

# $S_\beta$ -compact and $S_\beta$ -closed spaces

Alias B. Khalaf\* and Nehmat K. Ahmed\*\*

**Abstract** - The objective of this paper is to obtain the properties of  $S_\beta$ -compact and  $S_\beta$ -closed spaces by using nets, filterbase and  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation points.

**Index Terms**—  $S_\beta$ -open sets, semi open sets,  $S_\beta$ -compact spaces,  $S_\beta$ -closed spaces,  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation points.

## 1 INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

It is well-known that the effects of the investigation of properties of closed bounded intervals of real numbers, spaces of continuous functions and solution to differential equations are the possible motivations for the formation of the notion of compactness. Compactness is now one of the most important, useful, and fundamental notions of not only general topology but also of other advanced branches of mathematics. Recently Khalaf A.B. et al. [13] introduced and investigated the concepts of  $S_\beta$ -space and  $S_\beta$ -continuity. A semi open subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $S_\beta$ -open if for each  $x \in X$  there exists a  $\beta$ -closed set  $F$  such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ . The aim of this paper is to give some characterizations of  $S_\beta$ -compact spaces in terms of nets and filter-bases. We also introduce the notion of  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation points by which we give some characterizations of  $S_\beta$ -compact spaces. Throughout the present paper,  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \nu)$  or simply  $X$  and  $Y$  denote topological space. In [14] Levine initiated semi open sets and their properties.

Mathematicians give in several papers interesting and different new types of sets. In [1] Abd-El-Monsef defined the class of  $\beta$ -open set. In [18] Shareef introduced a new class of semi-open sets called  $S_p$ -open sets. We recall the following definitions and characterizations. The closure (resp., interior) of a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is denoted by  $cA$  (resp.,  $intA$ ). A subset  $A$

of  $X$  is said to be semi-open [14] (resp., pre-open [15],  $\alpha$ -open [16],  $\beta$ -open [1] regular open [19] and regular  $\beta$ -open [22]) set if  $A \subseteq cl\ int A$ , (resp.,  $A \subseteq int\ cA$ ,  $A \subseteq int\ cl\ int A$ ,  $A \subseteq cl\ int\ cA$ ,  $A = int\ cA$  and  $A = \beta\ int\ \beta cA$ ). The complement of  $S_\beta$ -open (resp., semi-open, pre-open,  $\alpha$ -open,  $\beta$ -open, regular open, regular  $\beta$ -open) set is said to be  $S_\beta$ -closed (resp., semi-closed, pre-closed,  $\alpha$ -closed,  $\beta$ -closed, regular closed, regular  $\beta$ -closed). The intersection of all  $S_\beta$ -closed (resp., semi-closed, pre-closed,  $\beta$ -closed) sets of  $X$  containing a subset  $A$  is called the  $S_\beta$ -closure (resp., semi-closure, pre-closure  $\beta$ -closure) of  $A$  and denoted by  $S_\beta cA$  (resp.,  $sclA$ ,  $pclA$ ,  $\beta cA$ ). The union of all  $S_\beta$ -open (resp., semi-open, pre-open,  $\beta$ -open) set of  $X$  contained in  $A$  is called the  $S_\beta$ -interior (resp., semi-interior, pre-interior,  $\beta$ -interior) of  $A$  and denoted by  $S_\beta intA$  (resp.,  $sintA$ ,  $pintA$ ,  $\beta intA$ ). The family of all  $S_\beta$ -open (resp., semi-open, pre-open,  $\alpha$ -open,  $\beta$ -open, regular  $\beta$ -open, regular open,  $S_\beta$ -closed, semi-closed, pre-closed,  $\alpha$ -closed,  $\beta$ -closed, regular  $\beta$ -closed, and regular closed) subset of a topological space  $X$  is denoted by  $S_\beta O(X)$  (resp.,  $SO(X)$ ,  $PO(X)$ ,  $\alpha O(X)$ ,  $\beta O(X)$ ,  $R\beta O(X)$ ,  $RO(X)$ ,  $S_\beta C(X)$ ,  $SC(X)$ ,  $PC(X)$ ,  $\alpha C(X)$ ,  $\beta C(X)$ ,  $R\beta C(X)$  and  $RC(X)$ ). A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called  $\delta$ -open [21] if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists an open set  $B$  such that  $x \in B \subseteq int\ cB \subseteq A$ . A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is called  $\theta$ -semi-open [12] (resp., semi- $\theta$ -open [7] if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists a semi-open set  $B$  such that  $x \in B \subseteq cB \subseteq A$  (resp.,  $x \in B \subseteq SclB \subseteq A$ ).

**Definition 1.1** [15]. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be :

- 1- Extremely disconnected if  $cIV \in \tau$  for every  $V \in \tau$ .
- 2- Locally indiscrete, if every open subset of  $X$  is closed.

