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SUMMARY

Sexual reproduction in flowering plants offers a number of remarkable aspects to developmental biologists. First, the spore mother cells – precursors of the plant reproductive lineage – are specified late in development, as opposed to precocious germline isolation during embryogenesis in most animals. Second, unlike in most animals where meiosis directly produces gametes, plant meiosis entails the differentiation of a multicellular, haploid gametophyte, within which gametic as well as non-gametic accessory cells are formed. These observations raise the question of the factors inducing and modus operandi of cell fate transitions that originate in floral tissues and gametophytes, respectively. Cell fate transitions in the reproductive lineage imply cellular reprogramming operating at the physiological, cytological and transcriptome level, but also at the chromatin level. A number of observations point to large-scale chromatin reorganization events associated with cellular differentiation of the female spore mother cells and of the female gametes. These include a reorganization of the heterochromatin compartment, the genome-wide alteration of the histone modification landscape, and the remodeling of nucleosome composition. The dynamic expression of DNA methyltransferases and actors of small RNA pathways also suggest additional, global epigenetic alterations that remain to be characterized. Are these events a cause or a consequence of cellular differentiation, and how do they contribute to cell fate transition? Does chromatin dynamics induce competence for immediate cellular functions (meiosis, fertilization), or does it also contribute long-term effects in cellular identity and developmental competence of the reproductive lineage? This review attempts to review these fascinating questions.
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INTRODUCTION

The life cycle of living organisms is marked by two major events that allow for the mathematical stability of genetic information across generations: meiosis enables allelic re-shuffling and halves the chromosome number; fertilization allows for the regeneration of a diploid organism through the union of two haploid gametes. In multicellular organisms, key processes to these phase transitions are the differentiation of specialist cells committed to meiosis and fertilization, respectively. The differentiation of meiocytes marks the separation of the ‘germplasm’ from the soma, a developmental concept expressed in the 19th century by August Weissmann (Weismann, 1892); however, the definition of a germline (carrying the germplasm) is inherently based on a notion of cell lineage with a deterministic fate, and cannot easily be transposed across all multicellular organisms. There is a variety of developmental strategies across the animal and plant kingdoms regarding the specification of meiotic precursor cells (early vs. late), the fate of the meiotic products (unicellular vs. pluricellular) and the fertilization process itself (single vs. double) (Kondrashov, 1997). Far from the ambition to systematically review the evolutionary diversity of these processes, summarizing the main facts, terminology and key differences between land plants and animals provides a conceptual background. Thus, in the first section we describe the reproductive lineage of flowering plants from the precursor cells to the...
mature gametes, in particular highlighting the successive cellular transitions that imply novel cell fate establishment. Chromatin organization provides an instructive template to genome expression. Hence, a legitimate question is whether cellular reprogramming during fate transitions in the reproductive lineage is associated with local or global changes in chromatin organization and composition, collectively referred to as chromatin dynamics. We will briefly review our understanding of chromatin dynamics in plants before reviewing the multiple waves of large-scale chromatin events associated with cell fate transitions in the female reproductive lineage. We then discuss the possible roles of chromatin dynamics in this developmental context. Notably, we present the viewpoint that cellular reprogramming driven by chromatin dynamics has both immediate and long-term effects, namely: (i) the execution of forthcoming cellular functions (meiosis, gamete formation, fertilization); and (ii) the establishment of long-term developmental competence.

SUCCESSIVE CELLULAR TRANSITIONS IN THE FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE LINEAGE

The reproductive lineage initiates with the specification of meiocyte precursor cells that undergo a cellular transition from a mitotic to a meiotic fate, a process entailing the differentiation of gametes. Those precursor cells are referred to as primordial germ cells (PGCs) in animals and spore mother cells (SMCs) in plants. In animals, the germ-line is specified early during embryogenesis through the cytoplasmic isolation of maternal determinants before embryonic differentiation (e.g. insects, worms and some amphibians) or via the inductive signals of a pre-differentiated embryonic tissue (e.g. the epiblast in mammals and birds) (Extavour and Akam, 2003). PGCs proliferate and give rise to meiotic-competent cells, generically called meiocytes (e.g. primary spermatocytes and oocytes in animals). By contrast to animal PGCs, plant SMCs are not embryonically set aside. Instead, they differentiate in the adult plant that has undergone a developmental transition from vegetative to reproductive effort (Figure 1). SMCs differentiate in dedicated tissues of the male and female sexual organs of the flower, in pluripotent somatic niches of the anther and ovule primordium, respectively. SMCs originate either directly from the selection of a hypodermal cell (e.g. female SMCs in Arabidopsis) or indirectly following asymmetric division of one or several hypodermal, archesporial cells [e.g. female SMCs in Zea mays (maize) and male SMCs in most flowering plants; Feng et al., 2013; Kelliher et al., 2014; Schmidt et al., 2015]. SMC differentiation produces meiotic-competent cells, the meiocytes, also

Figure 1. Late establishment of the reproductive lineage in flowering plants. Flowering plants do not set aside their germ-line during embryogenesis, unlike most animals. Instead, the meiotic precursor cells, or spore mother cells (SMCs), differentiate de novo in very young flower buds in female and male floral organs: the ovule and anther primordia, respectively (left). In most flowering plants, only one female SMC (also called megaspore mother cell) is formed per ovule primordium, whereas several male SMCs (also called pollen mother cells) are formed in anther locules. Meiosis produces haploid spores (not shown on this scheme) that develop mitotically into multicellular, haploid gametophytes (right), within which the gametes differentiate de novo. The female gametophyte (also called embryo sac) is enclosed in maternal sporophytic integuments of the ovule. It comprises seven cells at maturity: two gametes – the egg cell (pink) and the central cell (yellow); and five accessory cells – three antipodals (blue) and two synergids (green). The central cell contains a single dihaploid nucleus resulting from the fusion of two polar nuclei. The male gametophyte is enclosed in the pollen grain and comprises a vegetative cell filling the grain (vegetative nucleus, yellow) and two sperm cells engulfed in the vegetative cell (sperm nuclei, blue). The images correspond to pseudo-colored, three-dimensional reconstructions (powered by IMARIS; Bitplane AG, http://www.bitplane.com) of confocal serial optical sections through whole-mount Arabidopsis reproductive organs: ovule primordium, anther, and mature ovule stained for cell membranes using FM4-64 dye and pollen grain stained for DNA using propidium iodide.
called sporocytes (male SMCs are also referred to as microsporocytes, microspore mother cells or pollen mother cells; female SMCs are also called megaspores or megaspore mother cells). Here, we use SMC from the initial hypodermal stage up to the functional meiocyte. SMC development is hence marked by: (i) specification and (ii) differentiation.

