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Abstract—Existing methods for QoS-aware services composition only consider web services whose service class is specified in the process definition as the candidate. However, there may exist some services that could also accomplish partial goal of the web service composition but their service classes do not appear in the process definition. In this paper, we propose a new QoS-aware service composition approach, which expands the choice for services selection by allowing web services of various granularities to be available for selection. A method based on Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP) is proposed to solve the QoS-based Multi-Granularity Service Selection Problem (QMGSSP), which optimizes the user-defined objective and meets the end-to-end QoS constraints as well. Experiments show the effectiveness of our approach.
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I. INTRODUCTION AND RELATED WORK

In Service-Oriented Computing (SOC) paradigm, WS\(^1\) can be composed to form value-added services through the process of web service composition. Web service composition can be staged in two phases. The first phase is functionality-oriented, in which the process definition is generated. The process definition is a specification that consists of service classes aggregated by composition patterns, and the service class is an abstraction of web services, which specifies the interface and functionality. Fig. 1 shows major composition patterns for WS.

Since many existing WS could provide equivalent functionality, although with different QoS values, a selection should be executed in the second phase to choose a WS for each service class, which optimizes the user-defined objective and meets the end-to-end QoS constraints as well. The process is called QoS-aware services composition, which is a hot research topic. QoS-based services selection methods can be classified into local and global approaches. The former approach is done at the task level, where component WS are selected for each task individually [1-3]. Although simple and efficient, this approach cannot guarantee the end user's QoS constraints. On the other hand, the latter approach aims at achieving global optimum solution for the composition, which is NP-hard in strong sense [3]. A lot of effort has been devoted to enhance this key technology in recent years. Reference [2, 4] use linear integer programming method to dynamically find the best solution for the composition. Similarly to this approach, Reference [3, 5] extend previous work by introducing advanced techniques, such as loop peeling, negotiation and web service dependencies constraints allowing the execution of stateful WS. Reference [6] presents the VRESCo runtime that supports an end-to-end approach for QoS-aware service composition, where constraint programming and integer programming methods are used to search the best solution. Other techniques are also applied to optimize the QoS-aware services composition, such as genetic programming [7, 8], negotiation [9], workflow partition strategy [10].

However, current methods for QoS-aware services composition is lack of flexibility in that only WS whose service class is specified in the process definition will be available for selection, while there may exist other WS that could also accomplish partial goal of the web service composition but their service classes do not appear in the process definition. For example, consider a simple process definition composed by two service classes in sequence. In traditional service selection process, service classes are fixed once the process definition is given and each service class will be instantiated with its candidate WS. Assume service classes sc\(_1\) and sc\(_2\) are bound with WS ws\(_1\), ws\(_2\) respectively, meanwhile there exists another

---

\(^1\) WS is used as shorthand for "web service" or "web services" in the paper.
WS ws12, which could complete the task that is performed by ws1 and ws2 in sequence. Current service selection process will not consider ws12 even if its QoS is better than the aggregated QoS of ws1 and ws2, since the process definition does not contain a service class that can accommodate ws12.

To overcome this shortcoming, our work aims at expanding the choice space for services selection to achieve better solution. We propose an approach for QoS-aware services composition, which is capable of selecting from multi-granularity web services. In our context, granularity denotes the extent to which the web service composition is broken down into small parts. In above example, ws12 is more large-grained compared with ws1, ws2. Our approach breaks through the restriction imposed by the structure of the given process definition by allowing WS of various granularities (e.g. ws1, ws2 and ws12) to be considered for selection.

Our contributions are threefold:

- Introduce the concept of "granularity" to WS and define related terminology.
- Propose and formally define the QoS-based Multi-Granularity Service Selection Problem (QMGSSP).
- Present the MILP-based approach to solve QMGSSP.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. Section II briefly introduces WS QoS modeling as preliminary knowledge. In Section III, we present related concepts and the problem statement. Our approach for QMGSSP is proposed in Section IV and experiments are discussed in Section V. The final section concludes our research and discusses the future work.

II. WEB SERVICE QoS MODELING

The QoS modeling for WS includes four primary QoS dimensions but is extensible:

**Response Time**: the elapsed time between the moment the user requests a service and the moment that a response is returned.