\*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Duhok, Duhok City, Kurdistan-Region, Iraq.  
E-mail: aliasbkhalf@gmail.com

\*\* Co-Author is currently pursuing Ph. D. degree program in Mathematics at the Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Salahaddin University.  
E-mail: nehmatbalin@yahoo.com

3- Hyper-connected if every non-empty open subset of  $X$  is dense.

**Theorem 1.2 [14]** Let  $A$  be any subset of a space  $X$ . Then  $A \in SC(X)$  if and only if  $cIA = cInt A$ .

The following results can be found in [13].

**Proposition 1.3:** If a space  $X$  is  $T_1$ , then  $SO(X) = S_\beta O(X)$ .

**Proposition 1.4:** If a topological space  $X$  is locally indiscrete, then every semi-open set is  $S_\beta$ -open set.

**Proposition 1.5:** A space  $X$  is hyper-connected if and only if  $S_\beta O(X) = \{X, \emptyset\}$ .

**Corollary 1.6:** For any space  $X$ ,  $S_\beta O(X, \tau) = S_\beta O(X, \tau_\alpha)$

**Proposition 1.7:** If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ -regular, then  $\tau \subseteq S_\beta O(X)$

**Proposition 1.8:** 1-Every  $S_\beta$ -open set is  $S_\beta$ -open .

2- An  $S_\beta$ -open set is regular  $\beta$ -open .

3- A regular closed set is  $S_\beta$ -open .

4- Every Regular open set is  $S_\beta$ -closed .

**Definition 1.9:** A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is said to be  $S_\beta$ -open [18] (resp.,  $S_c$  [4]) if for each  $x \in A \in SO(X)$  there exists a pre-closed (resp., closed) set  $F$  such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ .

**Definition 1.10:** A filter base  $\mathfrak{F}$  in a space  $X$  is  $s$ -converges [20] (resp.,  $rc$ -converges [11]) to a point  $x$  if for every semi-open (resp., regular closed) subset  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$  there exist  $B \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $B \subseteq cIU$  (resp.,  $B \subseteq U$ ).

**Definition 1.11:** A filter base  $\mathfrak{F}$  in a space  $X$  is  $s$ -accumulates [20] (resp.,  $rc$ -accumulates [11]) to a point  $x$  if for every semi-open (resp., regular closed) subset  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$  there exist  $B \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $B \cap cIU \neq \emptyset$  (resp.,  $B \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ).

## 2 $S_\beta$ -compact and $S_\beta$ -closed spaces

In this section, we introduce new classes of topological space called  $S_\beta$ -compact and  $S_\beta$ -closed spaces .

**Definition 2.1:** A filter base  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $S_\beta$ -convergent (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -convergent) to a point  $x \in X$ , if for any  $S_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$ , there exists  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $F \subseteq V$  (resp.,  $F \subseteq S_\beta cIV$ ).

**Definition 2.2:** A filter base  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $S_\beta$ -accumulates (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -accumulates) to a point  $x \in X$ , if  $F \cap V \neq \emptyset$  (resp.,

$F \cap S_\beta cIV \neq \emptyset$ ) for any  $S_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$  and every  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

It is clear from the definition above, that  $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ -accumulates) of a filter bases in a topological spaces implies  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -accumulates) but the converses are not true in general as shown in the following examples

**Example 2.3:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$  we get  $S_\beta O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{F} = \{X, \{c, d\}, \{\{b, c, d\}\}$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to a point  $a$ , but  $\mathfrak{F}$  does not  $S_\beta$ -converges to  $a$  because the set  $\{a, b\} \in S_\beta O(X)$  contains  $a$ , but there exists no  $B \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $B \subseteq \{a, b\}$ . Also  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -accumulates to a point  $b$ , but  $\mathfrak{F}$  does not  $S_\beta$ -accumulates to  $b$ , because the set  $\{a, b\} \in S_\beta O(X)$  contains  $b$ , but there exist  $\{c, d\} \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $\{a, b\} \cap \{c, d\} = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.4:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter base on  $X$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1- There exists a filter base finer than  $\{U_x\}$ , where  $\{U_x\}$  is the family of  $S_\beta$ -open sets of  $X$  containing  $x$ .
- 2- There exists a filter base  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  finer than  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $S_\beta$ -converges to  $x$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a filter base which is finer than both  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\{U_x\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -converges to  $x$  since it contains  $\{U_x\}$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be the filter base which is finer than  $\mathfrak{F}$  and which converges to  $x$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  must contain  $\{U_x\}$  by definition.

**Corollary 2.5:** If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a maximal filter base in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges) to a point  $x \in X$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -accumulates (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -accumulates) to a point  $x$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a maximal filter base in  $X$  and  $S_\beta$ -accumulates to a point  $x \in X$ , and then by Theorem 2.4, there exists a filter base  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  finer than  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $S_\beta$ -converges to  $x$ .

But  $\mathfrak{F}$  is maximal filter base. Thus it is  $S_\beta$ -convergent to  $x$ .

