



Comparative Study of the Cardioprotective Effects of Local and Remote Preconditioning in Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury



Lamiaa Ahmed Ahmed Attia *M.Sc., Department of Pharmacology Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University*



Introduction



The heart possesses a remarkable ability to adapt to stress by changing its phenotype in a manner that renders it more resistant to injury.

This powerful adaptive phenomenon in which there is an increase in myocardial tolerance to I/R

preconditioning





Aim of the Work

This study is directed to assess the possible cardioprotective effects of these different preconditioning therapies in myocardial I/R injury Classic or ischemic preconditiong (IPC) Remote preconditioning





Experimental design



Animals: Adult male rats weighing 200-250 g

Surgical procedure:

Rats will be subjected to 40 min of myocardial ischemia by ligation of the left descending coronary artery ,followed by reperfusion for 10 min





Local ischemic preconditioning (LIPC)



Remote limb ischemic preconditioning (RIPC)



Parameters to be measured





•Heart rate

Arrhythmia score

Arrhythmia onset

Mean duration of VT



•Number of different types of arrhythmias (VP, BG, TG, S, VT and TdP)











Results



Table (1): Effect of different cycles of local and remote preconditioning therapies on myocardial I/R (40min/10min)-induced changes in heart rates in rats.

	Heart rate (beats/min)								
Groups	Pre-ischemic stage		End-ischemic stage (35 min from the onset of ischemia)			Reperfusion stage (1 min from the onset of reperfusion)			
I/R	334.17	±	8.22	370	±	16.38	345.68	±	20.39
IPC (1cycle)	334.7	±	2.98	339.25	±	16.38	328.98	±	17.63
IPC (2cycle)	338.32	±	6.91	322.61	±	8.28	318.21	±	6.78
IPC (3cycle)	340.67	±	9.18	289.34	±	8.22	278.24	±	9.92
IPC (4cycle)	342.25	±	7.38	290.58	±	6.81	274.05	±	9.77
RIPC (1cycle)	342.8	±	12.15	363.2	±	9.79	347.63	±	13.29
RIPC (2cycle)	341.73	±	4.87	328.33	±	6.42	310	±	4.79
RIPC (3cycle)	344.87	±	12.51	324.87	±	5.01	305.83	±	8.97
RIPC (4cycle)	346.28	±	4.62	322.64	±	4.49	295.68	±	3

Each value represents the mean of 8-9 experiments \pm S.E.M.



Table (2): Effect of different cycles of local and remote preconditioning therapies on myocardial I/R (40min/10min)-induced ventricular arrhythmias in rats.