**Cost**: the fee has to be paid to the service provider in order to fulfill a service request.

**Reputation**: a measure of trustworthiness of the WS, which is generally calculated based on the consumers’ feedbacks, with a value range of [0, 10].

**Reliability**: the probability that a response will be returned within a reasonable duration after invoking the WS, with a value range of [0, 1].

We use a 4-tuple to denote the QoS values of WS:

\[ \text{QoS(ws)} = \{\text{RT, Cost, Rep, Rel}\} \]

where RT, Cost, Rep, Rel are the values of response time, cost, reputation and reliability respectively.

QoS aggregation methods for web service composition have been researched extensively, which are generally based on workflow patterns. Due to space limitation, we refer interested readers to existing literature [11-13] about QoS aggregation rules for the composition.

III. DEFINITIONS AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

In this section, we formally define relevant concepts and propose the QoS-based Multi-Granularity Service Selection Problem (QMGSSP) at the end. The comprehensive example of process definition in Fig. 2 will be used for illustration.

**Definition 1: Service Class Region (SCR)**

Service Class Region is a well-formed sub-structure of a process definition, which contains one or more service classes. A sub-structure is well-formed if

1. it is connected;
2. for any SPLIT/MERGE node contained by the sub-structure, its corresponding MERGE/SPLIT node and the nodes between them should also be contained;
3. for any LOOPSTART/LOOPEND node contained by the sub-structure, its corresponding LOOPEND/LOOPSTART node and the nodes between them should also be contained.

Formally, Service Class Region with regard to a process definition can be notated using the following grammar:

\[ \text{scr} := \text{sc} \mid (\text{op}, \langle \text{ordered list of scr} \rangle) \]

where:

- \text{sc} represents the original service class in the process definition;
- \text{op} = \{ >, +, \otimes, \mu \}, which represent the composition patterns for sequence, parallel, conditional and loop respectively.

Following the definition, rules to identify SCR for a process definition can be listed straightforwardly (Table I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Identification Rule</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>An existing service class can be regarded as SCR. A well-formed split/merge structure (parallel or conditional pattern) is identified as a SCR.</td>
<td>( sc_1, sc_2, sc_6 ) ( (+, sc_7, sc_8) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>A well-formed loop structure is identified as a SCR. A SCR can be merged from any two adjacent SCR. Using this rule, SCR can be recursively identified.</td>
<td>( (\mu, sc_3) ) ( (&gt;, sc_9, (+, sc_7, sc_8)) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Comprehensive Example of Process Definition
If a SC \( sc \) is in the SCR \( scr \), then we call \( scr \) contains \( sc \), and the relation is expressed as \( sc \inscr \).

For two SCR \( scr_1, scr_2 \), if \( \forall \, sc \in scr_2 \rightarrow sc \in scr_1 \), then we also call \( scr_1 \) contains \( scr_2 \), and the relation is expressed as \( scr_1 \supsetscr \, scr_2 \) or \( scr_2 \subsetscr \, scr_1 \).

**Definition 2: Virtual Service Class (VSC)**

Any Service Class satisfying the conditions below is called Virtual Service Class of the process definition:

1. can achieve partial or overall goal of the web service composition;
2. is or can be derived from existing Service Classes in the process definition.

Compared with the service classes in the given process definition, Virtual Service Class can be more fine-grained, which is decomposed from an existing service class; or be more large-grained, which is merged from existing service classes. In this paper, we focus on the more large-grained VSC, and this kind of Virtual Service Class can be defined based on the concept of SCR:

\[
\text{vsc} = \text{IF}(\text{scr})
\]  

where the operation \( \text{IF}(\text{scr}) \) is used to get the interface and functional specification for the Service Class Region \( scr \), which can be deduced from the service classes and their composition structures contained by \( scr \). Examples of VSC in Fig. 2: \( \text{vsc}_1 = \text{IF}(\mu, \text{sc}_1, \text{sc}_2), \text{vsc}_2 = \text{IF}(\mu, \text{sc}_6, (+, \text{sc}_7, \text{sc}_8)), \text{vsc}_3 = \text{IF}(\mu, (\text{sc}_6, (+, \text{sc}_7, \text{sc}_8))), \text{vsc}_4 = \text{IF}(\mu, \text{sc}_9, \text{sc}_{10}) \).