Meiosis marks the second developmental transition towards functional gametes. Meiosis universally fulfills the function of reducing the genome to its haploid chromosomal complement. Yet, the fate of meiotic products greatly differs between kingdoms. In animals, the gametes directly differentiate from the meiotic product. By contrast, in plants the haploid spore is pluripotent and gives rise to the multicellular gametophyte through mitotic divisions. The female gametophyte develops within, and is strongly influenced by, the ovule composed of maternal integuments (sporophytic tissue). The development of the typical Polygonum type of female gametophyte shared by 70% of flowering plants (Maheshwari, 1950) is characterized by three cycles of mitosis without cytokinesis before the partitioning of the multinucleate cytoplasm in very distinct cell types: two gametes (the egg cell and the central cell) and five accessory cells (two synergids and three antipodals) (Figure 1). The two gametes themselves share very distinct post-fertilization fates, respectively forming the totipotent zygote and the endosperm, a terminally differentiated tissue integrating biochemical modifications of the DNA, nucleosomal and linker histones influence access to enzymatic complexes, affecting transcription, replication and DNA repair. At the nuclear level, spatial organization of chromatin domains compartmentalizes specific nuclear functions and reciprocally influences gene expression (reviewed in Misteli 2005, Schneider and Grosschedl 2007).

Transcriptional activity is a read-out of local chromatin state integrating biochemical modifications of histone tails, cytosine methylation and specific histone variants. Chromatin states are characterized by a functional indexing of combinatorial histone modifications and DNA methylation, with four main chromatin states that preferentially mark active genes, repressed genes, repeat elements and intergenic regions (Roudier et al., 2011). Transposable elements (TEs) are enriched in H3K9me2, H3K27me1 and H4K20me1, defining transcriptionally repressive heterochromatin states. Chromatin states at genic regions are based on a distinct set of modifications (e.g. H3K27me2,me3, H3K4me2, H3K36me3, H3KAc9), which are differentially combined in correlation with the expression status of each gene (Roudier et al., 2011). In a manner remarkably reminiscent of cell lineage marking in animals, H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 distribution patterns can be tissue-specific. H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 are typical hallmarks set by Polycomb-group (PcG) and Trithorax-like (Trx) protein complexes that define mitotically heritable, transcriptionally repressive and permissive chromatin states, respectively (reviewed in Kohler and Henning, 2010). Furthermore, as in animals, DNA methylation in plants also largely influences transcription (Zhang et al., 2006; Zilberman et al., 2007). Cytosine methylation occurs in different sequence contexts. CG methylation is enriched in TEs and repeats, and largely targets genic regions as well (Cokus et al., 2008; Lister et al., 2008). In contrast, CHG and CHH methylation are almost exclusively found in heterochromatin (Cokus et al., 2008; Lister et al., 2008). Although it is clear that DNA methylation patterns are dynamic during plant development (Gehring and Henikoff, 2007), few studies address specific cell fate transitions. For instance, DNA methylation landscapes drastically change during male germline development and embryogenesis, as measured in immunostaining and/or methylome profile analyses in different species.

with cell-specific alterations of chromatin organization, structure and composition.

DEFINING CHROMATIN DYNAMICS DURING CELLULAR DIFFERENTIATION

Chromatin dynamics encompass the qualitative (distribution pattern) and quantitative changes in chromatin composition and modification, chromatin mobility, and chromosome organization in the nucleus. Beyond a packaging role, chromatin provides instructions for genome expression that operate at two levels. At the gene level, biochemical modifications of the DNA, nucleosomal and linker histones influence access to enzymatic complexes, affecting transcription, replication and DNA repair. At the nuclear level, spatial organization of chromatin domains compartmentalizes specific nuclear functions and reciprocally influences gene expression (reviewed in Misteli 2005, Schneider and Grosschedl 2007).
Chromatin dynamics: sporogenesis and gametogenesis

At the microscopic level, plant chromatin is organized in euchromatin and heterochromatin domains. Discrete heterochromatic chromocenters are formed comprising repeat elements (centromeric repeats, transposable elements, rDNA), and accordingly are enriched in repressive chromatin modifications (e.g. H3K9me1, me2, H3K27me1, DNA methylation; Fransz et al., 2006; Jasencakova et al., 2003; Mathieu et al., 2005; Naumann et al., 2005; Pecinka et al., 2004). The euchromatin compartment is characterized by both transcriptionally repressive (e.g. H3K27me2, me3 and H3K9me2) and permissive histone modifications (e.g. H3K4me2, me3, H3K9Ac and H4K16Ac; Fransz et al., 2002; Fuchs et al., 2006), with rare overlapping spatial distribution at the gene level (Roudier et al., 2011). The distribution pattern of euchromatin modifications differs slightly between flowering plant species, depending on the genome size, and number and distribution of DNA repeats and transposons (Houben et al., 2003), and differs with non-flowering plants (Fuchs et al., 2008). At interphase, chromosome arms are deployed in euchromatin and form distinct territories (Fransz et al., 2002). Although chromosomes are organized at random in plant species that do not share the polarized Rabl configuration (Pecinka et al., 2004; Schubert and Shaw, 2011), they frequently interact together. Specifically, patterns of inter- and intrachromosomal interactions are found to correlate with chromatin indexing, suggesting a functional relationship (Grob et al., 2013). Although the causality remains to be resolved, chromosomal interactions may provide a platform for the coordinated regulation of loci that function simultaneously (or not). In addition, transcription may influence, or be influenced by, the spatial localization of gene loci in the nucleus relative to the nuclear periphery, chromosome territories or heterochromatin domains. A few studies have provided exciting evidence for the dynamic spatial re-localization of genomic loci in response to environmental and developmental cues, involving long-distance intrachromosomal loops, and spatial repositioning at the nuclear periphery, at the periphery of chromosome territories, and in nuclear bodies (Makarevich et al., 2008; Crevillon et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014) (Wegel et al., 2005; Costa and Shaw, 2006). Consistent with this view of dynamically relocated genomic regions, Wang et al. have recently identified insulated small ‘strips’ of kilobase-size domains enriched in H3K27me3 and H3.3, prone to frequent intrachromosomal interactions (Wang et al., 2015). Fitting the idea of dynamic chromosomal arrangements in relation to transcriptional competence, global mobility properties of the plant chromatin change during cellular differentiation: root meristematic cells retain a high chromatin mobility, whereas it decreases as cellular differentiation proceeds, a process largely modulated by histone acetylation (Rosa et al., 2014). Thus, a picture emerges where the plant chromatin is a highly dynamic matrix providing a functional template for instructing, interpreting, or both, the chromatin indexing level of gene regulation. This working model now needs to be challenged by combined efforts employing chromosome capture technologies, chromatin profiling and gene positioning analysis approaches in a cell-specific yet genome-wide manner.

