

# Daptomycin Nonsusceptible Enterococci: An Emerging Challenge for Clinicians

Theodoros Kelesidis,<sup>1</sup> Romney Humphries,<sup>2</sup> Daniel Z. Uslan,<sup>1</sup> and David A. Pegues<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California

**Daptomycin is the only antibiotic with in vitro bactericidal activity against vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE) that is approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Data on the potential emergence of daptomycin nonsusceptibility among enterococci remain limited. We systematically reviewed the published literature for reports of isolates of enterococci that were daptomycin nonsusceptible and assessed the clinical significance and outcome of therapy. Based on susceptibility breakpoints approved by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), daptomycin has in vitro activity against >90% of enterococcal isolates. Less than 2% of enterococcal isolates were daptomycin nonsusceptible, with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) >4 µg/mL. The prevalence of nonsusceptibility of VRE isolates to daptomycin may be overestimated due to the spread of clonally related isolates in health care settings. Clinicians should be aware of the possibility of the emergence of daptomycin nonsusceptibility and should closely monitor daptomycin MICs of enterococci isolated during treatment.**

Antimicrobial drug resistance is a growing public health problem, and multidrug-resistant pathogens such as vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) are increasing worldwide [1]. The limited therapeutic options currently available for the treatment of VRE infections emphasize the need for new antimicrobial agents with activity against these pathogens and for ongoing efforts to limit the transmission of VRE in health care settings [1].

Daptomycin, a cyclic lipopeptide approved for the treatment of complicated skin and soft-tissue infections and *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infection, is the only antibiotic with in vitro bactericidal activity against VRE that is approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Data regarding the potential emergence of daptomycin nonsusceptibility among enterococci

remain limited. Here, we systematically review published literature for cases of daptomycin nonsusceptible enterococci (DNSE).

## METHODS

We performed a literature search in PubMed and EMBASE (through April 2010) using the National Library of Medicine's medical subject headings (MeSH) terms "daptomycin," "enterococcus," "VRE," and "resistance," for articles that reported *Enterococcus* isolates nonsusceptible to daptomycin (microbiological failure). In addition, the references cited in these articles were examined to identify additional reports. *Enterococcus* isolates with daptomycin minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) >4 µg/mL, determined by broth dilution or Etest, or a zone of inhibition <11 mm by disk diffusion, were considered to be nonsusceptible, according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI; formerly National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards [NCCLS], 2003) [2]. According to FDA interpretative criteria, daptomycin nonsusceptibility breakpoint (4 mg/L) is available only

Received 25 July 2010; accepted 2 November 2010.

Correspondence: Theodoros Kelesidis, MD, Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, 10833 Le Conte Ave., CHS 37-121, Los Angeles, CA 90095 (tkelesidis@mednet.ucla.edu).

**Clinical Infectious Diseases** 2011;52(2):228–234

The Author 2011. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

1058-4838/2011/522-0001\$37.00

DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciq113

for vancomycin-susceptible *Enterococcus faecalis* [3]. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) has not issued interpretative criteria for enterococci and daptomycin.

## RESULTS

From 2003 through 2010, we identified 23 studies reporting 150 DNSE (Supplementary Table 1) [4–27]. Of these 150 isolates, 140 (93.3%) were VRE [4–27], 9 (6.0%) were vancomycin-susceptible enterococci (VSE) [5, 14, 15], and in 1 (0.7%) case, vancomycin susceptibility was not reported [4]. One hundred thirty-two isolates (88%) were *Enterococcus faecium* [5, 7, 8, 10–15, 17–22, 24–27], 13 (8.7%) were *E faecalis* [6, 8–10, 14, 23, 26], 4 (2.7%) were other *Enterococcus* species [14, 16], and in 1 (0.7%) case, the species of *Enterococcus* was not reported [4]. The country of origin was documented for 144 (96%) of these 150 isolates, including 58 (40.3%) reported from Asia [10, 13, 19], 49 (34%) from Europe [12, 15, 21, 26], and 37 (25.7%) from North America [5–9, 14, 16–18, 22–24, 27]. The age and sex of the source patients were documented in only 8 cases; the mean age was 54.6 years, and 5 of 8 (62.5%) patients were female [7–9, 16, 17, 22–24]. The type of infection was documented in 27 cases [6–9, 11–13, 16, 17, 20, 22–24] and in all cases was bloodstream infection (BSI), including endocarditis in 4 (14.8%) of these cases [7–9]. In 2 large studies, the incidence of daptomycin nonsusceptibility was 0.1% (3 of 3258 *Enterococcus* isolates) [20] and 0.04% (2 of 4731 *Enterococcus* isolates) [21]. In one study in North America, 0.03% (1 of 2905) of *E faecalis*, 0.6% (11 of 1806) of *E faecium*, 0.02% (5 of 3336) of VSE species, and 0.6% (10 of 1560) of VRE were DNSE [14]. However, 2 studies in Asia [13, 19] and 1 in Europe [26] reported a much higher incidence of daptomycin nonsusceptibility among *E faecium* isolates (10%–10.2% and 19.1%, respectively). Some of these isolates were suspected to be clonally related, but this was not confirmed [13]. The prevalence of daptomycin nonsusceptibility was 0.2% (10 of 4051; range 0.1%–1.1%) for VSE isolates [5, 14, 15, 25], 1.0% (34/3445; range 0.0%–9.9%) for VRE isolates [5, 14, 15, 25], 2.6% (54 of 2092; range 0.6%–19.5%) for *E faecium* [5, 7, 11, 14, 15, 18, 20, 21, 25–27], and 0.1% (5/3398; range 0.0%–1.3%) for *E faecalis* isolates [6, 14, 26]. The overall prevalence of daptomycin nonsusceptibility for all *Enterococcus* isolates was 0.6% (111 of 17084; range 0.0%–19.1%).

