

# LANGUAGE AND AUTOMATA THEORY AND APPLICATIONS

Carlos Martín-Vide

# Characterization

- It deals with the description of properties of sequences of symbols
- Such an abstract characterization explains the interdisciplinary flavour of the field
- The theory grew with the need of formalizing and describing the processes linked with the use of computers and communication devices, but its origins are within mathematical logic and linguistics

# A bit of history

- Early roots in the work of logicians at the beginning of the XXth century: Emil Post, Alonzo Church, Alan Turing
  - Developments motivated by the search for the foundations of the notion of proof in mathematics (Hilbert)
- After the II World War: Claude Shannon, Stephen Kleene, John von Neumann
  - Development of computers and telecommunications
  - Interest in exploring the functions of the human brain
- Late 50s XXth century: Noam Chomsky
  - Formal methods to describe natural languages
- Last decades
  - Molecular biology considers the sequences of molecules formed by genomes as sequences of symbols on the alphabet of basic elements
  - Interest in describing properties like repetitions of occurrences or similarity between sequences

# Chomsky hierarchy of languages

- Finite-state or regular
- Context-free
- Context-sensitive
- Recursively enumerable

$\text{REG} \subset \text{CF} \subset \text{CS} \subset \text{RE}$

# Finite automata: origins

- Warren McCulloch & Walter Pitts. A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*, 5:115-133, 1943
- Stephen C. Kleene. Representation of events in nerve nets and finite automata. In C.E. Shannon & J. McCarthy, eds., *Automata Studies*: 3-42. Princeton University Press, 1956

# Kleene's theorem

- The simplest model of computation: a discrete control + a finite memory
- Equivalence between the model of finite automata and the description of sequences of symbols using the three logical primitives
  - set union
  - set product
  - iteration
- The expressions constructed this way are called **rational expressions** and a language described by a rational expression is called a **rational language**
- **Kleene's theorem**: A language is rational iff it can be recognized by a finite automaton

# Circuits

- The early papers on finite automata also have a link with the theory of circuits
- A sequential circuit, in which the output depends on an input signal, is appropriately modeled by a finite automaton
- Example: Figure represents a finite automaton. It has two states called 1 and 2. State 1 is initial and both states 1 and 2 are final. Its edges are labeled by the symbols a and b.
- According to Kleene's theorem, this set can also be described by the rational expression  $(ab + b)^*(\lambda + a)$ , where  $\lambda$  denotes the empty word,  $+$  the union and  $*$  the iteration

# Star-height

- The **star-height** of a rational language  $X$  is the minimal number (over all possible regular expressions describing  $X$ ) of nested stars in the expression
- Example: The star-height is 0 if and only if the language is finite (i.e. there is no star at all in the expression)
- Example: The expressions  $(a^*b)^*a^*$  and  $(a+b)^*$  both describe the set of all words on  $a,b$ . The first one has star-height 2 but the second one only 1. Therefore, the star-height of the language is 1
- The problem of computing the star-height of a given rational language was raised since the beginnings of automata theory and was solved by Kosaburo Hashiguchi. Algorithms for determining relative star height and star height. *Information and Computation*, 78:124-169, 1987. The star-height is recursively computable
- Open problem: What is the minimal value of the star-height of extended rational expressions, namely those allowing the additional use of the complementation?



# Krohn-Rhodes theorem

- Two finite automata may be composed to form a single one
- Kenneth Krohn & John L. Rhodes. Algebraic theory of machines, I: Prime decomposition theorem for finite semigroups and machines. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 116:450-464, 1965
- Any finite automaton can be obtained by a composition of automata of two sorts:
  - **group automata**, in which the actions of the symbols on the states are one-to-one
  - **reset automata**, in which the automaton just keeps the memory of the last symbol read
- The result applies also to finite semigroups and gives an algebraic decomposition theorem for semigroups
- Open problem: The computation of the complexity of a finite semigroup as the minimal number of groups appearing in a decomposition