Crowns	Number of different types of ventricular arrhythmias								
Groups	VP	BG	TG	S	VT	TdP			
I/R	12.13 ± 2.48	38.13 ± 12.31	4.25 ± 1.26	3.63 ± 1.3	6.25 ± 2.29	2.25 ± 1.18			
IPC (1cycle)	2.83 ± 1.22 [@]	1.67 ± 1.09 [@]	0 ± 0 @	0 ± 0 @	0.33 ± 0.21 [@]	0 ±0@			
IPC (2cycle)	1.33 ± 0.78 [@]	0.56 ± 0.56 @	0.44 ± 0.44 @	0.13 ± 0.13 [@]	0 ± 0 @	0 ±0@			
IPC (3cycle)	1.43 ± 1.13 [@]	0 ± 0 @	0 ± 0 @	0.86 ± 0.86 @	0 + 0 @	0 ± 0 @			
IPC (4cycle)	1.2 ± 0.97 [@]	1.6 ± 1.6 [@]	0 ± 0 @	0 ± 0 @	0.2 ± 0.2 [@]	0 ± 0 @			
IPC (4cycle) RIPC (1cycle)	1.2 ± 0.97 [@] 5.6 ± 1.97	1.6 ± 1.6 [@] 19 ± 7.44	0 ±0 [@] 3.2 ±1.83	0 ± 0 [@] 2.2 ± 0.66	0.2 ± 0.2 [@] 2.6 ± 1.03	0 ± 0 [@] 0.6 ± 0.6			
IPC (4cycle) RIPC (1cycle) RIPC (2cycle)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \\ \pm \ 0.97 \ @ \\ \hline 5.6 \\ \pm \ 1.97 \\ \hline 7.33 \\ \pm \ 2.42 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \\ \pm 1.6 @ \\ 19 \\ \pm 7.44 \\ 19.5 \\ \pm 6.37 \end{array}$	0 ± 0 [@] 3.2 ± 1.83 1.67 ± 0.76	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \pm 0 @ \\ 2.2 \\ \pm 0.66 \\ 0.67 \\ \pm 0.67 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ \pm \ 0.2 \\ \textcircled{@} \\ 2.6 \\ \pm \ 1.03 \\ \hline 4.67 \\ \pm \ 2.06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \pm \ 0 \ ^{\textcircled{0}} \\ 0.6 \\ \pm \ 0.6 \\ 0.5 \\ \pm \ 0.5 \end{array}$			
IPC (4cycle) RIPC (1cycle) RIPC (2cycle) RIPC (3cycle)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \\ \pm \ 0.97 \ @ \\ 5.6 \\ \pm \ 1.97 \\ \hline 7.33 \\ \pm \ 2.42 \\ \hline 3 \\ \pm \ 1.37 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \\ \pm 1.6 @ \\ 19 \\ \pm 7.44 \\ 19.5 \\ \pm 6.37 \\ 13.5 \\ \pm 4.64 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \pm 0 @ \\ \hline 3.2 \\ \pm 1.83 \\ \hline 1.67 \\ \pm 0.76 \\ \hline 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \pm \ 0 @ \\ \hline 2.2 \\ \pm \ 0.66 \\ \hline 0.67 \\ \pm \ 0.67 \\ \hline 1.33 \\ \pm \ 0.71 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ \pm \ 0.2 @ \\ \hline 2.6 \\ \pm \ 1.03 \\ \hline 4.67 \\ \pm \ 2.06 \\ \hline 1.5 \\ \pm \ 1.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \pm \ 0 \ ^{@} \\ 0.6 \\ \pm \ 0.6 \\ 0.5 \\ \pm \ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ \pm \ 0.5 \end{array}$			

VP: Ventricular premature, BG: Bigeminy, TG: Trigeminy, S: Salvos, VT: Ventricular tachycardia, TdP: Torsade de pointes, TA: Total arrhythmias (sum of percentages of individual arrhythmias, which may be >100% because each animal can exhibit more than one type of arrhythmia). Arrhythmia score represents the mean of 7-8 experiments ± S.E.M. @p<0.05 vs. I/R.

Table (2): Effect of different cycles of local and remote preconditioning therapies on myocardial I/R (40min/10min)-induced ventricular arrhythmias in rats.

Groups	Mean VT duration (sec)	Arrhythmia onset (min)	Arrhythmia score		
I/R	$\textbf{7.8} \pm \textbf{2.74}$	9.13 ± 0.48	$\textbf{3.5}\pm\textbf{0.17}$		
IPC (1cycle)	$0.32\pm0.23\ensuremath{\textcircled{0}}$	9.75 ± 1.03	$1.33\pm0.56^{@}$		
IPC (2cycle)	0 ± 0 @	15.33 ± 0.33 [@]	$0.56\pm0.24^{@}$		
IPC (3cycle)	0 ± 0 @	11.67 ± 2.03	0.571 ± 0.297 [@]		
IPC (4cycle)	0.26 ± 0.26 [@]	12 ± 0.58	0.8 ± 0.583 [@]		
RIPC (1cycle)	4.7 ± 1.63	8.5 ± 0.87	$\textbf{2.6} \pm \textbf{0.68}$		
RIPC (2cycle)	$\textbf{4.49} \pm \textbf{1.74}$	12.33 ± 1.45 [@]	$\textbf{2.33} \pm \textbf{0.61}$		
RIPC (3cycle)	3.9 ± 2.47	15.67 ± 0.67 [@]	$\textbf{2.17} \pm \textbf{0.54}$		
RIPC (4cycle)	4.4 ± 2.07	11.25 ± 0.85	2.6 ± 0.68		

VP: Ventricular premature, BG: Bigeminy, TG: Trigeminy, S: Salvos, VT: Ventricular tachycardia, TdP: Torsade de pointes, TA: Total arrhythmias (sum of percentages of individual arrhythmias, which may be >100% because each animal can exhibit more than one type of arrhythmia). Arrhythmia score represents the mean of 7-8 experiments ± S.E.M. @p<0.05 vs. I/R.



