A Novel Paramagnetic Relaxation Enhancement Tag for Nucleic Acids: A Tool to Study Structure and Dynamics of RNA
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ABSTRACT: In this work, we present a novel 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine 1-oxyl (TEMPO) radical phosphoramidite building block, which can be attached to the 5′-terminus of nucleic acids. To investigate the paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE) emanating from this radical center, we incorporated the TEMPO label into various types of RNAs. We measured proton PREs for selectively 13C-isotope labeled nucleotides to derive long-range distance restraints in a short 15 nucleotide stem–loop model system, underscoring the potential of the 5′-TEMPO tag to determine long-range distance restraints for solution structure determination. We subsequently applied the distance-dependent relaxation enhancement induced by the nitroxide radical to discern two folding states in a bistable RNA. Finally, we investigated the fast conformational sampling of the HIV-1 TAR RNA, a paradigm for structural flexibility in nucleic acids. With PRE NMR in combination with molecular dynamics simulations, the structural plasticity of this RNA was analyzed in the absence and presence of the ligand l-argininamide.

The structural and dynamic features of ribonucleic acids (RNA) are of central importance for exerting their manifold functions. Functional RNAs like ribozymes, riboswitches, or guide RNAs can fold into intricate three-dimensional architectures to which dynamics adds an additional layer of functional adaptability.1–3 NMR spectroscopy has proven to be a powerful tool to determine the solution structure of RNA and RNA–protein complexes.4–8 To this end and in analogy to protein NMR methods, classical structural NMR parameters, such as NOEs and scalar coupling restraints but also residual dipolar couplings (RDCs) are most commonly used. More recently, paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE) NMR proved to be an extremely powerful tool for solution structure determination but also to probe dynamics in biological macromolecules.9–11 PRE NMR is based on the introduction of a paramagnetic center, for example, an unpaired electron with an isotropic g-tensor like in nitroxide radicals or in the EDTA–Mn2+ complex. The experimental data yield long-range information arising from the r−6 distance dependence of the PRE effect. Furthermore, it can be exploited to address the structural plasticity of macromolecules fluctuating between transiently sampled excited states and a ground state.12,13 Paramagnetic probes can be roughly divided into two classes: (i) nitroxide stable radicals and (ii) metal chelators (like EDTA, DPTA, or metal binding peptide), the latter binding paramagnetic metal ions with a very high affinity. As recently reported, paramagnetic cosolute molecules can be used for solution structure determination and to identify solvent accessible regions of the macromolecule surface.14,15 The protocols for labeling proteins with paramagnetic tags are rather well established. For RNA, postsynthetic and direct synthetic labeling protocols using nitroxide radicals are established in the field of EPR spectroscopy.16–21 Very recently, an approach using convertible nucleosides and DNA-catalyzed RNA ligation was introduced holding the promise to address larger RNAs, like riboswitch aptamer domains.22 Some of these methods, however, might not be applicable for NMR spectroscopic applications due to issues concerning larger sample amounts in NMR spectroscopy, labeling efficiency, and chemical stability, reflected by the small number of RNA PRE NMR applications in the literature.23,24 Here, we introduce a new phosphoramidite building block, 1, which can be used to attach a nitroxide radical tag at the 5′-terminus of a nucleic acid. We demonstrate a range of possible applications of this PRE tag. In detail, we used a short hairpin construct to determine the potential of the 5′-radical moiety to derive long distance restraints, which represent valuable parameters in the solution structure determination process. For a bistable RNA, multiple stable folding states were assigned based on the PRE effect. Finally, transiently sampled conformational states for the HIV-1 TAR RNA were investigated in its uncomplexed form and bound to the ligand l-argininamide.
Furthermore, we complemented our study with a molecular dynamic simulation of the HIV-1 TAR RNA.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Synthesis of TEMPO Amidite 1 and Incorporation into RNA.** With the commercially available 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine 1-oxyl, the desired phosphoramidite building block can be obtained in excellent yields (95%) by application of standard phosphorylation conditions. Further analytical data of 1 can be found in the Supporting Information (Supporting Figure 1a,b). The synthesis scheme of the nitroxide radical amidite 1 is shown below (Scheme 1). The obtained building block 1 is fully compatible with the RNA solid phase synthesis approach. As a proof of principle system, we chose a small, well-folded 15 nt hairpin RNA, 3. The RNA was assembled under typical RNA solid phase conditions and the TEMPO amidite was attached at the 5′-terminus using standard conditions with the activator 5-benzylthio-1H-tetrazole and 2 min coupling time. Notably, there is no final detritylation step, because the TEMPO phosphoramidite 1 does not carry a trityl group (Scheme 2). Thus, in contrast to

![Scheme 1. One-Step Synthesis of the TEMPO Phosphoramidite 1](image)

"(2-Cyanoethyl)-N,N-diisopropyl chlorophosphoramidite (CEP-Cl), N-ethyldimethylamine (DMEA) in CH₂Cl₂, 30 min at rt, 95%.

