I) \ll \subseteq I$ and $o(y, I) \ll \subseteq I$ and so $x \in I$ and $z \in I$. Hence $*(y, I) \subseteq I$ and $o(y, I) \subseteq I$.

Now, let $I$ be a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1. We will prove that $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 5. Let $y \in I$ and $x \in *(y, I) \subseteq I$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1, then $*(y, I) \ll \subseteq I$ and so $x \in I$. Hence $*(y, I) \subseteq I$. If $x \in o(y, I) \subseteq I$ by the similar way, we can proof that $x \in I$. Hence $o(y, I) \subseteq I$.

(iii) The proof is similar to the proof of case (ii), by some modification. □

The following examples show that the converse of Theorem 3.3 (ii) and (iii) are not correct in general.

**Example 3.4.** (i) Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ and operations " $\star$ " and " $\circ$ " on $H$ be defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\circ$</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\star$</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then $(H, \circ, \star, 0)$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebra. We can see that $I = \{0, b\}$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 4 but it is not a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1. Because $a \notin *(b, I) \subseteq I$ but $a \notin I$.

(ii) Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ and operations " $\star$ " and " $\circ$ " on $H$ be defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\circ$</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\star$</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then $(H, \circ, \star, 0)$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-algebra. We can see that $I = \{0, a\}$ is a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 4 but it is not a hyper pseudo $BCK$-ideal of type 1. Because $a \notin *(b, I) \subseteq I$ but $a \notin I$. 

(iii) The proof is similar to the proof of case (ii), by some modification. □
Then \((H, \circ, *, 0)\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-algebra. We can see that \(I = \{0, a\}\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 5 but it is not a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 1. Because \(b \in * (a, I) \subseteq b \notin I\).

(iii) Let \(H = \{0, a, b\}\) and operations " \(*\)" and " \(\circ\)" on \(H\) be defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{0,a}</td>
<td>{0,a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{0,b}</td>
<td>{0,b}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then \((H, \circ, *, 0)\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-algebra. We can see that \(I = \{0, b\}\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 8 but it is not a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 4. Because \(a \in \circ(b, I) \subseteq \) but \(a \notin I\).

**Theorem 3.5.** Every hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 5 in \(H\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of types 6, 7, 8 and 9.

**Proof.** Let \(I\) be a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 5. We will prove that \(I\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 6. If \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\), then the proof is straightforward. Now, let \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\) and \(x \in * (y, I) \subseteq I\) for \(y \in I\). Then \(x * y \subseteq I\) and so \(x * y \subseteq I\). Hence \(x \in * (y, I) \subseteq I\). Since \(I\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 5 and \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\), then \(x * y \subseteq I\) and so \(x \in I\). Hence \(* (y, I) \subseteq I\). The proof of type 7 is the similar. Now, let \(I\) be a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 5. We will prove that \(I\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 8. If \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\), then the proof is straightforward. Now, let \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\) and \(x \in * (y, I) \subseteq I\) for \(y \in I\). Then \(x * y \subseteq I\) and so \(x * y \subseteq I\). Hence \(x \in * (y, I) \subseteq I\). Since \(I\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 5, then \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\) or \(* (y, I) \subseteq I\). It is enough to prove that \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\). If we assume that \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\), since by the hypothesis \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\) there exists \(a \in \circ(y, I) \subseteq I\) such that \(a \notin I\). Then \(a \circ y \subseteq I\) and so \(a \circ y \subseteq I\). Hence \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\) and so \(a \in I\). That is contrast. Therefore, \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\). Hence \(* (y, I) \subseteq I\) and so \(x \in I\). Thus \(* (y, I) \subseteq I\). Now, let \(I\) be a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 5. We will prove that \(I\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 9. Let \(y \in I\) and \(x \in * (y, I) \subseteq \circ(y, I) \subseteq I\). Then \(x \in * (y, I) \subseteq I\) and \(x \in \circ(y, I) \subseteq I\). Since \(I\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 5, then \(* (y, I) \subseteq I\) or \(\circ(y, I) \subseteq I\) and so \(x \in I\). Hence \(* (y, I) \subseteq I\).

