Extensive Within-Host Diversity in Fecally Carried Extended-Spectrum-Beta-Lactamase-Producing Escherichia coli Isolates: Implications for Transmission Analyses


Studies of the transmission epidemiology of antimicrobial-resistant Escherichia coli, such as strains harboring extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) genes, frequently use selective culture of rectal surveillance swabs to identify isolates for molecular epidemiological investigation. Typically, only single colonies are evaluated, which risks underestimating species diversity and transmission events. We sequenced the genomes of 16 E. coli colonies from each of eight fecal samples (n = 127 genomes; one failure), taken from different individuals in Cambodia, a region of high ESBL-producing E. coli prevalence. Sequence data were used to characterize both the core chromosomal diversity of E. coli isolates and their resistance/virulence gene content as a proxy measure of accessory genome diversity. The 127 E. coli genomes represented 31 distinct sequence types (STs). Seven (88%) of eight subjects carried ESBL-positive isolates, all containing blaCTX-M variants. Diversity was substantial, with a median of four STs/individual (range, 1 to 10) and wide genetic divergence at the nucleotide level within some STs. In 2/8 (25%) individuals, the same blaCTX-M variant occurred in different clones, and/or different blaCTX-M variants occurred in the same clone. Patterns of other resistance genes and common virulence factors, representing differences in the accessory genome, were also diverse within and between clones. The substantial diversity among intestinally carried ESBL-positive E. coli bacteria suggests that fecal surveillance, particularly if based on single-colony subcultures, will likely underestimate transmission events, especially in high-prevalence settings.

Escherichia coli is considered an opportunistic pathogen of humans: although capable of causing a wide spectrum of clinical disease, it is carried asymptptomatically in the gastrointestinal tract of >90% of individuals (1). The emergence and spread of antimicrobial resistance in E. coli is facilitated by its existence within the gut reservoir (2–4). The recent threat of broad-spectrum antibiotic resistance, such as that mediated by extended-spectrum beta-lactamas (ESBLs) (5), has prompted the introduction of fecal surveillance in an attempt to track drug-resistant organisms epidemiologically and deploy infection control measures to limit their spread (6, 7). Although ESBL-positive E. coli carriage prevalence varies from <10% to >70%, depending on the setting, it appears to be on the increase globally in all contexts, including in the community (8).

Current surveillance of the transmission of drug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae tends to focus on tracking the spread of individual clones (9), but whether this represents typical ESBL-positive E. coli carriage and transmission remains unclear. Our understanding of transmission is complicated by the fact that surveillance studies have used different approaches, varying in their (i) sampling time frames and strategies (7, 10, 11), (ii) methods of selective culture of drug-resistant colonies from rectal swabs (12), and (iii) phenotypic/molecular methods used to subsequently characterize strains (12, 13), with these methods themselves varying in their screening sensitivity and specificity. At odds with the single-colony approach is the fact that previous studies have demonstrated that the molecular diversity of E. coli bacteria carried in the gastrointestinal tract is likely to be substantial, both between and within individual human hosts (14, 15). Molecular typing of single clones may therefore be inappropriate for characterizing transmission, particularly in areas of high resistance prevalence where individuals may carry multiple distinct clones with the same resistance phenotype. Additionally, previous studies of intrahost strain diversity have investigated only short molecular targets, using techniques such as phylogrouping, random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis, or multilocus sequence typing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject (Figure)</th>
<th>Pheno typic ce fep odo me</th>
<th>b l a _ C T X M variant (proportion of isolates positive)</th>
<th>b l a _ C T X M-positive ST(s) (proportion of positive isolates per ST)</th>
<th>b l a _ C T X M-negative ST(s) (proportion of negative isolates per ST)</th>
<th>Diversity observed (no. of clones)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IHD244 (Fig. 1A)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>b l a _ C T X M-14 (8/16) ST-226 (8/8), ST-205 (1/1), ST-871 (7/7)</td>
<td>Only homogeneous clones (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD86 (Fig. 1B)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>b l a _ C T X M-14 (2/15) ST-10 (1/1), ST-2535 (1/2)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Same ST with different b l a _ C T X M variants; homogeneous (1) and heterogeneous (2) clones</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD232 (Fig. 1C)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>b l a _ C T X M-14 (1/16) ST-405 (1/1) ST-2540 (1/1), ST-423 (3/3), ST-1286 (10/10)</td>
<td>Only heterogeneous clones (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD357 (Fig. 1D)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>b l a _ C T X M-15 (8/16) ST-405 (8/8), ST-46 (1/1), ST-4012 (7/7)</td>
<td>Homogeneous (1) and heterogeneous (1) clones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD45 (Fig. 1E)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>b l a _ C T X M-14 (1/16) Novel (1/1), ST-398 (1/1), ST-542 (1/1), ST-1684 (1/1), novel (2/2), ST-168 (2/2), ST-394 (2/2), ST-449 (2/2), ST-155 (4/4)</td>
<td>Homogeneous (3) and heterogeneous (1) clones; substantial nucleotide-level variation within ST-155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD813 (Fig. S1A)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>b l a _ C T X M-55 (16/16) ST-10 (16/16)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Single homogeneous clone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD1178 (Fig. S1B)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>b l a _ C T X M-15 (16/16) ST-405 (2/2), ST-648 (13/13), novel (1/1)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Same ST with different b l a _ C T X M variants; only homogeneous clones (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD717 (Fig. S1C)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ST-3489 (1/1), ST-3759 (1/1), ST-155 (1/1), ST-939 (3/3), novel (10/10)</td>
<td>Homogeneous (1) and heterogeneous (1) clones; substantial nucleotide-level variation within ST-939</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Detected by disk screening.