The microbiological methods used to determine daptomycin susceptibility in *Enterococcus* isolates from 23 studies (Supplementary Table 2) included reference broth microdilution (BMD) (78.3%) [5, 7, 9, 11, 13–16, 18–27], agar dilution (4.3%) [10], Etest (30.4%) [4, 7, 8, 12, 16, 17, 27], and disk diffusion

(13%) [9, 22, 24]. Only 6 studies determined MICs by both diffusion and dilution methods (Supplementary Table 3) [7, 9, 16, 22, 24, 27]. In 2 cases, *E faecium* developed daptomycin nonsusceptibility de novo, without prior documented use of daptomycin [12, 17]. The duration of daptomycin administration before the isolation of DNSE was reported in only 8 cases and ranged from 14–69 days (mean, 32.3 days) [6–9, 16, 22–24]. The dosage of daptomycin was 6 mg/kg/day [7, 8, 22, 24], and 6 mg/kg every 48 h in 4 patients receiving hemodialysis [6, 9, 16, 23].

Treatment of DNSE isolates was reported in 6 cases. Linezolid was used in 4 cases [8, 16, 22, 24], ampicillin and gentamicin in 1 case [9], and linezolid and high-dose ampicillin in 1 case [23].

From a review of 31 daptomycin-nonsusceptible (DNS) *E faecium* isolates with available data on susceptibility to ampicillin [7, 12–15, 17, 18, 22, 24], 25 isolates (80.6%) were resistant to ampicillin in vitro [7, 12–15, 17, 18, 22, 24]. However, in one study, 6 of these DNSE isolates that were susceptible to ampicillin were clonally related [13]. If the duplicate clonal isolates are excluded, only 1 (3.8%) of 26 DNS *E faecium* isolates were susceptible to ampicillin in vitro. Available data on susceptibility to ampicillin was found in only 2 DNS *E faecalis* isolates, and both of these isolates were susceptible to ampicillin [9, 23] (Supplementary Table 1).

The clinical outcome of infection with DNSE was reported in only 8 cases [6–9, 16, 22–24] with clinical and microbiologic failure reported in all 8 cases, including 2 cases of endocarditis resulting in death despite treatment with alternative agents [8, 23].

## DISCUSSION

Little is known about the frequency of the emergence of DNSE species. Although in vitro studies suggested that daptomycin resistance was unlikely to develop in vitro [2, 28–32], case reports of DNSE suggest this is an emerging clinical problem. Although clinical data, including site of infection and outcome, were not commonly reported, many infections associated with the isolation of DNSE infections were deep-seated—especially bloodstream infection and endocarditis, where there is a heavy bacterial load and penetration of the drug may be limited.

Among studies reporting DNSE, the overall prevalence of *Enterococcus* species with MIC >4 µg/mL was low. This prevalence likely overestimates the true prevalence of daptomycin nonsusceptibility among enterococci because of reporting bias and possible transmission of clonally related isolates in single institution reports [33]. However, there is a lack of data on daptomycin-nonsusceptible *Enterococcus* isolates from the World Health Organization Antimicrobial Resistance Information

Bank, and from international and national programs such as The Surveillance Network (TSN) database [34, 35].

