15 N Solid-State NMR Provides a Sensitive Probe of Oxidized Flavin Reactive Sites
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Abstract: Flavins are central to the reactivity of a wide variety of enzymes and electron transport proteins. There is great interest in understanding the basis for the different reactivities displayed by flavins in different protein contexts. We propose solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (SS–NMR) as a tool for directly observing reactive positions of the flavin ring and thereby obtaining information on their frontier orbitals. We now report the SS–NMR signals of the redox-active nitrogens N1 and N5, as well as that of N3. The chemical shift tensor of N5 is over 720 ppm wide, in accordance with the predictions of theory and our calculations. The signal of N3 can be distinguished on the basis of coupling to 1H absent for N1 and N5, as well as the shift tensor span of only 170 ppm, consistent with N3's lower aromaticity and lack of a nonbonding lone pair. The isotropic shifts and spans of N5 and N1 reflect two opposite extremes of the chemical shift range for "pyridine-type" N's, consistent with their electrophilic and nucleophilic chemical reactivities, respectively. Upon flavin reduction, N5's chemical shift tensor contracts dramatically to a span of less than 110 ppm, and the isotropic chemical shift changes by approximately 300 ppm. Both are consistent with loss of N5's nonbonding lone pair and decreased aromaticity, and illustrate the responsive-ness of the 15N chemical shift principal values to electronic structure. Thus, 15N chemical shift principal values promise to be valuable tools for understanding electronic differences that underlie variations in flavin reactivity, as well as the reactivities of other heterocyclic cofactors.

Introduction

Heterocycles feature strongly among reactive biological molecules. Their heteroatoms make crucial contributions to the reactivity of many enzyme cofactors, including pyridoxal phosphate, pyridoxyl phosphate, and more. They also mediate the H-bonding that underlies the stable but reversible associations so critical to life, in the guise of DNA base pairing and protein structure. Thus, understanding the interactions and the consequences of these functionalities is a very important element of understanding biological chemistry and biomolecular function. 7,8-Dimethyl-10-alkylisoalloxazines, called flavins (Figure 1), possess remarkable chemical versatility. 4 The flavin component of the cofactors FMN and FAD 5 is used throughout biological chemistry to execute reactions ranging from oxygenation, oxidation and reduction, disulfide formation and rereduction, and dehydrogenation. 6 The central diazabutadiene motif is able to accommodate two electrons and two protons, either sequentially or in combinations, via effective redefinition of the N1 and N5 frontier orbitals.

Figure 1. Structure of oxidized flavin, boxed, with the effect of two-electron reduction, numbering of the N positions, and side chains of the flavin variants used in this work. The flavin's diazabutadiene motif is in bold. R = tetraacetyl ribityl (bottom left) for TARF, R = methyl (bottom center) for lumiflavin, and R = dibenzyl ethyl (bottom right) for dBF.

(5) Abbreviations: CP, cross-polarization; dBF, dibenzyl flavin; DFT, density functional theory; FAD, flavin adenine dinucleotide; FMN, flavin mononucleotide; GIAO, gauge-including atomic orbital; HOMO, highest occupied molecular orbital, used somewhat loosely to include the several lowest-lying orbitals that define the reactivity; LUMO, lowest unoccupied molecular orbital, used somewhat loosely to include the several lowest-lying orbitals that define the reactivity; MAS, magic angle spinning; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; PASS, phase adjusted spinning sideband; rmsd, root-mean-square deviation; SS, solid state; TARF, tetraacetyl riboflavin.
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Nature’s widespread use of cofactors with broad chemical capability makes evolutionary sense, as this strategy minimizes the number of different cofactors which must be elaborated. However, for a given enzyme to display only a particular reactivity, the protein must then be able to tune and constrain the reactivity of bound cofactors. Proteins use a variety of devices to modulate the reactivity of bound flavins.5–13 In part, this is achieved by virtue of the fact that the delocalized frontier orbitals of flavins encompass several O and N functionalities whose geometry, H-bonding, and protonation status may be expected to strongly modulate the energy and distribution of HOMO electron density.16–20 Thus, the energies and natures of the flavin frontier orbitals are proposed to vary from enzyme to enzyme, in a manner that correlates with the reactivity.20–23 Indeed, the optical, fluorescence, and Stark spectra of FMN and FAD vary significantly depending on the protein site in which the latter are bound.24,25 However, it is sometimes difficult to relate such spectral differences to specific atoms or orbitals, and the interpretation of the spectral differences may not be unique. Flavin vibrational frequencies can be interpreted in terms of more local effects,26–28 but possibly the most site-specific probes available so far are EPR hyperfine couplings for the semiquinone states29 and NMR chemical shifts for the diamagnetic states.30–33

NMR chemical shifts and J-couplings have been interpreted in elegant detail in terms of a proton density tensor, sp3/sp3 character and hydrogen bonding at various sites, leading to valuable insights into the polarization of the flavin ring system, its protonation state, hydrogen bonding, and pyramidalization at N10 and N5.30–32,34,35 However, the isotropic shifts measured in solution represent the average of all the effects on total electron density. Yet, many interactions involve specific orbitals, and certain orbitals are much more important than others in shaping flavin chemistry. One would like to obtain information that emphasizes those.