**MULTIPLE WAVES OF CHROMATIN DYNAMICS: PRE- AND POST-MEIOSIS, AND PRE- AND POST-CELLULARIZATION IN THE GAMETOPHYTE**

What about the organization of chromatin in cells of the reproductive lineage, particularly at cellular transitions? Does cell fate establishment (SMC fate and gametic fate) correlate with global or local re-instruction of the chromatin landscape? Because of the small number of target cells and their relative inaccessibility in the female reproductive lineage, technical approaches are currently lacking for reading the epigenome at the gene level, for instance using chromatin immunoprecipitation or DNA bisulfite sequencing. Our knowledge of chromatin organization in the SMC and developing gametophyte is currently essentially global, coming from cytogenetic and chromatin reporter studies, yet it revealed unanticipated dynamics. Robust methods have been developed for quantifying and inspecting the distribution of histone modifications at high optical resolution at the single-cell level in whole-mount ovules (Arabidopsis) or thick ovary sections (maize) (Garcia-Aguilar et al., 2010; She et al., 2013). These approaches enabled the quantitative probing of the chromatin landscape and its organization at a global nuclear scale in relation to cellular fate transition and differentiation in the female reproductive lineage.

**Chromatin dynamics during SMC formation**

Female SMC formation (specification and differentiation) is characterized by global changes in chromatin organization in Arabidopsis and maize. Although these changes are specific to the SMC itself in Arabidopsis (She et al., 2013), they extend to surrounding nucellar cells in maize (Garcia-Aguilar et al., 2010). Remarkably, SMC differentiation in Arabidopsis ovule primordia is characterized by progressive and biphasic modifications of chromatin composition and organization, targeting heterochromatin and euchromatin in two consecutive phases. Global eviction of somatic
linker H1 histones specifically in the SMC, and not in the surrounding somatic cells, was observed in both reporter and immunostaining analyses, and is the first detectable event in the establishment of SMC fate (She et al., 2013). It marks the onset of a gradual chromatin decondensation characterized by a reduction in heterochromatin content (Figure 2). Yet, the remaining heterochromatin domains are enriched in H3K9me2, a mark typically associated with TE
silencing (Fransz et al., 2006; Roudier et al., 2011). Thus, the detected alterations of heterochromatin in SMC is unlikely to affect TE activity, as is the case in the vegetative cell of pollen, for instance (Slotkin et al., 2009). Furthermore, the observation that centromeric repeats remain gathered at conspicuous foci marked by the centromeric histone CENH3 (She et al., 2013) suggests that chromatin decondensation affects a subset of the SMC chromatin only. This is in sharp contrast with the global decondensation observed in de-differentiated plant cells (Tessadori et al., 2007) and mutants lacking the chromatin remodeler DECREASE in DNA METHYLATION 1, which broadly alters the organization of centromeric repeats (Soppe et al., 2002; Probst et al., 2003). In a second phase, a quantitative redistribution of the PcG and Trx hallmarks is observed, with twice less repressive H3K27me3 mark and 2.5 times more permissive H3K4me2 mark in the SMC compared with the surrounding somatic tissue, respectively. Concurrently with these alterations the SMC chromatin is remodelled with, notably, the transient eviction of H2AZ, a specific variant thought to influence nucleosome stability in animals (Marques et al., 2010) and transcriptional responsiveness in plants (Kumar and Wigge, 2010), and with the specific incorporation of H3.3, a class of variant deposited at transcriptionally active loci (Stroud et al., 2012; Wollmann et al., 2012; She et al., 2013). Yet, despite this apparent permissive chromatin state, RNA Pol II activity is progressively dampened and H4 acetylation dramatically decreases during SMC differentiation (Figure 2), possibly suggesting a poised chromatin state. The mechanisms driving these large-scale events of chromatin reprogramming in the SMC are not fully elucidated. Whereas the eviction of H1 is mediated by the proteasome-degradation pathway, the signaling mechanisms remain unknown. Furthermore, non-canonical enzymes are likely to be involved: for instance, mutations in the major H3K9 methylase KRYPTONITE (KYP) and in the major H3K27 demethylase REF6 have no influence on H3K9me2 and H3K27me3 dynamics in the female SMC, respectively. By contrast, H3K4me3 deposition in the SMC is largely, yet not entirely, mediated by the SET DOMAIN GROUP 2 (SDG2) enzyme (She et al., 2013). In addition, ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers, yet to be identified, are likely to be involved in the substitution/incorporation of specific core nucleosome variants, such as H2AZ and H3.3 (She et al., 2013).

What we describe here is only a snapshot of large-scale events, mostly regarding histone composition and modifications. Additional dynamics are likely to be found for the distribution of DNA methylation; however, we are presently lacking sequence-specific probes. Support for this expectation includes: (i) the known interplay between linker histone composition and DNA methylation (Wierzbicki and Jerzmanowski, 2005; Zemach et al., 2013); and (ii) genetic analyses providing support for the functional requirement of DNA methylation in female SMC fate establishment in maize and Arabidopsis (García-Aguilar et al., 2010; Olmedo-Monfli et al., 2010). In Arabidopsis, METHYLTRANSFERASE 1 (MET1), a homolog of the mammalian enzyme Dnmt1, is the major DNA methyltransferase maintaining CG methylation (Finnegan et al., 1996; Kanel et al., 2003), but is also capable of restoring methylation patterns de novo (Zubko et al., 2012). DOMAIN REARRANGED METHYLTRANSFERASE 2 (DRM2) and CHROMOMETHYLTRANSFERASE 3 (CMT3) catalyze methylation at non-CG sites during the establishment and maintenance processes, respectively (Lindroth et al., 2001; Cao and Jacobsen, 2002). DNA methylation at non-CG sites is reinforced by a small RNA-directed process (RdDM, RNA-directed DNA methylation; for a review see Matzke and Mosher, 2014). Maize lines deficient in genes dmt102 and dmt103 encoding homologs of CMT3 and DRM2, as well as RdDM-deficient Arabidopsis lines, produce ectopic reproductive lineages, suggesting a role for non-CG methylation in...
germ cell fate (Garcia-Aguilar et al., 2010; Olmedo-Monfi
et al., 2010). Because AGO9, a central player in the RdDM-
mediated control of SMC fate, is expressed primarily in the
nucellus, the current model is that the DNA methylation
landscape in the SMC may possibly be influenced by small
RNAs produced in neighboring cells.