**Theorem 2.6:** Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter base in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ -accumulates) to a point  $x \in X$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}$   $rc$ -converges (resp.,  $rc$ -accumulates) at a point  $x \in X$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter base  $S_\beta$ -converges to a

point  $x \in X$ . Let  $V$  be any regular closed set containing  $x$ , by Theorem 2.1.15, then  $V$  is  $S_\beta$ -open set containing  $x$ . since  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ -accumulates) to a point  $x \in X$ , There exists  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $F \subseteq V$  (resp.,  $F \cap V \neq \emptyset$ ). This shows that  $\mathfrak{F}$  rc-converges (resp., rc-accumulates) to a point  $x \in X$ .

The following example Show that the converse of Theorem 2.6 is not true in general.

**Example 2.7:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}\}$ , then the family  $RC(X) = \{\emptyset, X\}$  and  $S_\beta O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} = \{\{b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is rc-converges (resp., rc-accumulates) to a point  $c \in X$ , but not  $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ -accumulates) to a point  $c \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.8:** Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter base in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ -accumulates) to a point  $x \in X$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}$  s-converges (resp., s-accumulates) at a point  $x \in X$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $S_\beta$ -converges to a point  $x \in X$ . Let  $V$  be any semi-open set containing  $x$ , then by Theorem 1.2  $cIV = cI \text{int } V$ , so  $cIV$  is regular closed, by Theorem Proposion 1.8  $cIV$  is  $S_\beta$ -open set containing  $x$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ -accumulates) to a point  $x \in X$ , then there exists  $B \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $B \subseteq cIV$  (resp.,  $B \cap cIV \neq \emptyset$ ). This implies that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is s-converges (resp., s-accumulates) at a point  $x \in X$ .

The converse of Theorem 2.8 is not true in general as shown in the following example:

**Example 2.9:** In Example 2.7 the family  $SO(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\} = S_\beta O(X)$ , considering the family  $\mathfrak{F} = \{\{c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is filter base s-converges (resp., s-accumulates) to a point  $b \in X$ , but not  $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ -accumulates) to a point  $b \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.10:** Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter base in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and  $E$  is any  $\beta$ -closed set containing  $x$ . If there exists  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $F \subseteq E$  (resp.,  $F \subseteq S_\beta cIE$ ). Then  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$  converges) to a point  $x \in X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V$  be any  $S_\beta$ -open set containing  $x$ . then  $V$  is semi-open and for each  $x \in V$ , there exist a  $\beta$ -closed set  $E$  such that  $x \in E \subseteq V$ . By hypothesis, there exists  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $F \subseteq E \subseteq V$  (resp.,  $F \subseteq S_\beta cIE \subseteq S_\beta cIV$ ). Which implies that

$F \subseteq V$  (resp.,  $F \subseteq S_\beta cIV$ ). Hence  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$  converges) to a point  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.11:** Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter base in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and  $E$  is any  $\beta$ -closed set containing  $x$ . If there exists  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $F \cap E \neq \emptyset$  (resp.,  $F \cap \beta_s cIE \neq \emptyset$ ), then  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -accumulates (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -accumulates) at a point  $x \in X$ .

**Proof:** Similar to proof of Theorem 2.10.

**Definition 2.12:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $S_\beta$ -compact (resp.,  $S_\beta$ -closed) if for every cover  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $X$ , by  $S_\beta$ -open sets, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \cup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$  (resp.,  $X = \cup\{S_\beta cIV_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ )

It is clear that  $S_\beta$ -compact is  $S_\beta$ -closed, but not conversely as shown in the following examples

**Example 2.13:** Consider an countable space  $X$  with Co-countable topology. Since  $X$  is  $T_1$ , then by Proposition 1.3 the family of open, semi-open set and  $S_\beta$ -open sets are identical. Hence  $X$  is an  $S_\beta$ -closed because every open set in  $X$  is dense, not  $S_\beta$ -compact [19] p-194.

**Theorem 2.14:** If every  $\beta$ -closed cover of a space has a finite subcover, then  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact.

**Proof:** Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be any  $S_\beta$ -open cover of  $X$ , and  $x \in X$ , then for each  $x \in V_{\alpha(x)}$  and  $\alpha \in \nabla$ , there exists a  $\beta$ -closed sets  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in F_{\alpha(x)} \subseteq V_{\alpha(x)}$ , so the family  $\{F_{\alpha(x)} : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a  $\beta$ -closed cover of  $X$ , then by hypothesis, this family has a finite subcover such that  $X = \cup\{F_{\alpha(x)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq \cup\{V_{\alpha(x)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore  $X = \cup\{V_{\alpha(x)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Hence  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact.

The following theorem shows the relation between  $S_\beta$ -compact and some other compactness

**Theorem 2.15:** Every semi-compact space, is  $S_\beta$ -compact spaces.

**Proof:** Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be any  $S_\beta$ -open cover of  $X$ . Then  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a semi--open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is semi-compact, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \cup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . Hence  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact.

