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Introduction

The multi-block overset grid method is a powerful technique for high-fidelity computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations about complex aerospace configurations[1]. Furthermore, it allows the calculation of moving-body with relative motions, such as applications in the analysis of store separation and many other areas. However, a communication between overlapping grids must be re-established in every time step as the sub-grid is moved. This process of establishing communication between overlapping grids involved in hole cutting and domain connection has been referred to as “grid assembly”[2].

The parallel implementations and performance assessments of the well known chimera codes, Beggar[2] and DCF3D[3] have been accomplished on vendor’s machines, such as SGI, IBM, and Cray. In this research, a structured multi-block grid assembly is parallelized by using a static load balancing tied to flow solver based on a grid size of decomposed domain. The parallel algorithm is modified for a distributed memory system typically PC cluster, which has some advantages typically low cost & high performance and therefore is widely used in nowadays. To parallelize grid assembly, a message passing programming model based on the MPI library is implemented using the Single Program Multiple Data (SPMD) paradigm. The parallelized flow solver can be executed in each processor with the static load balancing by pre-processor and the communication load of it is fixed at initial stage. However, the communication load of
grid assembly can not be determinded initially and more complex. A coarse-grained communication is optimized with the minimized memory allocation and communication load because the parallel grid assembly can access the decomposed geometry data within other processors by only message passing in the distributed memory system such as the PC cluster.

This parallel grid assembly and flow solver (KFLOW[4]) are tested on the store separation problem from the Eglin wing and pylon configuration[5] in inviscid flow field, and the parallel performance assessment is presented.

**Parallel Implementation of Grid Assembly**

The overset grid method is comprised of two major steps, hole cutting and donor cell identification. A zones of interference scheme and a hole-map algorithm are used for the chimera hole cutting, the second step uses a stencil-walk and a gradient searching methods[6]. We will describe the parallel implementation of each step in the following subsection.

**Hole Cutting**

Solid walls are used for the hole-cutting surfaces. The cartesian mesh is constructed in cutting boundaries and cutting surfaces are approximately modeled with a collection of cubes that touch the cutting surfaces. These algorithms are relatively simple and effective to discover whether a given point lies inside of cutting boundary or not. However, the solid body surface consists of the decomposed patches that is called facets in each processor must be closed surface to be used for the hole cutting boundary. The gathering of surface patches in each body is executed by group communication after regenerating new communicator among the processors that compose the same body.

Some processors have multi-blocks for the load balancing and block numbering in each processor has independent order like figure 1. The ‘ipblk’ is global-block number consider whole multi-blocks. The ‘idblk’ is body number that the block constitutes. Figure 2 is example of defining newrank by MPI_COMM_SPLIT library[7]. The ‘icolor’ is idblk index of figure 1 and facets are gathered in same newcomm by MPI_ALLGATHERV library[7] such as figure 3.

For the hole cutting, hole map that is cartesian meshes constructed in each body must be transferred to processors with other body. however, the direct communication of it requires heavy transfer time on the general PC cluster with poorer network system such as Ethernet than the vendor’s machines because the hole map size is dependent on initial grid size. The performance of processor in each machines is better than a network transfer capacity. Therefore, in this approach, only the facets and the computed maximum and minimum coordinates of it are transferred to other CPUs of body and the hole map is computed respectively. The size of facets are relatively smaller than total grid size, especially when the background field grid is used for moving body application. After construction of hole map and hole cutting by this, the interpolation points are given donor candidate block(CPU rank) by using facet information. The interpolation
points where are beside the hole points cut by facet are inside block with this facet generally. The informations of this points are sent to candidates. The sent data is the take rank, coordinates of points and integer tag to connect send and take ranks.

Figure 1. Block data structure of grid assembly (2 bodies and 3CPUs)

Donor Cell Identification
Every processors start searching donor points by using the informations received from take rank. The two-step search method is used in this procedure stated before. The stencil walk finds the nearest point to a given interpolation point by comparing the distance between the interpolation point and the donor candidate cells. However, the gradient search checks whether the interpolation point actually lies inside the cube that is made with the eight donor cells. To search through parallel boundary, we can use ghost cell coordinates like figure 4 and the received and stored facet data of this surface can be used to find nearest candidates when the searching routine meet the solid wall boundary. The informations of interpolation points that go over the next block or fail to
find donor are sent to next candidate block after all processors complete the searching. These procedure is repeated until all interpolation points find donors.