Solid-state NMR (SS-NMR) can reveal all the elements of the chemical shift tensor for single-crystal samples36 or measure principal values and the corresponding eigenvectors’ orientations relative to another vector in the molecular frame, such as a dipole–dipole vector.37–39 Even for powder or polycrystalline samples, SS-NMR yields all three chemical shift principal values (CSPVs) separately. Experiments have shown that the different CSPVs often reflect different properties, such as protonation state vs hydrogen bonding status, for carboxyl carbons40 and imidazole ring nitrogens.41 This is consistent with the origins of the paramagnetic contribution to chemical shift, whose different PVs are in theory related to different HOMO and LUMO combinations.

Ramsey’s equation (eq 1)42 predicts that NMR chemical shifts (δ) will reflect shielding due to ground-state electron density (the so-called diamagnetic contribution, δg, as well as deshielding due to electron orbital angular momentum (δp).43

\[
-\delta_{ii} = \alpha_{ii} = \alpha_{ii,d} - \alpha_{ii,p} \quad (1a)
\]

\[
\alpha_{ii,d} = \frac{e^2}{2m_i c^2} \sum_n \left( 0 \left| \frac{2Li}{r} \right| 0 \right) \left( E_n - E_0 \right) + \frac{\left( 0 \left| \frac{2Li}{r} \right| n \right) \left( n \left| Li \right| 0 \right)}{E_n - E_0} \quad (1b)
\]

\[
\delta_{ii,p} \approx \frac{eh}{2m_i c^2} \sum_n \left( 0 \left| \frac{2Li}{r} \right| n \right) \left( n \left| Li \right| 0 \right) \quad (1c)
\]

The paramagnetic shielding is thus expected to be particularly sensitive to the natures and relative energies of the frontier orbitals, as the different PVs, αii,p, reflect different ground state (|0⟩) and excited state (|n⟩) combinations.44 This is consistent with the origins of the paramagnetic contribution to chemical shift, whose different PVs are in theory related to different HOMO

and LUMO combinations.


(38) Hartzell, C. J.; Whitfield, M.; Oas, T. G.; Bachrach, A. Biochemistry 1987, 109, 5962–5966.


Paramagnetic deshielding tends to be dominated by contributions from the orbital coupling of HOMOs to LUMOs, because these terms are scaled by the inverse of the smallest energy gap (eq 1c). Moreover, the HOMOS and the LUMOS are precisely the orbitals that dominate the chemistry, so these chemical shifts should be related to reactivity. The effect is particularly significant for atoms in conjugated systems, especially sites with a nonbonded lone pair, because lower energy gaps will apply, so these sites are predicted to display particularly large paramagnetic deshielding and correspondingly large chemical shifts.

The three CSPVs each reflect HOMOs and LUMOs that are perpendicular to each other and also perpendicular to the shielding component in question (see supplemental Figure 1, Supporting Information). Thus, each PV can reflect different HOMO and LUMO combinations, and the large deshielding due to a nonbonded lone pair tends to be concentrated in one PV. For N1 and N5 of oxidized flavins, this one value is calculated to change almost three times as much as the isotropic shift, in response to hydrogen bonding such as is often observed in proteins. Thus, SS–NMR should provide great sensitivity to noncovalent interactions that tune flavin reactivity. In summary, paramagnetic deshielding tends to emphasize precisely the orbitals involved in cofactor reactivity, it is large in conjugated systems especially at N sites, and individual PVs can often be related to specific orbitals. Thus, 15N SS–NMR is uniquely well suited to understanding the electronic basis for flavin reactivity and its modulation by proteins.

These predictions for flavins are supported by studies of other systems. In extensive SS NMR observations of 15N in heterocycles and in H-bonds, Grant and Wasylishen and others have demonstrated key predictions of Ramsey’s equation. The most strongly deshielded CSPV is indeed the one whose eigenvector is perpendicular to the plane described by the occupied and unoccupied orbitals separated by the smallest energy gap. This CSPV is also extremely sensitive to electronic perturbation both via substitution at positions that participate in the conjugated orbital system and via noncovalent interactions, including H bonding. The results are consistent with Ramsey’s prediction that interactions that stabilize the lone pair and increase the corresponding energy gap, _ΔE__, will decrease the deshielding that reflects the lone pair, in particular. Similarly, individual PVs of the 15N chemical shift tensor were found to correlate with the electronegativity of para-substituents of nitrobenzene even when the isotropic shift did not. Thus, there is ample evidence that the different PVs of the 15N chemical shift tensor respond in different ways and often with different signs, to perturbations typical of interactions between biomolecules and perturbations of the frontier orbitals that are also associated with altered reactivity. Nonetheless, only isotropic 15N or 13C chemical shift information has been made available for flavins thus far.

