NuDE 2.0: A Model-based Software Development Environment for the PLC & FPGA based Digital Systems in Nuclear Power Plants
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Abstract—NuDE 2.0 (Nuclear Development Environment) is a model-based software development environment for safety-critical digital systems in nuclear power plants. It makes possible to develop PLC-based systems as well as FPGA-based systems simultaneously. The NuDE starts from a formal requirement specification specialized for the nuclear domain, and synthesizes C and Verilog codes for PLC and FPGA, respectively, through a series of model transformation. It also provides various methods for formal verification and safety analysis with support of automatic CASE tools. We expect that the NuDE can be adopted as an effective method of bridging the gap between the PLC and FPGA-based developments as well as a means of gaining diversity.

I. INTRODUCTION
A safety-grade PLC (Programmable Logic Controller) has been widely used as an implementation platform of safety-critical digital systems in nuclear power plants, such as RPS (Reactor Protection System) and ESF-CCS (Engineered Safety Features - Components Control System). While complexity of newly developing systems and maintenance cost of the old ones have increased rapidly, research of an alternative platform for the PLC have begun. The solution of [1], [2], [3] proposes to use FPGA (Field-Programmable Gate Array) which can provide powerful computation with lower hardware cost.

The platform change from PLC to FPGA, however, is not so straightforward. It gives rise to a paradigm shift from the CPU-based software development to FPGA-based hardware development. PLC software engineers in nuclear domain should give up all experience, knowledge and practices accumulated over decades, and start a new FPGA-based hardware development from the scratch. For example, it is required to model design specifications with HDLs (Hardware Description Languages) such as Verilog and VHDL in place of FBD (Function Block Diagram) and LD (Ladder Diagram) [4]. The underlying working mechanisms of HDLs and the PLC programming languages are also quite different from each other. Besides the loss from the semantic gap, the platform change may result in potential causes leading to safety-related problems in regard to under-trained software engineers. Therefore, it is now strongly required to transit to the new development approach safely and seamlessly.

The NuDE (Nuclear Development Environment) [5], [6] is a formal model-based software development environment for safety-critical digital systems in nuclear power plants. It starts from a formal requirement specification written in NuSCR [7], and finally synthesizes C codes for PLCs through a series of model transformation. It also supports various levels of formal verification and safety analysis to check the safety and correctness of the transformed models. All verification and analysis are also supported by automatic CASE tools.

While the NuDE originally intended for the software development of the PLC platform, it is now extended for the FPGA platform. The NuDE 2.0 can develop the PLC and FPGA software simultaneously from the same requirement or design specifications. We expect that the NuDE can reduce the semantic gap between the PLC-based and FPGA-based developments (i.e., software vs. hardware), while keeping all experience and knowledge accumulated for decades. It can also be used as a means of gaining diversity of software design and implementation. The organization of the paper is as follows: Section 2 provides background information such as the typical PLC and FPGA software development processes. Section 3 introduces the NuDE 2.0 and various supporting tools briefly, due to the limitations of space. Section 4 concludes the paper and provides remarks on future research extension.

II. THE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES FOR SAFETY-CRITICAL DIGITAL SYSTEMS
This section explains the typical software development processes for the PLC and FPGA-based platforms in order to understand how these are different from each other and get rational of the proposed integrated development.
A. The PLC-based Software Development

Fig. 1 shows the typical software development process for the PLC platform. A number of safety-critical digital systems in nuclear power plants such as RPS and ESF-CCS have been developed with the platform. SRS (Software Requirements Specification) is first written in natural languages, and then design specification is modeled with the PLC programming languages such as FBD or LD, manually. Commercial PLC vendors provide software engineering tools to support mechanical translation from the FBD/LD programs into ANSI C and executable codes.

Vendors such as AREVA, invensys and ponu-Tech have provided safety-level PLCs and their own software engineering tool-sets for safety-critical systems in nuclear power plants. ‘SPACE’ [8] is the tool for AREVA’s PLC ‘TELEPERM XS’ [9], while ‘TriStation 1131’ [10] is the one of invensys. KNICS [11] and ponu-Tech in Korea have recently developed a safety-level PLC ‘POSAFE-Q’ and its software engineering tool-set ‘pSET’ [12]. The tool-set provides a graphical editor for FBD and LD programming languages and generates ANSI-C programs mechanically.

B. The FPGA-based Software Development

Fig. 2 depicts the typical FPGA development process [13] including FPGA software. Software requirements and designs are specified first, similar to the PLC-based development. The HDL code phase programs the design in HDLs such as Verilog or VHDL, manually. (It is common that the code programmed with HDLs is regarded as ‘software’.) After programming Verilog (or VHDL) programs, an FPGA is produced mechanically through several steps, such as synthesis, optimization, placement & routing, design verification, configuration and downloading. Software synthesis tools provided by FPGA vendors such as ‘Xilinx ISE Design Suite’, ‘Altera Quartus II’ and ‘Actel Libero IDE’ support all steps seamlessly and mechanically. They also provide systematic verification and simulation facilities for each synthesis step.

