1. INTRODUCTION

Copulas \[18\] link univariate marginal distribution functions into a joint distribution function of the corresponding random vector. In this paper we will deal with bivariate copulas only. Recall that a function \( C : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1] \) is a (bivariate) copula whenever it is grounded, \( C(x,y) = 0 \) whenever \( 0 \in \{x,y\} \), it has neutral element 1, \( C(x,y) = x \land y \), whenever 1 \( \in \{x,y\} \) and it is 2-increasing, \( C(x+\epsilon, y+\delta) - C(x,y) \geq C(x,y+\delta) - C(x,y) \) for all \( x, y, \epsilon, \delta \in [0,1] \) such that \( x+\epsilon, y+\delta \in [0,1] \). Three basic copulas \( \Pi, M, W \) given by \( \Pi(x,y) = xy, \) \( M(x,y) = x \land y, \) \( W(x,y) = (x+y-1) \lor 0, \) express the independence, total comonotone dependence (\( Y = \varphi(X) \) for an increasing function \( \varphi \)) and total countermonotone dependence (\( Y = \eta(X) \) for a decreasing function \( \eta \)) of the univariate random variables \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively. For modelling purposes, the knowledge of a large class of copulas is required. Thus several parametric classes of copulas have been introduced. For an overview we recommend monographs \[8,16\]. It seems so that the most prominent class of copulas is the class of Archimedean copulas together with their \( M \)-ordinal sums.

For more details we recommend \[16,19\]. Note only that by \( C_f \) we denote an Archimedean copula \( C_f : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1] \) given by

\[
C_f(x,y) = f^{(-1)}(f(x) + f(y)),
\]

where \( f : [0,1] \to [0,\infty] \) is a continuous strictly decreasing convex function satisfying \( f(1) = 0 \) and \( f^{(-1)} : [0,\infty] \to [0,1] \) is the pseudo-inverse of \( f, f^{(-1)}(u) = f^{-1}(\min(u,f(0))) \). The function \( f \) is called a generator of copula \( C_f \) and we denote by \( \mathcal{F} \) the set of all generators.
We introduce some well-known examples of parametric families of Archimedean copulas, compare [8, 16]:

i) For real $\lambda \neq 0$, define $f_\lambda : [0, 1] \to [0, \infty)$ by $f_\lambda(x) = \frac{x - 1}{\lambda}$. Then $f_\lambda \in F$ whenever $\lambda \geq -1$. Adding $f_0 = f_\Pi$, $f_\Pi(x) = -\log x$, the Clayton family $(C_{f_\lambda})_{\lambda \geq -1}$ is recognized, with $C_{f_{-1}} = W, C_{f_0} = \Pi$ and $C_{f_1} = H$ (Ali-Mikhail-Haq copula) given by $H(x, y) = \frac{xy}{x+y-x} y$ whenever $xy \neq 0$.

ii) The Gumbel family can be seen as $(C_{f_\lambda})_{\lambda \geq 1}$.

iii) The Yager family can be seen as $(C_{f_\lambda})_{\lambda \geq 1}$.

The idea of a modification of formula (1) by means of a dependence function known from the extreme value copulas (EV-copulas, in short), was proposed in [1] as Archimax copulas. We give some more details on Archimax copulas in Section 3. On the other side, a recent study of copulas invariant under univariate conditioning initiated in [14] and [7] was completed by Durante and Jaworski in [4], providing a complete description of copulas with the above mentioned property. In the next section, we give more details on this result. The main aim of this paper is a generalization of construction provided by the results of Durante and Jaworski. Motivated by Archimax copulas, we introduce a new class of DUCS (Distorted Univariate Conditioning Stable) copulas in Section 3. In Section 4 several examples and properties of DUCS copulas are discussed. Finally, some concluding remarks are added (see Section 5).

2. COPULAS INVARIANT UNDER UNIVARIATE CONDITIONING

As already mentioned, each random vector $(X, Y)$ is characterized by a copula $C$. This copula $C$ is unique whenever $X$ and $Y$ have continuous distribution functions. Conditional random vector $((X, Y) | X \leq t)$, where $F_X(t) > 0$, is then characterized by a threshold copula $C_{(F_X(t))}$, see [14]. A copula $C$ is called invariant under left univariate truncation whenever $C_{(F_X(t))} = C$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $F_X(t) > 0$. Similarly, copulas invariant under right univariate truncation can be introduced.