We now report the first study of SS–15N NMR signals of flavins and demonstrate that these adhere well to the predictions of theory and that their properties discriminate between the different labeled nitrogens. The chemical shift principle values of the N sites in oxidized flavin are clearly distinct from one another, spanning up to 724 ppm for the N5 site, and distinguishing between chemically different N sites in the flavin even when these appear to have similar local bonding environments. Most of the 13C resonances occur in bunches and are not sufficiently distinct to permit assignment without selective labeling, but their CSPVs can be accounted for by our calculated values. Three 15N signals, however, have been assigned experimentally, and the CSPVs obtained for them display an rms deviation from calculated values of 19 ppm. The CSPVs thus validate our calculations of flavin electronics, which therefore can in turn be used to understand the different CSPVs and the flavin electronics. Extension of this approach should allow us to understand the different reactivities of different sites, and different flavins, in conjunction with SS–NMR observations of flavin N’s, and C’s.

**Experimental Methods**

**Synthesis.** Initial studies have used [15N–N1, N3, N5]-TARF as a proof of principle. Labeled riboflavins were synthesized by the method of Lambooy, substituting cyanoborohydride reduction of the imine intermediate for the original catalytic hydrogenation. Labels were introduced using 15N NaNO₂ for N5 and 15N urea (Cambridge Isotope Labs) for N1 and N3. Labeled riboflavins were tetra-acetylated using acetic anhydride in pyridine. N(10)- (2,2-dibenzylethyl)isoxazoline (dBF) labeled at the N5 position was synthesized following a modification of the method of Tishler. Details are to be published elsewhere. dBF provides the advantage of being soluble in nonpolar medium and thus of being readily separable from the dithionite salt solution used to prepare chemically reduced flavin (below).

**Reduction of dBF.** Working under inert atmosphere, dBF was dissolved in degassed ethyl acetate and shaken with a 2-fold larger volume of sodium dithionite in degassed aqueous 100 mM KOH (20-fold stoichiometric excess of dithionite over dBF). No color was seen to migrate into the aqueous phase. The aqueous phase was removed, and the organic phase was dried under a stream of Ar, before being packed into an NMR rotor, under inert atmosphere. The rotor (which was airtight) was transferred quickly into the NMR probe under a stream of N₂ and maintained under an N₂ atmosphere for observation. Following observation of the reduced state signal, the N₂ stream was replaced with a flow of air (also used for maintaining NMR probe temperature and sample spinning). Within 12 h, the reduced state signal had disappeared and was replaced by that of the oxidized state.

**NMR Conditions.** 15N spectra were collected at 40 MHz for 13C with signal enhancement via ramped cross polarization from 1H, and TSPM2 decoupling during acquisition. Details are given in each figure caption. Pulse sequences were those provided by Varian Inc. Lower
temperatures and higher cross-polarization fields were found to increase the $^{15}$N signal-to-noise by a factor of up to two without altering signal shapes or intensity distributions. However, the lowest accessible temperatures proved not to be stable on some days, and higher cross-polarization powers than 50 kHz proved not to be advisable for the instrumentation, requiring compromise for longer runs. Room temperature was used for the reduction and reoxidation experiment (Figure 9) in order to be able to use air to reoxidize the sample in situ. Thus, air was used as the temperature control and spinning drive gas in this case, and long interscan delays of 60 s were used to minimize possible sample heating. $^{13}$C spectra were collected at room temperature with interscan delays of 5 s, contact times of 8 ms at a cross-polarization field of 50 kHz for $^1$H and $^1$H TPPM2 decoupling at 55 kHz. Spinning speeds of 2250 and 4000 Hz were used for the $2d$-PASS spectra used to determine $^{13}$C CSPVs. All $^{15}$N chemical shifts are quoted relative to liquid ammonia, and spectra were referenced indirectly against the $^{14}$N signals of NH$_4$NO$_3$ at 21 and 376 ppm, respectively. $^{13}$C chemical shifts are quoted relative to TMS at 0 ppm and calibrated indirectly against hexamethyl benzene (17.3 ppm).

Calculations. GIAO calculations of NMR shielding tensors$^{59,60}$ were performed as described in detail by Walsh and Miller$^{19}$ using 7,8,10-trimethylisoalloxazine (lumiflavin, Figure 1), to concentrate computational effort on the flavin ring system rather than the side chain. The $G_6$–311(d,p) basis and B3PW91 functional$^{61}$ were used because they yielded the best agreement with experimental oxidized flavin structures and isotropic chemical shifts measured in CDCl$_3$,$^{13}$ with performance comparable to those of larger basis sets including cc-pVTZ.$^{19}$ The use of DFT methods in both geometry optimization and chemical shift calculation was found to be essential, consistent with the importance of electron correlation expected for the highly conjugated frontier orbitals of oxidized flavins$^{13}$ (also see Barfield$^{62}$). All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 98 or Gaussian 03 package$^{63}$ on the University of Kentucky’s N- and X-class HP supercomputers. Calculated $^{15}$N shieldings were converted to calculated chemical shifts by subtracting the former from the shielding of liquid ammonia of 244.6 ppm (264.5 ppm plus the gas to liquid correction).$^{64}$ Calculated $^{13}$C shieldings were converted to calculated chemical shifts by subtracting the former from the shielding of liquid TMS of 186.4 ppm.$^{15}$ According to convention, the PVs are numbered in order of magnitude, with $\delta_1$ being the most deshielded one (highest chemical shift value) and $\delta_3$ the most shielded. Figure 8 was generated using molscript.$^{65}$