**Connection between Interpolation and Donor points**

During the parallel donor searching, the minimized informations of interoplation points are communicated among the take and send rank described before and the take rank need not acknowledge the donor informations. Therefore separated rank data is connected by sorting with tag index. Figure 6 shows this procedure between two processors, first step is grouping and second step is sorting by tag index in same groups. A Heap-sort algorithm is used that is known as one of the fastest sorting algorithm with $O(n \log n)$ operations and that it has good performance in the worst case.

![Figure 4. Jumping through parallel boundary](image1)

![Figure 5. Searching near the solid wall](image2)

**Figure 4.** Jumping through parallel boundary  
**Figure 5.** Searching near the solid wall

![Figure 6. Coupling of interpolation and donor points](image3)

**Figure 6.** Coupling of interpolation and donor points

Figure 7 is the flow chart of parallel grid assembly. The coarse-grained communication between each processor are inserted in construction of hole-map, hole cutting and donor searching routine.
Test results

The flow solver is cell centered finite volume code. The numerical fluxes at cell interface are constructed Roe’s flux difference splitting method and MUSCL(Monotone Upwind Scheme for Conservation Law) scheme. For the time integration, diagonalized ADI method is used with 2nd-order dual time stepping algorithm. The test problem is the released store from Eglin wing/ pylon configuration in transonic free stream condition, the Mach number is 0.95. A C-H type grid generated over the wing and an O-O type grid over the pylon are overlapped and used as a major grid system, together with an H-H grid to improve the interpolation quality. The total number of grids is approximately one million. Table 1 is list of grid system. Linux PC cluster with P4-2.6GHz CPU in each node and linked by 100Mbps Ethernet network is used. Serial and parallel computing with 15 and 30 nodes are performed. Figure 8 shows the constructed overlap grids and the pressure contour at initial position and the number of IGBPs(Inter-Grid Boundary Points) at this condition are 126,534.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body</th>
<th># Block</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th># node</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C-H</td>
<td>129\times44\times49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pylon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C-O</td>
<td>121\times27\times26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Store</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>O-H</td>
<td>130\times32\times25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG block</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>H-H</td>
<td>106\times51\times85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Extra surfaces for construction of Hole map
To simulate dynamics of store, the unsteady time step is set to 0.00195 second and we simulate to 0.4 second. Figure 9 is the Cp distributions on the store during the separations and the experimental data[5]. The flow solver include the developed grid assembly agree well with the trend and the magnitude of the CTS data. Figure 10 is the simulated CG location and attitude of store. The results of serial and parallel computing are almost same in this figure. Table 2 and 3 show parallel performance of each routine for the grid assembly, the domain connection(total interpolation work time in every time step) and the flow solving. Total speed up ratio in case of using 30 processors is 17.58. This is about 59% of a ideal parallel performance. Most time consuming parts of this procedures is domain connection routine that is just data communication through network.
(a) Displacements                                           (b) Attitudes

Figure 10. Trajectory of released store (CTS vs CFD : serial and parallel)

Table 2. Parallel performance (wall clock time and CPU time)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proc.</th>
<th>Grid assembly</th>
<th>Domain connection</th>
<th>Flow solver</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W time</td>
<td>CPU time</td>
<td>W time</td>
<td>CPU time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial</td>
<td>25-542.7</td>
<td>1893.4</td>
<td>311.1</td>
<td>1567.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4791.7</td>
<td>972.2</td>
<td>628.6</td>
<td>1195.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>40303.5</td>
<td>756.6</td>
<td>4179.3</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Speed up of each module (fraction of wall clock time)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proc.</th>
<th>Grid assembly</th>
<th>Domain connection</th>
<th>Flow solver</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.595</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>9.009</td>
<td>7.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>65.74</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>28.568</td>
<td>17.581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

The multi-block parallel grid assembly has been developed. The coarse-grained communication is optimized with the minimized memory allocation and communication load without modifications of main algorithms to cut hole and search donor. The flow solver include this parallel grid assembly has been tested on the dynamic problem on the general Linux PC cluster system. The parallel performance of total work is somewhat poor because the network connection is not enough to reduce communication time in domain connection routine.

A higher performance network system, for example the Myrinet, can reduce communication time in these routines. However, a larger number of processors is better than the expensive network system so far because the limitation of static load balancing tied to flow solver in grid assembly still remains and flow solver routine is over the 60% of total work.
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