# Syntactic semigroup

- It was soon recognized that finite automata are closely linked with finite semigroups, thus giving an algebraic counterpart of the definition of recognizability by finite automata
- One may characterize the rational languages as those which are recognized by a morphism on a finite semigroup, i.e. of the form  $X = \varphi^{-1}(Y)$  for some morphism  $\varphi : A^* \rightarrow S$  on a finite semigroup  $S$  and  $Y \subset S$
- There is also a minimal possible semigroup  $S$  for each  $X$ , called the **syntactic semigroup** of  $X$

# Schützenberger theorem

- A **star-free language** is one that can be obtained with a restricted use of the rational operations, namely without the star but allowing all Boolean operations including complement
- Example: The set of all strings with alternating 0's and 1's is a star-free language since it can be written as the complement of the set of strings with some block 00 or 11
- A finite semigroup  $S$  is called **aperiodic** if there is an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that for any  $x \in S$ , one has  $x^{n+1} = x^n$
- Theorem: A rational language is star-free iff it can be recognized by an aperiodic semigroup

# Varieties of rational languages

- Samuel Eilenberg. *Automata, Languages and Machines*, vols. A-B. Academic Press, 1974-1976
- A **variety** of semigroups is a family of finite semigroups closed by morphism, direct product of two elements and by taking subsemigroups
- A main example is the variety of aperiodic semigroups
- Theorem: There is a correspondence between varieties of semigroups and families of rational languages, also called varieties of languages: the semigroups are the syntactic semigroups of the corresponding languages
- Example: The variety of aperiodic semigroups corresponds to the variety of star-free languages

# Locally testable languages

- A language  $X$  is **locally testable** if there is an integer  $k$  such that the property  $w \in X$  only depends on the set of blocks of length  $k$  in  $w$
- A semigroup is **idempotent** and **commutative** if  $x = x^2$  and  $xy = yx$ , respectively
- McNaughton & Brzozowski theorem: A language is locally testable iff its syntactic monoid is locally idempotent and commutative

# Finite automata and logic

- To use finite automata in the context of mathematical logic was the idea of Richard Büchi
- It was known since the work of Gödel in the 1930s that the logical theory of integers with the operations  $+$  and  $\times$  is undecidable
- This opened the search for decidable subtheories
- Mojzesz Presburger proved that the theory of integers with  $+$  as the unique operation is decidable
- Büchi proved in the 1960s that the monadic second order theory of the integers with the successor is decidable: reduction to finite automata through considering a set of integers as a binary infinite sequence (example: the set of even integers corresponds to the sequence 1010101...)

# Büchi's work

- Extension of the theory of finite automata to infinite words
- Interconnections between automata theory and mathematical logic
- Examples:
  - Rational languages are exactly those which can be defined in a logical language allowing to compare positions of letters in words and use quantifiers over sets of positions (monadic second order theory of the integers with  $<$ )
  - One of the original motivations to study star-free languages was the fact observed by McNaughton that they correspond to the first-order part (i.e. without set variables) of the above theory

# Infinite words

- The work of Büchi has produced a theory of languages, called  **$\omega$ -languages**, where the elements are infinite words instead of finite ones
- All notions in the finite case translate to the case of infinite words, where the proofs are substantially more difficult
- Examples:
  - The basic facts concerning rational languages like their closure under all Boolean operations become, in the infinite case, delicate results (Büchi)
  - The basic determinization algorithm of finite automata becomes, in the infinite case, a difficult theorem (McNaughton)



# Automata on trees

- Automata on trees and possibly infinite trees can also be defined
- Main idea: processing a tree top-down consists in duplicating copies of the automaton at each son of the current vertex
- The state reached at the leaves (or the infinitely repeated states if the tree is infinite) determines whether or not the automaton accepts

# Other theoretical connections of finite automata

- With symbolic dynamical systems
- With code theory
- With computational group theory
- With the theory of automatic groups
- With hyperbolic geometry
- ...