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 2.15 is not true in general.

**Example 2.16:** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  with the topology  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{0\}\}$ .

Then  $(X, \tau)$  is not semi-compact, since the space  $X$  is hyperconnected, then by Proposition 1.5

$S_\beta O(X) = \{\phi, X\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact.

**Theorem 2.17:** If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_1$  and  $S_\beta$ -compact space, then it is semi-compact.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $X$  is  $T_1$  and  $S_\beta$ -compact space. Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be any semi-open cover of  $X$ . Then for every  $x \in X$ , there exist  $\alpha(x) \in \nabla$  such that  $x \in V_{\alpha(x)}$ . Since  $X$  is  $T_1$  by proposition 1.3, the family  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is  $S_\beta$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  in  $X$  such that  $X = \bigcup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . Hence  $X$  is semi-compact.

**Theorem 2.18:** If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is locally indiscrete. Then  $S_\beta$ -compact space  $X$  is semi-compact.

**Proof:** Follows from Theorem 1.4.

In general  $S_\beta$ -compact spaces and compact spaces are not comparable as shown in the following examples.

**Example 2.19:** The one-point compactification of any discrete spaces is not  $S$ -closed [10] corollary 4, therefore the space is not  $S_\beta$ -compact, but it is compact.

**Example 2.20:** Let  $X = (0, 1)$  with the topology  $\tau = \{X, \phi, G = (0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}), n = 2, 3, \dots\}$  then  $(X, \tau)$  is not compact [19], p. 76, but it is  $S_\beta$ -compact since the only  $S_\beta$ -open subset of  $(X, \tau)$  are  $X$  and  $\phi$ .

**Theorem 2.21:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. If  $X$  is  $\beta$ -regular and  $S_\beta$ -compact, then  $X$  is compact.

**Proof:** Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be any open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $\beta$ -regular By Proposition 1.7,  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  forms an  $S_\beta$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $S_\beta \text{ int } F_{\alpha_0} \cap S_\beta \text{ cl}(X \setminus F_{\alpha_0}) = \phi$ , hence  $X$  is compact.

**Theorem 2.22:** If  $X$  is an  $s$ -regular  $S_\beta$ -closed  $T_1$ -space, then  $X$  is compact.

**Proof:** Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be any open cover of an  $s$ -regular and  $S_\beta$ -closed  $T_1$  space  $X$ , then for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$  and for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $\alpha(x) \in \nabla$  such that  $x \in V_{\alpha(x)}$ . Since  $X$  is  $s$ -

regular  $T_1$  space there exist  $G_x \in SO(X)$  and  $x \in G_x \subset \text{cl}G_x \subset V_{\alpha(x)}$ . Then by Proposition 1.3, the family  $\{G_x : x \in X\}$  is an  $S_\beta$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -closed space, then there exists a subfamily  $\{G_{x_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}G_{x_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha(x_i)}$ . Thus  $X$  is compact.

The following example shows that the condition of  $s$ -regularity in Theorem 2.22 can not be dropped

**Example 2.23:** In Example 2.20  $(X, \tau)$  is neither  $s$ -regular nor compact but it is  $S_\beta$ -closed because the only non-empty  $S_\beta$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$  is  $X$  itself.

**Theorem 2.24:** Let  $X$  be an almost-regular space if  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact, then it is nearly compact.

**Proof:** Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be any regular open cover of  $X$ , Since  $X$  is almost-regular space then for each  $x \in X$  and each regular open set  $V_{\alpha(x)}$ , there exist an open set  $G_x$  such that

$x \in G_x \subset \text{cl}G_x \subset V_{\alpha(x)}$ . But  $\text{cl}G_x$  is regular closed for each  $x \in X$ . Therefore  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} \text{cl}G_x = \bigcup_{\alpha(x) \in \nabla} V_{\alpha(x)}$  This implies that the

family  $\{\text{cl}G_x : x \in X\}$  is an  $S_\beta$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact, then there exists a subfamily

$\{\text{cl}G_{x_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}G_{x_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha(x_i)}$ . Thus  $X$

is nearly compact.

**Theorem 2.25:** Let  $X$  be semi-regular  $s$ -closed space, then it is  $S_\beta$ -compact.

**Proof:** Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be any  $S_\beta$ -open cover of  $X$ , Then  $V_\alpha$  is semi-open for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ , since  $X$  is semi-regular for each  $x \in X$  and  $V_{\alpha(x)}$ , there exists a semi-open set  $G_x$  such that

$x \in G_x \subseteq \text{cl}G_x \subseteq V_{\alpha(x)}$ . Then the family  $\{G_x : x \in X\}$  is semi-open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $s$ -closed space, then there exists a subfamily  $\{G_{x_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}G_{x_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha(x_i)}$ .

Thus  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact.