FPGA, however, is not yet used for implementing safety-critical digital controllers in nuclear power plants, since its development process and techniques are not familiar with software engineers in nuclear domain and not acknowledged safe by government authorities. Safety and correctness of the FPGA software development process (i.e. synthesis process and tool) up to the level of the safety-grade PLC should be demonstrated sufficiently.

III. The NuDE 2.0

The NuDE 2.0 (Nuclear Development Environment) is a formal model-based software development environment, specialized for safety-critical digital systems in nuclear power plants. It can develop the software implemented with safety-grade hardware platforms such as PLC and FPGA, seamlessly and simultaneously. The NuDE was originally developed for the PLC platform, but now being extended for FPGA. It can generate implementation codes for PLC and FPGA simultaneously from the same NuSCR requirements specification or FBD design program. It also encompasses the whole SDLC (Software Development Life-Cycle) while seamlessly supporting various formal verification and safety
analysis as well as the MBD (Model-Based Development)-based code generation. Fig.3 depicts the whole process in details, and the following subsections briefly explain each phase around supporting tools.

A. The Requirements Analysis Phase

Fig.4 is an example of the NuSCR specification modeled in ‘NuSRS 2.0.’ NuSCR [7] is a data-flow based requirements specification language, specialized for the safety-critical systems in the nuclear domain. The NuSCR modeling environment, NuSRS 2.0, includes static grammar checker ‘Quick Checker’ and the ‘NuSCRtoSMV’ [14] translator to generate the SMV input program and execute the Cadence SMV model checker [15], seamlessly. ‘NuFTA’ [16] also generates software fault trees for the NuSCR specification mechanically. The NuSCR formal requirements specification is then translated into a behaviorally-equivalent FBD program by ‘NuSCRtoFBD 4.0’ [17].

B. The Design Phase

‘FBD Editor’ in Fig.5 shows the FBD program, which is mechanically translated from an NuSCR specification, through NuSCRtoFBD 4.0. We can also model it directly on the tool [18]. ‘FBD Simulator’ executes an FBD program with predefined inputs or randomly, while ‘FBD Tester’ [19] enables us to do test the FBD programs directly with data-flow based coverage criteria for FBDs. Formal verification with the VIS verification system [20] and the SMV model checker is also possible through the ‘FBDtoVerilog 1.0’ translator [21]. Since the FBD design phase often include hardware-dependent modifications on the FBDs, the formal verification are required additionally. The NuDE also provides ‘VIS Analyzer’ [22] to assist the VIS verification graphically and seamlessly. ‘FBD FTA’ is a fault tree generation and analysis tool for FBD programs.

The FBD program modeled in the ‘FBD Editor’ can be transformed into different implementation codes for PLC and FPGA. ‘FBDtoC’ [23] translates FBDs into behaviorally-equivalent C programs for PLC, while ‘FBDtoVerilog 2.0/2.1’ [24], [25] transforms FBDs into Verilog programs for FPGA. We are working on the transformation from FBDs into VHDL programs.

C. The PLC Implementation Phase

The C programs transformed by the ‘FBDtoC’ can be compiled into executable codes for a specific target PLC. Most commercial software engineering tools, however, translate FBDs into equivalent C and executable codes subsequently, and also download them into specific target PLCs. Most PLC vendors typically use COTS (Commercial Off-the-Shelf) software such as ‘TMS320C55x’ of Texas Instruments for the C compilers. The COTS compilers were well verified and certified enough to be used without additional verification effort. However, the vendor-provided automatic translators from FBD to C should demonstrate its functional safety and correctness rigorously, as we proposed in [26].

D. The FPGA Implementation Phase

The Verilog program translated by FBDtoVerilog 2.0/2.1 is the starting point of the fully-automated FPGA synthesis procedure provided by commercial tools. On the other hand, nuclear regulation authorities require more considerate demonstration of the correctness and even safety of the mechanical synthesis processes of FPGA synthesis tools, even if the FPGA industry have acknowledged them empirically as correct and safe processes and tools. While the synthesis process can be formally verified with the compiler verification techniques [27], [28], it is hard to apply them to the works of 3rd-party developers. It must be the most important obstacle for FPGAs to be used as a new platform of nuclear I&C systems. We are trying to overcome the obstacle through the safety and correctness demonstration technique proposed in [25].

E. Auxiliary Support for the Compiler Verification

The formal verification of compiler, translator and synthesizer is an important issue, and should be fully
demonstrated whenever new PLC compilers or FPGA synthesis tools are proposed to use to develop new safety-critical digital systems in nuclear power plants. These are typically developed by 3rd-parties, and we have no information to perform the in-depth analysis on them with typical compiler verification techniques. We have proposed an indirect demonstration technique [25], which uses the VIS equivalence checking and (HW/SW) co-simulation [29]. It is our current on-going research issue.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This paper introduces the new version of NuDE framework, briefly. It extends to corporate the FPGA-based software development as well as the PLC-based software, seamlessly and simultaneously. While various formal verification and safety analysis are also supported, we are now trying to systematically demonstrate the safety and correctness of various translators of our own and commercial synthesis tools of FPGA vendors. We are also preparing a full-scale case study, starting from a formal requirements specification and an FBD program in order to demonstrate the usefulness of the framework.
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