# Origins of context-free grammars

- Main references:
  - Emil L. Post. Finite combinatory processes-Formulation 1. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 1:103-105, 1936
  - Alan Turing. On computable numbers with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 42:230-265, 1936-1937
  - Zellig Harris. From morpheme to utterance. *Language*, 22:161-183, 1946
  - Noam Chomsky. Three models for the description of language. *IRE Trans.Inf.Th.*, IT-2, 1956
- They are founded on the concept of formal system (Thue, Post)
- A similar concept was developed by the early inventors of programming languages (for example, Backus for ALGOL)

# Context-free languages

- A **context-free grammar** is a set of rewriting rules of the form  $x \rightarrow w$  where  $x$  is a non-terminal symbol (or variable) and  $w$  is a word on the joint alphabet of terminal and non-terminal symbols
- A **derivation** consists in substituting a number of times a variable by application of a rule
- The **language** generated by the grammar is the set of words on the terminal alphabet which can be derived from an initial symbol called the axiom
- A language is context-free iff it can be generated by some context-free grammar
- Context-free languages are closed by a number of operations (including intersection with a rational language) but not under complement

# Pushdown automata

- A pushdown automaton is a non-deterministic machine with a memory which may be of unbounded size but accessible only through a restricted mode called a stack
- It consists in giving access only to the last element in a first-in/last-out mode
- A word is accepted by a pushdown automaton if there is a computation which leads to empty the stack after reading the input.
- A language is context-free iff it can be accepted by some pushdown automaton

# Equivalence of pushdown automata

- The equivalence of general (non deterministic) pushdown automata is an undecidable problem
- The equivalence of deterministic pushdown automata is decidable: Géraud Sénizergues. The equivalence problem for deterministic pushdown automata is decidable. In *Automata, languages and programming (ICALP'1997)*, vol. 1256 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science: 671-681. Springer, 1997

# Dyck language

- It is the language of well-formed expressions using  $n$  types of parenthesis
- It is generated by the grammar with rules  $S \rightarrow a_n S \bar{a}_n$  for  $n = 1, \dots, n$  and  $S \rightarrow \lambda$
- A more symmetric version also uses all rules  $S \rightarrow \bar{a}_n S a_n$
- It is actually the set of words on the alphabet  $A_n \cup \bar{A}_n$  equivalent to the neutral element in the free group on the set  $A_n$

# Context-free groups

- A group is context-free if it admits a presentation as  $G = \langle A | R \rangle$  such that the set  $L(G)$  of words on  $A \cup \bar{A}$  which cancel modulo the relations from  $R$  is a context-free language
- Free groups are context-free since the Dyck language is context-free
- Finite groups are context-free since, for any presentation, the language  $L(G)$  is rational
- A group is context-free iff it is an extension of a free group by a finite group



# Language equations

- It is possible to give a completely algebraic definition of context-free languages based upon the idea that grammars can be seen as systems of equations
- The characterization uses the **left quotient** of a language  $X$  by a word  $u$  defined as  $u^{-1}X = \{v \mid uv \in X\}$
- A language is rational iff the set of its left quotients is finite
- A family  $F$  of subsets of  $A$  is called **stable** if  $u^{-1}X \in F$  for any  $u \in A^*$  and  $X \in F$
- Context-free languages on  $A$  are the elements of some finitely generated stable subalgebra of the algebra of subsets of  $A^*$

# Computability

- The larger class containing all languages recognizable by some machine can be approached by
  - Turing machines
  - Recursive functions
- Recursive functions and Turing machines (and several other formalisms) define the same class of computable objects
- **Church thesis:** Everything computable is computable by a Turing machine
- This notion of computability does not take into account the time or space needed by a computation

# Turing machines

- A Turing machine works with an infinite memory (a word on a fixed alphabet, called the tape) in which it can both read and write
- It has a finite set of states and it is said to recognize the input word  $w$  if, after starting with  $w$  on its tape, it stops in some final state
- It might never stop (like a program entering an infinite loop)

# Recursively enumerable and computable

- A language  $L$  is said to be **recursively enumerable** if it can be recognized by some Turing machine  $M$
- It is called **recursively computable** (or simply **computable**, or **decidable**) if it is recursively enumerable the same as its complement
- $L$  is computable if it can be recognized by a Turing machine which always halts
- A typical undecidable language is the set  $(\langle M \rangle, x)$  of pairs of a Turing machine (suitably coded by a word) and a word  $x$  such that  $M$  halts on  $x$