We also introduce \( \text{SCR}(\text{vsc}) \) to represent the Service Class Region for the Virtual Service Class \( vsc \). For example, \( \text{SCR}(\text{vsc}_1) = (\mu, \text{sc}_1, \text{sc}_2) \).

\( \text{VSC\_SET}(\text{pd}) \) is used to denote the complete set of VSC for the process definition \( pd \).

**Corollary 1:** The process definition for web service composition can be identified as VSC, which is the most large-grained service class.

**Definition 3: Trivial Virtual Service Class (TVSC)**

A Virtual Service Class \( vsc \) is trivial if \( \text{SCR}(\text{vsc}) \) is an existing service class or contains service classes aggregated only by sequence pattern. SCR for TVSC can be identified by using R1 and R4 only.

**Definition 4: Non-Trivial Virtual Service Class (NTVSC)**

A Virtual Service Class \( vsc \) is non-trivial if \( \text{SCR}(\text{vsc}) \) contains any parallel/conditional/loop pattern.

With the notion of Virtual Service Class, process definition can be instantiated by WS of various granularities. The process definition can be denoted using a similar notation as SCR. For instance, the process definition in Fig. 2 can be represented as:

\[
\text{pd}_1 = (\mu, \text{sc}_1, \text{sc}_2, (\mu, (\mu, \text{sc}_3, \text{sc}_4, \text{sc}_5), (\mu, \text{sc}_6, (+, \text{sc}_7, \text{sc}_8))), (\mu, \text{sc}_9, \text{sc}_{10})
\]

Other possible process definitions for the same web service composition are listed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{pd}_2 &= (\mu, \text{sc}_1, (\mu, (\mu, \text{sc}_3, \text{sc}_4, \text{sc}_5), (\mu, \text{sc}_6, (+, \text{sc}_7, \text{sc}_8))), (\mu, \text{sc}_9, \text{sc}_{10}) \\
\text{pd}_3 &= (\mu, \text{sc}_1, (\mu, (\mu, \text{sc}_3, \text{sc}_4, \text{sc}_5), \text{vsc}_2), (\mu, \text{sc}_9, \text{sc}_{10}) \\
\text{pd}_4 &= (\mu, \text{sc}_1, \text{sc}_2, \text{vsc}_3, (\mu, \text{sc}_9, \text{sc}_{10})
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition 5: VSC Granularity**

The granularity of VSC is defined as the number of service classes in its SCR. We use \( \text{Gra}(\text{vsc}) \) to represent the granularity for the VSC \( vsc \). For example, \( \text{Gra}(\text{vsc}_1) = 2, \text{Gra}(\text{vsc}_2) = 3, \text{Gra}(\text{vsc}_3) = 6 \).

**Definition 6: VSC Nesting Level**

The nesting level of VSC is defined as the maximum nesting level in its SCR. We use \( \text{NL}(\text{vsc}) \) to represent nesting level of VSC \( vsc \). For example, \( \text{NL}(\text{vsc}_1) = 0, \text{NL}(\text{vsc}_2) = 1, \text{NL}(\text{vsc}_3) = 2 \).

**Definition 7: VSC Search Space (VSS)**

Assume \( pd \), \( gra \) and \( nl \) are used to represent the process definition, the threshold of VSC Granularity and the threshold of VSC Nesting Level respectively, then VSC Search Space can be formally defined as:

\[ \text{VSS}(pd, gra, nl) \]

where:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{VSS}(pd, gra, nl) &\subseteq \text{VSC\_SET}(pd), \\
(\forall \, vsc \in \text{VSS}(pd, gra, nl)) &\Rightarrow \text{Gra}(vsc) \leqslant gra, \\
(\forall \, vsc \in \text{VSS}(pd, gra, nl)) &\Rightarrow \text{NL}(vsc) \leqslant nl
\end{align*}
\]

By the definition, \( \text{VSC\_SET}(pd) \) is actually the largest VSS for the process definition \( pd \).