**b** Homogeneous and heterogeneous refer to the accessory component of the genome, as represented by the profile of resistance/virulence gene presence/absence.

**c** Three different novel ST variants identified in this individual.
Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) is a high-resolution typing technology that has been used successfully to describe within-host population structures of several bacterial pathogens, such as *Staphylococcus aureus* (16, 17). In this study, we used WGS as a single assay to sample genetic diversity in core and accessory genomes of *E. coli* in fecal samples taken from asymptomatic carriers in a community with high ESBL carriage prevalence (8).

(These data were presented in part at the 24th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Barcelona, Spain, 10 to 13 May 2014 [18].)

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample description.** Fecal samples from eight randomly selected individuals, 13 to 14 years of age (considered to have adult fecal microflora), were investigated. These individuals were enrolled in a much larger study to estimate regional pediatric helminth prevalence (April to June 2012) undertaken at the Cambodia-Oxford Medical Research Unit (COMRU), Angkor Hospital for Children, in Siem Reap, Cambodia. To minimize microbial loss, the samples were stored immediately after collection as fecal slurries in saline with 10% glycerol at −80°C (19). The local hospital Institutional Review Board ([IRB] date of approval, 12 March 2012) and the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee ([OXTREC] reference number 12-12) approved the wider research use of these samples.

**Laboratory methods.** For each fecal slurry, 5 μl of neat sample, and of 1:5 and 1:100 dilutions was streaked evenly across individual CHROMagar Orientation agar plates (Becton Dickinson UK, Oxford, United Kingdom) and then incubated in air at 37°C overnight after placement of a cefpodoxime disc (10 μg; Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) on each plate. *E. coli* isolates are typically represented as pink colonies on CHROMagar Orientation agar.

For each sample, a dilution plate was selected on which growth was sparse enough to enable 16 single-colony picks of discrete pink colonies (presumptive *E. coli*), which were subcultured onto separate Columbia...
blood agar plates. These included up to eight colonies from within the inhibition zone around the antibiotic disc (if observed), with the balance taken from outside the inhibition zone. Plates were incubated overnight in air at 37°C.

**DNA extraction and sequencing.** DNA was extracted from the subcultures using a QuickGene kit (Fujifilm, Minato, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with an additional mechanical lysis step (FastPrep; MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, USA) following the addition of chemical lysis buffer. Sequencing was carried out on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform, generating 100- or 151-base-paired end reads (see Table S1 in the supplemental material).

**Sequence read processing and data analysis.** Reads were mapped to the CFT073 E. coli reference genome (NCBI RefSeq NC_004431), and variants were called using a validated in-house bioinformatics pipeline (20). Reads were also assembled de novo using Velvet and the Velvet-Optimiser wrapper (21, 22).

Multilocus sequence type (ST) and resistance/virulence gene content were determined in silico using BLASTn-based comparisons of reference alleles and de novo-assembled contigs for each isolate. For MLST we used the scheme and reference database of Wirth et al. (23); for resistance genes, an in-house database of curated resistance genes and variants (24) was used, and for virulence genes, a further in-house database compiled for this study from the Virulence Factors Database (VFDB) (25) and review papers (26, 27) was used. Virulence factors were subdivided into functional categories as follows: adhesins, toxins, nutritional, immune evasion-related, and miscellaneous (26). Multiple BLAST hits to overlapping positions on the same contigs were ignored, and only the best hit was retained; gene presence was arbitrarily defined as >80% sequence similarity over >80% of the length of the reference locus (i.e., coverage of the reference sequence of >80%).