It is not difficult to check that a copula $C$ is invariant under right univariate truncation if and only if the copula $D$ given by $D(x, y) = C(y, x)$ is invariant under left univariate truncation. Therefore, we will consider copulas invariant under left univariate truncation only, and we will call them briefly copulas invariant under univariate conditioning. Similarly as $M$-ordinal sums of copulas play a key role in the representation and construction of associative copulas, copulas invariant under univariate conditioning are linked to $g$-ordinal sums based on the product copula and introduced in [14]. Recall that a $g$-ordinal sum copula $C = g - \langle a_k, b_k, C_k \rangle | k \in K \rangle$ is defined for any disjoint system $\langle a_k, b_k \rangle | k \in K \rangle$ of open subintervals of $]0, 1[$ and any system $(C_k)_{k \in K}$ of copulas by

$$C(x, y) = \begin{cases} a_k y + (b_k - a_k) C_k \left( \frac{x - a_k}{b_k - a_k}, y \right) & \text{if } x \in ]a_k, b_k[, \\ xy & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In [4] the next results were shown.
**Proposition 2.1.** Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and let $\bar{f} : [0,1] \to [0,\infty]$ be given by $\bar{f}(x) = f(1-x)$. Then the functions $C_f, C_{\bar{f}} : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ given by

$$C_f(x,y) = xf^{(-1)}\left(\frac{f(y)}{x}\right)$$

whenever $x \in [0,1]$, and

$$C_{\bar{f}}(x,y) = x\left(1 - f^{(-1)}\left(\frac{\bar{f}(y)}{x}\right)\right)$$

whenever $x \in [0,1]$, are copulas, which are invariant under univariate conditioning.

Note that for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $c > 0$, $C_{cf} = C_f$. Moreover, $C_f \leq \Pi$ and for each $x \in [0,1]$ there is $y \in [0,1]$ so that $C_f(x,y) < xy$. Similarly, $C_{\bar{f}} \geq \Pi$ and for each $x \in [0,1]$ there is $y \in [0,1]$ such that $C_{\bar{f}}(x,y) > xy$. Observe also that for each $(x,y) \in [0,1]^2$, $C_f(x,y) = x - C_f(x,1-y)$, i.e., $C_f$ is a flipping of the copula $C_f$, compare [3, 16].

**Theorem 2.2.** A copula $C : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ is invariant under univariate conditioning if and only if $C$ is a $g$-ordinal sum where each summand $C_k, k \in K$, satisfies $C_k \in \{C_f, C_{\bar{f}}\}$ for some $f_k \in \mathcal{F}$.

The main aim of our paper is a generalization of copulas introduced in Proposition 2.1 and thus we give now some examples.

**Example 2.3.**

i) Let $f = f_W$. Then $C_{f_W} = C_{f_W} = W$, and $C_{f_W} = M$.

ii) For $p \in [0,1]$, define $h_p : [0,1] \to [0,\infty]$ by $h_p(x) = (1-x^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Then $h_p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $C_{h_p} = C_{f_{-p}}$ is a Clayton copula with parameter $-p \in [-1,0]$, (see Introduction item (i)). Observe that $C_{f_{-p}} \leq \Pi$.

iii) For $\lambda \in [0,\infty)$, define $g_\lambda : [0,1] \to [0,\infty]$ by $g_\lambda(x) = ((1-x)^{-\lambda} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}$. Then $g_\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ and $C_{g_\lambda} = C_{f_\lambda}$ is a Clayton copula with parameter $\lambda \in [0,\infty)$. Note that then $C_{f_\lambda} \geq \Pi$.

iv) Recall that $f_1 : [0,1] \to [0,\infty]$ in Introduction item (i) is given by $f_1(x) = \frac{1}{x} - 1$. Then $C_{f_1}(x,y) = \frac{x^2y}{1-y+xy}$, and $C_{f_1}(x,y) = \frac{xy}{x+y-xy} = C_{f_1}(x,y)$.
3. DUCS COPULAS