Results and Discussion

Observation of Reactive N Sites in the Flavin Ring: A Single Signal Spans Over 700 ppm. Figure 2A shows the two-dimensional phase adjusted spinning sideband spectrum (PASS)$^{66,67}$ of tetraacetyl riboflavin (TARF) labeled with $^{15}$N at positions N1, N3 and N5$^{68}$ (see Figure 1). The corresponding one-dimensional cross-polarized magic angle spinning (CP-MAS) spectrum is shown above (2B). The central row of the $^{15}$N 2d-PASS spectrum$^{66,67}$ of oxidized [15N–N1,N3,N5]-TARF spinning at 2.5 kHz (A), compared with a 1d CP-MAS spectrum of the same sample (B) also spinning at 2.5 kHz. Vertical arrows indicate the positions of the isotropic shifts in the two spectra. 50 kHz CP for $^1$H and 45 kHz ramped CP for $^{15}$N were applied for 15 ms, at ~60°C, with 5 s between scans. $^1$H was excited with a 5.4 µs 90° pulse and decoupled at 51 kHz over the 20 ms acquisition time.

PASS spectrum isolates the isotropic shifts of each labeled N (vertical arrows), although these are difficult to identify in the 1d CP-MAS spectrum. The isotropic shifts are within 5 ppm of the isotropic average shifts observed for TARF in solution in CDCl$_3$.$^{10}$ (Table 1). The other rows of the PASS spectrum each contain one spinning sideband from each signal, over the spectral width spanned by each of the signals.$^{66}$ Thus, the three sets of spinning sidebands can readily be identified as diagonal arrays$^{69}$ although they overlap significantly in the 1d CP-MAS spectrum.

Assignment of the Signals to Each of N1, N3, and N5. The signal of N5 was identified by comparison of the CP-MAS spectrum of [15N–N1,N3,N5]-TARF with that of dibenzyl flavin (dBF) labeled exclusively at N5 (Figure 3). Thus, we find that the signal of TARF N5 has an isotropic shift of 345 ppm and spans over 700 ppm.

Of the N’s, only N3 has a directly bonded $^1$H (Figure 1). This property could be detected indirectly based on the strong line broadening experienced by the N3 signal, but not those of N1 or N5, when the $^{15}$N spectrum was collected without use of $^1$H decoupling (Figure 4), consistent with N3’s 10-fold higher $^1$H,$^{15}$N nOe in solution.$^{34}$ Thus, we assign the isotropic shift of 159 ppm and associated sidebands to N3. The remaining signal is therefore attributed to N1, with an isotropic shift of 199 ppm and a span of approximately 300 ppm.

These assignments were confirmed experimentally by the very different rate of cross-polarization of N3 vs the very similar $^{15}$N 2d-PASS spectrum$^{66,67}$ of oxidized [15N–N1,N3,N5]-TARF spinning at 2.5 kHz (A), compared with a 1d CP-MAS spectrum of the same sample (B) also spinning at 2.5 kHz. Vertical arrows indicate the positions of the isotropic shifts in the two spectra. 50 kHz CP for $^1$H and 45 kHz ramped CP for $^{15}$N were applied for 15 ms, at ~60°C, with 5 s between scans. $^1$H was excited with a 5.4 µs 90° pulse and decoupled at 51 kHz over the 20 ms acquisition time.

PASS spectrum isolates the isotropic shifts of each labeled N (vertical arrows), although these are difficult to identify in the 1d CP-MAS spectrum. The isotropic shifts are within 5 ppm of the isotropic average shifts observed for TARF in solution in CDCl$_3$.$^{10}$ (Table 1). The other rows of the PASS spectrum each contain one spinning sideband from each signal, over the spectral width spanned by each of the signals.$^{66}$ Thus, the three sets of spinning sidebands can readily be identified as diagonal arrays$^{69}$ although they overlap significantly in the 1d CP-MAS spectrum.

Assignment of the Signals to Each of N1, N3, and N5. The signal of N5 was identified by comparison of the CP-MAS spectrum of [15N–N1,N3,N5]-TARF with that of dibenzyl flavin (dBF) labeled exclusively at N5 (Figure 3). Thus, we find that the signal of TARF N5 has an isotropic shift of 345 ppm and spans over 700 ppm.

Of the N’s, only N3 has a directly bonded $^1$H (Figure 1). This property could be detected indirectly based on the strong line broadening experienced by the N3 signal, but not those of N1 or N5, when the $^{15}$N spectrum was collected without use of $^1$H decoupling (Figure 4), consistent with N3’s 10-fold higher $^1$H,$^{15}$N nOe in solution.$^{34}$ Thus, we assign the isotropic shift of 159 ppm and associated sidebands to N3. The remaining signal is therefore attributed to N1, with an isotropic shift of 199 ppm and a span of approximately 300 ppm.