With the above definitions, we can now define the problem:

**Definition 8: QoS-based Multi-Granularity Service Selection Problem (QMGSSP)**

Given a process definition \( pd \) and the VSC Search Space \( (pd, gra, nl) \), QMGSSP aims to select WS for each VSC in the restructured process definition, which optimizes the user-defined objective and meets the end-to-end QoS constraints at the same time. VSC in the restructured process definition should be the member of the given VSC Search Space.

Conceptually, the solution can be divided into two sub-processes:

**SP1: Process Definition Restructuring**

In this sub-process, some services classes will be merged as VSC in order to allow more large-grained WS to be available for selection.

**SP2: QoS-based Service Selection**

In this sub-process, WS is selected for each SC based on the objective and QoS constraints given the process definition. This is exactly the traditional service selection process.

The two sub-processes could be executed interleavedly to achieve the optimum solution.

**Corollary 2** Traditional service selection problem is a special kind of QMGSSP with \( \text{VSS}(pd, 1, 0) \).
Definition 9: TVSC-capable QMGSSP
A QMGSSP is TVSC-capable if the VSS is in the form of (pd, gra, 0). In other words, only TVSC is considered during SP1.

Definition 10: NTVSC-capable QMGSSP
A QMGSSP is NTVSC-capable if there is no constraint on the form of the VSS. Both TVSC and NTVSC are considered during SP1.

In this paper, we present the solutions for TVSC-capable QMGSSP in Section IV.

IV. TVSC-CAPABLE MULTI-GRANULARITY SERVICES SELECTION

Linear Programming is an optimization method, which aims to maximize or minimize a linear objective function, subject to a series of linear constraints. The constraints can be equality or inequality, and variables should be continuous. Frequently, for many problems, some variables can take only integer values. These problems are called mixed integer linear programming (MILP) problem, which are NP-complete [14].

As far as we are concerned, Ref. [2, 4] firstly introduces the integer programming(IP) method to the problem of QoS-aware services composition. In his approach, the composite web service is modeled as the statecharts and splits into multiple execution paths. Execution path contains a set of tasks (or service classes) \{sc1, sc2, ..., scn\} such that sc1 is the initial service class, scn is the final service class, and no service classes belong to alternative branches. Then, IP problem is formulated for each execution path, and these "partial" solutions will be merged to get an overall solutions for the web service composition. For service classes belong to more than one execution path, the selection result in the "hot path" will be adopted, where hot path could be defined as the most frequently executed execution path.

Our MILP-based approach for TVSC-capable QMGSSP is developed based on Ref. [2, 4]'s approach, which consists of the following five steps:
1. Reduce the VSC search space.
2. Choose one execution path ep as the hot path.
3. Formulate the MILP problem for TVSC-capable QMGSSP with regard to the hot path ep.
4. Formulate the MILP problem for TVSC-capable QMGSSP with regard to other execution paths
5. Merge the "partial" solution from (3) and (4) to get the overall solution.

Step 1 aims to reduce the VSC search space in order to accelerate the services selection process, which is discussed in Section A. In Section B, we propose the MILP problem formulation for TVSC-capable QMGSSP with regard to the hot path ep (Step 3) and discuss related issues in detail. Similar MILP problem can be formulated for other execution paths (Step 4), and we mention the difference at the end of Section B. Other steps have been discussed in existing literatures [2, 4].

A. VSC Search Space Reduction

Definition 11: Sequence Segment
Any SCR src that meets the following conditions is called Sequence Segment of the process definition pd:
\[ \forall src \in VSS(pd, g, 0), \]
\[ src \rightarrow src, or src \text{ and src contain no common Service Class.} \]

Intuitively, Sequence Segment is a "longest" substructure of the process definition that is aggregated only by sequence pattern. For example, \((> , sc1, sc2), (sc3, sc4), (sc5, sc6)\) and \((sc7, sc8)\) are all identified as Sequence Segments.