In vitro studies have shown nearly uniform susceptibility of daptomycin against vancomycin-resistant *E faecium* and *E faecalis* strains with an MIC<sub>90</sub> of 2–4 µg/mL [14, 28]. Daptomycin MIC distributions for vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* strains are nearly identical to those of vancomycin-susceptible strains [5].

### Mechanisms of Daptomycin Nonsusceptibility in *Enterococcus* Isolates

Daptomycin possesses a unique mechanism of action that targets the bacterial membrane in the presence of calcium. No cross-resistance with other classes of antimicrobial agents has been documented, making it an option for the treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant gram-positive organisms. The mechanisms of nonsusceptibility to daptomycin have not been characterized, but the risk of gene transfer of daptomycin resistance determinants has been raised as a potential threat [36]. In *S aureus*, daptomycin nonsusceptibility (MIC1 µg/mL) is associated with alterations in membrane phospholipid dynamics such that a relative positive charge is accumulated on the membrane outer leaflet [37–39]. This charge may then prevent permeabilization of the membrane by daptomycin-calcium complexes that result in membrane depolarization. This change in membrane phospholipid profile may also allow for increased resistance to cationic host defense peptides. However, these exact mechanisms do not appear to hold true for enterococci, as the genes identified in *S aureus* that are associated with daptomycin nonsusceptibility, *mprF*, *yycG*, and *rpoB*, are not altered in daptomycin-nonsusceptible *E faecium* isolates [40]. Although the resistance mechanism has yet to be determined in enterococci, reduced daptomycin diffusion into the bacterium due to the thickened cell walls of vancomycin-resistant isolates has been proposed [41–43]. Indeed, the emergence of heteroresistance to daptomycin following vancomycin exposure has been described for *S aureus* [44], but has been documented only rarely for enterococci [7].

Daptomycin has been shown to have a low spontaneous resistance rate [38]. Among enterococci, no spontaneously resistant mutants of *E faecium* have been isolated in vitro [38]. To our knowledge, there are only 2 reports of the development of spontaneous daptomycin nonsusceptibility in clinical *Enterococcus* isolates from patients with no documented prior exposure to the agent [12, 17]. Similarly, exposure to other classes of antibiotics has not been associated with the development of daptomycin nonsusceptibility. Resistance to vancomycin, teicoplanin, quinupristin/dalfopristin, or penicillin among the gram-positive isolates did not impact daptomycin activity [45].

### Methods Used to Determine Nonsusceptibility of *Enterococcus* Isolates to Daptomycin

Although the majority of the included studies used the reference CLSI BMD method to determine susceptibility to daptomycin, 7 studies used Etest [4, 7, 8, 12, 16, 17, 27] and 3 studies used the disk diffusion method (in addition to BMD) (Supplementary Table 2) [9, 22, 24]. Discrepancies in daptomycin MIC results obtained by Etest and BMD have been documented for *S aureus* [46]. In a study at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA), MIC values by Etest tended to be 1 log<sub>2</sub> higher than MIC values by broth microdilution [46]. In one study comparing CLSI reference BMD and Etest for use of daptomycin in 124 *Enterococcus* spp strains, 69% of the Etest MIC values were found to be identical or within one doubling dilution of MICs determined with the BMD [4]. One study comparing BMD to Etest in 124 *Enterococci* strains found that 31% of the Etest and BMD MIC values differed by more than one dilution [4]. Of these 124 isolates, 32 (25.8%) had MIC values of 4 µg/mL by Etest compared to 8 of 124 (6.5%) isolates by BMD. Furthermore, 1 isolate (0.8%) had an MIC of 8 µg/mL by Etest, whereas no isolates were daptomycin nonsusceptible by BMD. Johnson et al. [47] found a higher overall agreement between Etest and BMD MIC values that may reflect the use of Iso-Sensitest agar. Several studies have shown that Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration can affect the results obtained by in vitro susceptibility testing, and strict adherence to CLSI (BMD) and BioMerieux (Etest) protocols is required [48, 49]. Calcium concentrations are known to fluctuate between production lots of Mueller Hinton agar, and this may account for the heightened MICs obtained by Etest. Furthermore, interpretation of daptomycin MICs by Etest is not trivial, and may be associated with higher reported MIC values. Generally, Etest tended to produce higher daptomycin MIC values than BMD [47]. It is recommended that a second method (BMD) be used to confirm Etest values >1 µg/mL. Of note, according to CLSI, disk diffusion is not considered reliable for testing of enterococci susceptibility to daptomycin [50].