These assignments were confirmed experimentally by the very different rate of cross-polarization of N3 vs the very similar $^{15}$N 2d-PASS spectrum$^{66,67}$ of oxidized [15N–N1,N3,N5]-TARF spinning at 2.5 kHz (A), compared with a 1d CP-MAS spectrum of the same sample (B) also spinning at 2.5 kHz. Vertical arrows indicate the positions of the isotropic shifts in the two spectra. 50 kHz CP for $^1$H and 45 kHz ramped CP for $^{15}$N were applied for 15 ms, at ~60°C, with 5 s between scans. $^1$H was excited with a 5.4 µs 90° pulse and decoupled at 51 kHz over the 20 ms acquisition time.

PASS spectrum isolates the isotropic shifts of each labeled N (vertical arrows), although these are difficult to identify in the 1d CP-MAS spectrum. The isotropic shifts are within 5 ppm of the isotropic average shifts observed for TARF in solution in CDCl$_3$.$^{10}$ (Table 1). The other rows of the PASS spectrum each contain one spinning sideband from each signal, over the spectral width spanned by each of the signals.$^{66}$ Thus, the three sets of spinning sidebands can readily be identified as diagonal arrays$^{69}$ although they overlap significantly in the 1d CP-MAS spectrum.

Assignment of the Signals to Each of N1, N3, and N5. The signal of N5 was identified by comparison of the CP-MAS spectrum of [15N–N1,N3,N5]-TARF with that of dibenzyl flavin (dBF) labeled exclusively at N5 (Figure 3). Thus, we find that the signal of TARF N5 has an isotropic shift of 345 ppm and spans over 700 ppm.

Of the N’s, only N3 has a directly bonded $^1$H (Figure 1). This property could be detected indirectly based on the strong line broadening experienced by the N3 signal, but not those of N1 or N5, when the $^{15}$N spectrum was collected without use of $^1$H decoupling (Figure 4), consistent with N3’s 10-fold higher $^1$H,$^{15}$N nOe in solution.$^{34}$ Thus, we assign the isotropic shift of 159 ppm and associated sidebands to N3. The remaining signal is therefore attributed to N1, with an isotropic shift of 199 ppm and a span of approximately 300 ppm.

These assignments were confirmed experimentally by the very different rate of cross-polarization of N3 vs the very similar $^{15}$N 2d-PASS spectrum$^{66,67}$ of oxidized [15N–N1,N3,N5]-TARF spinning at 2.5 kHz (A), compared with a 1d CP-MAS spectrum of the same sample (B) also spinning at 2.5 kHz. Vertical arrows indicate the positions of the isotropic shifts in the two spectra. 50 kHz CP for $^1$H and 45 kHz ramped CP for $^{15}$N were applied for 15 ms, at ~60°C, with 5 s between scans. $^1$H was excited with a 5.4 µs 90° pulse and decoupled at 51 kHz over the 20 ms acquisition time.
The rapid buildup of N3 polarization via $^1\text{H}$-$^15\text{N}$ cross relaxation buildups of the signals attributed to N1 and N5 (Figure 5). The effect of $^1\text{H}$ decoupling during 20 ms acquisitions, with 10 s delays between scans. Peaks intensities were measured for all spinning sidebands. Summed intensities for each signal were fit to Amp$^{1/2} \cdot \exp(-t/T_{1\text{H},i})$ for (N1 and N5) or Amp$^{1/2} \cdot \exp(-t/T_{1\text{H},i}) + f \cdot \exp(-t/T_{1\text{H},o}) - (1 - f) \cdot \exp(-t/T_{1\text{H},o})$ for N3, where $T_{1\text{H},i}$ is the rotating frame longitudinal relaxation time of $^1\text{H}$, $T_{1\text{H},o}$ is the time constant for $^1\text{H}$-$^15\text{N}$ cross polarization, Amp denotes the maximum possible signal amplitude, and $f$ is the fraction of the population affected by the shorter buildup time $T_{1\text{H},i}$. The curves accompanying the data reflect the following fitted parameter values: for N1, Amp = 1440 ± 20, $T_{1\text{H},i}$ = 5.0 ± 0.2 ms; for N5, Amp = 800 ± 20, $T_{1\text{H},o}$ = 5.7 ± 0.5 ms; for N3, Amp = 1600 ± 20, two values of $T_{1\text{H},i}$ are needed to obtain a good fit: $T_{1\text{H},i}$ = 0.1 ± 0.3 ms for 60% of the population and $T_{1\text{H},i}$ = 1.3 ± 0.02 ms for 40% of the population, $T_{1\text{H},o}$ = 200 ± 40 ms.