Bootstrapped maximum likelihood phylogenies were derived from core chromosomal single nucleotide variants (SNVs; core SNVs defined as no null call in any isolate at that site from reference-based mapping) using PhyML (28). To scale trees appropriately, core SNVs for each isolate were reinserted into the reference CFT073 sequence, thereby generating an alignment of modified reference sequences of all the isolates for comparison.

Diversity was assessed first with respect to the core genome, using reference-mapped data, and second with respect to the small part of the accessory genome represented by the resistance/virulence genes described above. To avoid skewed results from repeated sampling of essentially the same organism, comparisons were made across all isolates and across all clones plus singletons. Here, a clone was defined as a set of at least two isolates from a given individual, where each isolate differed by no more than two core SNVs from at least one other isolate in the clone. No isolates had 3 to 10 SNV differences. Singletons were defined as any isolates that

**FIG 1 continued**
were not part of a clone. Our definition of a clone is independent of ST. The SNV cutoff defining a clone is arbitrary but conservative, given the extent of diversity observed in E. coli (29), and is consistent with SNV differences observed within hosts over 6 to 12 months for Clostridium difficile, another gastrointestinal organism with a similar mutation rate but far less intrahost diversity (30, 31). The accessory genome, represented here only by the particular resistance and virulence genes surveyed, was defined as homogeneous for a clone if every sequenced isolate within the clone had the same profile or as heterogeneous if these traits varied.

To assess whether genes present in the accessory genome were more likely to be similar across genetically distinct strains (represented here by clones and/or singletons [clones/singletons]) within an individual than strains between individuals, we generated a distance matrix from pairwise comparisons of the accessory gene content for each clone or singleton (as defined by the complete profile of resistance/virulence genes present in any isolate of that clone or singleton). The statistical significance of the difference in accessory gene diversity among strains within individuals versus strains between individuals was then calculated using a Wilcoxon rank sum test. This analysis tests the hypothesis that horizontal gene transfer of specific resistance/virulence genes is likely to be occurring with greater frequency within the gastrointestinal tract of individuals. Statistical analyses were carried out in Stata/SE, version 11.2 (StataCorp., Texas, USA).

Microarray data accession number. Data were deposited in the NCBI under BioProject number PRJNA274331. Details of sequenced strains and data accession numbers are provided in Table S1 in the supplemental material.

RESULTS
Of eight samples, five came from female individuals; the median age was 13.4 years (interquartile range [IQR], 13.2 to 13.7 years). Six samples were obtained from children subsequently admitted to the inpatient department, and two were from patients reviewed in the outpatient department of the hospital (IHD45 and IHD717). Three children had underlying blood dyscrasias (thalassemia, subject IHD244; leukemia, subjects IHD232 and IHD813), and one had valvular heart disease (subject IHD1178). Details on previous antibiotic usage were not available; all individuals except subject IHD232 had exposure to either companion animals or livestock/poultry in the household.

The fecal cultures yielded presumptive cefpodoxime-resistant E. coli colonies within the peridisk inhibition zone for five of eight subjects (Table 1) (subjects IHD86, IHD244, IHD357, IHD813, and IHD1178). For these five subjects, simple random sampling of...
eight presumptive \textit{E. coli} colonies from within and eight from outside the inhibition zone was undertaken (\( n = 16 \) per sample). For the remaining three subjects (IHD45, IHD232, and IHD717), the primary culture plates had no within-zone \textit{E. coli} colonies, so 16 colonies were picked from outside the zone. The resulting total 128 colonies underwent genome sequencing, which failed for one isolate, leaving 127 genome sequences for analysis.

The median number of high-quality reads available for mapping per isolate was 3,159,616 (range, 1,510,888 to 4,777,836). This provided \( \gtrsim 70\% \) coverage of the reference genome (median coverage, 73%; range, 71 to 79%), consistent with all isolates being \textit{E. coli}. The median \textit{de novo} assembly size was 4,818,355 bp (range, 4,513,031 to 5,306,174 bp), with a median 187 contigs (range, 76 to 422).

\textit{In silico} multilocus sequence typing. \textit{In silico} MLST identified BLAST matches for all \textit{E. coli} housekeeping loci in all 127 isolates, including novel \textit{fumC}, \textit{purA}, and \textit{recA} variants (one each). In total, the 127 sequenced genomes represented 31 STs (of which 6 were novel). Across all study participants, 18 clones were identified (collectively comprising 108 isolates), whereas 19 isolates were singletons, representing 18 different STs.