In conclusion, chromatin organization and composition
is highly dynamic during SMC differentiation, and proba-
ably underlies cellular reprogramming at this critical
somatic → reproductive cell fate transition. The changes
are largely specific to the SMC and do not affect the sur-
rounding somatic cells, at least in Arabidopsis, yet are
likely to involve regulatory interactions between the SMC
and soma through mobile signals such as small RNAs. The
histone modification signatures consistent with a transcrip-
tionally competent yet poised chromatin, and the global
relaxation of chromatin organization, suggest a global
epigenetic reprogramming phase. We now face the chal-
lenge to determine the precise dynamics of the epige-
nome, at the DNA methylation and histone modification
levels, during SMC specification, and in comparison with
its surrounding somatic niche.

Chromatin dynamics during Meiosis

The chromatin landscape achieved in Arabidopsis female
SMCs just prior to prophase I is not the final set-up. Mei-
oitic execution itself entails additional dynamics of histone
modifications, particularly during prophase I. Before chro-
mosomes form visible bivalents, both H1 and H2AZ are
reloaded (She et al., 2013). Prophase I progression is fur-
ther characterized by: (i) further enrichment in H3K4me3
along the entire chromosomes; (ii) nearly undetectable lev-
eels of H3K27me3; (iii) low levels of H3K9me1; and (iv) mas-
ive enrichment of H3K9me2 in discrete heterochromatic
foci (She et al., 2013). Because of the lack of similar anal-
yses in the female SMCs of other plant species, interspecific
comparison is currently not possible. Yet the distribution
of those histone modifications on meiotic chromosomes is
likely to reflect distinct genomic organization relative to
repeat sequences and gene density. In particular, in crop
species harboring a larger genome, a regional distribution
of histone modifications is observed in male meiocytes
(Higgins et al., 2012). Interestingly, in maize, mutations in
AGO104, homologous to Arabidopsis AGO9, induce
defects in centromere condensation, switching the meiotic
cycle into a mitotic (somatic) cycle, and producing unre-
duced gametes. Similar to AGO9, AGO104 is expressed in
nucellus tissue surrounding the female SMCs, suggesting
a non-cell autonomous effect (Singh et al., 2011). In rice,
another AGO protein, MEL1, homologous to AGO5, is also
involved in meiosis progression (Nonomura et al., 2007).
These examples invoke a key role for small RNA-
dependent silencing mechanisms during meiosis. Addi-
tional chromatin remodeling and chromosome dynamics
drive bivalent formation, crossing over and recombination,
chromosome movements and involve a complex remodel-
ing machinery as well as non-histone proteins. This very
specific phase of chromatin dynamics has been nicely
reviewed elsewhere (e.g. Pawlowski, 2010; Mainiero and
Pawlowski, 2014).

Chromatin dynamics during FMS differentiation and
gametophyte mitotic divisions

Upon meiosis completion, a tetrad of haploid spores is
formed by cellularization of the meiotic syncytium, and a
single apical spore differentiates into a pluripotent func-
tional megaspore (FMS). FMS selection correlates with a
new wave of dynamic changes in chromatin organization
and modifications, which resembles the pre-meiotic wave,
albeit with distinctive features (Figure 2). Like female
SMCs, FMSs show a decondensed chromatin with reduced
heterochromatin content, associated with a rapid re-evic-
tion of H1 and of H2A.Z, and with drastically reduced levels
of H3K27me1, H3K27me3, H4K16ac and the active form of
RNA Pol II. This suggests low transcriptional activity rela-
tive to the surrounding nucellar nuclei. In contrast to the
SMCs, however, the euchromatic permissive mark
H3K4me3, as well as the heterochromatic repressive mark
H3K9me2, are also depleted in the FMSs (She et al., 2013).
The initiation of mitoses in the FMSs is likely to involve
dNA methylation dynamics, as suggested by: (i) the loss of
H1 and H2AZ, for which a mechanistic link with DNA meth-
ylation has been shown (Wierzbicki and Jerzmanowski,
2005; Coleman-Derr and Zilberman, 2012; Zemach et al.,
2013); (ii) the action of somatically derived small RNAs,
suggested by the developmental arrest of the FMSs in
ovules lacking the function of AGO5 (Tucker and Kolturnow,
2014); and (iii) the expression of the DNA methyltransfer-
ase MET1 (Jullien et al., 2012).

Yet chromatin dynamics goes beyond global alterations
of histone modifications. In fact, ATP-dependent chromatin
remodeling in the newly formed FMSs is likely to represent
a major control checkpoint towards the mitotic phase
of gametophyte development because targeted silencing of
different members of the SWI2/SNF2 chromatin remodel-
ing enzyme family – including CHR11 – in the FMSs leads
to developmental arrest at the FMS stage or after one mito-
sis (Huanca-Mamani et al., 2005).

During the three mitotic cycles that resume the female
gametophyte, high levels of H3K9me2 are re-established
in well-defined heterochromatic foci in all nuclei. Simi-
larly, in euchromatin, a GFP-tagged variant of the LHP1/
TFL2 protein, a reader of the PcG-mediated H3K27me3
signature (Turck et al., 2007; Exner et al., 2009) is
detected in two- and four-nucleate gametophytes (Fig-
ure S1) as well as in polar nuclei, synergid and egg cell
nuclei of mature embryo sacs (Pilott et al., 2010b). Con-
sistently, the PcG complex member SWINGER, driving
H3K27me3 deposition, is detected in all gametophyte nuclei from the FMS stage onwards (Wang et al., 2006). Thus, following the massive reduction of different chromatin modifications in the FMSs, the mitotic phase of gametophyte development entails the renewed deposition of histone marks in both heterochromatic and euchromatic compartments. Interestingly, H3K9me2 deposition was indistinguishable among the eight nuclei of the gametophytic syncytium, whereas LHP1 seems strongly depleted specifically from the upmost chalazal nuclei sharing an antipodal fate (Pillot et al., 2010b; Figure S1); however, a more exhaustive developmental atlas of chromatin marks during this syncytial phase of development is necessary to confirm such a pre-patterning phenomenon.

