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Abstract

A connected dominating set of a graph \( G = (V, E) \) is a subset of vertices \( CD \subseteq V \) such that every vertex not in \( CD \) is adjacent to at least one vertex in \( CD \), and the subgraph induced by \( CD \) is connected. We show that, given an arc family \( F \) with endpoints sorted, a minimum-cardinality connected dominating set of the circular-arc graph constructed from \( F \) can be computed in \( O(|F|) \) time.
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1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected, without loops or multiple edges. Throughout the paper, \( n \) and \( m \) denote the numbers of vertices and edges of a graph \( G = (V, E) \), respectively. The open neighborhood of a vertex \( v \), denoted by \( N(v) \), consists of all vertices adjacent to \( v \) in \( G \). The closed neighborhood of \( v \), denoted by \( N[v] \), is the set \( N(v) \cup \{v\} \). A set of vertices \( D \subseteq V \) is called a dominating set of \( G \) if every vertex in \( V \) is either in \( D \) or adjacent to a vertex in \( D \). A dominating set \( CD \) of \( G \) is called
a connected dominating set if the subgraph induced by $CD$ is connected. In addition, if the cardinality $|CD|$ is minimum among all possible connected dominating sets, then $CD$ is called a minimum connected dominating set. Dominating sets and connected dominating sets have applications in a variety of fields, including communication theory and political science. For more background on dominating sets and connected dominating sets, we refer readers to [9], [10].

The problem of finding a minimum connected dominating set is NP-hard in general graphs [6]. The same holds true for bipartite graphs [6, 24], split graphs [16], chordal bipartite graphs [23], circle graphs [13] and weighted co-comparability graphs [3]. However, there exist polynomial time algorithms for interval graphs [2], circular-arc graphs [2], doubly chordal graphs [22], trapezoid graphs [15, 18] and distance-hereditary graphs [26].

A circular-arc family $F$ is a collection of arcs in a circle. A graph $G$ is a circular-arc graph if there exists a circular-arc family $F$, and a one-to-one mapping of vertices of $G$ and the arcs in $F$ such that two vertices in $G$ are adjacent if, and only if, their corresponding arcs in $F$ intersect. For a circular-arc family $F$, $G(F)$ denotes the graph constructed from $F$. Circular-arc graphs were introduced as a generalization of interval graphs (similarly defined, except that intervals on a real line are used instead of arcs on a circle) [7]. Both classes of graphs have a variety of applications involving traffic light sequencing, genetics, VLSI design and scheduling.


Given an arc family $F$ with endpoints sorted, some researchers have proved that the following problems can be solved in $O(n)$ time for $G(F)$: the maximum independent set problem [8, 12, 19, 20], the single-source shortest paths problem [1], the circle-cover minimization problem [17], the dominating cycle problem [14], the domination problem [12] and the minimum clique cover problem [12].

Chang [2] proposed an $O(n + m)$ time algorithm for solving the connected domination problem on circular-arc graphs with weights on vertices (arcs). In this paper, we present a simple $O(n)$ time algorithm for finding
a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$ given an arc family $F$ with endpoints sorted.

2. The Algorithm

Let $F$ be a circular-arc family with endpoints sorted where $|F| = n$. An arc $v$ in $F$ beginning from point $c$ and ending at point $d$ in clockwise direction is denoted by $(c, d)$. We call both points $c$ and $d$ endpoints of arc $v$. We call point $c$ the head of arc $v$, denoted by $h(v)$, and point $d$ the tail of arc $v$, denoted by $t(v)$, respectively. Without loss of generality, assume that all endpoints of arcs in $F$ are distinct and that no arc covers the entire circle. A contiguous part of the circle beginning from point $c$ and ending at point $d$ in the clockwise direction, is referred to as segment $(c, d)$, denoted by $\text{seg}(c, d)$. We refer to an element of $F$ as an arc and a part of the circle as a segment, respectively. We assume both arcs and segments are open, namely, they do not contain their endpoints. Note that an arc is also a segment of the circle. We say that a point $p$ is contained in a segment $\text{seg}(c, d)$ if it falls within the interior of $\text{seg}(c, d)$. Denote this by $p \in \text{seg}(c, d)$. An arc $u$ of $F$ is said to be contained in another arc $v$ if every point of arc $u$ is contained in arc $v$. An arc in $F$ is maximal if it is not contained in any other arc of $F$. Let $F'$ denote the collection of all maximal arcs in $F$. Figure 1 shows a circular-arc family, where dark arcs are maximal arcs.