**Theorem 2.26:** For any topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- 1-  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact spaces (resp.,  $S_\beta$ -closed)
- 2- For any  $S_\beta$ -open cover  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $X$ , there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$  (resp.,

$$X = \cup\{S_\beta cIV_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$$

3- Every maximal filter base  $\mathfrak{F}$  in  $X$   $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges) to some point  $x \in X$ .

4- Every filter base  $\mathfrak{F}$  in  $X$   $S_\beta$ -accumulates (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -accumulates) to some point  $x \in X$ .

5- For every family  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $S_\beta$ -closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\} = \emptyset$  there exists finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $\bigcap\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\} = \emptyset$  (resp.,  $\bigcap\{S_\beta \text{ int } F_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\} = \emptyset$ )

**proof:** 1  $\rightarrow$  2. Straightforward.

2  $\rightarrow$  3 Suppose that for every  $S_\beta$ -open cover  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $X$ , there exist a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that

$$X = \cup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\} \text{ (resp., } X = \cup\{S_\beta cIV_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}) \text{ and let}$$

$\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a maximal filter base. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}$  does not  $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges) to any point of  $X$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is maximal, by Corollary 5.1.4  $\mathfrak{F}$  does not  $S_\beta$ -accumulates (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -accumulates) to any point of  $X$ .

This implies that for every  $x \in X$  there exists  $S_\beta$ -open set

$$V_x \text{ and } F_{\alpha(x)} \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ such that } F_{\alpha(x)} \cap V_x = \emptyset \text{ (resp.,}$$

$$F_{\alpha(x)} \cap S_\beta cIV_x = \emptyset). \text{ The family } \{V_x : x \in X\} \text{ is an } S_\beta \text{-open cover of}$$

$X$  and by hypothesis, there exists a finite number of points

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \text{ of } X \text{ such that } X = \cup\{V_{(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ (resp.,}$$

$$X = \cup\{S_\beta cIV_{(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}). \text{ Since } \mathfrak{F} \text{ is a filter base on } X, \text{ there}$$

exists a  $F_0 \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $F_0 \subseteq \bigcap\{F_{\alpha(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Hence

$$F_0 \cap V_{\alpha(x_i)} = \emptyset \text{ (resp., } F_0 \cap S_\beta cIV_{\alpha(x_i)} = \emptyset) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ which}$$

implies that  $F_0 \cap (\cup\{V_{(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}) = \emptyset$  (resp.,

$$F_0 \cap (\cup\{S_\beta cIV_{(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}) = F_0 \cap X = \emptyset$$
 Therefore, we

obtain  $F_0 = \emptyset$ . Which is contradict the fact that  $\mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ , thus

$\mathfrak{F}$  is  $S_\beta$ -converges to some point  $x \in X$

3  $\rightarrow$  4. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be any filter base on  $X$ . Then, there exists a maximal filter base  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_0$ . By hypothesis  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  is  $S_\beta$ -converges (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges) to some point  $x \in X$ .

For every  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  and every  $S_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$ , there exists  $F_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_0$  such that  $F_0 \subseteq V$  (resp.,  $F_0 \subseteq S_\beta cIV$ ), hence  $\emptyset \neq F_0 \cap F \subseteq V \cap F$  (resp.,  $S_\beta cIV \cap F$ ). This shows that  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -accumulates at  $x$  (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -accumulates).

4  $\rightarrow$  5. Let  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a family of  $S_\beta$ -closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\} = \emptyset$ . If possible suppose that every finite subfamily  $\bigcap\{F_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \subseteq X$  form

a filter base on  $X$ . By hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -accumulates (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -accumulates) to some point  $x \in X$ . This implies that for every  $S_\beta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$ ,  $F_\alpha \cap V \neq \emptyset$  (resp.,  $F_\alpha \cap S_\beta cIV \neq \emptyset$ ), for every  $F_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}$  and every  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . Since  $x \notin \bigcap F_\alpha$ , there exist  $\alpha_0 \in \nabla$  such that  $x \notin F_{\alpha_0}$ . Hence  $X \setminus F_{\alpha_0}$  is  $S_\beta$ -open set containing  $x$  and  $F_{\alpha_0} \cap X \setminus F_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$  (resp.,  $S_\beta \text{ int } F_{\alpha_0} \cap S_\beta cIV(X \setminus F_{\alpha_0}) = \emptyset$ ). Which contracting the fact that  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -accumulates to  $x$  (resp.,  $S_\beta$ - $\theta$ -accumulates). So the assertion in (5) is true.

5  $\rightarrow$  1. Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be  $S_\beta$ -open cover of  $X$ .

Then  $\{X \setminus V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a family of  $S_\beta$ -closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap\{X \setminus V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\} = \emptyset$ . By hypothesis, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $\bigcap\{X \setminus V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\} = \emptyset$  (resp.,  $\bigcap\{S_\beta \text{ int}(X \setminus V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\} = \emptyset$ ). Hence  $X = \cup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$  (resp.,  $X = \cup\{S_\beta cIV_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ ). This shows that  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact (resp.,  $S_\beta$ -closed).