From a practical standpoint, the slow cross-polarization of N1 and N5 and the fact that N5’s signal intensity is distributed among many sidebands, require that NMR conditions be optimized with care. Nonetheless, the above experiments provide experimental assignments for all three signals, and they reveal very different NMR properties related to the bonding and electronic structures of the different N sites. Our experimental assignments permit validation of our calculations without the circular logic implied in making assignments based on agreement with calculated shifts, and then invoking the agreement to validate the calculations.

The Observed Chemical Shift Principal Values Are Well Reproduced by Calculations. The pattern of intensity distribution among the different spinning sidebands yields the three CSPV's of each signal ($\delta_{11}$, $\delta_{22}$, $\delta_{33}$) via Herzfeld-Berger analysis. These are reported in Table 1, and agree with experimental assignments for all three signals, and they reveal very different NMR properties related to the bonding and electronic structures of the different N sites. Our experimental assignments permit validation of our calculations without the circular logic implied in making assignments based on agreement with calculated shifts, and then invoking the agreement to validate the calculations.

The Observed Chemical Shift Principal Values Are Well Reproduced by Calculations. The pattern of intensity distribution among the different spinning sidebands yields the three CSPV's of each signal ($\delta_{11}$, $\delta_{22}$, $\delta_{33}$) via Herzfeld-Berger analysis. These are reported in Table 1, and agree with experimental assignments for all three signals, and they reveal very different NMR properties related to the bonding and electronic structures of the different N sites. Our experimental assignments permit validation of our calculations without the circular logic implied in making assignments based on agreement with calculated shifts, and then invoking the agreement to validate the calculations.

The Observed Chemical Shift Principal Values Are Well Reproduced by Calculations. The pattern of intensity distribution among the different spinning sidebands yields the three CSPV's of each signal ($\delta_{11}$, $\delta_{22}$, $\delta_{33}$) via Herzfeld-Berger analysis. These are reported in Table 1, and agree with
turning points observed in powder spectra obtained for a static sample. Thus, we find that observed N5 signal spans over 720 ppm. This is large but not extreme, as pyridine’s $^{15}$N chemical shift tensor is reported to span 622 to 782 ppm. The extraordinary spans of 1479 ppm for $^{15}$N in heterocycles. The computations also reproduce the largest of which we are aware. For N1 and N5, the three CSPVs are very different from one another, consistent with their encoding information emphasizing different orbitals and symmetry, and hinting at the value of knowing all three separately, for maximum insight into the electronics of the site (see for example references 40 and 77).

Ramsey’s equation explains the large $\delta_{11}$ value of N5 (and N1) on the basis of the high-lying nonbonding electron pair that formally exists at both these sites. In contrast, N3 has three bonding partners (Figure 1), and its lone pair can be considered to participate in the nitrogen system instead of being nonbonding. Therefore, N3 is not expected to have such a strongly deshielded component, based on theory and the precedent of analogous N’s in nucleoside bases. Thus, the narrowest chemical shift tensor is entirely consistent with N3, as assigned, and the large spans of N5 and N1 are also consistent with their chemical natures.

For each of our flavin’s three labeled N sites, the calculated isotropic average shift agrees extremely well with the observed isotropic shift (rmsd = 6 ppm, Table 1, Figure 6). Individual calculated PVs agree less well with experiment (rmsd = 19 ppm), as has been found before. Solvation effects can account for some of the discrepancies. The $^{15}$N isotropic shift of pyridine in CDCl$_3$ is shielded by 12 ppm relative to the gas phase, and this most likely represents predominantly effects on $\delta_{11}$. Therefore, a correction of 36 ppm to the calculated (gas phase) $\delta_{11}$ of N1 and N5 is likely justified and would bring these PVs within 9 and 15 ppm of experiment, respectively. This is also consistent with the findings of Grant and others that accounting for H-bonding significantly improves the accuracy of calculated chemical shifts, from an rmsd of 37 to 14 ppm for $^{15}$N in heterocycles. With a 36 solvation ppm correction to $\delta_{11}$, the calculated span of N5 becomes 731 ppm, close to the very large value obtained experimentally, and the rmsd between our experimental PVs and our calculated counterparts becomes 14 ppm. The computations also reproduce the narrower span of N1, which is discussed further below. Thus, the agreement between calculation and experiment validates the calculations, and the insights they can provide into flavin electronics.

$^{13}$C CSPVs were also measured, for natural abundance $^{13}$C in lumiflavin, using 2d-PASS spectra at spinning speeds of 2.25 and 4 kHz. As anticipated, the aromatic C’s were tightly clustered (Figure 7), as were two of the three methyls, and not all the anticipated signals could be resolved. Because the separation between clustered $^{13}$C resonances was comparable to the variations in chemical shift observed upon changing the solvent or protein environment, the powder sample’s isotropic chemical shifts cannot be assigned by comparison with isotropic chemical shifts measured in solution, and selective labeling will be necessary in order to obtain reliable assignments, as has been found before. Nonetheless, distinctive signals can be identified, such as the methyl C bound to N10, which has a chemical shift almost 10 ppm larger than those of the methyl C’s bonded to C’s C7 and C8, and the signals of C9 and C4 with extreme isotropic shifts of 117 and 158 ppm, respectively. Adopting a best-case scenario in which observed signals were attributed to the calculated signals their PVs best matched, one
is able to account extremely well for the resolved signals. Regression of the calculated values vs the best-matching resolved values produced a slope within experimental error of the theoretical value of 1.0 with relatively little scatter about the line ($R = 0.99$, Figure 7), and the rms difference between the CSPVs determined in the 2250 Hz-MAS 2d-PASS and the calculated sets of PVs that best match them was 10 ppm (6 ppm for isotropic values, Figure 7). Thus, these preliminary results bode well for the utility of $^{15}$N CSPV's, but will require that signal assignments be made independent of the calculated values for the signals to serve as true measures of the quality of the calculation.