![Figure 1. A circular-arc family $F$, where dark arcs are maximal arcs.](image-url)
Remark 1. If a minimum connected dominating set $D$ contains arc $v$ which is not a maximal arc and is contained in a maximal arc $u$, then $(D\setminus \{v\})\cup \{u\}$ is still a minimum connected dominating set since $N[v] \subseteq N[u]$. Hence, there exists a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$ contained in $F'$. However, a connected dominating set of $G(F')$ is not necessarily a connected dominating set of $G(F)$.

We call a subset $C$ of a circular-arc family $F$ a circle cover in $F$, if the union of arcs in $C$ covers the entire circle. A minimum circle cover in $F$ is a circle cover of minimum cardinality among all circle covers in $F$. Clearly a circle cover in $F$ is a connected dominating set of $G(F)$. If there exists an arc $u$ in $F$ which intersects every other arc in $F$, then $\{u\}$ is a minimum connected dominating set. Such an arc can be found in $O(n)$ time if it exists [12]. If $F$ does not cover the entire circle, then $G(F)$ is an interval graph. This case can be detected easily. If $G(F)$ is an interval graph, the connected domination problem can be solved in $O(n)$ time [2]. In the following, we assume $G(F)$ is not an interval graph, and $F$ covers the entire circle.

Definition 1. Let $u$ and $v$ be two maximal arcs such that $h(u)$ is not in $v$. Define a clockwise path from $u$ to $v$ of length $k - 1$ to be a sequence $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$ of distinct arcs in $F$ such that $a_1 = u$, $a_k = v$, $a_j$ and $a_{j+1}$ intersect for $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k - 1\}$, and $h(a_j)$ is contained in $\text{seg}(h(u), h(v))$ for $j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, k - 1\}$. A clockwise path from $u$ to $v$ is called a clockwise shortest path, denoted by $SP_c(u, v)$, if it has the smallest length among all possible $u$-to-$v$ clockwise paths. Notice that if $t(u)$ is in arc $v$, then $SP_c(u, v)$ visits $u$ and $v$ only and hence, $|SP_c(u, v)| = 2$.

For the set of arcs shown in Figure 1, we have $SP_c(4, 1) = \{4, 8, 12, 16, 1\}$, $SP_c(12, 3) = \{12, 16, 3\}$, $SP_c(1, 9) = \{1, 3, 7, 9\}$, and $SP_c(1, 7) = \{1, 3, 7\}$.

Remark 2. Let $P$ be a clockwise path from $u$ to $v$, where $u$ and $v$ are two maximal arcs that do not intersect each other. If $\text{seg}(t(v), h(u))$ does not contain any arc in $F$, then the set of arcs visited by $P$ is a connected dominating set of $G(F)$. On the other hand, the union of arcs in a connected dominating set of $G(F)$ either covers the entire circle, or is a segment of the circle. In the former case, it is a circle cover in $F$. If it is a segment $\text{seg}(c, d)$, then $\text{seg}(d, c)$ contains no arc in $F$. Let $c$ and $d$ be the head and tail of arc $u$ and $v$, respectively. Then the set of arcs visited by the shortest clockwise path from $u$ to $v$ is also a connected dominating set of $G(F)$.
Based upon the above remarks, we first find a minimum circle cover for $F$. Then we find two maximal arcs $u$ and $v$ such that $|SP_c(u, v)|$ is minimum and $seg(t(v), h(u))$ does not contain any arc in $F$. Then the smaller one of the minimum circle cover, and the set of arcs visited by $SP_c(u, v)$ is a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$. In the following, we show how to find two such arcs $u$ and $v$.

**Definition 2.** [12] For a maximal arc $u$, the first clockwise undominated arc $U(u)$ is the arc in $F\setminus N[u]$ whose tail is first encountered in a clockwise traversal from $t(u)$. Define $NEXT(u)$ to be the arc in $N[U(u)] \cap F'$ whose tail is last encountered in a clockwise traversal from $t(U(u))$.

For example, in the arc family $F$ shown in Figure 1, $U(1) = 2$, $NEXT(1) = 4$, $U(13) = 15$, and $NEXT(13) = 1$.

**Remark 3.** For a maximal arc $u$, $h(NEXT(u))$ is either in arc $u$ or not, as shown in Figure 2. In case $h(NEXT(u))$ is not in arc $u$, we observe that $seg(t(u), h(NEXT(u)))$ does not contain any arc in $F$. This implies that $SP_c(NEXT(u), u)$ is a connected dominating set of $G(F)$.