Cell-specific chromatin patterns in the mature gametophyte

Cellularization marks the onset of another wave of large-scale chromatin changes that distinguishes the different cell types. Noticeably, a global dimorphism in chromatin and transcriptional states is established between the two female gametes (Figure 3; Pillot et al., 2010b). In the egg cell, numerous H3K9me2 small foci rearrange into large prominent foci coinciding with heterochromatic chromocenters. Concomitantly, high levels of LHP1/TFL2 are established, whereas the active form of RNA Pol II (Ser2-P-Pol II) becomes barely detectable. By contrast, in the central cell, cellularization followed by the fusion of the polar nuclei entails a strong depletion of both H3K9me2 and LHP1/
TFL2, together with the loose distribution of heterochromatin (Pillot et al., 2010b; Figure 3). Concurrently, high levels of active Pol II are detected. The same dimorphic epigenetic features were observed in maize female gametophytes (Garcia-Agullar et al., 2010). Thus, the egg cell is characterized by a repressive chromatin and a relatively quiescent transcriptional state, whereas the central cell chromatin is depleted of repressive marks and displays active global transcriptional competence.

Chromatin dimorphism in the female gametes seems to be associated with a different status of DNA methylation, although we are lacking a specific, genome-wide readout of the DNA methylome in these cells. Genetic evidence is twofold. First, the central cell and egg cell chromatin states depend on the cytosine demethylase DEMETER-LIKE 1–3 (DML1–DML3), and the DNA methyltransferase CMT3, respectively (Pillot et al., 2010a,b). Interestingly, a cmt3 mutation has no impact before cellularization, suggesting an active targeting mechanism upon egg cell differentiation (Pillot et al., 2010b). The question to be resolved refers to the expression pattern of CMT3. CMT3 transcripts are abundant in the egg cell (Wuest et al., 2010), but a reporter protein fusion failed to be detected in gametophytic cells (Jullien et al., 2012). By contrast, the DNA methyltransferase DRM2 that contributes de novo CHH methylation is detected in the egg cell using reporter fusions, together with its homolog DRM1, for which a function in DNA methylation remains to be demonstrated (Cao and Jacobsen, 2002), whereas the CG methylation maintenance enzyme MET1 is undetectable (Jullien et al., 2012). In the central cell, DRM1, CMT3 and MET1 remain undetectable by protein reporter fusions, whereas a DMR2 reporter displayed a weak signal (Jullien et al., 2012), raising the question of an alternative mechanism to maintain/deposit DNA methylation. Possibly, the MET2a and MET2b homologs expressed in the central cell could confer this function (Jullien et al., 2012). These MET2 isoforms showed undetectable levels in the egg cell, an observation to be interpreted with caution because the protein fusion to H2B used in this study to detect MET2 may be subject to turnover (Pillot et al., 2010b). The function of DRM1, DMR2 and MET2a,b in the female gametes is currently unknown.

In addition, chromatin remodeling events are likely to intervene at embryo sac maturation in order to establish a gamete-specific nucleosome composition. In support of this hypothesis, the uniform incorporation of an H2B reporter protein in the eight-nucleate syncitium shifted at, or shortly after, polar nuclei fusion, towards selective depletion in the egg apparatus (Pillot et al., 2010b). Moreover, each cell of the mature embryo sac expresses a specific histone H3 repertoire that drastically differs from the surrounding somatic cells: the gametophyte is globally characterized by a significant depletion of the replication-dependent H3.1 isoform; the egg cell expresses only the H3.3 variant HTR5, whereas a single H3.1, HTR3; and two H3.3, HTR8 and HTR14, are present in the central cell (Ingouff et al., 2010). In rice, two of the three putative H2A.Z ortholog transcripts are enriched in the egg cell transcriptome, as compared with pollen; however, their expression in the central cell and accessory cells has not been described (Anderson et al., 2013).

Collectively, these cytological and genetic analyses highlight a stark dimorphism of the chromatin and transcriptional status in the two female gametes. This epigenetic dimorphism also extends beyond histone modifications and is likely to involve unequal DNA methylation levels between the two gametes, with a global demethylation in the central cell owing to demethylases activity vs. non-CG DNA methylation in the egg cell. This model awaits confirmation at the molecular level, however. Furthermore, it is becoming clear that the molecular mechanisms leading to chromatin dimorphism in the gametes rely on both cell-autonomous (e.g. CMT3 in the egg) and non-cell autonomous pathways. In analogy to the situation in the male germline, and as an interpolation of genetic and epigenome profiling data in the fertilization products, it was proposed that small RNAs produced by the central cell may influence the epigenetic set-up of the egg (for a review, see Castel and Martienssen, 2013). In addition, a specific role for AGO9 in TE silencing has been described in the egg cell, again highlighting the importance of non-cell autonomous, mobile small RNA signals in organizing chromatin in gametes. This role is consistent with AGO9 binding to mainly 24-nt small RNAs targeting centromeric TE that might involve CMT3 (Duran-Figueroa and Vielle-Calzada, 2010; Olmedo-Monfil et al., 2010; Pillot et al., 2010a). Finally, the epigenetic landscape in the egg cell – indicating that silencing mechanisms extend beyond centromeric TE to euchromatic regions, and suggesting a global transcriptional quiescence – is reminiscent of the epigenetic reprogramming required for the acquisition of totipotency in the animal germline.