Assume there are k Sequence Segments in the process definition pd, and \(m_i\) is the number of SC contained by the i-th Sequence Segment. Then, the total search space for TVSC-capable QMGSSP can be calculated as:
\[ \#(VSS(pd, g, 0)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} S_i \]
\[ S_i = (m_i^2 + m_i) / 2 \]
where \(\#(x)\) is used to denote the number of elements in the set x, and \(S_i\) is the number of VSC contained by the k-th Sequence Segment. For example, six VSC can be identified for the Sequence Segment \((> , sc3, sc4, sc5)\), namely sc1, sc4, sc5, \((sc3, sc4)\), \((sc6)\) are all identified as Sequence Segments.

Our approach for reducing VSC search space is based on the local QoS constraints and scoring for each VSC. The top k VSC will be chosen for the next step.

The scoring function for the VSC is designed as follows:
\[ \text{score}(vsc) = \frac{Gra(vsc) \cdot hq(vsc) \cdot \max U(vsc)}{hq(src) \cdot \max U(src)} \cdot p \]
where:
\[ hq(vsc) \] is the number of candidate WS for vsc that meets local QoS constraints;
\[ hq(src) = \sum_{src \in src} hq(sc) \] where \(hq(sc)\) is the number of candidate WS for service class sc that meets local QoS constraints and src = SCR(vsc);
\[ \max U(vsc) / U(src) \] is the highest utility score that can be achieved for vsc/src respectively. The way to calculate utility score will be introduced in the following section.
\[ p \] is the execution probability of the path where vsc locates. If vsc does not belong to a conditional branch, then \(p = 1\).

Here the local QoS constraints are used to filter out low-quality WS during the scoring process. They can be specified by the domain expert or estimated from history records. We use VSSR(pd, g, nl) to represent the reduced VSC Search Space.

B. MILP Problem Formulation for QMGSSP

In this section, we present MILP problem formulation for QMGSSP. To differentiate from traditional approach, the proposed one is referred as "MGC-aware approach".

Variables
The decision variables are defined as:
\[ y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{sc is bounded with } ws_{ij}, \forall i \in A \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]
where $A = \{ i \mid \forall sc_i \in ep \}$

Assume $n$ is the number of service classes and $m$ is the number of candidate WS for the service class.

**Objective**

$$\text{max} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \cdot \text{Norm}(Q_i) \right\}$$

(5)

For $i = 1, 2$ (decreasing dimensions, i.e. response time, price)

$$\text{Norm}(Q_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\text{max} \{Q_i - Q(ep)\}}{\text{max} \{Q_i - \min \{Q_i\}\}} & \text{if } \max Q_i > \min Q_i \\
1 & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right.$$  

(6)

For $i = 3, 4$ (increasing dimensions, i.e. reputation, reliability)

$$\text{Norm}(Q_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{Q(ep) - \min Q_i}{Q(ep) - \min Q_i} & \text{if } \max Q_i = \min Q_i \\
\frac{Q_i - \min Q_i}{Q(ep) - \min Q_i} & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right.$$  

(7)

$w_i$ is weight factor for $i$-th QoS, and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} w_i = 1$.

**Assignment Constraint**

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i \in A$$

(8)

**QoS Constraint**

(1) Delay Constraint (D)

$$Q_1(ep) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{ij} \cdot RT(ws_{ij}) \cdot z_{ij} \leq D$$

(9)

where: $z_{ij} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
1, & \text{if } sc_i \text{ is on the critical path} \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right.$

$$d_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} RT(ws_{ij}) \cdot y_{ij}$$

(10)

$$t_k = d_i + t_l, \quad \forall \text{sc}_i \rightarrow \text{sc}_k$$

(11)

$$Q_1(ep) = t_k + d_i, \quad \forall \text{sc}_i \in (ep)$$

(12)

Note a candidate WS of VSC can be mapped to multiple "virtual" WS, which are correlated. For example, $ws_{12}$ is selected, $ws_{23}$ should also be selected, and vice versa. To ensure the requirement, we introduce the Multi-Granularity Constraints (MGC). Two MGC are needed for the above example,

$$y_{12} = y_{23}, y_{24} = y_{12}$$

where $y_{12}$, $y_{23}$, $y_{24}$ and $y_{12}$ are decision variables for $ws_{12}, ws_{23}, ws_{24}$ and $ws_{12}$ respectively.