![Figure 2.](image)

Figure 2. The relative positions of arc $u$ and $NEXT(u)$.

Our main theorem is given in the following.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that no arcs in $F$ intersect all other arcs in $F$, and that $F$ covers the entire circle. Then, either a minimum circle cover in $F$ is a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$ or there exists a maximal arc $u$ such that $h(NEXT(u))$ is not in $u$ and $SP_c(NEXT(u), u)$ is a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$.
Proof. Suppose $D$, where $|D| = k$, is a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$ such that all arcs in $D$ are maximal arcs. By Remark 1, such a minimum connected dominating set exists. By the assumption of the theorem, $k \geq 2$. Since the union of arcs in $D$ either covers the entire circle or is a segment of the circle, the arcs in $D$ can be sorted into a sequence $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ in clockwise ordering of tails such that $v_i$ intersects $v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Let $u = v_k$. We claim that both $v_1$ and $\text{NEXT}(u)$ intersect $U(u)$ and hence, $\text{NEXT}(u)$ intersects $v_2$. Let $D' = (D \setminus \{v_1\}) \cup \{\text{NEXT}(u)\}$. If $h(\text{NEXT}(u))$ is in arc $u$, then the union of arcs in $D'$ covers the entire circle. Hence $D'$ is a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$. Since $D'$ is a circle cover in $F$, $|D'|$ is no less than the cardinality of a minimum circle cover in $F$. Hence a minimum circle cover in $F$ is also a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$. On the other hand, assume that $h(\text{NEXT}(u))$ is not in arc $u$. The union of arcs in $D'$ is a segment $\text{seg}(h(\text{NEXT}(u)), t(u))$. Since segment $\text{seg}(t(u), h(\text{NEXT}(u)))$ contains no arc in $F$, $D'$ is a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$. Because $|\mathcal{S}_c(\text{NEXT}(u), u)| \leq |D'|$, $\mathcal{S}_c(\text{NEXT}(u), u)$ is a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$.

Our algorithm is formally presented in the following.

Algorithm MCDS. Find a minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$.

Input: A set $F$ of $n$ sorted arcs, where each arc $i$ is represented as $(h(i), t(i))$, and all arcs in $F$ are labelled from 1 to $n$.

Output: A minimum connected dominating set of $G(F)$.

Method:

1. if $F$ does not cover the entire circle, then return the minimum connected dominating set found by Chang’s algorithm [2] and stop;
2. if there exists an arc $v \in F$ such that $N[v] = F$, then return $\{v\}$ and stop;
3. compute a minimum circle cover $C$ in $F$;
4. compute all maximal arcs of $F$;
5. compute $\text{NEXT}(v)$ for all maximal arcs $v$ of $F$;
6. let $H$ be the set of all maximal arcs $v$ with that $h(\text{NEXT}(v))$ not in arc $v$;
7. if $H = \emptyset$, then return $C$ and stop;
8. compute $|\mathcal{S}_c(\text{NEXT}(v), v)|$ for all maximal arcs $v$ in $H$;
9. find a maximal arc \( u \) in \( H \) such that \( |SP_c(NEXT(u), u)| \leq |SP_c(NEXT(v), v)| \) for any other maximal arc \( v \) in \( H \);
10. find a clockwise shortest path \( SP_c(NEXT(u), u) \), and let \( D = SP_c(NEXT(u), u) \);
11. output the smaller one of \( C \) and \( D \).

The correctness of the above algorithm can be seen from Theorem 1. In the following, we show how this algorithm can be implemented in \( O(n) \) time. A minimum circle cover in \( F \) can be computed in \( O(n) \) time [17]. Given an arc family \( F \) of \( n \) sorted arcs, we can compute \( NEXT(v) \) for all maximal arcs \( v \) in \( O(n) \) time [12]. After \( O(n) \) time preprocessing, given two maximal arcs \( u \) and \( v \) with that \( h(u) \) is not in arc \( v \), \( |SP_c(u, v)| \) can be computed in \( O(1) \) time and a clockwise shortest path \( SP_c(u, v) \) can be reported in \( O(n) \) time [4]. Thus we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Given a set of \( n \) sorted arcs, Algorithm MCDS solves the connected domination problem on circular-arc graphs in \( O(n) \) time.
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