# Complexity classes

- Inside the class of recursive languages, natural subclasses appear which depend on the amount of resources needed for the recognition of a word of size  $n$
- The limitation can be either on the time or on the space used by the Turing machine
- Important classes:
  - Class P of polynomial languages (or algorithms): limits the computation time of a deterministic Turing machine by a polynomial function
  - Class NP: same as P by allowing only non-deterministic Turing machines

# Class NP

- A language is in NP if there is a search in a binary tree of polynomial height producing the solution
- A typical problem in NP is the satisfiability of Boolean formulas:  $(x \vee \neg y) \wedge (\neg x \vee z) \wedge \dots$
- For each choice of values {true, false} of the variables, it is easy to check whether the formula is true or false: therefore, the problem of finding a set of values for which the formula is valid is in NP
- It can even be shown to be NP-complete, in the sense that any problem in NP can be reduced to this one in polynomial time

# P vs NP problem

- Suppose that solutions to a problem can be verified quickly. Then, can the solutions themselves also be computed quickly?

# Classes defined by restrictions on the space used

- PSPACE is defined as the class of languages which can be recognized by a Turing machine working in space of size bounded by a polynomial in the length of the input
- $NP \subset PSPACE$
- A typical problem in PSPACE is the satisfiability of quantified Boolean formulas:  $\forall x \forall y (x \wedge \neg y \vee \exists z ((x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z)))$



# Quantum computing

- Richard Feynman. Simulating physics with computers. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 21:467-488, 1982
- David Deutsch. Quantum theory, the Church-Turing principle and the universal quantum computer. *Proc. R. Soc. London A*, 400:97-117, 1985

# Formal series

- Instead of considering sets of words, it is mathematically natural to consider functions from a set of words into a set of numerical values: such functions are called **formal series**
- This approach can capture several important notions such as multiplicities (when the values are integers) or probabilities (when the values are real numbers)

# Rational series

- A formal series on the alphabet  $A$  is said to be **rational** if there is a morphism  $\mu$  from  $A^*$  in the monoid of  $n \times n$  matrices such that  $(S, w) = \delta \mu(w) \gamma$  for some vectors  $\delta, \gamma$
- Rational languages correspond to solutions of systems of linear equations
- Example: The rational language  $X = (ab + b)^*$  is the solution of the equation  $X = (ab + b)X + 1$

# Algebraic series

- Context-free languages correspond to solutions of algebraic equations
- Example: The Dyck language generated by the grammar  $D \rightarrow aDbD\lambda$  is just the solution of the equation  $D = aDbD + 1$

# Combinatorics on words

- Axel Thue. Über unendliche Zeichenreihen. *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I Math-Nat. Kl.*, 7:1-22, 1906
- Axel Thue. Über die gegenseitige Loge gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen. *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I Math-Nat. Kl. Chris.*, 1:1-67, 1912
- The most classical result is the existence of infinite square-free words, originally due to Thue

# Square-free words

- A **square** in a word is a factor of the form  $ww$
- The simplest way to obtain a square-free word is the following:
  - Start with the Thue-Morse word  $t = abbabaab\dots$  defined as follows:
    - Let  $\beta(n)$  denote the number of 1 in the binary expansion of  $n$ . Then  $t_n = a$  if  $\beta(n)$  is even and  $t_n = b$  if it is odd
  - Form the word  $m = abcacbabcbac\dots$ , which is the inverse image of  $t$  under the substitution  $a \rightarrow abb, b \rightarrow ab, c \rightarrow a$
- It can be shown that  $m$  is square-free
- The Thue-Morse word is not square-free, since it is on a binary alphabet and every long enough binary word has a square. However, it is cube-free and even more: it does not contain an overlap, i.e. a factor of the form  $uvuvu$  with  $u$  non-empty