![Scheme 2. 5′-Tagging of Target RNAs with TEMPO Phosphoramidite 1](image)

"5′-Benzylthio-1H-tetrazole in anhydrous acetonitrile, 2 min at rt, >98%, then capping A/B 1/1, 2 min at rt, then oxidation solution, 1 min at rt; then capping A/B 1/1, 2 min at rt. For the compositions of capping A and B and oxidation solution please refer to Materials and Methods section. The coupling of 1 was carried out on an ABI 391 DNA/RNA synthesizer.

other approaches that use modified phosphoramidites to place labels at internal positions in the sequence, the nitroxide radical is not subjected to repeated detritylation and oxidation steps, which can lead to partial degradation of the radical moiety through a disproportionation mechanism. Thus, despite of a lack in flexibility concerning sample design, 5′-tagging confers the advantage of chemical stability.

We subsequently synthesized longer RNAs comprising 27 nt (6) and 32 nt (5). The anion-exchange chromatograms of the TEMPO labeled RNAs 3, 5, and 6 comprising site-specific 13C-modifications are shown in the Supporting Information (Supporting Figure 1c). As judged from the HPLC traces, the TEMPO tag is incorporated at the 5′-terminus with at least 98% efficiency. By LC-MS, the integrity of the 15 nt hairpin RNA 3, the 32 nt bistable RNA 5, and the HIV-1 TAR RNA 6 all including the TEMPO tag was checked (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>13C-labels</th>
<th>length</th>
<th>yield</th>
<th>molecular weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 (A, C, U)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>5053.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 (C)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>10361.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8 (C)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>8820.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sequence identifier. Number of 13C-labels (nucleotide type C = 6-13C-cytidine, U = 6-13C-uridine, A = 8-13C-adenosine). Number of nucleotides. Yield from 2 μmol synthesis scale.

accuracy of the reported mass data does not allow us to fully rule out the formation of traces of degraded TEMPO-tagged RNAs. However, from numerical simulations, we estimate that systematic errors arising from up to 10% diamagnetic impurities (in case of overlap of signals) on the extraction of distances is not exceeding experimental errors (Supporting Figure 1d, Supporting Information).

**Read-out of PRE Data Using Site-Specifically Modified 13C-RNAs.** In principle, it would be possible to run PRE experiments on otherwise unlabeled RNA, either in a 1D or a 2D manner (via homonuclear scalar coupling or distance correlations). However, in this case one would lose important advantages characteristic to NMR isotope labeling schemes, like higher spectral resolution by isotope editing, or will be confronted with more complicated resonance assignment procedures due to spectral crowding in the proton chemical shift dimension. In analogy to procedures of protein NMR, uniform labeling with 15N would yield AX spin systems at the imino sites of guanosine (G N1-H) and uridine (U N3-H). These protons are directly involved in hydrogen bonding and can be easily identified and assigned by their distinct chemical shift signature between 10 and 15 ppm and could potentially serve as read-out sites. The major drawback of using imino protons is their fast exchange with bulk solvent protons, a process that affects the magnetic history of the site (i.e., the read-out resonance carries information about the spectroscopic properties of water molecule, including relaxation rates) and introduces line broadening, which may be prohibitive for extracting reliable relaxation rates. Solvent exchange is found in double stranded regions leading to moderate (up to strong) line broadening and is most pronounced for single stranded regions rendering the nitrogen bound imino protons unobservable. Because the PRE effect is obtained by determining differences in the proton transverse relaxation rates, imino protons with their partially strongly enhanced line widths are not the most suitable reporter spins for extracting reliable PRE data.