**STRUCTURAL AND INSTRUCTIVE ROLES OF CHROMATIN DYNAMICS**

A legitimate question regards the cause-and-effect relationship between the observed large-scale chromatin dynamics during SMC and the establishment of gametic fate. In this section we explore three possible, non-exclusive roles of chromatin dynamics in: (i) activating genes required during meiotic progression in the SMC, gamete maturation and fertilization in the embryo sac; (ii) providing an instructive template for structurally dependent chromosome dynamics at meiosis and at karyogamy; and (iii) setting a global transcriptional quiescence and poising of developmental genes necessary for pluri-/totipotency establishment.
Chromatin dynamics is biphasic in female SMCs, and may support distinct functions. The first phase of chromatin dynamics in female SMCs characterized by H1 eviction and chromatin decondensation theoretically favors a transcriptional competence function and enables the establishment of a novel transcriptome. In maize anthers, meiotic genes are activated in the (male) SMC initials prior to, and not concomitantly with, the meiotic S phase (Kelliher et al., 2014). Whether transcriptional activation in female SMCs precedes or partially overlaps with the meiotic S phase must be resolved. Meiotic gene expression requires ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling, as shown by the lack of DMC1 activation in ovules lacking SWRI function in the **ACTIN RELATED PROTEIN 8** mutant (Qin et al., 2014); however, even considering the difficulty in categorizing meiotic-specific genes, transcriptome profiles have identified a large number of genes that are likely to play a role beyond meiotic execution itself (Zhou and Pawlowski, 2014). In fact, several lines of evidence argue in favor of a role for pre-meiotically expressed genes beyond meiosis. One comes from the analysis of mutant ovules lacking SDG2 function: although mutant sdg2 female SMCs show significantly lower H3K4me3 levels than in the wild type, they progress normally through meiosis but fail to develop beyond the FMS stage (in wild-type FMS, the dramatically low levels of H3K4me3 compared with the surrounding cells argues against the requirement of SDG2 after meiosis). Thus, it was concluded that H3K4me3 remodeling before meiosis contributes to establish a post-meiotic, developmental competence to form the gametophyte (She et al., 2013). More indirect evidence comes from the analysis of ectopic female SMCs produced in the **ago8** mutant (She et al., 2013), although they are thought to circumvent meiosis and engage directly in gametophytic fate (Olmedo-Monfil et al., 2010). Lastly, a family of RNA helicases highly expressed in the female SMCs also functions post-meiotically: the major developmental defect of the **mneme (mem)** mutant occurs in the mature embryo sac and early embryo (Schmidt et al., 2011). Remarkably, **MEM** is not expressed in the developing and mature embryo sac, yet a lack of **MEM** function in the female SMCs affects heterochromatin formation and LHP1 distribution in the mature gametes (Schmidt et al., 2011). These three lines of evidence strongly argue for a role of the pre-meiotic wave of chromatin dynamics in establishing a post-meiotic developmental competence linked with the chromatin set-up of the gametophyte.

Furthermore, the second phase of chromatin dynamics in Arabidopsis female SMCs – just at the onset of prophase I – is likely to contribute structurally relevant changes to meiotic chromosomes. The C-terminal tail of H1 linker histones has a known effect on chromatin condensation (Caterino and Hayes, 2011), thus H1 reloading at prophase I might assist chromosome condensation. Concurrent H2AZ reloading together with massive H3K4me3 enrichment and re-acetylation of histones possibly contributes to establish an instructive template for crossing over, as proposed for male SMCs (Perrella et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2013; Zhou and Pawlowski, 2014). Consistent with this idea, in the large genome of crop plants, cross-overs coincide with the interspersed distribution of histone modifications along the chromosomes in male SMCs at prophase I (Higgins et al., 2014). Although the dynamics of DNA methylation has not been probed in female SMCs, this epigenetic modification is also likely to play a role in instructing the cross-over machinery because plants compromised for maintenance in DNA methylation, such as the **met1** mutant, show a drastic alteration of the recombination landscape (Mirouez et al., 2012; Yelina et al., 2012). Further processes of chromatin remodeling that involve non-histone proteins and take place in prophase I, and are mechanistically linked with the progress of meiosis, are reviewed in Tiang et al. (2012) and Zhou and Pawlowski (2014). Collectively, the dynamic changes in histone modifications in SMCs suggest that they template instructions onto the chromosomes for meiotic chromatin condensation, pairing, cross-over and recombination.

Chromatin dynamics in the female gametophyte is also biphasic, and similarly to the situation during SMC differentiation, may underlie distinct functions. The rapid re- eviction of H1 and H2AZ, and depletion of H3K4me3, H3K27me3, H3K27me1, H3K9me2 and H4K16Ac in the FMSs suggest a vast resetting of the histone repertoire. Whether this resetting is necessary to enter a mitotic activity remains to be determined. The arrest of FMSs lacking the chromatin remodeler **CHR11** indicates a role for ATP-dependent remodeling in nuclear proliferation (Huanca-Mamani et al., 2005). In addition, FMSs lacking the nucleoporin subunit **MOS7/Nup88** are incompetent for gametophytic development (Park et al., 2014). Nucleoporins are primarily known for their role in RNA export. Thus, FMSs lacking this **MOS7/Nup88** may arrest because of the failure in translating transcripts – trapped in the nucleus – encoding proteins necessary for developmental progression. Alternatively, nucleoporins might also contribute to the organization of the nucleus by tethering chromatin domains, as shown in animals (Burns and Wente, 2014). Spatial organization of the chromatin in relation to transcriptional activity is poorly known in plants. Analysis of chromatin organization in **mos7** mutant FMSs might elucidate possible higher order chromatin organization dynamics in relation to cellular reprogramming in the female gametophyte.

The second wave of chromatin dynamics occurring after cellularization probably has a direct cell-autonomous role in creating a chromatin status compatible with the expression of the specific gametic transcriptome, which differs
from the surrounding somatic cells, as shown in Arabidopsis and monocot species (Wuest et al., 2010; Anderson et al., 2013). The chromatin landscape of mature female gametes is also thought to set the ground-state for their post-fertilization developmental competence. Gametogenesis in animals represents a reprogramming window, during which the sex-specific epigenetic information retained by each of the two parental gametes is erased, and reprogrammed in the zygote, or is retained and transmitted, creating in both cases a chromatin environment compatible with totipotency and further development. We have little evidence for such a reprogramming in plants, but we know that repressive histone marks (for instance H3K9me2), evidence for such a reprogramming in plants, but we know that repressive histone marks (for instance H3K9me2), LHP1/TFL2 and transcriptional quiescence are conserved between egg cell and zygote nuclei, suggesting the inheritance of H3 histones undergo a rapid turnover in the zygote (Inouff et al., 2010). Therefore, the inheritance of H3 marks is likely to be a dynamic process requiring intermediate steps at DNA or RNA levels. Alternatively, histone retention can occur at specific loci, beyond the global cytological level of resolution, as shown in animal systems (Ihara et al., 2014). Interfering with chromatin or DNA methylation-modifying activities in the female gametes results in embryonic defects. Abnormal patterns of cell divisions were observed in early embryos inheriting maternal loss-of-function mutations in genes controlling CG, non-CG methylation and H3K9me2 deposition (Xiao et al., 2006; Pillot et al., 2010b; Autran et al., 2011).

These defects are often transient, as mature embryos are correctly formed, suggesting that Arabidopsis embryonic cells maintain a degree of plasticity, and/or that the defects are compensated for by the maternal genome (Del Toro-De Leon et al., 2014). This suggests that the correct inheritance (and correct reprogramming) of maternal epigenetic information is important for early embryo patterning. Another classical example of an epigenetic maternal effect is imprinting, where parental alleles are differentially expressed in the fertilization product. In this context, DEMETER-mediated DNA demethylation in the central cell is likely to contribute to the activation of maternal alleles of imprinted genes (Raissig et al., 2011; Kohler et al., 2012).