# Sturmian words

- A **Sturmian word** is an infinite word  $x$  such that for each  $n$ , the number  $p(n)$  of distinct factors of length  $n$  appearing in  $x$  is  $n + 1$  (it can be shown that if  $p(n) \leq n$ , then it is actually constant and the word  $x$  is ultimately periodic)
- The simplest example of a Sturmian word is the Fibonacci word  $f = 01001010$
- Let  $x_0$  be 0 and  $x_1$  be 01. Now  $x_n = x_{n-1}x_{n-2}$  (the concatenation of the previous sequence and the one before)
- The rules for construction are:  $a \rightarrow ab, b \rightarrow a$

# Domains of application I: Compilers

- The lexical part of a compiler dealing with low-level notions such as format of the input is described by finite automata. Several software tools exist to facilitate the implementation of this part, known as **lexical analysis**
- The syntax of a programming language is often described using a context-free grammar (or an equivalent formalism). The process of checking the syntactic correctness (and computing the syntactic structure) is known as **syntax analysis**. It is performed by methods which implement a form of pushdown automaton
- The translation from the source language to the object language (a kind of low-level machine language) is a third part of the process implementing a tree traversal which can be described by attribute grammars



# Domains of application II:

## Pattern matching

- The problems involved with text processing are relatively low-level but of everyday importance
- A domain of active research has been the study of pattern matching algorithms
- One of the most famous of these algorithms is Donald E. Knuth, James H. Morris, Jr. & Vaughan R. Pratt. Fast pattern matching in strings. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 6(2):323-350, 1977
- It allows to locate a word  $w$  in a text  $t$  in time proportional to the sum of the lengths of  $w$  and  $t$  (and not their product as in the naive algorithm looking for all possible positions of  $w$  in  $t$  at every index)
- This algorithm is actually closely linked with the computation of the minimal automaton recognizing the set of words ending with  $w$

# Domains of application III: Text compression

- A great number of algorithms have been devised to perform the compression of texts
- This is important to speed-up the transmission as well as to reduce the size of the files
- One of the most famous is the **Ziv-Lempel method** which builds a factorization of the input in blocks  $x_1x_2\dots x_n$ , where  $x_n$  is the shortest word which is not in the list  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$

# Domains of application IV: Genomes

- The progress of molecular biology, and in particular the discovery of the genetic code, has opened a field called **computational biology** dealing with biological sequences as computational objects
- Many algorithms have been applied to the analysis of biological sequences and some of them have been specifically designed for such a purpose
- One of the most famous of these algorithms is the sequence comparison based on the search of a **longest common subsequence**: a technique called dynamic programming allows to find the longest common subsequence of two sequences in time proportional to the product of the lengths of the sequences

# The broad relevance of language and automata I: Mathematics

- theoretical computer science
- algebraic methods in computer science
- combinatorics on words
- computational logic
- codes
- probabilistic machines
- computability and complexity
- circuit theory
- text and image compression
- cryptography

# The broad relevance of language and automata II: Language technologies

- mathematical linguistics
- parsing
- finite-state techniques
- mildly context-sensitive grammatical formalisms
- unification
- categorial logic
- mathematical foundations of natural language processing

# The broad relevance of language and automata III: Artificial intelligence

- processing architectures
- parallelism
- grammar systems
- concurrency
- networks of evolutionary processors
- models of artificial life
- pattern recognition
- grammatical inference
- machine learning
- programme verification

# The broad relevance of language and automata IV: Bioinformatics

- computational biology
- sequential methods in theoretical biology
- linguistics of DNA
- combinatorial algorithms for genome analysis
- mathematical evolutionary genomics
- text retrieval and pattern matching

# The broad relevance of language and automata V: Nature-inspired computing

- biomolecular computing
- DNA computing
- splicing systems
- genetic algorithms
- evolutionary computing
- cellular automata
- symbolic neural networks
- quantum computing
- biomolecular nanotechnology
- unconventional computing



Anyway...

Science is like sex: it may well have practical outcomes, but this is not why we do it.

*(popular wisdom)*

Thank you !