**Corollary 3.6.** Every hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of type 2 or 3 in \(H\) is a hyper pseudo \(BCK\)-ideal of types 6, 7, 8 and 9.
The following examples show that the converse of Theorem 3.5 is not correct in general.

**Example 3.7.** (i) Let \( H = \{0, a, b\} \) and operations \( "\ast" \) and \( "\circ" \) on \( H \) be defined as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\circ & 0 & a & b \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0,a,b\}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\ast & 0 & a & b \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0,a\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{a,b\} & \{0,a,b\}
\end{array}
\]

Then \( (H, \circ, \ast, 0) \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that \( I = \{0, b\} \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 6 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 5. Because \( a \in \ast(b, I) \subseteq I \) and \( a \notin I \).

(ii) Let \( H = \{0, a, b\} \) and operations \( "\ast" \) and \( "\circ" \) on \( H \) be defined as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\circ & 0 & a & b \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{a,b\} & \{0,b\}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\ast & 0 & a & b \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0,a\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{a,b\} & \{0,a,b\}
\end{array}
\]

Then \( (H, \circ, \ast, 0) \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that \( I = \{0, b\} \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 7 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 5. Because \( a \notin \ast(b, I) \subseteq I \) and \( a \notin I \).

(iii) Let \( H = \{0, a, b\} \) and operations \( "\ast" \) and \( "\circ" \) on \( H \) be defined as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\circ & 0 & a & b \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0\}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\ast & 0 & a & b \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0,a\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0,a\}
\end{array}
\]

Then \( (H, \circ, \ast, 0) \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that \( I = \{0, b\} \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 8 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 5. Because \( a \in \ast(0, I) \subseteq I \) and \( a \notin \circ(b, I) \subseteq I \) but \( a \notin I \).

(iv) Let \( H = \{0, a, b, c\} \) and operations \( "\ast" \) and \( "\circ" \) on \( H \) be defined as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\circ & 0 & a & b & c \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
c & \{c\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\ast & 0 & a & b & c \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
c & \{c\} & \{c\} & \{a\} & \{0\}
\end{array}
\]

Then \( (H, \circ, \ast, 0) \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that \( I = \{0, a, b\} \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 9 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 5. Because \( c \in \ast(b, I) \subseteq I \) and \( c \notin \circ(a, I) \subseteq I \) but \( c \notin I \).

**Theorem 3.8.** (i) Every hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 6 in \( H \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of types 8 and 10.
(ii) Every hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 7 in $H$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of types 8 and 11.

(iii) Every hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 8 in $H$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 12.

**Proof.** (i) Let $I$ be a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 6. We will prove that $I$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 8. If $(y, I)^c \subseteq I$ the proof is straightforward. Let $(y, I)^c \subseteq I$ and $x \in o(y, I)^c$ for $y \in I$. Then $x \circ y \subseteq I$ and so $x \circ y \ll I$. Hence $x \in o(y, I) \ll I$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 6 and $(y, I)^c \subseteq I$, then $o(y, I) \ll \subseteq I$ and so $x \in I$. Thus $o(y, I)^c \subseteq I$. Now, let $I$ be a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 6. We will prove that $I$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 6. Let $y \in I$ and $x \in (y, I)^c \cap o(y, I) \ll I$. Then $x \in (y, I)^c$ and $x \in o(y, I) \ll I$. Since $I$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 6, then $(y, I)^c \subseteq I$ or $(y, I) \ll I$ and so $x \in I$. Hence $(y, I)^c \cap o(y, I) \ll \subseteq I$.

The proof of cases (ii) and (iii) are the similar to the proof of case (i), by the same modification.

The following examples show that the converse of Theorem 3.8 is not correct in general.

**Example 3.9.** (i) Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ and operations "*" and "$\circ$" on $H$ be defined as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\circ & 0 & a & b \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0,a\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0\} \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
* & 0 & a & b \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0,b\} \\
\end{array}
\]

Then $(H, \circ, *, 0)$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that $I = \{0, b\}$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 8 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 6. Because $a \in (*, I)^c$ but $a \not\in I$ and $a \in o(b, I) \ll$ but $a \not\in I$.