Based on the description of EV-copulas (extreme value copulas), see [20] or overview chapter [6], Capéraà et al. [1] have introduced Archimax copulas as a common generalization of Archimedean copulas and EV-copulas. Recall that for $f \in \mathcal{F}$, an Archimax copula $C_{f,D} : [0, 1]^2 \to [0, 1]$ is given by

$$C_{f,D}(x, y) = f^{(-1)}((f(x) + f(y))D\left(\frac{f(x)}{f(x) + f(y)}\right)),$$

with convention $\frac{0}{0} = \frac{\infty}{\infty} = 1$. Here $D : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is a dependence function which is convex and satisfies $x \lor (1 - x) \leq D(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Evidently, for the strongest dependence function $D^* : [0, 1] \to [0, 1], D^*(x) = 1$, the Archimax copula $C_{f,D^*}$ is just the Archimedean copula $C_f, C_{f,D^*} = C_f$. On the other side, for the weakest dependence function $D_* : [0, 1] \to [0, 1], D_*(x) = x \lor (1 - x)$, for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ it holds $C_{f,D_*} = M$. For $D \neq D^*$, Archimax copulas $C_{f,D}$ can be seen as distorted Archimedean copulas. Inspired by this observation, we propose to consider distorted univariate conditioning stable copulas, briefly DUCS copula.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and let $d : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ be a function. Define $C_{(f,d)} : [0, 1]^2 \to [0, 1]$ by

$$C_{(f,d)}(x, y) = x f^{(-1)}\left(\frac{f(y)}{d(x)}\right),$$

with convention $\frac{0}{0} = 0$. Then:

i) $C_{(f,d)}$ is grounded.

ii) 1 is neutral element of $C_{(f,d)}$ if and only if $d(1) = 1$.

iii) $C_{(f,d)}$ is a copula for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if there is a function $\tilde{d} : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ so that $d(x)\tilde{d}(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, and both $d$ and $\tilde{d}$ are non-decreasing on $[0, 1]$.

**Proof.**

i) This result is evident due to the fact that $\frac{f(0)}{d(x)} \geq f(0)$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$.

ii) $C_{(f,d)}(x, 1) = x f^{(-1)}(0) = x$ for any $x \in [0, 1]$ due to the convention $\frac{0}{0} = 0$. Moreover, $C_{(f,d)}(1, y) = f^{(-1)}\left(\frac{f(y)}{d(1)}\right) = y$ for all $y \in [0, 1]$ if and only if $d(1) = 1$.

iii) Suppose that $d(x)\tilde{d}(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Then $C_{(f,d)}(x, y) = \tilde{d}(x)C_{(f,d)}(d(x), y) = \Pi(\tilde{d}(x), 1)C_{(f,d)}(d(x), y)$.
Due to i) and ii), it is enough to show the 2-increasingness of $C_{(f,d)}$. Suppose that $x, x', y, y' \in [0,1]$, $x \leq x'$ and $y \leq y'$. Then

$$C_{(f,d)}(x', y') - C_{(f,d)}(x', y) - C_{(f,d)}(x, y') + C_{(f,d)}(x, y) = \tilde{d}(x')(C_{(f)}(d(x'), y') - C_{(f)}(d(x), y')) + C_{(f)}(d(x), y') - C_{(f)}(d(x), y) \geq 0$$

whenever both $\tilde{d}$ and $d$ are non-decreasing, due to the fact that the 2-increasingness of the copula $C_{(f)}$ ensures

$$C_{(f)}(d(x'), y') - C_{(f)}(d(x'), y) \geq C_{(f)}(d(x), y') - C_{(f)}(d(x), y) \geq 0.$$

On the other side, considering $f_W \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $C_{(f_W,d)} = \max(0, x + (y - 1)d(x))$ which is 2-increasing (supermodular) only if $\tilde{d}$ is non-decreasing.