**Theorem 2.27:** If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S_\beta$ -closed and  $T_1$ -space then it is nearly compact.

**Proof:** Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be any regular open cover of  $X$ . Then  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a  $S_\beta$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -closed, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \cup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . Hence  $X$  is nearly compact.

### 3 Characterization of $S_\beta$ -compact spaces

**Definition 3.1:** A point  $x$  in  $X$  is said to be  $S_\beta$ -complete

accumulation point of a subset  $A$  of  $X$  if  $\text{Card}(A \cap U) = \text{Card}(A)$  for each  $U \in S_\beta(X, x)$ . Where  $\text{Card}(A)$  denotes the cardinality of  $A$ .

**Definition 3.2:** In a topological space  $X$ , a point  $x$  is said to be an  $S_\beta$ -adherent point of a filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $X$  if it lies in the  $S_\beta$ -closure of all sets of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**Theorem 3.3:** A space  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact spaces if and only if each infinite subset of  $X$  has  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation point.

**Proof:** Let the space  $X$  be  $S_\beta$ -compact and  $S$  an infinite subset of  $X$ . Let  $K$  be the set of points  $x$  in  $X$  which are not  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation points of  $S$ . Now it is obvious that for each point  $x$  in  $K$ , we are able to find  $U_{(x)} \in S_\beta O(X, x)$  such that  $\text{Card}(S \cap U_{(x)}) \neq \text{Card}(S)$ . If  $K$  is the Whole space, then

$\mathfrak{R} = \{U_{(x)} : x \in X\}$  is  $S_\beta$ -cover of  $X$ . By hypothesis  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact, so there exists a finite subcover  $\Psi = \{U_{(x_i)}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $S \subseteq \bigcup \{U_{(x_i)} \cap S; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , then

$Card(S) = \max\{Card(U_{(x_i)} \cap S); i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , which does not agree with what we assumed. This implies that  $S$  has an  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation. Now assume that  $X$  is not  $S_\beta$ -compact and that every infinite subset  $S$  of  $X$  has an  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation point in  $X$ . It follows that there exists an cover  $\Theta$  with no finite subcover. Set

$\delta = \min\{Card(\Xi); \Xi \subset \Theta, \text{ where } \Xi \text{ is an } S_\beta\text{-cover of } X\}$ . Fix  $\Psi \subseteq \Theta$ , for which  $Card(\Psi) = \delta$  and  $\bigcup \{U : U \in \Psi\} = X$ . Let  $N$  denote the set of natural numbers, then by hypothesis  $\delta \geq Card(N)$  [By well-ordering of  $\Psi$ ]. By some minimal well-ordering " $\sim$ ", suppose that  $U$  is any member of  $\Psi$ . By minimal well-ordering " $\sim$ ", we have

$Card(\{V : V \in \Psi, V \sim U\}) < Card(\{V : V \in \Psi\})$ . Since  $\Psi$  can not have any subcover with cardinality less than  $\delta$ , then for each  $U \in \Psi$  we have  $X \neq \bigcup \{V : V \in \Psi, V \sim U\}$ . For each  $U \in \Psi$  choose a point  $x(U) \in X - \bigcup \{V \cup \{x(V)\}; V \in \Psi, V \sim U\}$ . We are always able to do this, if not, one can choose a cover of smaller cardinality from  $\Psi$ . If  $H = \{x(U); U \in \Psi\}$ , then to finish the proof we will show that  $H$  has no  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation point in  $X$ . Suppose that  $z$  is a point of the space  $X$ . Since  $\Psi$  is  $S_\beta$ -cover of  $X$ , then  $z$  is a point of some set  $W$  in  $\Psi$ . By the fact that  $U \sim V$  we have  $x(U) \in W$ . It follows that

$T = \{U : U \in \Psi \text{ and } x(U) \in W\} \subset \{V : V \in \Psi, V \sim W\}$ . But  $Card(T) < \delta$ . Therefore  $Card(H \cap W) < \delta$ . But  $Card(H) = \delta \geq Card(N)$ , since for two distinct points  $U$  and  $W$  in  $\Psi$ , we have  $x(U) \neq x(W)$ , this means that  $H$  has no  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation point in  $X$  which contradicts our assumptions. Therefore  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact.

**Theorem 3.4:** For a topological space the following are equivalent:  
 i-  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact.  
 ii- Every net in  $X$  with well-ordered directed set as its domain accumulates to some point of  $X$ .