To our knowledge, there are no large studies that investigate the performance of daptomycin susceptibility testing (in *Enterococcus* isolates) on the major commercial machines (eg, BioMerieux Vitek 2, BD Phoenix, or TREK Sensititre). A multicenter study compared CLSI reference agar and broth microdilution to Vitek 2 against 184 staphylococci at 3 centers, and found 98.2% overall agreement among Vitek 2, agar dilution, and broth dilution methods [51]. In a multisite evaluation study of 287 gram-positive isolates and 77 CDC challenge isolates, the performance of daptomycin on the Sensititre susceptibility system, using either the automated or manual reading method, was equivalent to its performance using the NCCLS microdilution reference method [52]. Further studies are needed

on comparison of the performance of daptomycin susceptibility testing on the major commercial machines versus Etest.

Clearly, the reproducibility of findings with the use of different microbiological methods has not been adequately evaluated.

### Treatment Options for DNSE Isolates

The data presented here is suggestive that a daptomycin dose of 4 mg/kg should be used with caution to treat serious enterococcal infection because of the risk of daptomycin nonsusceptibility. This hypothesis is supported by previous studies showing the development of nonsusceptibility to daptomycin in high inoculum infections with gram-positive organisms that were treated with doses of daptomycin of 4 mg/kg [53]. One approach to decrease the development of daptomycin nonsusceptibility is to use doses greater than those currently approved for clinical use (ie, >4–6 mg/kg). The optimal dosing for enterococcal infection is not yet established; however, daily dosing at 6 mg/kg in the absence of renal insufficiency has been the most common dosing scheme. When daptomycin was tested against multidrug-resistant enterococcal isolates, in vitro pharmacodynamic models with simulated endocardial vegetations showed that 10 mg/kg/day resulted in more rapid and greater kill than 6 mg/kg/day, suggesting that higher doses may increase the efficacy of daptomycin in the treatment of enterococcal endocarditis [54, 55]. Increasing daptomycin doses to 10 mg/kg daily was shown to overcome resistance selection both in vitro and in animal models with *S aureus* or vancomycin-resistant enterococcal endocarditis [55, 56]. In humans, daptomycin doses up to 12 mg/kg for 14 days appear to be well tolerated [57, 58] and could potentially reduce the risk of the development of nonsusceptibility and treatment failure in deep-seated or complex infections. However, the safety profile with prolonged high-dose therapy is not well known.

Another potential approach for reducing the development of daptomycin-nonsusceptible enterococci is the use of combination therapy. Daptomycin has variable in vitro synergies with other antibiotics against methicillin-resistant *S aureus* (MRSA) [19, 59–62] and *Enterococcus* spp, including VRE [32, 59, 63, 64]. Synergies between daptomycin and other antibiotics, including  $\beta$ -lactams and aminoglycosides, have been reported, but data concerning the clinical efficacy of combinations with other antibiotics remain scarce (Supplementary Table 3) [29, 60, 63, 65]. There is increasing evidence that the combination of daptomycin and ampicillin is an important consideration for the treatment of cases of DNSE infections with persistent positive cultures [7, 66]. Sakoulas et al. [66] have recently demonstrated that ampicillin can alter the surface charge of daptomycin-nonsusceptible strains of VRE and apparently make the organism more likely to bind to daptomycin. Although in the current review we found that the majority of DNS *E faecium* isolates are

resistant to ampicillin, this  $\beta$ -lactam may be tried in combination with daptomycin to treat DNS *E faecium* infections [7, 66], but further studies are needed to confirm these observations.

Further in vitro and in vivo studies of regimens containing daptomycin combined with other antibiotics are needed to improve our understanding of the mechanism of synergy and potential clinical use.

### LIMITATIONS

This review has several limitations. Publication bias and other biases inherent in single-institution reports may underestimate or overestimate the prevalence of DNSE and its association with daptomycin therapy. Detailed clinical data were not available for most of the reported *Enterococcus* isolates that were nonsusceptible to daptomycin. Risk factors for and frequency of the emergence of daptomycin nonsusceptibility associated with therapy remain poorly characterized. In addition, the optimal management of serious DNSE infection is unknown. Case-control studies could better define the association between daptomycin dosage and the emergence and duration of DNSE. In addition, randomized prospective clinical studies are needed to define the optimal dosage of daptomycin for the treatment of serious VRE infections.