The STs were distributed unevenly across the eight fecal samples, which contained 1 to 10 (median, 4) STs each. ST-405 was carried by three individuals, and ST-10 and ST-155 were carried by two individuals each. The other STs were all identified in a single individual each (Table 1). Despite analysis of 16 colonies per subject, the 37 clones plus singletons were also unevenly distributed across the eight samples, which had 1 to 11 (median, 4) clones plus singletons each.

\textbf{Determination of genotypes accounting for cefpodoxime resistance.} A \textit{bla}_{CTX-M} variant was detected in all 40 presumptive cefpodoxime-resistant isolates picked from within the peridisk cefpodoxime inhibition zone for the five samples with such colonies; this presumably explained these samples’ cefpodoxime-resistant phenotypes. Additionally, for two of the three samples that yielded no colonies within the cefpodoxime inhibition zone, a \textit{bla}_{CTX-M} variant was detected in 1/16 colonies (per sample) picked from outside the inhibition zone. Thus, at least 7/8 (88\%) individuals carried \textit{bla}_{CTX-M} genes. In two individuals (subjects IHD86 and IHD1178), the same \textit{bla}_{CTX-M} variant was observed in genetically distinct isolates. Specifically, in subject IHD1178, \textit{bla}_{CTX-M-15} was found in three clones plus singletons, whereas in subject IHD86, \textit{bla}_{CTX-M-55} was found in four different clones plus singletons, and \textit{bla}_{CTX-M-14} was found in 2 clones plus singletons. Furthermore, in IHD86, both \textit{bla}_{CTX-M-55} and \textit{bla}_{CTX-M-14} were observed within the same clone (ST-2535) (Table 1).
Analysis of within-host *E. coli* core and accessory genome diversity. The number of SNVs between isolates from a single individual ranged from 1 to 144,651 (Fig. 1A to E, within-host diversity; see also Fig. S1A to C in the supplemental material). The genetic diversity observed between clones/singletons was invariably >1,000 SNVs, reflecting the extensive diversity recognized as being present in the species (29). A variety of *E. coli* population structures were identified in human carriers. However, only one individual carried a monoclonal population; in this instance, all 16 isolates represented a single ST (ST-10), and a single core chromosomal SNV separated one isolate from the rest. In addition, all isolates from this individual carried *bla*<sub>CTX-M-55</sub> and had a homogeneous accessory component (see Fig. S1A in the supplemental material). In all other individuals, multiple STs were present, with at least one clone observed in each case.

A range of patterns was observed in the accessory genome, as represented by resistance and virulence genes. From the perspective of the clone, in total 11 clones (from seven individuals) had homogeneous accessory components, and seven clones (from five individuals) had heterogeneous accessory components. From the perspective of the individual, three individuals had clones with only homogeneous accessory components (Fig. 1A; see also Fig. S1A and B in the supplemental material), one had clones with only heterogeneous accessory components (Fig. 1C), and four had clones with both homogeneous and heterogeneous accessory components (Fig. 1B, D, and E; see also Fig. S1C).

Six of 18 clones showed observable diversity at the core genome level, in association with which the accessory genome could be either heterogeneous (Fig. 1B to E; see also Fig. S1A) or homogeneous (Fig. 1B; see also Fig. S1A). Additionally, two individuals had isolates of the same ST with substantial variation in the core genome; i.e., it exhibited within-ST SNV differences of 4,411 (Fig. 1E) and 11,315 (see Fig. S1C in the supplemental material). Notably, in the latter case, the accessory genome was invariant.

More extensive accessory genome diversity was observed among strains recovered from different individuals than observed in strains recovered from within a single individual (Fig. 2). Based on pairwise comparisons of accessory gene content in strains, the median pairwise interstrain difference was 0.17 (IQR, 0.09 to 0.25) between individuals versus 0.11 (IQR, 0.04 to 0.19) within individuals ($P = 0.0001$).
DISCUSSION

Significance of the study and implications for transmission studies of intestinally carried CTX-M-positive *E. coli*. This work represents, to our knowledge, the first WGS-based analysis of within-host fecal diversity of *E. coli*. Given the increasing importance of antimicrobial resistance in *E. coli*, we chose to focus on sampling individuals in a region where ESBL-producing *E. coli* is endemic. Although we sampled only a small group of human hosts (*n* = 8 individuals) from a single geographic location, the extent of diversity observed among ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates was substantial. In addition, this diversity existed at multiple levels, as follows: (i) at the isolate level (Fig. 1E) (up to 10 STs present when 16 colonies are sampled); (ii) at the ST level, where the same ST was separated by large numbers of SNVs (Fig. 1E; see also Fig. S1C); (iii) the level of accessory gene content (here represented by resistance and virulence genes), with mosaicism of individual genes across a clone (Fig. 1C); and (iv) the level of resistance genes, such as *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub>, with different variants present within a host or even an ST (Fig. 1B).