(ii) Let $H = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and operations "*" and "$\circ$" on $H$ be defined as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
* & 0 & a & b & c \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
c & \{c\} & \{c\} & \{b,c\} & \{0,c\} \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\circ & 0 & a & b & c \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0,b\} & \{0\} \\
c & \{c\} & \{c\} & \{b,c\} & \{0\} \\
\end{array}
\]

Then $(H, \circ, *, 0)$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that $I = \{0, b\}$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 10 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 6. Because $c \in (*, I)^c$ but $c \not\in I$ and $a \in o(b, I) \ll$ but $a \not\in I$.

(iii) Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ and operations "*" and "$\circ$" on $H$ be defined as follows:
Then \((H, \circ, *, 0)\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that \(I = \{0, b\}\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 8 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 7. Because \(a \in \circ(b, I)^\subseteq\) but \(a \not\in I\) and \(a \in * (b, I)^\ll\) but \(a \not\in I\).

(iv) Let \(H = \{0, a, b, c\}\) and operations ", " and ", " on \(H\) be defined as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\circ & 0 & a & b \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0,a\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0\} \\
c & \{c\} & \{c\} & \{0,c\}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
* & 0 & a & b \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0,a\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0,b\} \\
c & \{c\} & \{c\} & \{0,c\}
\end{array}
\]

Then \((H, \circ, *, 0)\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that \(I = \{0, b\}\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 11 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 7. Because \(a \in * (b, I)^\ll\) but \(a \not\in I\) and \(c \in \circ(b, I)^\subseteq\) but \(c \not\in I\).

(v) Let \(H = \{0, a, b, c\}\) and operations ", " and ", " on \(H\) be defined as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
* & 0 & a & b & c \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0,a\} & \{0,a\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
c & \{c\} & \{c\} & \{c\} & \{0,c\}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\circ & 0 & a & b & c \\
\hline
0 & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
a & \{a\} & \{0\} & \{0\} & \{0\} \\
b & \{b\} & \{b\} & \{0,b\} & \{0,b\} \\
c & \{c\} & \{c\} & \{c\} & \{0,c\}
\end{array}
\]

Then \((H, \circ, *, 0)\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that \(I = \{0, c\}\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 12 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 8. Because \(b \in * (c, I)^\ll\) but \(b \not\in I\) and \(a \in \circ(c, I)^\subseteq\) but \(a \not\in I\).

\textbf{Theorem 3.10.} (i) Every hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 9 in \(H\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of types 10, 11 and 12.

(ii) Every hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 10 in \(H\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 12.

(iii) Every hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 11 in \(H\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 12.

\textbf{Proof.} (i) Let \(I\) be a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 9. We will prove that \(I\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 10. Let \(y \in I\) and \(x \in * (y, I)^\subseteq \cap \circ (y, I)^\ll\). Then \(x \in * (y, I)^\subseteq\) and \(x \in \circ (y, I)^\ll\). Thus \(x \ast y \subseteq I\) and \(x \circ y \subseteq I\) and so \(x \ast y \subseteq I\). Hence \(x \in * (y, I)^\subseteq \cap \circ (y, I)^\ll\). Since \(I\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 9, then \(* (y, I)^\subseteq \cap \circ (y, I)^\ll \subseteq I\) and so \(x \in I\). Hence \(* (y, I)^\subseteq \cap \circ (y, I)^\ll \subseteq I\). The proof of the other cases are similar.

The proof of cases (ii) and (iii) are the similar to the proof of case (i), by the some modifications. □
The following examples show that the converse of Theorem 3.10 is not correct in general.

**Example 3.11.** (i) Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ and operations " $\ast$ " and " $\circ$ " on $H$ be defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\circ$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ast$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{0,a}</td>
<td>{0,a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then $(H, \circ, \ast, 0)$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that $I = \{0, b\}$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 10 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 9. Because $a \in \ast(b, I) \subseteq \circ(b, I) \subseteq$ but $a \notin I$.

(ii) Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ and operations " $\ast$ " and " $\circ$ " on $H$ be defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\circ$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ast$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then $(H, \circ, \ast, 0)$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that $I = \{0, b\}$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 11 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 9. Because $a \in \ast(0, I) \subseteq \circ(0, I) \subseteq$ but $a \notin I$.