For the purpose of extracting reliable information, it is desirable to work with sensitive, nonexchangeable spin systems with simple relaxation properties, such as AX spin systems in an otherwise magnetically dilute environment. Chemical synthesis of RNA via isotope-modified phosphoramidites is perfectly suited to achieve this goal. Here, we used 13C-2H groups in...
nucleobases at chosen positions in the RNA sequence. These nonexchangeable protons present adequate spin systems for obtaining high quality paramagnetic relaxation data in both double and single stranded nucleic acid topologies. We earlier introduced 6,13C-pyrimidine phosphoraminidates to address conformational dynamics at the micro- to millisecond time regime using relaxation dispersion NMR. Recently, we successfully expanded the repertoire of 13C-modified RNA building blocks with 8,13C-modified purine RNA phosphoraminidates making it possible to freely choose the desired 13C-labeling pattern as shown within this work (unpublished data). We found that these building blocks are also very useful to extract reliable relaxation enhancement effects induced by the TEMPO radical as exemplified by the three following examples.

Extraction of PRE Long-Range Distance Restraints for RNA Solution Structure Determination. The effect of paramagnetic relaxation enhancement not only depends on the distance between the paramagnetic center and the spin of interest but also on its time-modulation, which comprises the flexibility of both the spin and the radical tag. The PRE effect (otherwise extending to distances up to 30 Å) may be scaled down significantly by such structural flexibility. Since in our systems the radical is located at the 5′-end of the RNAs, which is a position with potentially higher flexibility (e.g., due to fraying of the terminal base pair or due to a single stranded 5′-terminal sequence region), we first used the rather static and well-defined 15 nucleotide hairpins 2 and 3 (Figure 1a) to test isolated 13C−1H spin systems whose proton transverse relaxation properties were determined via 13C−1H amplitude modulated correlation maps using an experiment previously published. A superposition of spectra without and with TEMPO is shown (Figure 1b). The nitroxide spin label introduces slight variations in chemical shifts due to the slightly altered chemical environment, but neither the heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) nor the imino proton spectra indicated a perturbation of the general fold of hairpins 2 and 3 (Supporting Figure 2a,b, Supporting Information). The Rₚ proton decays are shown in the Supporting Information (Supporting Figure 3a). For the hairpin RNA 2, comprising well-known structure motifs, we obtained a structural model by using the MC-FOLD/MC-SYM pipeline, which was used to cross-validate our data. The correlation time (τc) estimated by HydroPro NMR amounted to 3.2 ns. It turned out that the distances obtained from the experimental data are in very good agreement with the predicted structure (Table 2, Supporting Figure 3b, Supporting Information). The residue A3, which is the closest sequential and spatial neighbor of the TEMPO tag has a PRE of almost 30 s⁻¹, which corresponds to a distance of about 13 Å. We see nonzero PREs at all labeled 13C-sites. This holds true even for C7 and A8, which are located in the extra-stable GNRA tetraloop that is about 20 Å away from the tag. The good agreement with predicted distances illustrates the potential of the label for implementation into 3D solution structure determination protocols for RNA and RNA–RNA or RNA–protein complexes.

Identification of Stable RNA Folding States. Model systems mimicking slowly interconverting RNA species, so-called bistable RNAs, were introduced by Micura and coworkers about 10 years ago. For these RNAs, two competing secondary structure folds with nearly degenerate free energies are almost equally populated, their interconversion rates being so slow as to give rise to distinct peaks in NMR spectra (i.e., the chemical shift separation is much larger than the interconversion rate). Furthermore, if the interconversion rate is much slower than relaxation such that averaging of relaxation rates is inefficient, the two PRE effects from the two folds can be investigated separately. Thus, we used a 32 nt bistable RNA 5 bearing one cytidine 6,13C-label, that is located at a position that is either close to (fold 5a) or remote from (fold 5b) the 5′-TEMPO tag (Figure 2a,b). Again, using one construct without the TEMPO label (bistable RNA 4, Supporting Figure 2c, Supporting Information) and one equipped with the radical moiety (bistable RNA 5), we found a PRE only for one of the folds (Figure 2c, Table 2), reflecting their significantly different distances to the radical center. From this data, unequivocal assignment of resonance C15a to fold 5a is possible. This demonstrates that in larger RNAs where other NMR approaches (e.g., comparative imino proton approach) meet limitations PRE tagging is an option for fold assignments by NMR.