Relationships between $^{15}$N Principal Chemical Shift Values and Molecular Orbitals. Because our $^{15}$N signals are assigned based on experiment, independent of the calculations, these provide a stringent test of the calculations. Moreover, the $^{15}$N signals are far easier to distinguish from one another than are the $^{13}$C signals. The agreement between experimental and calculated $^{15}$N principal chemical shift values validates other aspects of the calculations as well, including electronic properties relevant to reactivity, which are being pursued in more detail in further work. Here, we simply note that in systems dominated by paramagnetic shielding such as the N’s of oxidized flavins, the calculated chemical shift eigenvectors’ orientations in the molecular frame can reveal the symmetry of frontier orbitals, because the eigenvectors describe the directions about which electron density circulation occurs at the lowest energy cost (eq 1c). Thus, one eigenvector is perpendicular to the plane of the flavin rings for each of the N’s. This is calculated to be $\delta_{33}$ for N1, N10, and N5 but $\delta_{22}$ for N3. In the case of N3, the experimental $\delta_{22}$ and $\delta_{33}$ values obtained from 1d CP-MASS spectra are not significantly different, so their identities with respect to the calculations may be interchanged. However, the values obtained from PASS spectra agree better with the calculation and indicate clearer separation of $\delta_{22}$ and $\delta_{33}$. It therefore appears that N3’s perpendicular deshielding may be slightly larger than the tangential deshielding, contrary to observations for several aromatic heterocycles but consistent with results for several of the peptides for which tensors have been measured. This suggests that N3 should not strictly be considered to be part of the aromatic $\pi$ system.

Figure 8 depicts the calculated in-plane shielding eigenvectors of each of the nitrogens, in the molecular frame. As predicted by theory, and found for a series of heterocycles by Grant and others, the tangential component is largest for N1 and N5, consistent with domination of this PV by terms involving the nonbonding lone pair. For N10 and N3, the radial component is largest (and therefore named $\delta_{11}$), also in agreement with theory and prior findings for substituted N’s (see supplemental Figure 1, Supporting Information).

The calculated radial eigenvectors of N10 and N3 both tilt clockwise in the presentation used for Figure 8. For N1 and N5, the radial eigenvectors are tilted clockwise too, but by much less. Consistent with the large tangential eigenvalues (Table 1), this more ideal calculated geometry likely also reflects the dominance of the lone pair, which tends to orient the tangential component orthogonal to itself (thus causing the radial eigenvector to be parallel with the lone pair). Thus, the $\delta_{11}$ calculated for N5 and N1 appear to strongly reflect the lone pair, and we can expect that experimental values will be sensitive to interactions of this orbital with H-bonding partners.

The Bonding Changes Produced upon Reduction Are Readily Observed. Reduction of N5 converts this site from a “pyridine-type” N to a N with a third bonding partner, in a less aromatic ring, and therefore is predicted to make N5’s signal much more similar to that of N3, but also the CSPVs and the bisector, at each N position. O atoms are colored red, N atoms are blue, C atoms are gray, and H atoms are white.

**Figure 8.** Oxidized flavin ring skeleton showing the orientations of calculated in-plane shielding eigenvectors, as solid straight lines. These are labeled according to the eigenvalue to which they correspond and the convention that the largest CSPV is named $\delta_{11}$, the second largest $\delta_{22}$ and the smallest $\delta_{33}$. The lengths of the solid lines are proportional to the magnitudes of the eigenvalues to which they correspond, as shown in Table 1. At all four N’s, the remaining eigenvector is perpendicular to the molecular plane, and is not shown. Bonds of different order are not distinguished and the methyl group at N10 (top) reflects the fact that calculations were performed on lumiflavin. The light dashed lines depict the bisectors of the CNC angle at each N position (see N3) and are shown for the purpose of defining the angle between the radial eigenvector and the bisector, at each N position. O atoms are colored red, N atoms are blue, C atoms are gray, and H atoms are white.
trophic vs Nucleophilic Reactivities of These Sites. The observed signal of N1 has a much smaller span than that of N5, with a much more shielded \( \delta_{11} \) and significantly different \( \delta_{13} \) and \( \delta_{13} \) values as well, despite apparently similar local bonding. However, these differences agree with calculated differences. The differences are also consistent with N1 and N5’s different chemistries. Among the 153 entries in Witanowski, Stefaniak, and Webb’s compendium of pyridine-type \(^{15} \text{N} \) signals, the isotropic shifts reported range from 215 to 333 ppm vs liquid ammonia.\(^{82} \) In comparison, N5’s isotropic shift of 345 ppm falls just above the high end, whereas N1’s isotropic shift of 199 ppm is just below the low end. Thus, the flavin achieves very strong and opposite polarization of two pyridine-type N’s that are only three bonds apart in a conjugated \( \pi \) system!