Based on the idea, we propose the MILP-based solutions for TVSC-capable QMGGSSP as follows:

**Step 1.** Map VSC candidate WS to SC candidate WS and store the mapping relations for later use. Also, MGC will be generated in the process. (Algo. 1)

**Step 2.** Add MGC to the MILP formulation

**Step 3.** Solve the MILP problem using any MILP solver such as lp_solve [15].

**Step 4.** Interpret the MILP solution. Since the selected WS may be "virtual" (mapped from VSC candidate WS), they have to be restored to the actual WS. (Algo. 2)

$$\text{Q}(ep) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} z_{ij}\cdot \text{Rel}(ws_{ij}) \geq S$$

(15)

Above is MILP problem formulation for traditional QoS aware service composition, which has been mentioned in Ref. [2-5]. To enable QoS-based selection from multi-granularity candidate WS for the composition, we propose a novel mechanism that maps VSC candidate WS to SC candidate WS and introduce additional linear constraints to form MILP problem for TVSC-capable QMGGSSP. We use a simple example to illustrate our idea:

+ $pd_1 = (\text{D0, s1}, \text{s2}, \text{s3})$
+ $VSSR(pd_1, 2, 0) = \{\text{s1}, \text{s2}, \text{s3}, \text{vsc1}, \text{vsc2}\}$
+ $vsc_1 = (\text{D0, s1}, \text{s2}, \text{s3})$
+ $vsc_1 = (\text{D0, s2}, \text{s3})$

We use $CDT(x)$ to represent the set of candidate WS for SC/VSC, assume:

$$CDT(s1) = \{ws_{11}\},$$

$$CDT(s2) = \{ws_{21}, ws_{22}\},$$

$$CDT(s3) = \{ws_{31}\},$$

$$CDT(vsc1) = \{ws_{011}\},$$

$$CDT(vsc2) = \{ws_{021}\}$$

The 4-tuple $(i, j, x, t)$ is used to indicate the mapping relationship, which means $i$-th candidate WS of VSC is mapped to $j$-th candidate WS of $sc_t$. Then four mapping relations exist in this example:

$$\{1, 12, 1, 011\},$$

$$\{2, 23, 1, 011\},$$

$$\{2, 24, 2, 021\},$$

$$\{3, 32, 2, 021\}$$

For example, for $(1, 12, 1, 011)$, a "virtual" WS $ws_{12}$ will be added as candidate WS for $sc_1$, which is mapped from $ws_{011}$. We use $ECDT(sc_t)$ to represent the set of candidate WS with the "virtual" WS added. For example

$$ECDT(s1) = \{ws_{11}, ws_{12}\},$$

$$ECDT(s2) = \{ws_{21}, ws_{22}, ws_{23}, ws_{24}\}$$

Note a candidate WS of VSC can be mapped to multiple "virtual" WS, which are correlated. For example, $ws_{011}$ is mapped to $ws_{12}$ and $ws_{23}$. During the selection, when $ws_{12}$ is selected, $ws_{23}$ should also be selected, and vice versa. To ensure the requirement, we introduce the Multi-Granularity Constraints (MGC). Two MGC are needed for the above example,

$$y_{12} = y_{23}, y_{24} = y_{12}$$

where $y_{12}$, $y_{23}$, $y_{24}$ and $y_{12}$ are decision variables for $ws_{12}, ws_{23}, ws_{24}$ and $ws_{12}$ respectively.
Algo 1 Multi-Granularity Web Service Pre-processing

Input:
VSSR(ep, g, nl), where ep is an execution path and VSSR(pd, g, nl) is the subset of VSSR(pd, g, nl) contains VSC that belongs to ep.

CDT(vsc/sc): set of candidate WS for each VSC/SC.

Output:

mges: set of linear equations that represents MGC.
ws_map: set of (i, j, s, t), which means j-th candidate WS of vsci is mapped to t-th candidate WS of vscj.