PRE To Study Fast Dynamics in the HIV-1 TAR RNA. As a final example, we wanted to address fast dynamics in RNA using the novel RNA PRE tagging methodology. A paradigm for such fast dynamics on the submicrosecond time scale is the HIV-1 transactivation response (TAR) RNA 6. This viral RNA was extensively studied and undergoes rigorous conformational exchange on the fast NMR chemical shift time scale. The high degree of structural flexibility enables this RNA to bind various ligands, following a conformational selection mechanism. In particular, the bulge UCU residues represent a dynamic

Figure 1. PRE for the determination of long-range distance restraints. (a) The 15 nt RNAs 2 and 3 and the 5′-TEMPO tag introduced via a phosphodiester bridge. The 13C-modified nucleotides are highlighted in orange. (b) 1H−13C-HSQC spectra of RNA 2 (black) and 3 (orange). The PRE effect is most pronounced for residue A3. Structural model of the 15 nt RNA 3 visualizing the topology of the construct.
### Table 2. PRE Data, Correlation Times ($\tau_c$), PRE Effects, and PRE Derived and Predicted Distances of RNAs 3, 5, 6, and 6ARG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNA ID$^a$</th>
<th>residue$^b$</th>
<th>PRE $^{\text{1H}}<em>\Gamma, \mu</em>{\text{r}}^{-1}$</th>
<th>correlation time ($\tau_c$), ns$^d$</th>
<th>distance (PRE), Å$^c$</th>
<th>distance (predicted), Å$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>30.46 ± 1.51</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.79</td>
<td>18.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A8</td>
<td>5.04 ± 0.78</td>
<td>17.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U12</td>
<td>5.45 ± 0.82</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C15a</td>
<td>37.22 ± 2.83</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C15b</td>
<td>2.24 ± 2.78</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C19</td>
<td>18.29 ± 2.39</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>15.29 ± 0.34</td>
<td>15.71 ± 1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C24</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>29.32 ± 2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C29</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>28.22 ± 4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C33</td>
<td>2.67 ± 1.52</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>22.31 ± 4.42</td>
<td>34.05 ± 3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C37</td>
<td>2.25 ± 1.36</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>23.11 ± 4.52</td>
<td>27.10 ± 4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C39</td>
<td>3.94 ± 2.13</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>21.21 ± 4.40</td>
<td>19.97 ± 4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C41</td>
<td>6.77 ± 2.51</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>18.97 ± 1.83</td>
<td>19.54 ± 3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C44</td>
<td>14.80 ± 2.27</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>15.69 ± 0.37</td>
<td>17.84 ± 2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6ARG</td>
<td>C19</td>
<td>14.26 ± 2.16</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>15.92 ± 0.43</td>
<td>15.61 ± 1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C24</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.68</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>25.55 ± 5.12</td>
<td>31.76 ± 2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C29</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>29.61 ± 4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C33</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>34.49 ± 3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C37</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>25.71 ± 3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C39</td>
<td>10.87 ± 2.60</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>16.96 ± 0.75</td>
<td>19.73 ± 3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C41</td>
<td>5.51 ± 2.85</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>19.82 ± 3.98</td>
<td>19.27 ± 3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C44</td>
<td>8.28 ± 2.14</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>18.11 ± 0.90</td>
<td>17.79 ± 2.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$RNA identifier. $^b$A = adenosine; C = cytidine; U = uridine. $^c$Paramagnetic relaxation enhancement effect determined as the difference between proton transverse relaxation rate from RNAs with and without TEMPO tag or by radical reduction using ascorbic acid ($\text{HIV-1 TAR RNA mimic by the 6-13C-modified argininamide}$). $^d$Correlation time estimates from HydroPro NMR$^3$ or from $^{13C}_\text{R}_6$ and $^{13C}_\mu_{\text{r}}$ relaxation measurements (6 and 6ARG); $^e$PRE derived distance. $^f$Distances from structural model$^3$ or from molecular dynamic runs (6 and 6ARG). $^g$Not available. $^h$Not determined. Values with PRE error of 100% and more are considered insignificant and were not determined.

hotspot, where a large scale concerted bending and twisting of the upper and lower helix has been reported. Contrary to the previous case of the bistable RNA 5, where the relaxation rates of two stable states were not disturbed by the slow exchange process, here one expects to find traces of the presence of transiently populated conformation states in the relaxation rates of an affected resonance. In the first place, we wanted to address the conformational heterogeneity of the free HIV-1 TAR RNA by determining the conformationally averaged PRE derived distances. Second, we used our approach to investigate the supposed rigidification of the molecule in the presence of the HIV-1 TAR RNA ligand argininamide and to pinpoint structural or dynamic changes upon binding in a site-resolved manner. To this end, we replaced all cytidine residues of a 27 nt HIV-1 TAR RNA mimic by the 6-13C-modified counterparts and added a TEMPO moiety at the 5'-terminus yielding construct 6. The $^{1H}$-$^{13C}$-HSQC spectrum of this RNA 6 confirmed the preservation of the correct fold as only minor chemical shift deviations to the unmodified sequence were found (Figure 3a).