(iii) Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ and operations " $\ast$ " and " $\circ$ " on $H$ be defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\circ$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ast$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{0,a}</td>
<td>{0,a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then $(H, \circ, \ast, 0)$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that $I = \{0, b\}$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 12 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 9. Because $a \in \ast(0, I) \subseteq \circ(0, I) \subseteq$ but $a \notin I$.

(iv) Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ and operations " $\ast$ " and " $\circ$ " on $H$ be defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\circ$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{0,a}</td>
<td>{0,a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ast$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>{b}</td>
<td>{a}</td>
<td>{0}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then $(H, \circ, \ast, 0)$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that $I = \{0, b\}$ is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 12 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 10. Because $a \in \ast(0, I) \subseteq \circ(0, I) \subseteq$ but $a \notin I$.

(v) Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ and operations " $\ast$ " and " $\circ$ " on $H$ be defined as follows:
Then \((H, \circ, *, 0)\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-algebra. We can see that \(I = \{0, b\}\) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 12 but it is not a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 11. Because \(a \in * (b, I) \subseteq \circ (b, I) \subseteq\) but \(a \not\in I\).

In the following diagram, we can see the relationship among all of types of hyper pseudo BCK-ideals.

4. Characterization of generated hyper pseudo BCK-ideals

Now, in this section we characterize the hyper pseudo BCK-ideals generated by a nonempty subset.
Proposition 4.1. Let \( \{I_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda \} \) be a family of hyper pseudo BCK-ideals of type \( i \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq 12 \), in \( H \). Then \( \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type \( i \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq 12 \), in \( H \), too.

Proof. Let \( I = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda \) and for any \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), \( I_\lambda \) be a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 1. We will prove that \( I \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 1. Clearly \( 0 \in I \). Now, let \( y \in I \), \( x \in *(y, I) \) and \( z \in o(y, I) \). Then \( x * y \preceq I \) and \( z \circ y \preceq I \). Since \( x * y \preceq I \), for any \( u \in x * y \) there exists \( v \in I \) such that \( u \preceq v \). Since \( v \in I_\lambda \), for any \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), then \( x * y \preceq I_\lambda \), for any \( \lambda \in \Lambda \). Hence \( x \in *(y, I_\lambda) \) and \( y \in I_\lambda \) for any \( \lambda \in \Lambda \). Since \( I_\lambda \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 1, then \( *(y, I_\lambda) \subseteq I_\lambda \) and so \( x \in I_\lambda \) for any \( \lambda \in \Lambda \). Hence \( x \in I \) and so \( *(y, I) \subseteq I \). By the similar way, we can prove that \( z \in I \) and so \( o(y, I) \subseteq I \). The proof of other cases are the similar.

\( \square \)

Theorem 4.2. Let \( A \) be a nonempty subset of \( H \). By the hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type \( i \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq 4 \), generated by \( A \), written \( [A]_i \), we mean that intersection of all hyper pseudo BCK-ideals of type \( i \) which contain \( A \). Then

\[
[A]_1 \supseteq \{ x \in H : (\ldots((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ \ldots) \circ a_n = \{0\}, \text{ for some } a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in A \}.
\]

Proof. We prove the theorem for type 1, but the proof of other cases are the similar. Assume that \( x \in H \) satisfies the identity

\[
(...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ \ldots) \circ a_n = \{0\}
\]

for some \( a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in A \). Since \( 0 \in [A]_1 \), we have

\[
(...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ \ldots) \circ a_n = \{0\} \subseteq [A]_1
\]

and so \( (...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ \ldots) \circ a_n \preceq [A]_1 \). Thus for each

\[
a \in (...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ \ldots) \circ a_{n-1}
\]

we get \( a \circ a_n \preceq [A]_1 \) i.e. \( a \in o(a_n, [A]_1) \). Now, since \( [A]_1 \) is a hyper pseudo BCK-ideal of type 1, then \( o(a_n, [A]_1) \subseteq [A]_1 \) and so \( a \in [A]_1 \). Hence

\[
(...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ \ldots) \circ a_{n-1} \subseteq [A]_1
\]

and so

\[
(...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ \ldots) \circ a_{n-1} \preceq [A]_1
\]

Continuing this process, we conclude that \( \{x\} \subseteq [A]_1 \) and so \( x \in [A]_1 \). Therefore

\[
[A]_1 \supseteq \{ x \in H : (\ldots((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ \ldots) \circ a_n = \{0\}, \text{ for some } a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in A \}
\]