### CONCLUSIONS

The mechanisms of nonsusceptibility to daptomycin have not been characterized and may not parallel those for *S aureus*. Clinicians should be aware of the possibility of the emergence of daptomycin nonsusceptible enterococcal strains, especially associated with the treatment of bloodstream infection with daptomycin, and should closely monitor the susceptibilities of sequential isolates recovered during treatment. The data presented herein is suggestive that a daptomycin dose of 4 mg/kg should be used with caution to treat serious enterococcal infection because of the risk of daptomycin nonsusceptibility. Since daptomycin may be one of the few microbiologically active agents against multidrug-resistant *Enterococcus*, further well-designed studies focused on the clinical effectiveness of daptomycin for infections caused by these pathogens, particularly for bloodstream infections, are required.

### Supplementary Material

Supplementary materials are available at Clinical Infectious Diseases online ([http://www.oxfordjournals.org/our\\_journals/cid/](http://www.oxfordjournals.org/our_journals/cid/)).

### Acknowledgments

**Financial support.** R.H. has received grants from Cubist Pharmaceuticals. D.U. has consulted for TyRx Pharma and is a paid speaker for

Cubist Pharmaceuticals. D.P. has been a paid speaker for Cubist Pharmaceuticals.

**Potential conflicts of interest.** All authors: no conflicts.

## References

- Eliopoulos GM. Microbiology of drugs for treating multiply drug-resistant gram-positive bacteria. *J Infect* **2009**; 59:S17–24.
- Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Twentieth Informational Supplement. CLSI, 2010; M100–S20. Available at <http://www.clsi.org/source/orders/free/m100-s20-u.pdf>.
- Package insert. Cubicin (daptomycin). Lexington, MA: Cubist Pharmaceuticals, 2007.
- Rathe M, Kristensen L, Ellermann-Eriksen S, Thomsen MK, Schumacher H. Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* spp: validation of susceptibility testing and in vitro activity of vancomycin, linezolid, tigecycline and daptomycin. *APMIS* **2010**; 118:66–73.
- Sader HS, Jones RN. Antimicrobial susceptibility of gram-positive bacteria isolated from US medical centers: results of the Daptomycin Surveillance Program (2007–2008). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **2009**; 65:158–62.
- Mohr JF, Friedrich LV, Yankelev S, Lamp KC. Daptomycin for the treatment of enterococcal bacteraemia: results from the Cubicin Outcomes Registry and Experience (CORE). *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **2009**; 33:543–48.
- Arias CA, Torres HA, Singh KV, et al. Failure of daptomycin monotherapy for endocarditis caused by an *Enterococcus faecium* strain with vancomycin-resistant and vancomycin-susceptible subpopulations and evidence of in vivo loss of the *vanA* gene cluster. *Clin Infect Dis* **2007**; 45:1343–6.
- Hidron AI, Schuetz AN, Nolte FS, Gould CV, Osborn MK. Daptomycin resistance in *Enterococcus faecalis* prosthetic valve endocarditis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2008**; 61:1394–6.
- Kanafani ZA, Federspiel JJ, Fowler VG Jr. Infective endocarditis caused by daptomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*: a case report. *Scand J Infect Dis* **2007**; 39:75–7.
- Lee dK, Kim Y, Park KS, Yang JW, Kim K, Ha NJ. Antimicrobial activity of mupirocin, daptomycin, linezolid, quinupristin/dalfopristin and tigecycline against vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) from clinical isolates in Korea (1998 and 2005). *J Biochem Mol Biol* **2007**; 40:881–7.
- Jones RN, Fritsche TR, Sader HS, Ross JE. LEADER surveillance program results for 2006: an activity and spectrum analysis of linezolid using clinical isolates from the United States (50 medical centers). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **2007**; 59:309–17.
- Fraher MH, Corcoran GD, Creagh S, Feeny E. Daptomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* in a patient with no prior exposure to daptomycin. *J Hosp Infect* **2007**; 65:376–8.
- Biedenbach DJ, Bell JM, Sader HS, Fritsche TR, Jones RN, Turnidge JD. Antimicrobial susceptibility of gram-positive bacterial isolates from the Asia-Pacific region and an in vitro evaluation of the bactericidal activity of daptomycin, vancomycin, and teicoplanin: a SENTRY Program Report (2003–2004). *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **2007**; 30:143–9.
- Pfaller MA, Sader HS, Jones RN. Evaluation of the in vitro activity of daptomycin against 19615 clinical isolates of gram-positive cocci collected in North American hospitals (2002–2005). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **2007**; 57:459–65.