Moreover, let $h: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be given by $h(x) = 1 - d(x)$. Then $C_{(f,d)}(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $xy = 0$ or $y \leq h(x)$. Suppose that $d$ is not non-decreasing on $[0,1]$, i.e., there are $0 < x_1 < x_2 \leq 1$ such that $h(x_1) < h(x_2)$. However, then $C_{(f,d)}(x_1, h(x_2)) > 0 = C_{(f,d)}(x_2, h(x_2))$, violating the non-decreasingness of $C_{(f,d)}$ in the first coordinate, i.e., $C_{(f,d)}$ cannot be then a copula. Thus if $C_{(f,d)}$ is a copula, $d$ is necessarily non-decreasing on $[0,1]$. □

Remark 3.2. An alternative proof is added.

Due to Liescher [12], see also [9] and [11] if $\tilde{d}$ and $d$ are non-decreasing then $C_{(f,d)}$ is a copula (recall that $C(x, y) = C_1(f_1(x), g_1(y))C_2(f_2(x), g_2(y))$ defines a copula $C$ whenever $C_1, C_2$ are copulas and $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ are non-decreasing functions such that $f_1(x)f_2(x) = g_1(x)g_2(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0,1]$).

Note that given $d: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ such that there is a function $\tilde{d}: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ satisfying $d(x)d(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0,1]$, necessarily $d(x) \geq x$, $d(x) \geq x$ and both $d$ and $\tilde{d}$ are continuous and positive on $[0,1]$. If $d(0) = 0$, the value $\tilde{d}(0)$ can be chosen arbitrarily. However, in order to have the uniqueness of the relation of $d$ and $\tilde{d}$, we will consider continuous $d$ and $\tilde{d}$ only. Evidently, then can be seen as duality, $\tilde{d} = d$.

Denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the set of all continuous non-decreasing functions $d: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ such that there is a continuous non-decreasing function $\tilde{d}: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ for which $d(x)\tilde{d}(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0,1]$. Elements of $\mathcal{D}$ will be called distortions. Clearly $d \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $\tilde{d} \in \mathcal{D}$. Now we are ready to define DUCS copulas.

**Definition 3.3.** A copula $C: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ is called a DUCS copula whenever there is a generator $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and a distortion $d \in \mathcal{D}$ so that $C = C_{(f,d)}$.

**Example 3.4.**

i) The strongest distortion $d^* \in \mathcal{D}$ is given by $d^*(x) = 1$. For any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $C_{(f,d^*)} = \Pi$, i.e., the product copula is the strongest DUCS copula. Moreover, $d_* = (d^*)$ the weakest distortion is given by $d_*(x) = x$, and $C_{(f,d_*)} = C_{(f)}$ for any generator $f \in \mathcal{F}$ (observe the striking similarity with the bounds of Archimex copulas).
ii) For any $d \in D$, the copula $C_{(f_W,d)} : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ is given by $C_{(f_W,d)}(x,y) = \max(0,x + (y - 1)d(x))$. Take a parametric family $(d(\alpha))_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$ of distortions, $d(\alpha)(x) = x + (1-\alpha)x$. Then $\tilde{d}(\alpha)(x) = \alpha + (1 - \alpha)x$, and $C_{(f_W,d(\alpha))}(x,y) = \max(0,\alpha(x + y - 1) + (1 - \alpha)xy)$. Observe that the family $(C_{(f_W,d(\alpha))})_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$ is a parametric family of Archimedean copulas continuous and decreasing in parameter $\alpha$, with extremal elements $W = C_{(f_W,d(1))}$ and $\Pi = C_{(f_W,d(0))}$. Note that the generator $f(\alpha)$ of $C_{(f_W,d(\alpha))}$ for $\alpha < 1$ is given by $f(\alpha)(x) = -\log(\alpha + (1 - \alpha)x)$.

iii) For $\alpha \in [0,1]$, define $d(\alpha) : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ by $d(\alpha)(x) = \max(\alpha, x)$. Then $d(\alpha) \in D$, and the DUCS copula $C_{(f_W,d(\alpha))} : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ is given by

$$C_{(f_W,d(\alpha))}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 
\max(0,\frac{x}{\alpha}(y - 1 + \alpha)) & \text{if } x \in [0,\alpha], \\
W(x,y) & \text{elsewhere.}
\end{cases}$$

Observe that this copula is a $W$-ordinal sum copula as introduced in [15], see also [2, 5], $C_{(f_W,d(\alpha))} = W - (\langle 0, \alpha, \Pi \rangle)$.