Thus, although the exact function of chromatin dynamics in SMCs and gametes is far from being elucidated, the current model proposes that chromatin dynamics contributes to immediate cellular functions during meiosis and fertilization, but also establishes post-meiotic and post-fertilization developmental competence. Even though SMCs and gamete-specific transcriptome profiles demonstrate a transcriptional program distinct from the surrounding somatic tissue (reviewed in Schmidt et al., 2015), we still lack the fine temporal resolution needed to determine whether transcriptional rewiring precedes or follows chromatin dynamics. Clearly many questions will remain unanswered until the technical limitations hindering epigenome profiling in these relatively inaccessible and rare cell types are overcome.

**IMPACT ON TRANSGENERATIONAL EPIGENETIC INHERITANCE?**

The plant germline is formed late in development, after the plant switches from a vegetative to a reproductive effort. This gives ample time for the somatic tissues to be exposed to diverse biotic and abiotic environmental stresses. There is a growing body of evidence for changes in the epigenetic landscape in plant cells in response to environmental stresses (Kinoshita and Seki, 2014). The question arises whether epigenetic memories of stress are inheritable via the reproductive lineage that hypothetically appears after stress exposure; however, it is possible to genetically alleviate some barriers against the inheritance of stress-induced transcriptional signatures (Iwasaki and Paszkowski, 2014). This situation occurs in the double mutant mom1 ddm1, which is compromised in the activity of the DECREASE IN DNA METHYLATION 1 (DDM1) SWI/SNF chromatin remodeler and the transcriptional silencer MORPHEUS’ MOLECULE 1 (MOM). How these factors act in preventing the transmission of somatically acquired transcriptome signatures, thought to arise from stress-induced epigenetic changes, needs further investigation. The situation is further complicated by the fact that some stresses may induce genomic changes via the activation of transposable elements (e.g. Ito et al., 2011), and by the observation that the meristem has mechanisms safeguarding transposon silencing (Baubec et al., 2014). There is currently no unequivocal evidence for a naturally occurring transgenerational inheritance of stress-induced epigenetic changes in the absence of genomic changes (Heard and Martienssen, 2014).

Intergenerational or parental effects should be distinguished from transgenerational epigenetic inheritance *stricto sensu*. The former are heritable changes influencing the epigenome of the offspring, in which maintenance of the triggering signal is necessary to ensure the inheritance of the epigenetic state. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance *sensu stricto* creates true epialleles, stably inherited independently of the genetic or environmental inducer signal (reviewed in Heard and Martienssen, 2014; Paszkowski and Grossniklaus, 2011). The study of experimentally induced epialleles in descendant generations of parents transmitting randomly demethylated genomes, owing to met1 mutation, have revealed a particular role for the maternal reproductive lineage. The active state of the *EVADE* retrotransposon is transmitted only through the male germ-line, but is reset to inactive when transmitted through the maternal germline. Genetic analysis showed that this maternally mediated safeguarding requires a functional
RdDM pathway in (female) sporophytic ovule tissues. This mechanism may operate in a non-cell autonomous manner on the reproductive lineage, reminiscent of the mode of action in the AGO9 pathway during female SMC formation (Reinders et al. 2013). Alternatively, reprogramming of the inactive state may take place in the female SMCs as a consequence of a massive, increased deposition of silencing mark H3K9me2 (She et al., 2013).

Similarly, the well-studied case of paramutation, a particular form of epialleles identified in maize, probably involves germline reprogramming (reviewed in Grimanelli and Roudier, 2013; Hollick, 2012). Paramutagenic alleles act in trans to stably convert naive homologous (paramutable) alleles into a paramutagenic state requiring RdDM-related mechanisms for transgenerational maintenance. Whereas the mechanisms operating epigenetic state switches at paramutable/paramutagenic alleles are not fully elucidated, genetic analyses indicate that paramutation occurs during reproduction, probably around meiosis (Coe, 1966; Hollick, 2012; Grimanelli and Roudier, 2013). This suggests a major role of the reproductive lineage in creating an epigenetic context or reprogramming to establish the paramutagenic potential. In Arabidopsis, a similar trans-acting epigenetic phenomenon has been described: differentially methylated regions in inter-ecotype hybrids potentially contribute to heterosis and inbreeding depression (Greaves et al., 2014).

Paramutation mechanisms might also involve maternal cytoplasmic small RNAs as inherited templates, as shown recently for the first time in animals. In Drosophila, the stable trans-silencing of repetitive elements through more than 50 generations is mediated by maternal inheritance of cytoplasm carrying Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) homologous to the transgenes (de Vanssay et al., 2012). In flowering plants, no PIWI protein was found so far, but members of the plant ARGONAUTE family, expressed during female reproductive development, can fulfill a similar task (Vaucheret, 2008). Paramutation thus illustrates the interplay between nuclear chromatin mechanisms and cytoplasmic determinants in female gamete reprogramming.

Another carefully documented example in plants is the dynamics of epigenetic marks influencing the expression of the flowering repressor FLC and carrying vernalization memory through the generations (reviewed in Grimanelli and Roudier, 2013; Mozgova et al., 2015). During vernalization, low temperatures gradually induce FLC silencing in the somatic cells, mainly via H3K27me3 deposition at the FLC locus, a situation resulting in progressive flowering derepression until spring. The flowers producing the reproductive lineage do not express the flowering repressor FLC, yet the requirement of vernalization is reset at each generation. It is thought that the repressed epigenetic state of FLC is propagated in the gametophyte, but then reprogrammed (transcriptionally activated) in the embryo and maintained in late embryogenesis and in the adult plant (Choi et al., 2009). Mutation in ELF6, a H3K27me3 Jumonji-domain demethylase, impaired the reactivation of FLC in the embryo, leading to the inheritance of a partially vernalized state (Crevillon et al., 2014). Interestingly, ELF6 is expressed in young ovules (Crevillon et al., 2014), raising the possibility that the resetting of FLC epigenetic status may occur concomitantly with the massive loss of H3K27me3 observed in the SMCs and FMSs (She et al., 2013). In this scenario, FLC expression would be effective only at embryogenesis thanks to embryo-specific transcriptional activators. This model linking chromatin dynamics in the early reproductive lineage to a transcriptional status after fertilization deserves further attention.

Hence, it is clear from these examples that the understanding of transgenerational epigenetic inheritance largely relies on our knowledge of the successive epigenetic reprogramming phases during female gamete formation. These are likely to shape the maternally inherited chromatin required for the correct deletion and resetting of epigenetic memory through generations, although which of the pre-meiotic or gametic processes is crucial remains to be determined.