The highest chemical shifts listed by Witanowski et al. reflect pyridines with electron-withdrawing substituents para to the N, suggesting that N5’s high chemical shift may be indicative of an electron density deficiency. This is entirely consistent with N5’s electrophilic reactivity, as the site of sulfite modification and hydride acceptance from NAD(P)H.\(^{81}-85 \) In contrast, the low chemical shift end of the scale tends to reflect pyridines with electron donating groups.\(^{82} \) Thus, N1’s lower chemical shift is consistent with N1’s more nucleophilic reactivity as the site of oxidized flavin protonation.\(^{86} \) Both of these observations are consistent with calculated excess negative charge at N1.\(^{87,88} \) Indeed, natural population analysis performed in conjunction with our chemical shift calculations produces charges equivalent to 0.62 of an excess electron (e) at N1 but only 0.34 e at N5. Some excess electron density is expected due to the greater electronegativity of N than the surrounding ring C’s, but the almost 0.3 e greater excess electron density at N1 than at N5 is interesting and consistent with polarization of the flavin by the C=O functionalities of the uracil ring.

Our calculated \(^{13} \text{C} \) chemical shifts also make distinctions among superficially similar C sites with different reactivities. Moonen et al. have demonstrated strong polarization of the flavin by the carbonyl functionality at C2, especially in polar solvents that stabilize negative charge on O2a.\(^{32} \) Thus, they rationalized deshielding of C8 relative to the superficially similar C7, consistent with our calculated isotropic chemical shifts of 151 ppm for C8 vs 138 ppm for C7. Moreover, the different shifts of C8 and C7 are associated with depletion of C8 by 0.04 e in contrast with a slight electron density excess of 0.03 e at C7, based on natural population analysis. Experimentally, the distinction between C8 and C7 increased in polar media as both sites shifted further downfield,\(^{32} \) indicating that in-vacuo calculations should be considered to be lower limits of any solution difference between the sites, and providing a rationale for the surprising susceptibility of the C8a methyl protons to exchange with solvent in aqueous solution.\(^{89} \) These results suggest that calculations including solvation and specific noncovalent interactions will reveal greater differences between sites in the flavin, both with respect to natural charges and CSPVs.\(^{90} \)

Concluding Remarks

We report the first characterization of the \(^{15} \text{N} \) SS–NMR signals of oxidized flavin, demonstrating that distinct spectroscopic properties are observed for sites with distinct bonding and reactivity, consistent with theory, calculations and chemical precedent. Our observed and calculated chemical shift principal values are the first report of such for flavins, to our knowledge. Moreover, the current studies of free flavin will provide a useful baseline for additional studies aimed at understanding flavin reactivity in enzymes. The good agreement between observed and calculated chemical shift principal values validates the use of computation to interpret the \(^{15} \text{N} \) SS–NMR signals of oxidized flavins in protein active sites and model systems. The changes observed upon reduction of N5 also agree well with those predicted by theory and calculations. It is gratifying to discover that not only the previously studied isotropic shifts\(^{19,30-33} \) but even more so the very different chemical shift principal values measured here reflect extreme and distinct electronic properties for N5 and N1.

Enzyme cofactors give biological systems access to essential chemical reactivity that amino acids do not provide. The \(^{15} \text{N} \) chemical shift principal values measured here for oxidized flavin are uniquely well suited to understanding flavin reactivity by virtue of their sensitivity to specific orbitals and, moreover, the very orbitals that dominate redox reactivity. We have proposed that \(^{15} \text{N} \) chemical shift principal values can provide direct, orbital-, and atom-specific information related to fundamental determinants of flavin reactivity. This promises to be true (to a lesser extent) for \(^{13} \text{C} \) as well. These principles should also be applicable to other important heterocyclic cofactors, such as pterins and pyridoxyl phosphate, suggesting that SS–NMR of labeled cofactors in proteins may prove a valuable tool for understanding enzyme catalysis at a fundamental level. We are
extending our SS–NMR studies to simple flavin complexes modeling interactions that tune the reactivity of flavins in proteins. Thus, we are developing the ability to understand $^{15}$N SS–NMR signals of reactive positions in the flavin ring, in terms of the MOs that form the basis for flavin reactivity, and its tuning by proteins.\textsuperscript{21,91}
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**Supporting Information Available:** A cartoon representation of the HOMO and LUMO that make major contributions to $\delta_{11}$ for a canonical “pyridine-type” N center with a nonbonding lone pair, and an analogous N center in which the radial in-plane orbital forms a bond with a substituent (Figure 1). Complete reference number 63. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.