4. PROPERTIES AND EXAMPLES OF DUCS COPULAS

DUCS copulas are based on generators from $F$ and distortions from $D$. The structure of $F$, especially construction methods for generators, were deeply studied in [13]. Concerning the distortions set $D$, we have the next important result.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $A : [0,1]^n \to [0,\infty]$ be a continuous idempotent homogeneous aggregation function. Then for any $d_1, \cdots, d_n \in D$, also the function $d : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ given by $d(x) = A(d_1(x), \cdots, d_n(x))$ is a distortion.

**Proof.** Evidently, $d$ is a non-decreasing continuous function satisfying $d(x) \geq x$ for all $x \in [0,1]$. Moreover,

$$\tilde{d}(x) = \frac{x}{A(d_1(x), \cdots, d_n(x))} = \frac{x}{A\left(\frac{x}{d_1(x)}, \cdots, \frac{x}{d_n(x)}\right)} = \frac{1}{A\left(\frac{1}{d_1(x)}, \cdots, \frac{1}{d_n(x)}\right)}$$

for all $x \in [0,1]$ due to the homogeneity of $A$ (for more details on homogeneous aggregation function see [17]). The non-decreasingness of $d_1, \cdots, d_n$ ensures the non-decreasingness of $\tilde{d}$, and thus $d \in D$. \hfill \Box

As a corollary of Proposition 4.1, $D$ is a convex class which is also a lattice with top element $d^*$ and bottom element $d_*$. Note that a similar conclusion holds for the class of DUCS copulas with a fixed generator $f$.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let $f \in F$ and $d_1, d_2 \in D$. For DUCS copulas $C_{(f,d_1)}$ and $C_{(f,d_2)}$, denote $C = C_{(f,d_1)} \lor C_{(f,d_2)}$ and $D = C_{(f,d_1)} \land C_{(f,d_2)}$. Then both $C$ and $D$ are DUCS copulas, $C = C_{(f,d_1 \lor d_2)}$ and $D = C_{(f,d_1 \land d_2)}$. 


DUCS copulas

Proof. As already observed, \( d_1 \land d_2 \) and \( d_1 \lor d_2 \) are distortion. Then

\[
C_{(f,d_1 \lor d_2)}(x,y) = x f^{(-1)} \left( \frac{f(y)}{d_1(x) \lor d_2(x)} \right) = x f^{(-1)} \left( \frac{f(y)}{d_1(x)} \land \frac{f(y)}{d_2(x)} \right)
\]

\[
= x \left( f^{(-1)} \left( \frac{f(y)}{d_1(x)} \right) \lor f^{(-1)} \left( \frac{f(y)}{d_2(x)} \right) \right) = C(f,x,y)
\]

(8)

(recall that \( f^{(-1)} \) is non-increasing). Similarly, the result for \( D \) can be shown.

For special distortions we can get interesting DUCS copulas.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \( d^{(\lambda)} \in \mathcal{D} \) be given by \( d^{(\lambda)}(x) = x^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, \lambda \in [1, \infty[. \) Then, for any \( f \in \mathcal{F} \), \( C_{(f,d^{(\lambda)})} = C_{(f^{\lambda})} \).

**Proof.** For \( f \in \mathcal{F} \) and \( \lambda \in [1, \infty[ \), denote \( g = f^{\lambda} \). Then \( g^{-1}(x) = f^{-1}(x^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}) \) for all \( x \in \text{Ran } g \), and thus

\[
C_{(f^{\lambda})}(x,y) = x f^{(-1)} \left( \left( \frac{f^{\lambda}(y)}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right) = x f^{(-1)} \left( \frac{f(y)}{x^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \right) = C_{(f,d^{(\lambda)})}(x,y).
\]

(9)

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \( d_{[\alpha]} \in \mathcal{D} \) be given by \( d_{[\alpha]}(x) = \frac{x}{\alpha} \land 1, \alpha \in [0,1] \). Note that \( d_{[\alpha]} = \tilde{d}_{(\alpha)} \), (see Example 3.2 iii). Then, for any \( f \in \mathcal{F} \), \( C_{(f,d_{[\alpha]})} = g - ((0, \alpha, C_f)) \), i.e., DUCS copula \( C_{(f,d_{[\alpha]})} \) is a \( g \)-ordinal sum.