The PREs obtained on the sample in the absence of argininamide were detectable for nucleotides C19, C41, and C44 and to a smaller extent for C33, C37, and C39. For two residues, C24 and C29, no significant PRE effect was observed (Table 2). The immediate conclusion is that the two nucleotides (C19 and C44) that are spatially close (and located close to the 5'- and 3' termini, respectively) are strongly affected by the presence of the radical. The other nucleotides that exhibit a verifiable PRE are closer to the 3'-end of the RNA (C41, C39, and C37, in order of descending PRE) and in the loop (C33) (Supporting Figure 5a, Supporting Information). To translate the PRE effect into distances, we used the individual cytidine $\tau_c$ values (Table 2) determined from the ratio of the $^{13C}_\text{R}_6$/$\mu_{\text{r}}$ rates (ranging from 3.96 to 5.27 ns, with an average of 4.61 ns).$^{31-33}$ We compared the PRE derived distances between the 5'-radical center and the H6 cytidine distances with mean values obtained from various HIV-1 TAR RNA structures deposited in the PDB comprising nine structures with different ligands bound (Supporting Table 1, Supporting Information). Noteworthy, a good correlation between the values was obtained for the averaged distances of the structure ensemble,$^{30}$ indicating that it is possible using PRE to pick up the signatures of transiently sampled states (Figure 4). Furthermore, it was earlier shown that MD simulations succeed to reproduce the conformational sampling of the free HIV-1 TAR RNA, like the concerted bending and twisting movement.$^{34,35}$ Thus, we decided to conduct a 1 μs MD simulation on the TEMPO tagged RNA 6. In the simulation, the free RNA samples various distinct conformational states in accordance with the earlier findings.$^{34}$ After about 100 ns, the free RNA relaxes from the ligand-bound template structure toward the free ensemble. Two distinct free conformations can be identified, with the high-energy conformation present around 10% of the time. Details of conformational sampling are given as two-dimensional RMSD plots in the Supporting Information (Supporting Figure 7a,b). The time-averaged H6-cytidine-TEMPO distances from this MD run are also in good agreement with the PRE-derived distances of the structural ensemble of the free RNA 6. In particular, the residues close to the paramagnetic center are very well reproduced by the weighted arithmetic mean values of distances in the trajectory. The correlations of the PRE derived distances with the ones obtained from the PDB structure
ensemble and those obtained from the MD simulation are shown (Figure 4).

One notable difference between PRE derived distances and MD derived distances is posed by C33, a nucleotide that is rather far away in the sequence but according to our experimental data seems to sample states of closer distance to the radical. This finding is in accordance with the large scale dynamical twisting and bending of the upper and lower stem.30 The apparent discrepancy between the NMR and the molecular dynamics data is possibly a time frame issue. Whereas our MD run covers a trajectory of 1 μs, the PRE data are sensitive to dynamics occurring at various time scales ranging up to milliseconds and beyond.

Next we probed the effect of argininamide binding to HIV-1 TAR RNA 6 on the PRE. To saturate the binding pocket, we added a 5-fold excess of argininamide to the TEMPO tagged RNA 6. However, we were confronted with different line broadening effects arising from the residual exchange between the free and the ligand bound states in the two samples due to slight variations in the RNA/ligand stoichiometry (reported dissociation constants for the binding of argininamide to the HIV-1 TAR RNA range between 150 μM and 1 mM). This provided an additional source of different relaxation behavior between the two samples, and in turn, led to partially false (even negative) PRE effects and erroneous DNA 6 and an untagged analog. However, we were confronted with different line broadening effects arising from the residual exchange between the free and the ligand bound states in the two samples due to slight variations in the RNA/ligand stoichiometry (reported dissociation constants for the binding of argininamide to the HIV-1 TAR RNA range between 150 μM and 1 mM). This provided an additional source of different relaxation behavior between the two samples, and in turn, led to partially false (even negative) PRE effects and erroneous
distances. Thus, we performed a reduction step on the TEMPO labeled HIV-1 TAR RNA argininamide complex 6ARG and compared proton relaxation rates obtained on one sample. We found that PREs that were strong in the free form were attenuated slightly (C19, C41, C44), quenched entirely (C33, C37), or increased (C39), pointing to a structural alteration due to ligand binding (Supporting Figure 6a,d). An MD simulation on the binary RNA–argininamide complex shows this conformation is preserved within the ligand-bound simulation. A second high-energy conformation is present in the ligand-bound simulation around 5% of the time. Details on the ligand-bound conformational ensemble as well as a detailed comparison with the free state conformational ensemble are given in the Supporting Information (Supporting Figures 8a,b and 9). The correlation of the experimentally derived averaged PRE distances with the MD trajectory derived time-averaged distances was again very good (Supporting Figure 6b,c, Supporting Information). The spatial approximation of C39, however, is not as pronounced in the MD simulation as implied by our experimental data. Two representative superpositions of histograms from the MD trajectory with experimental data before and after ligand binding are shown (Figure 5). In light of the previous experimental finding of rigidification of HIV-1 TAR RNA in the presence of argininamide, we tend to interpret our results in the sense that the bulge region adopts a more compact state (C39 comes closer to the S′ end) and that the sampling of transient conformational states that include the UUCG loop residue C35 is arrested by ligand binding.30