01 for each vsci ∈ VSSR(ep, g, nl)
 02 if (Gra(vsci) > 1)
 03 set m = \min \{i | sc_i \in SCR(vsci)\}
 04 for each ws_j ∈ CDT(vsci)
 05 for each sc_j ∈ SCR(vsci)
 06 set f = \#CDT(sc_j) + 1
 07 add the 4-tuple (i, j, s, t) to ws_map
 08 if (i = m)
 09 QoS(ws_j) = QoS(ws\_does)
 10 else
 11 QoS(ws_j) = [0, 0, Rep(ws\_does), 1],
 12 end if
 13 end for
 14 end for
 15 end for
 16 for each ws_i ∈ CDT(vsci)
 17 for all sc_q, sc_j ∈ SCR(vsci) and p \neq q
 18 add the equation "y_p = y_q" to mges,
 19 where (p, a, b, s, t) ∈ ws_map
 20 end for
 21 end for
 22 end if
 23 end for

The main steps of the algorithm are as follows:

- **Line 3:** Get the minimum index of SC contained by the vsc.
- **Line 4–15:** Each candidate WS of vsc is mapped to a "virtual" WS of every SC that belongs to SCR(vsc) and the mapping is stored in ws_map (Line 5-7). The QoS of vsc candidate WS is inherited by the candidate WS of SC which has the minimum index (Line 8–12).
- **Line 16–21:** Generate the MGC.

At this point, MGC output by Algo. 1 can be added to formulate MILP problem for TVSC-capable QMGSSP:

Multi-Granularity Constraint

\[ y_p = y_{pq}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where:

\[ i \neq p; \]
\[ \forall (i, j, s, t), (p, q, s, t) \in ws_map, \forall vsc_i \in VSSR(ep, gra, 0), \forall ws_{pi} \in vsc_i, \forall sc_j, sc_p \in SCR(vsc_i). \]

Algo 2 Multi-Granularity Web Service Post-processing

Input:

ws_map: output of Algo 1.

ws_selected: set of (i, j), which represents i-th SC is bounded with j-th candidate WS. This is output of Step 3.

Output:

ws_set: set of (sc, j) or (vsc, j), where sc/vsc is SC/VSC in the restructured process definition and its j-th candidate WS is selected.

01 set n as the number of SC in ep
02 for i = 1 to n
03 if (sc is not instantiated)
04 get (i, j) from ws_set
05 if (\( \exists (a, b, c, d) \in ws_map, \) where a \( \neq i \) and b \( \neq j \))
06 s = c, t = d
07 add (vsci, t) to ws_set
08 for each (a, b, c, d) \in ws_map,
 09 where c \( \neq s \) and d \( \neq t \)
10 end if
11 else
12 add (sc, j) to ws_set
13 mark sc_j as instantiated
14 end if
15 end if
16 end for

The main steps of the algorithm are as follows:

- **Line 5-10:** If a new VSC vsc replaces existing SC in the original process definition, add the entry (vsc, index of selected WS) to ws_set (Line 7) and any sc \( \in SCR(vsc) \) will be marked as instantiated (Line 9).
- **Line 11-14:** If original SC is still in the restructured process definition, simply add (sc, index of selected WS) to ws_set (Line 12) and marked sc as instantiated.

We have discussed MILP-based solution for TVSC-capable QMGSSP with regard to the hot path. For other execution paths, they can be divided into two parts:

- common path: SC on the common path also belong to hot path
- unique path: SC on the unique path does not belong to hot path.

For common path, its structure will adopt the one in the hot path, i.e. only WS needs to be selected for the specified structure. However, for the unique path, respective MILP problem can be formulated to choose WS of various granularities to optimize the objective.