Because an individual may carry multiple antimicrobial-resistant genotypes, typing of a single resistant colony per host, by any method, is inadequate to rule out transmission. This may be less of an issue in countries and hospital settings where resistance prevalence is low and where ongoing dissemination of a single, resistant “outbreak” clone may be more likely. However, even if the diversity of ESBL-producing *E. coli* bacteria is currently restricted in such settings and if outbreaks are generally clonal, the situation may change as resistance prevalence continues to increase (8).

Here, we sequenced 16 individual colonies per fecal sample, which is highly resource intensive and, therefore, impracticable for routine surveillance. A previous study of *Clostridium difficile* demonstrated the successful use of sequencing mixtures of multiple colonies to estimate prevalence in fecal samples, to identify multiple-strain infection/colonization, and to type individual strains by *in silico* MLST (30). However, the expectation in that study, based on multiple-pick experiments similar to those conducted here, was that mixtures of no more than two subtypes were likely to be encountered. Given the extent of diversity identified in intestinal *E. coli* bacteria both here and in previous studies of *Enterobacteriaceae* (14), the methods used by Eyre et al. (30) would be inappropriate for these organisms in the vast majority of cases. More complex methods would be required to disentangle the complex population structures from short-read sequencing of mixed subcultures, if this is even possible.

One interesting question is what is the most appropriate denominator to use for calculating diversity in studies such as this. Our sampling frame should mean that, in principle, the isolates reflect the underlying frequency distribution of organisms present in each host, which is at least conditional on the sampling strategy, which here deliberately oversampled resistant organisms. Assuming each organism present has the same probability of being transmitted, by-isolate prevalence could be argued to reflect the transmission pressure most reliably. However, in all individuals at least 2 of the 16 sequenced colonies, and commonly appreciably more, were essentially identical at the core strain level; consequently, we also used a second denominator, clones plus singletons, to better reflect the diversity of discrete organisms present in the eight carriers.

**Limitations of the study.** An important limitation of the study was the inability to assess these isolates for the diversity of their mobile genetic elements, such as plasmids, in view of the difficulty in assembling these structures from short-read data. There is likely additional diversity at this level and/or shared plasmids within and among STs. Indeed, our analysis suggests that the across-clone similarity of *E. coli* accessory genomes is greater within than between individuals even when widely divergent isolates are present in a given host, supporting the hypothesis that horizontal gene transfer is occurring within individual hosts. This hypothesis could be further investigated by fully assembling plasmid populations using a long-read sequencing method, which would clarify the extent of plasmid transfer among genetically distinct strains within individuals.

We also made no specific comparisons with other typing methods, such as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) or RAPD analysis. However, PFGE has already been shown to have a lower typing resolution than WGS (32). Similarly, although the authors of a recent comparison of WGS with RAPD for the typing of fecal colonies concluded that RAPD analysis successfully identified isolates described as clonal by WGS (33), their definition of “clonal” was substantially less stringent than ours, allowing for up to 0.05% SNV differences across 1,776 single-copy core genes, conceivably representing several hundred SNVs and a significant evolutionary distance. The degree to which the additional resolution afforded by WGS substantively alters study findings and conclusions will ultimately depend on the specific question being addressed, but it enabled us to assay genetic variation at multiple, different levels using one assay.

While WGS represents a singular, unifying method by which to classify a range of genetic characteristics, the typing of multiple subcolonies using WGS is not an approach that is currently applicable to field use, and this study did not aim to identify an alternative, high-resolution, practicable method. Nevertheless, our findings have important implications for transmission studies of *E. coli*.
ESBL-producing E. coli in that if surveillance is dependent on characterizing only one or several resistant colony/colonies per sample for clonal background, resistance genes, other accessory traits, or some combination thereof, important transmission events could be missed. Our data highlight the need to characterize multiple resistant isolates per sample, by whatever typing method, to capture the diversity relevant to transmission of resistant strains.
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