Figure (1): Effect of different cycles of local and remote preconditioning therapies on myocardial I/R (40min/10min)-induced changes in plasma CK-MB.

Each value represents the mean of 7-9 experiments ± S.E.M. **p*<0.05 vs. control, @*p*<0.05 vs. l/R.





Figure (2). Effect of different cycles of local and remote preconditioning therapies on myocardial I/R (40min/10min)-induced changes in myocardial lactate content.

Each value represents the mean of 7-9 experiments \pm S.E.M. *p<0.05 vs. control, @p<0.05 vs. I/R.





Figure (2). Effect of different cycles of local and remote preconditioning therapies on myocardial I/R (40min/10min)-induced changes in myocardial ATP/ADP ratio. Each value represents the mean of 7-9 experiments \pm S.E.M. **p*<0.05 vs. control, @*p*<0.05 vs. I/R.





Figure (2). Effect of different cycles of local and remote preconditioning therapies on myocardial I/R (40min/10min)-induced changes in myocardial GSH content. Each value represents the mean of 7-9 experiments \pm S.E.M. **p*<0.05 vs. control, @*p*<0.05 vs. I/R.





Figure (2). Effect of different cycles of local and remote preconditioning therapies on myocardial I/R (40min/10min)-induced changes in myocardial TBARS content.

Each value represents the mean of 7-9 experiments \pm S.E.M. *p<0.05 vs. control, @p<0.05 vs. I/R.





Figure (2). Effect of different cycles of local and remote preconditioning therapies on myocardial I/R (40min/10min)-induced changes in myocardial MPO content.

Each value represents the mean of 7-9 experiments \pm S.E.M. *p<0.05 vs. control, @p<0.05 vs. I/R.





Figure (2). Effect of different cycles of local and remote preconditioning therapies on myocardial I/R (40min/10min)-induced changes in plasma NO_x. Each value represents the mean of 7-9 experiments \pm S.E.M. **p*<0.05 vs. control, @*p*<0.05 vs. I/R.





Figure 3. Photomicrographs of longitudinal sections in myocardium of A. normal group showing elongated branched acidophilic muscle fibers (\rightarrow) with central oval nucleus (\square) B. I/R group showing neutrophil infilteration (n), congestion (c), extravasated RBCs (e), marked edema inbetween muscle fibers (o), edema within muscle fiber (\square), wavy muscle fibers (w) and apoptotic cell (\square) C. Three cycles of local preconditioning group showing mild neutrophil infilteration (n), remnants of extravasated RBCs (e), mild edema inbetween muscle fibers (o), mild edema within muscle fiber (\square) and few apoptotic cell (\square) D. Three cycles of remote preconditioning group showing mild neutrophil infilteration (n), edema inbetween muscle fibers (o) and edema within muscle fiber (\square) (H&E x200)







CONCLUSIONS



Local preconditioning therapy could be a useful cardioprotective agent in I/R injury.

•Two and three cycles of local preconditioning are more effective among the different cycles of local preconditioning

•Both cycles were equally effective in protection against the electrophysiological disturbances that occur during I/R

The protective effect seems to rely on:
reduction of cell membrane damage
preservation of energy production (ATP/ADP ratio)



• 2 cycles of local preconditioning was better in protection against oxidative stress markers

 3 cycles seems to be more effective than 2 cycles in improvement of intracellular acidosis and attenuation of leukocytic infilteration



Concerning the remote preconditioning therapy, 3 cycles of remote preconditioning seems to be the most effective among different cycles of remote preconditioning

The protective effect was mediated via improvement of myocardial electrophysiological disturbances (less than local, cell membrane damage, aerobic metabolism, oxidative stress and leukocytic infilteration

More clinical studies are required to establish the beneficial effectiveness of these cardioprotective agents as adjunctive therapies in patients at risk of myocardial I/R





Thank You