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Suppose that  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact and  $\xi = \{x_\alpha; \alpha \in \nabla\}$  a net with a well-ordered set  $\nabla$  as domain. Assume that  $\xi$  has no  $S_\beta$ -adherent point in  $X$ . Then for each point  $x$  in  $X$  there exists  $V_{(x)} \in S_\beta \mathcal{O}(X, x)$  and an

$\alpha(x) \in \nabla$  such that  $V_{(x)} \cap \{x_\alpha; \alpha \geq \alpha(x)\} = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $\{x_\alpha; \alpha \geq \alpha(x)\}$  is a subset of  $X - V_{(x)}$ . Then the collection

$\omega = \{V_{(x)} : x \in X\}$  is  $S_\beta$ -cover of  $X$ . By hypothesis of theorem,  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact and so  $\omega$  has a finite subfamily

$\{V_{(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{V_{(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Suppose that the corresponding elements of  $\nabla$  be  $\{\alpha(x_i)\}$  where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , since  $\nabla$  is well-ordered and  $\{\alpha(x_i)\}$  where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  is finite. The largest elements of  $\{\alpha(x_i)\}$  exists. Suppose it is  $\alpha(x_i)$ . Then for  $\gamma \geq \alpha(x_i)$ . We have

$\{x_\delta; \delta \geq \gamma\} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n (X - V_{(x_i)}) = X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{(x_i)} = \emptyset$ . Which is impossible. This shows that  $\xi$  has at least one  $S_\beta$ -adherent point in  $X$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Now it is enough to prove that each infinite subset has an  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation point by utilizing above theorem. Suppose that  $S \subseteq X$  is an infinite subset of  $X$ . According to Zorn's Lemma, the infinite set  $S$  can be well-ordered. This means that we can assume  $S$  to be a net with a domain which is a well ordered index set. It follows that  $S$  has  $S_\beta$ -adherent point  $z$ . Therefore is an  $S_\beta$ -complete accumulation point of  $S$  this shows that  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact.

**Theorem 3.5:** A space  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact if and only if each family of  $S_\beta$ -closed subsets of  $X$  with the finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.  
**Proof:** Given a collection  $\omega$  of subsets of  $X$ . let  $\nu = \{X - w; w \in \omega\}$  be the collection of their complements. Then the following statements hold.  
 i-  $\omega$  is the collection of  $S_\beta$ -open sets if and only if  $\nu$  is a collection of  $S_\beta$ -closed sets.  
 ii- the collection  $\omega$  covers  $X$  if and only if the intersection  $\bigcap_{V \in \nu} V$  of all the elements of  $\nu$  is non empty  
 iii- The finite sub collection  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  of  $\omega$  covers  $X$  if and only if the intersection of the corresponding elements  $v_i = X - w_i$  of  $\nu$  is empty.

The statement (i) is trivial. While the statement (ii) and (iii) follows from De-Morgan Law  $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} v_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in J} (X - v_\alpha)$ . The proof of theorem now proceeds in two steps. Taking the contra positive of the theorem and the complement.

The statement  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact is equivalent to: Given any collection  $\omega$  of  $S_\beta$ -open subsets of  $X$ , if  $\omega$  covers  $X$ , then

The statement (i) is trivial. While the statement (ii) and (iii) follows from De-Morgan Law  $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} v_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in J} (X - v_\alpha)$ . The proof of theorem now proceeds in two steps. Taking the contra positive of the theorem and the complement.

The statement  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact is equivalent to: Given any collection  $\omega$  of  $S_\beta$ -open subsets of  $X$ , if  $\omega$  covers  $X$ , then

some finite sub collection of  $\omega$  covers  $X$ . This statement is equivalent to its contra positive, Which is the following.

Given any collection  $\omega$  of  $S_\beta$ -open sets, if no finite sub collection of  $\omega$  covers  $X$ , then  $\omega$  does not cover  $X$ . Letting  $\mathcal{U}$  be as earlier, the collection  $\{X - w : w \in \omega\}$ , and applying (i) to (iii), we see that this statement is in turn equivalent to the following.

Given any collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $S_\beta$ -closed sets, if every finite intersection of elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  is non empty. This is just the condition of our theorem.

**Theorem3.6:** A space  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact if and only if each filter base in  $X$  has at least one  $S_\beta$ -adherent point.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact and  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a filter base in it. Since all finite intersections of  $F_\alpha$ 's are nonempty. It follows that all finite intersections of  $S_\beta cl(F_\alpha)$ 's are also nonempty. Now it follows from Theorem [F.I.P] that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \nabla} S_\beta cl F_\alpha$  is nonempty. This means that  $\mathfrak{F}$  has at least one  $S_\beta$ -adherent point. Now suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is any family of  $S_\beta$ -closed sets. Let each finite intersection be nonempty the set  $F_\alpha$  with their finite intersection establish the filter base  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -accumulates to some point  $z$  in  $X$ . It follows that  $z \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \nabla} F_\alpha$ . Now we have by Theorem 3.5, that  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact.

**Theorem3.7:** A space  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact if and only if each filter base on  $X$ , with at most one  $S_\beta$ -adherent point, is  $S_\beta$ -convergent.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $X$  is  $S_\beta$ -compact,  $x$  is a point of  $X$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a filter base on  $X$ . The  $S_\beta$ -adherent of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a subset of  $\{x\}$ . Then the  $S_\beta$ -adherent of  $\mathfrak{F}$  is equal to  $\{x\}$ , by Theorem 3.7.