**Proof.** It is enough to express both \( C_{(f,d_{[\alpha]})}(x,y) \) and \( g - ((0, \alpha, C_f))(x,y) \). □

**Remark 4.5.** For any distortion \( d \in \mathcal{D} \) and constant \( \alpha \in [0,1] \), the function \( d_{(\alpha)} : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1] \) given by \( d_{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{d(\alpha x)}{d(\alpha)} \) is also a distortion (formally, conditional distortion), and \( \tilde{d}_{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{d(\alpha x)}{d(\alpha)} \), i.e., \( \tilde{d}_{(\alpha)} = \tilde{d}_{(\alpha)} \). After some processing concerning the univariate conditioning, see [14], it can be shown that the left conditioning with threshold \( \alpha \) of DUCS copula \( C_{(f,d)} \) is just the DUCS copula \( C_{(f,d_{(\alpha)})} \), i.e., \( C_{(f,d)}(\alpha) = C_{(f,d_{(\alpha)})} \).

Moreover, \( d_{(\alpha)} = d \) for all \( \alpha \in [0,1] \) yields the Cauchy equation \( d(\alpha x) = d(\alpha) d(x) \), with solution \( d(x) = x^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, \lambda \in [1, \infty[ \), i.e. \( d = d^{(\lambda)} \), see Proposition 4.3. Thus the only univariate conditioning invariant DUCS copulas are copulas \( C_{(f,d^{(\lambda)})} = C_{(f^{\lambda})} \).

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Based on convex generators from the class \( \mathcal{F} \) (these functions are just generators of Archimedean copulas) and distortions from the class \( \mathcal{D} \), we have introduced and discussed a new class of DUCS copulas. Each DUCS copula \( C_{(f,d)} \) can be seen as a distorted copula \( C \), which is invariant under univariate conditioning. However, there is also another class of generated copulas invariant under univariate conditioning,
namely copulas $C(f) = C(g)$ related with $C(f)$, by the flipping transformation. Recall that for $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f, g: [0, 1] \to [0, \infty]$ is given by $\tilde{f}(x) = g(x) = f(1 - x)$, and then $C(g)(x, y) = xg^{-1}\left(\frac{g(y)}{x}\right) = x - C(f)(x, 1 - y)$, i.e., $C(g) = \text{flip}(C(f))$. Consider, for any DUCS copula $C(f, d)$, its flipped copula $\text{flip}(C(f, d))$. Then $\text{flip}(C(f, d))(x, y) = x - C(f, d)(x, 1 - y) = x - xf^{-1}\left(\frac{f(1-y)}{d(x)}\right) = x(1 - f^{-1}\left(\frac{f(1-y)}{d(x)}\right)) = xg^{-1}\left(\frac{g(y)}{d(x)}\right)$, i.e., $\text{flip}(C(f, d)) = C(g, d)$.

Hence the concept of DUCS copulas can be straightforwardly extended considering arbitrary generator of a copula invariant under univariate conditioning, as characterized in [4]. Finally note that the concept of DUCS copulas, based on two univariate functions $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $d \in \mathcal{D}$, can be seen as a particular case of distortion of general copulas. Namely, based on [9, 11, 12], see also alternative proof of iii) of Proposition 3.1, for any copula $C$ and distortion $d$, the function $C_{\{d\}}: [0, 1]^2 \to [0, 1]$ given by

$$C_{\{d\}}(x, y) = \tilde{d}(x) \cdot C(d(x), y) = x \cdot \frac{C(d(x), y)}{d(x)}$$

(10)

is a copula, and $C_{\{d\}_{\ast}} = \Pi$, $C_{\{d\}_{\ast}} = C$, i.e., the values of the distorted copula $C_{\{d\}}$ are always between the values of the product copula $\Pi$, and of the original copula $C$.
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