- Sader HS, Streit JM, Fritsche TR, Jones RN. Antimicrobial susceptibility of gram-positive bacteria isolated from European medical centres: results of the Daptomycin Surveillance Programme (2002–2004). *Clin Microbiol Infect* **2006**; 12:844–52.
- Green MR, Anasetti C, Sandin RL, Rolfe NE, Greene JN. Development of daptomycin resistance in a bone marrow transplant patient with vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus durans*. *J Oncol Pharm Pract* **2006**; 12:179–81.
- Lesho EP, Wortmann GW, Craft D, Moran KA. De novo daptomycin nonsusceptibility in a clinical isolate. *J Clin Microbiol* **2006**; 44:673.
- Anastasiou DM, Thorne GM, Luperchio SA, Alder JD. In vitro activity of daptomycin against clinical isolates with reduced susceptibilities to linezolid and quinupristin/dalfopristin. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **2006**; 28:385–8.
- Snyderman DR, McDermott LA, Jacobus NV. Evaluation of in vitro interaction of daptomycin with gentamicin or beta-lactam antibiotics against *Staphylococcus aureus* and Enterococci by FIC index and time-kill curves. *J Chemother* **2005**; 17:614–21.
- Sader HS, Jones RN, Stilwell MG, Dowzicky MJ, Fritsche TR. Tigecycline activity tested against 26,474 bloodstream infection isolates: a collection from 6 continents. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **2005**; 52:181–6.
- Sader HS, Fritsche TR, Streit JM, Jones RN. Daptomycin in vitro activity tested against gram-positive strains collected from European and Latin American medical centers in 2003. *J Chemother* **2005**; 17:477–83.
- Lewis JS, Owens A, Cadena J, Sabol K, Patterson JE, Jorgensen JH. Emergence of daptomycin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium* during daptomycin therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2005**; 49:1664–5.
- Munoz-Price LS, Lolans K, Quinn JP. Emergence of resistance to daptomycin during treatment of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* infection. *Clin Infect Dis* **2005**; 41:565–6.
- Long JK, Choueiri TK, Hall GS, Avery RK, Sekeres MA. Daptomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* in a patient with acute myeloid leukemia. *Mayo Clin Proc* **2005**; 80:1215–6.
- Streit JM, Jones RN, Sader HS. Daptomycin activity and spectrum: a worldwide sample of 6737 clinical gram-positive organisms. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2004**; 53:669–74.
- Fluit AC, Schmitz FJ, Verhoef J, Milatovic D. Daptomycin in vitro susceptibility in European gram-positive clinical isolates. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **2004**; 24:59–66.
- Jorgensen JH, Crawford SA, Kelly CC, Patterson JE. In vitro activity of daptomycin against vancomycin-resistant enterococci of various Van types and comparison of susceptibility testing methods. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2003**; 47:3760–3.
- Carpenter CF, Chambers HF. Daptomycin: another novel agent for treating infections due to drug-resistant gram-positive pathogens. *Clin Infect Dis* **2004**; 38:994–1000.
- Debbia E, Pesce A, Schito GC. In vitro activity of LY146032 alone and in combination with other antibiotics against gram-positive bacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **1988**; 32:279–81.
- Debono M, Abbott BJ, Molloy RM, et al. Enzymatic and chemical modifications of lipopeptide antibiotic A21978C: the synthesis and evaluation of daptomycin (LY146032). *J Antibiot (Tokyo)* **1988**; 41:1093–105.
- Leclercq R, Bingen E, Su QH, Lambert-Zechovski N, Courvalin P, Duval J. Effects of combinations of beta-lactams, daptomycin, gentamicin, and glycopeptides against glycopeptide-resistant enterococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **1991**; 35:92–8.
- Louie A, Baltch AL, Ritz WJ, Smith RP, Asperilla M. Comparison of in vitro inhibitory and bactericidal activities of daptomycin (LY146032) and four reference antibiotics, singly and in combination, against gentamicin-susceptible and high-level-gentamicin-resistant enterococci. *Chemotherapy* **1993**; 39:302–9.
- Wang JT, Chen YC, Chang SC, et al. Control of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in a hospital: a five-year experience in a Taiwanese teaching hospital. *J Hosp Infect* **2004**; 58:97–103.
- Masterton RG. Surveillance studies: how can they help the management of infection? *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2000**; 46:53–8.
- WHO. Antimicrobial resistance information bank. <http://www.who.int/emc/amr.html>. Last accessed 1 October 2010.
- D'Costa VM, McGrann KM, Hughes DW, Wright GD. Sampling the antibiotic resistome. *Science* **2006**; 311:374–7.
- Critchley IA, Blosser-Middleton RS, Jones ME, Thornsberry C, Sahn DF, Karlowsky JA. Baseline study to determine in vitro activities of daptomycin against gram-positive pathogens isolated in the United States in 2000–2001. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2003**; 47:1689–93.