V. EXPERIMENTATION

The purpose of our experiments is to evaluate the performance cost and effectiveness of MGC-aware approach compared to the traditional MILP-based QoS-aware services composition method. All experiments were conducted under Windows XP SP3, running on a LENOVO machine with 2 Intel Duo 2.33GHz processors and 2 GB RAM. We developed a program to simulate the services selection process, which is able to: (1) generate the process definition and its VSC; (2) generate the candidate WS with reasonable QoS values for SC/VSC; (3) output the MILP problem definition file for QMGSSP, which can be solved using the open source integer linear programming system IpsoIe 5.5 [15]; (4) analyze the result file from IpsoIe. The program is developed using Java 2 Standard Edition v1.6.0.
QoS values of WS is simulated based on the current use in the literature [5, 16], where response time and price are randomly generated from the normal distributions ( \( \mu = 100, \sigma = 40 \), ( \( \mu = 100, \sigma = 30 \)) respectively, while reputation and reliability obey the uniform distributions [7, 10], [0.98, 0.99999] respectively. By default, the number of Sequence Segments in a process definition is set to 15 and length of each Sequence Segment is randomly generated from the uniform distribution [2, 15]. We also set QoS weights to [0.3, 0.3, 0.2, 0.2]. Other parameters are described in individual experiment.

A. Computation Cost Evaluation

In this series of experiments, the impact on the performance of services selection process is evaluated when multi-granularity WS are considered. We executed extensive test cases of different scales, where both the number of service classes in the process definition and candidate WS for each SC are varied from 10 to 100 with a step of 10. We give a description for a typical case that SC number and candidate WS number are both set to 50. Similar results can be obtained for other test cases.

For this test case, traditional MILP-based method cost 0.231 second in average to achieve the optimum solution. In contrast, the computation time needed for MGC-aware approach is illustrated using contour in Fig. 3. The x-axis represents the product of VSC number and candidate WS number of the VSC, e.g. 600 can correspond to 20 VSC and 30 candidate WS for each VSC, or 30 VSC and 20 candidate WS for each VSC (the experiment result reveals the computation cost is almost the same as long as their product is the same); the y-axis is the granularity of VSC search space. For each pair, MGC-aware method was run 10 times to get the average computation cost.

![Figure 3. Computation Cost Evaluation](image)

Fig. 3 shows that the computation cost increases with both the product and granularity. More specifically, the increase is slow when the value of product and granularity is small and becomes faster as the value of these two dimensions get larger. It is reasonable to expect that most VSC will have a small granularity (<=5) since the functionality of VSC will become more specialized as the granularity get larger and less providers would provide such services. For pairs (600, 5), (1000, 5), the computation cost is 1.059 second and 1.836 second respectively, which should be acceptable.

B. Effectiveness Evaluation

The second series of experiments aims at evaluating the effectiveness of our approach compared with traditional method, which is measured quantitatively using the improved percentage of the score for the optimum solution.

Fig. 4 demonstrates the result where service class number was set to 30 and QoS constraints are set to [2700, 2700, 9, 0.9]. Candidate WS number is varied from 10 to 50 with a step of 5. The score of solution for each case obtained using traditional method is presented in the datatable under x-axis. There are 5 lines in the figure and each line uniquely corresponds with specific values for VSC-related parameters. For example, [5*20, 3] represents the setting that the number of VSC is 5, the number of candidate WS for VSC is 20 and the granularity of VSC search space is 3. For each setting, the selection process is repeated 10 times to get the average score.

![Figure 4. Effectiveness Evaluation](image)

Fig. 4 indicates general advantages of our approach. To be more specifically, our approach is more effective when:

1) candidate WS number of SC is relatively small;
2) VSC number and its candidate WS number is larger;
3) the granularity of VSC search space is larger.

We also investigate the influence of QoS constraints on the effectiveness of our approach. Table II presents the results. Again, each score is the average value from results of 10 executions.

The result shows that as QoS constraints get stricter, our approach become more effective in that it can sustain high success rate and achieve better solutions.
V. CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we introduce the concept of "granularity" to WS and propose the QoS-based Multi-Granularity Service Selection Problem (QMGSSP). QMGSSP is a generalization of traditional QoS-aware services composition problem, in that the latter is a special kind of QMGSSP. By allowing WS of various granularities to be considered as the candidate for selection, we can obtain a better solution. Furthermore, we formulate MILP problem for QMGSSP by introducing Multi-Granularity Constraints (MGC). Experiments show the effectiveness of our approach and the performance cost is acceptable.

Future work will consider the more general form of QMGSSP, which is NTVSC-capable. In addition, we will research on applying data mining techniques to identify correlations in service classes so that VSC can be discovered more accurately.
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