Assume that there exists a  $V \in S_\beta O(X, x)$  such that for all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $F \cap (X - V)$  is nonempty. Then  $\Psi = \{F - V : F \in \mathfrak{F}\}$  is a filter base on  $X$ . It follows that the  $S_\beta$ -adherence of  $\Psi$  is nonempty. However

$$\bigcap_{F \in \Psi} S_\beta cl(F - V) \subseteq (\bigcap_{F \in \mathfrak{F}} S_\beta cl F) \cap (X - V) = \{x\} \cap (X - V) = \emptyset.$$

But this is a contradiction. Hence, for each  $V \in S_\beta O(X, x)$  there exist

$F \in \mathfrak{F}$  with  $F \subseteq V$ . This shows that  $\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -converges to  $x$ .

To prove the converse, It suffices to show that each filter base in  $X$  has at least one  $S_\beta$ -accumulation point. Assume that  $\mathfrak{F}$

is a filter base on  $X$  with no  $S_\beta$ -adherent point. By hypothesis

$\mathfrak{F}$   $S_\beta$ -converges to some point  $z$  in  $X$ . Suppose  $F_\alpha$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then for each  $V \in S_\beta O(X, z)$ , there exists an  $F_\beta \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $F_\beta \subseteq V$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a filter base there exists a  $\gamma$  such that  $F_\gamma \subseteq F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subseteq F_\alpha \cap V$  where  $F_\gamma$  is a nonempty. This means that  $F_\alpha \cap V$  is nonempty for every  $V \in S_\beta O(X, z)$  and correspondingly for each  $\alpha$ ,  $z$  is a point of  $S_\beta cl F_\alpha$ . It follows that  $z \in \bigcap_{\alpha} S_\beta cl F_\alpha$ . Therefore,  $z$  is

$S_\beta$ -adherent point of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Which is contradiction. This shows that  $X$  is  $S_\beta$  compact.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. M.E.Abd El-Monsef, S.N. El-Deeb and R.A Mahmoud.,  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., 12(1983), 77-90.
- [2]. N.K. Ahmed, On some types of separation axioms, M. Sc. Thesis, College of Science, Salahaddin Univ. 1990.
- [3]. Z. A. Ameen, A new class of semi-open sets in topological spaces, M. Sc. Thesis, College of Science, Dohuk Univ. 2009.
- [4]. B.A. Asaad, Utilization of some types of pre-open sets in topological space, M. Sc. Thesis, College of Science, Dohuk Univ. 2007.
- [5]. D.E.Cameron, Properties of S-closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 581-586.
- [6]. S.G. Crossely and S.K Hildebrand., Semi closure, Texas. J. Sci., 22 (1971), 99-112.
- [7]. G.Di maio and T.Noiri, On S-closed spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 18(3) (1987), 226-233.
- [8]. K.Dlaska and M.Ganster, S-sets and Co-S-closed topologies, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 23(10)(1992), 731-737.
- [9]. J.Dontchev, Survey on pr-eopen sets, The proceedings of Yatsushiro topological conference, (1998), 1-18
- [10]. J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and T. Noiri, On P-closed spaces, Internet. J.Math. and Math Sci., 24(3)(2000), 203-212.
- [11]. R.A. Hermann, rc-convergence, Proc. Amer. Math.Soc., Vol.75, No.2, (1979) 311-317.
- [12]. J.E. Joseph and M.H. Kwach, On S-closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math Soc. 80(2)(1980), 341-348.

- [13] A.B Khalaf and N.K. Ahmed ,  $S_\beta$ -open set and  $S_\beta$ -continuous function, submitted.
- [14] N. Levine, Semi open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer.Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 36 –41.
- [15] A.S. Mashhour, M.E Abd El-monsef, and S.N. El.Deeb, On pre- continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings, Proc. Math and phys. Soc. Egypt 53 (1982), 47-53.
- [16] O.Njåstad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math., 15(3) (1965),961- 970.
- [17] T. Noiri , On semi continuous mapping, Accad. Naz. Lincei. Rend. CL. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., Vol54,No. 8(1973)210-214.
- [18] A.H. Shareef ,  $S_p$ -open sets,  $S_p$ -continuity and  $S_p$ -compactness in topological spaces, M. Sc. Thesis ,College of Science ., Sulaimani Univ.2007.
- [19]. L.A Steen and J.A. Seebach , Counterexamples in topology, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., U.S.A., 1970.
- [20] T. Thompson , S-closed spaces , , Proc. Amer. Math.Soc.,Vol.60, No.1, (1976)335-338.
- [21] N.V. Velicko , H-closed topological spaces, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 78(2) (1992), 103-118.
- [22] R.H.Yunis , Regular  $\beta$ -open sets, Zanco J. of pure and applied Science, 16(3) (2004) 79-83