Surgical management of late bullet embolization from the abdomen to the right ventricle: Case report
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Secondary embolus from gun projectile is a rare entity, it represents a clinical and therapeutic dilemma because the potential complications involving central and peripheral circulation. Each case reported in the literature represents a challenge because their unique and different clinical scenarios.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: We present the management of a 33-year-old man with past history of a gunshot wound on left flank with no evidence of any exit wounds, treated with exploratory laparotomy without removing the gunshot bullet from the abdomen. The patient presents 6 years later with non-productive cough and retrosternal pain with no other symptoms; the patient underwent a chest x-ray, electrocardiogram, thoracoabdominal CT, echocardiogram and cardiac catheterization and showed a bullet in the right ventricular floor. The projectile was extracted by sternotomy with extracorporeal circulation through the right atrium, without any complications.

DISCUSSION: In 1834, Thomas David reported for the first time a wood-fragment embolization. There have been reported less than 200 cases including embolization of other materials; most of the gunshot bullet embolization cases reported in literature were reported after war. Clinical manifestations are associated with the anatomical site of embolism and mortality rate for a retained bullet is 6% associated with complication in 25% of cases. Mortality rate decreases to 1–2% if the bullet is removed.

CONCLUSION: There are no established guidelines about the management of migrating foreign bodies or bullets, however, conservative, endovascular and surgical management have been proposed. In the cases of bullet embolization to the thoracic cavity, surgery represents a safe, low risk approach with high success rates.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Secondary embolus from gun projectile is a rare entity with few published cases in the literature. It represents a clinical and therapeutic dilemma because of the potential complications involving central and peripheral circulation, including pulmonary complications [1,2]. There have been reported less than 200 cases including embolization of other materials [3,4]. Clinical manifestations are associated with the anatomical site of embolism and mortality rate for a retained bullet is 6% associated with complication in 25% of cases. Mortality rate decreases to 1–2% if the bullet is removed [5].

We present a case of a retained and late bullet embolization, from the abdominal cavity to the right ventricle. Treated conservative initially and six years later after receiving a penetrating gunshot trauma, a sternotomy was performed. This patient was treated in a private practice hospital by a cardiothoracic surgeon.

2. Case report

A 33-year-old man with no personal, family or psychosocial history presented to the emergency department after receiving a gunshot wound on left flank with no evidence of any exit wounds. The patient had stable vital signs and no clinical data of abdominal tenderness at admission. Abdominal CT showed free intra-abdominal fluid and a gunshot bullet on the pelvic cavity medial to the iliopectineus muscle, the chest x-ray showed no abnormalities (Fig. 1). An exploratory laparotomy was performed finding 2500ml of blood in the abdomen. A grade I and III vascular lesions were found in the jejenum mesentery and left iliac vein respectively; and a grade II intestinal lesion in the distal sigmoid. All the lesions were repaired and the gunshot bullet was not extracted because of hemodynamic instability at the moment. At that time,
the patient was treated by a general surgeon in a private practice hospital. The patient presents 6 years later with non-productive cough and retrosternal pain with no other symptoms. Physical exploration was non-remarkable. A chest x-ray and thoracoabdominal CT showed a radiopaque artefact on the ventricular floor. An EKG, transthoracic echocardiogram and cardiac catheterization were performed showing no disruptions or alterations in the cardiac anatomy and physiology (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1. (A) Chest X-ray showing no abnormalities. (B) Abdominal CT showing a bullet (red arrow) medial to the iliopectine muscle (red dashed arrow).

Fig. 2. (A) Chest X-ray, (B) Thorax CT and (D) Cardiac catheterization showing and artefact or bullet on the ventricular floor (red arrow). (C) Echocardiogram and (D) Cardiac catheterization showed no abnormalities in cardiac anatomy or physiology.
3. Discussion

In 1834, Thomas David reported for the first time a wood-fragment embolization from the venous circulation to the right ventricle in a 10-year-old male patient [1,2]. There have been multiple reports from foreign bodies that migrate to the pulmonary artery or the right ventricle like hypodermic needles, intravenous catheters, bone fragments, and bullets [3]. There have been reported less than 200 cases including embolization of other materials; most of the gunshot bullet embolization cases reported on literature were reported after war. A revision during Vietnam war reported a 0.3% incidence [1,2,4]. Foreign bodies can enter venous system through a direct protrusion into the lumen or by a slower erosion of the vascular wall and can be presented up to 14 years later [1,10].

Clinical manifestations are associated with the anatomical site of embolism, arterial embolization is presented as ischemic distal disease while venous embolization is symptomatic in 70% of cases, generally associated with occupation on left ventricle and pulmonary artery [2,4,6]. Imaging studies are primordial for diagnosis confirmation. X-rays from the site the projectile first impacted and the finding of it in another body cavity raises the suspicious for diagnosis [4]. Some authors recommend CT, transthoracic or transesophageal ecocardiogram and cardiac catheterism even though there is no consensus for an algorithm for diagnostic approach [7–9].

The mortality rate for a retained bullet is 6% associated with complication in 25% of cases. Mortality rate decreases to 1–2% if the bullet is removed [5]. Other authors suggest it should be removed only in patients that are symptomatic, with a size less than 5 mm, irregular structure, proximal to an artery, located at left cardiac cavities and those creating electrocardiographic, hemodynamic or systemic alterations [11,12]. A 40-case series reported after second world war with follow up to 20 years, showed 25% incidence of pericarditis with conservative management and observation. One case developed left–ventricle hypertrophia secondary to aortic valve lesion [13]. There are follow up cases with conservative management in which there was pulmonary artery embolization with no complications reported [14]. Another alternative for management is endovascular treatment, which is an option in those hemodynamically stable patients with gunshot embolization to the pulmonary artery, intraarterial or any of the cardiac chambers [2,15].

4. Conclusion

Even though an algorithm of treatment does not exist for this pathology, each patient should be individualized. Invasive treatment should be avoided unless necessary; each clinical scenario
should be analyzed by an expert team to propose the best therapeutic approach for the patient. The present paper follows the guidelines of the SCARE criteria [16] for publication.
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