**SPECULATIONS AND PERSPECTIVES**

Despite significant advances in our knowledge of chromatin biology and epigenetically mediated gene regulation in differentiated plant tissues, our understanding of those processes in reproductive cells is still very scarce, at the levels of both descriptive and functional analyses. Yet recent findings provided evidence for the idea that chromatin dynamics are likely to support a whole sequence of cellular reprogramming processes in the female reproductive lineage, including: (i) the switch from mitotic to meiotic fate during SMC differentiation; (ii) the establishment of a pluripotent megaspore after meiosis; (iii) the epigenetic differentiation of the gametes in the multicellular female gametophyte; and (iv) the establishment of a maternal chromatin environment compatible with zygote totipotency and seed development.

Plant SMCs are functionally equivalent to animal primordial germ cells (PGCs), as plant egg cells are to animal oocytes. At least in Arabidopsis large similarities in chromatin dynamics and transcriptional rewiring between SMCs and PGCs (Schmidt et al., 2011; She et al., 2013), and egg cells and oocytes (Ingouff et al., 2007; Pillot et al., 2010b; Wuest et al., 2010), were already stressed, and allow for speculating analogous and specific roles of chromatin dynamics between both kingdoms.

The SMCs, PGCs and gametes are highly specialized cells, but at the same time carry a unique potential towards totipotency in the zygote. In animals, this duality is achieved by highly dynamic epigenetic reprogramming in PGCs and gamete differentiation, enabling the estab-
Establishment of heterogenous epigenetic states throughout the genome. These states poised developmental genes for expression during lineage specification while repressing the somatic programs typical of terminally differentiated cells (Hemberger et al., 2009). Thus, similarly in plants, a major role of chromatin dynamics in the female reproductive lineage is likely to provide a functional architecture for cellular plasticity. This is a very exciting hypothesis that promotes the concept of a direct mechanical link between chromatin dynamics and a canalized plasticity in genome expression, enabling the establishment of pluripotency or totipotency. The possibility that a loose or open nuclear architecture is progressively locked when embryonic cells progress towards their differentiated state has been proposed for animals (Meister et al., 2011) and plants (Costa and Shaw, 2007; Rosa et al., 2014). Moreover, recent studies in mouse early embryos clearly showed that the mobility of core histones in itself is inversely correlated with the transition from totipotency to pluripotency, and to lineage commitment (Boskovic et al., 2014). Impressively, super-resolution nanoscopy also revealed the topological differentiation of chromatin fibers between pluripotent and somatic cells with respect to nucleosome groups called ‘clutches’: whereas the pluripotent chromatin is enriched in small and dispersed clutches strongly associated with Pol II, and is depleted of somatic linker histones, the somatic chromatin possess large clutches enriched in linker H1 and additional heterochromatic features (Ricci et al., 2015). In light of these data, it is tempting to speculate a working model for chromatin dynamics in the SMCs and the egg influencing nucleosome mobility, which might provide a mechanistic support to restoring the cellular plasticity necessary for the somatic-to-reproductive transition and the priming for totipotency in the egg cell (Figure 4). Instrumental to the acquisition of chromatin mobility might be the replacement of somatic linker H1 histones by related, plant-specific HMGA proteins, which remain to be identified. The references related to these proposed scenarios are provided in the main text.
the SMCs and egg cells, which remains to be investigated.

A functional specificity of the animal germline is the relative transcriptional quiescence leading to a global transcriptional repression of the somatic program, which is necessary for the acquisition of totipotency in the zygote (reviewed by Nakamura et al., 2010). Gamete maturation in yeast also involves a transcriptionally quiescent phase (Xu et al., 2012). Strikingly, the epigenetic and transcriptionally repressive chromatin state in the egg seems conserved in plants (see above). How does the germline chromatin accommodate both the transcriptional repression of the somatic program before fertilization and the priming of totipotency for the zygote? In mammals, developmental genes are poised via dual and antagonistic marking with the presence of repressive H3K27me3 and permissive H3K4me3, called bivalent marking, in correlation with paused Pol II at promoter regions. Upon lineage-specifying cues, these poised genes are rapidly primed for activation (reviewed by Vastenhouw and Schier, 2012; Voigt et al., 2013); however, in Drosophila, no bivalent states were detected and the control of Pol II occupancy and pausing is thought to be the main promoter-priming mechanism. Whether bivalent marking and/or RNA Pol II pausing in plants also serve the priming of developmental genes involved in the pluripotent development of the female gametophyte and in the acquisition of zygote totipotency remains an exciting, open question to investigate. In Arabidopsis, although the molecular components of the promoter-proximal Pol II pausing checkpoint are missing (Hajheidari et al., 2013), RNA Pol II pausing seems functional, and was shown to convey rapid transcriptional responses upon repeated drought stress (Ding et al., 2012). Whether bivalent chromatin states occur in Arabidopsis remains unsure, as the experiments suggesting these were based on mixed cell types (Berr et al., 2010; Roudier et al., 2011). Nevertheless, we propose a speculative model involving RNA Pol II pausing and/or bivalently marked nucleosomes in the egg cell that poise the developmental loci involved in totipotency (Figure 4).

The current perspectives are thus twofold. First, we need to elucidate further the mechanisms and developmental functions of chromatin dynamics in female reproductive development, particularly at the somatic-to-reproductive transition and at gamete differentiation. This objective requires elaborated genetic approaches to create spatially, and temporally, controlled perturbations of chromatin dynamics (for instance, interfering locally in the SMCs or FMSs upon histone turnover and histone modification). The availability of cell- and stage-specific promoters, as well as chemically inducible systems, should allow progress in this direction. Second, we need a radical improvement of our temporal and spatial resolution in the description of chromatin dynamics. Notably, although genetic and reporter gene analyses provided some evidence that enabled building a working model, a direct readout of DNA methylation dynamics in different sequence context and direct evidence of differential DNA methylation between the female gametes are currently lacking. The same is true for the dynamics of the effectors of small RNA pathways. Despite the existence of novel methods enabling cell type-specific isolation of nuclei for chromatin immunoprecipitation (Deal and Henikoff, 2011), elucidating the epigenome profile of the different cell types, from the SMC to the gametes, which are present in a limited number and are deeply embedded in ovule tissues, remains a major challenge. Collectively, gaining a precise functional understanding of plant chromatin dynamics in the female reproductive lineage requires technical and experimental innovations.
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Figure S1. LHP1-GFP expression in Arabidopsis female gametophytes.
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