38. Silverman JA, Oliver N, Andrew T, Li T. Resistance studies with daptomycin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2001**; 45:1799–802.
39. Friedman L, Alder JD, Silverman JA. Genetic changes that correlate with reduced susceptibility to daptomycin in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2006**; 50:2137–45.
40. Montero CI, Stock F, Murray PR. Mechanisms of resistance to daptomycin in *Enterococcus faecium*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2008**; 52:1167–70.
41. Boucher HW, Sakoulas G. Perspectives on daptomycin resistance, with emphasis on resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Clin Infect Dis* **2007**; 45:601–8.
42. Patel JB, Jevitt LA, Hageman J, McDonald LC, Tenover FC. An association between reduced susceptibility to daptomycin and reduced susceptibility to vancomycin in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Clin Infect Dis* **2006**; 42:1652–3.
43. Cui L, Tominaga E, Neoh HM, Hiramatsu K. Correlation between reduced daptomycin susceptibility vancomycin and resistance in vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2006**; 50:1079–82.
44. Sakoulas G, Alder J, Thauvin-Eliopoulos C, Moellering RC Jr., Eliopoulos GM. Induction of daptomycin heterogeneous susceptibility in *Staphylococcus aureus* by exposure to vancomycin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2006**; 50:1581–5.
45. Sader HS, Streit JM, Fritsche TR, Jones RN. Antimicrobial activity of daptomycin against multidrug-resistant gram-positive strains collected worldwide. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **2004**; 50:201–4.
46. Jevitt LA, Thorne GM, Traczewski MM, et al. Multicenter evaluation of the Etest and disk diffusion methods for differentiating daptomycin-susceptible from non-daptomycin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. *J Clin Microbiol* **2006**; 44:3098–104.
47. Johnson AP, Mushtaq S, Warner M, Livermore DM. Calcium-supplemented daptomycin Etest strips for susceptibility testing on Iso-Sensitest agar. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2004**; 53:860–2.
48. Fuchs PC, Barry AL, Brown SD. Daptomycin susceptibility tests: interpretive criteria, quality control, and effect of calcium on in vitro tests. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **2000**; 38:51–8.
49. Fuchs PC, Barry AL, Brown SD. Evaluation of daptomycin susceptibility testing by Etest and the effect of different batches of media. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2001**; 48:557–61.
50. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Sixteenth Informational Supplement. Wayne, PA: CLSI, 2006; M100–S16.
51. Aldridge KE, Dizney A, Thomson K, Procop G. A multicentre correlation study of VITEK 2 with reference methods for telithromycin, mupirocin, and daptomycin against *Staphylococcus* spp. [abstract 1134\_02\_406 XXI ISTD Congress. Oxford, UK 2007.
52. Kelley TM, Knapp CC, Killian S, et al. Multi-site evaluation of daptomycin on the Sensititre dried susceptibility plate compared to NCCLS microdilution method. *Intersci Conf Antimicrob Agents Chemother Chicago* 14–17 September 2003. 43: [abstract D-225] 2003.
53. Moise PA, North D, Steenbergen JN, Sakoulas G. Susceptibility relationship between vancomycin and daptomycin in *Staphylococcus aureus*: facts and assumptions. *Lancet Infect Dis* **2009**; 9:617–24.
54. Cha R, Rybak MJ. Daptomycin against multiple drug-resistant *Staphylococcus* and *Enterococcus* isolates in an in vitro pharmacodynamic model with simulated endocardial vegetations. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **2003**; 47:539–46.
55. Akins RL, Rybak MJ. Bactericidal activities of two daptomycin regimens against clinical strains of glycopeptide intermediate-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*, and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in an in vitro pharmacodynamic model with simulated endocardial vegetations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2001**; 45:454–9.
56. Rose WE, Rybak MJ, Kaatz GW. Evaluation of daptomycin treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacterial endocarditis: an in vitro and in vivo simulation using historical and current dosing strategies. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2007**; 60:334–40.
57. Benvenuto M, Benziger DP, Yankelev S, Vigliani G. Pharmacokinetics and tolerability of daptomycin at doses up to 12 milligrams per kilogram of body weight once daily in healthy volunteers. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2006**; 50:3245–9.
58. Cunha BA, Mickail N, Eisenstein L. *E faecalis* vancomycin-sensitive enterococcal bacteremia unresponsive to a vancomycin tolerant strain successfully treated with high-dose daptomycin. *Heart Lung* **2007**; 36:456–61.