\( \square \)
Theorem 4.3. Let $|x*y| < \infty$ and $|x\circ y| < \infty$ for all $x, y \in H$ and $H$ satisfies the following condition

\[(...((x \circ y_1) \circ y_2) \circ ... \circ y_m = \emptyset) \iff (...((x \circ y_m) \circ y_{m-1}) \circ ... \circ y_1 = \emptyset)\]

for all $x, y_1, y_2, ..., y_m \in H$. If $A$ is a nonempty subset of $H$ which for all $a \in A, a \circ a = \{0\}$, then

$[A]_4 = \{x \in H : (...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ ... \circ a_n = \{0\}, \text{ for some } a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in A\}.$

Proof. Let $B = \{x \in H : (...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ ... \circ a_n = \{0\}, \text{ for some } a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in A\}$. According to the Theorem 4.2, we only need to prove that $[A]_4 \subseteq B$. To do this, it is sufficient to show that $B$ is a hyper pseudo-ideal of type 4 containing $A$. For each $a \in A$, we have $a \circ a = \{0\}$ and so $a \in B$. Therefore, $A \subseteq B$. Since $A \neq \emptyset$, we can take $a \in A$. By Proposition 2.4 (vi), $0 \circ a = \{0\}$ and so $0 \in B$. Now, let $y \in B$ and $x \in *y, B)^{\subseteq}$. Then $x*y \subseteq B$. Since $|x*y| < \infty$, then there exist $a_1, ..., a_n \in A$ such that

\[(...((x*y \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ ... \circ a_n = \{0\}.

By using (PHK2), we see that

\[((...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ ... \circ a_n) \circ y = \{0\}.

Thus for each $u \in (...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ ... \circ a_n$, we have $u*y = \{0\}$. Since $y \in B$, then there exist $b_1, ..., b_m \in A$ such that $(...((y \circ b_1) \circ b_2) \circ ... \circ b_m = \{0\}$ and so by hypothesis $(...((y \circ b_m) \circ b_{m-1}) \circ ... \circ b_1 = \{0\}$. Hence by Proposition 2.4 (xiv),

\[
\begin{align*}
(\ldots((u \circ b_m) \circ b_{m-1}) \ldots) \circ b_1 & \circ \{0\} \\
= & \ldots((u \circ b_m) \circ b_{m-1}) \circ b_1) \circ \{0\) \\
= & ((...((u \circ b_m) \circ b_{m-1}) \circ b_2) \circ \ldots \circ b_1) \\
\leq & ((...((u \circ b_m) \circ b_{m-1}) \circ b_2) \circ ((...((y \circ b_m) \circ b_{m-1}) \circ b_2) \circ \ldots) \circ b_3) \\
\leq & ((...((u \circ b_m) \circ b_{m-1}) \circ b_3) \circ ((...((y \circ b_m) \circ b_{m-1}) \circ b_3) \circ \ldots) \circ b_3) \\
\leq & u*y \\
= & \{0\}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus by Proposition 2.4 (xiii), $(...((u \circ b_m) \circ b_{m-1}) \circ b_1 = \{0\}$ and so by hypothesis we obtain $(...((u \circ b_1) \circ b_2) \circ ... \circ b_m = \{0\}$. Hence

\[
(...((...((x \circ a_1) \circ a_2) \circ ... \circ a_n) \circ b_1) \circ b_2) \circ ... \circ b_m = \{0\}.
\]

Since $a_i, b_j \in A$, for $i = 1, 2, ..., n; j = 1, 2, ..., m$, then $x \in B$. Now, let $y \in B$ and $z \in \circ(y, B)^{\subseteq}$. Then $z \circ y \subseteq B$. Since $|z \circ y| < \infty$, then by the similar way,
we get that \( z \in B \). Hence \( *(y, B) \subseteq B \) and \( \circ(y, B) \subseteq B \) i.e. \( B \) is a hyper pseudo-ideal of type 4 in \( H \) containing \( A \).

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments. This work was partially supported by Center of Excellence of Algebraic Hyperstructures and its Applications of Tarbiat Modares University (CEAH).