59. Cilli F, Aydemir S, Tunger A. In vitro activity of daptomycin alone and in combination with various antimicrobials against gram-positive cocci. *J Chemother* **2006**; 18:27–32.
60. Rand KH, Houck HJ. Synergy of daptomycin with oxacillin and other beta-lactams against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2004**; 48:2871–5.
61. Credito K, Lin G, Appelbaum PC. Activity of daptomycin alone and in combination with rifampin and gentamicin against *Staphylococcus aureus* assessed by time-kill methodology. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2007**; 51:1504–7.
62. Tsuji BT, Rybak MJ. Short-course gentamicin in combination with daptomycin or vancomycin against *Staphylococcus aureus* in an in vitro pharmacodynamic model with simulated endocardial vegetations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2005**; 49:2735–45.
63. Rand KH, Houck H. Daptomycin synergy with rifampicin and ampicillin against vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2004**; 53:530–2.
64. Pankey G, Ashcraft D, Patel N. In vitro synergy of daptomycin plus rifampin against *Enterococcus faecium* resistant to both linezolid and vancomycin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2005**; 49:5166–8.
65. Rand KH, Houck HJ, Silverman JA. Daptomycin-reversible rifampicin resistance in vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2007**; 59:1017–20.
66. Sakoulas G, Moise PA, Nizet V. Ampicillin enhances the activity of daptomycin by alteration of surface charge in vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*. Presented at: Conference on Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 12–15 September 2009. San Francisco, CA: 2009.
67. Hsueh PR, Chen WH, Teng LJ, Luh KT. Nosocomial infections due to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* vancomycin-resistant enterococci at a university hospital in Taiwan from 1991 to 2003: resistance trends, antibiotic usage and in vitro activities of newer antimicrobial agents. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **2005**; 26:43–9.
68. Huang YT, Liao CH, Teng LJ, Hsueh PR. Comparative bactericidal activities of daptomycin, glycopeptides, linezolid and tigecycline against blood isolates of gram-positive bacteria in Taiwan. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **2008**; 14:124–9.
69. Entenza JM, Giddey M, Vouillamoz J, Moreillon P. In vitro prevention of the emergence of daptomycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and enterococci following combination with amoxicillin/clavulanic acid or ampicillin. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **2010**; 35:451–6.
70. Bush LM, Boscia JA, Kaye D. Daptomycin (LY146032) treatment of experimental enterococcal endocarditis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **1988**; 32:877–81.
71. Caron F, Kitzis MD, Gutmann L, et al. Daptomycin or teicoplanin in combination with gentamicin for treatment of experimental endocarditis due to a highly glycopeptide-resistant isolate of *Enterococcus faecium*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **1992**; 36:2611–6.
72. LaPlante KL, Woodmansee S. Activities of daptomycin and vancomycin alone and in combination with rifampin and gentamicin against biofilm-forming methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in an experimental model of endocarditis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2009**; 53:3880–6.
73. Burns CA. Daptomycin-rifampin for a recurrent MRSA joint infection unresponsive to vancomycin-based therapy. *Scand J Infect Dis* **2006**; 38:133–6.
74. Sakoulas G, Eliopoulos GM, Alder J, Eliopoulos CT. Efficacy of daptomycin in experimental endocarditis due to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2003**; 47:1714–8.

75. John AK, Baldoni D, Haschke M, et al. Efficacy of daptomycin in implant-associated infection due to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: importance of combination with rifampin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2009**; 53:2719–24.
76. Jenkins I. Linezolid- vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* endocarditis: successful treatment with tigecycline and daptomycin. *J Hosp Med* **2007**; 2:343–4.
77. Schutt AC, Bohm NM. Multidrug-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* endocarditis treated with combination tigecycline and high-dose daptomycin. *Ann Pharmacother* **2009**; 43:2108–12.
78. Jaspán HB, Brothers AW, Campbell AJ, et al. Multidrug-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* meningitis in a toddler: characterization of the organism and successful treatment with intraventricular daptomycin and intravenous tigecycline. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* **2010**; 29:379–81.