**Figure 5.** Comparison of MD/PRE derived distances. Comparison of histograms from MD simulations (red lines) with experimentally determined PRE derived distances (based on Monte Carlo simulations, black bar plots) of two representative nucleotides. (a) Results for C19 in free (left) and in the argininamide bound (right) state. (b) Results for C39 in free (left) and in the argininamide bound (right) state. Each histogram was constructed with the number of bins adjusted to the width of the sampled distances so as to give bars of the same width. The maxima of the MD distance distributions are normalized with respect to the maxima of the experimental distance distributions. Note that the distributions from the MD trajectory correspond to states that are actually sampled, whereas the distributions of experimental data are merely experimental errors of the mean values based on the signal-to-noise ratio in the spectra. Experimentally derived distances are a function of PRE \(^{-1/6}\) resulting in a slight skew toward higher values even if PREs are equally distributed (simulations not shown).

**Conclusions.** We have introduced a labeling scheme that permits facile and reliable extraction of long distance restraints in well-structured RNA molecules. The approach is based on tagging of the S′-terminus with a stable radical with a symmetric g-tensor using a TEMPO phosphoramidite derivative. Existing protocols use amino- or thioate-modified RNAs and a postsynthetic labeling step or, more recently, the convertible nucleoside approach or a labeling strategy based on click chemistry, to introduce the nitroxide label also at internal positions. Another synthetic route is represented by an enzymatic scheme, where T7 RNA polymerase assisted transamination with guanosine monophosphorothioate priming was used to introduce a reactive sulfur center at the S′ terminus, which can be modified with a spin label. A similar concept was reported by Qin and co-workers. They used the T4 polynucleotide kinase to place a S′-phosphorothioate group onto chemically and enzymatically synthesized RNAs. The sulfur was subsequently modified with an iodomethyl-modified nitroxide label.

Regarding the requirements for obtaining quantitative and reliable NMR data, our approach confers the important advantages over existing protocols of high sample amounts, homogeneity, and chemical stability. Furthermore, by our chemical synthesis, it is straightforward to combine the S′-radical tag with other chemically modified labels of interest representing the sites that sense the S′-tag and that can be freely chosen (as shown in our work). This is also of importance in NMR spectroscopic investigations for several practical reasons such as spectral crowding, relaxation properties of spin systems, or introducing various NMR-active nuclei. In addition, as the presented labeling scheme is fully compatible with internal radical tagging using either spin-labeled phosphoramidites or postsynthetic derivatization, RNA constructs suitable for advanced EPR methods could become feasible. Therefore we consider our synthetic solution a valuable expansion to existing protocols.

For reading out PREs, we used aromatic \(^{13}\)C–\(^1\)H labeling at H6–C6 of pyrimidine and H8–C8 of purine nucleotides. These aromatic spin systems have the advantage of being dilute in terms of proton density, reducing the sources of side effects from scalar coupling or cross-correlated relaxation. The approach is nevertheless versatile with respect to the introduction of any other spin label, for example, fluorine-modified or 2′-O-\(^{15}\)CH\(_3\) nucleotides.\(^{40,41}\) It is of advantage to observe proton or fluorine PREs because their gyromagnetic ratios enter into the extent of the PRE effect.

We chose to determine the PRE from the difference of proton transverse relaxation rates (\(^3\)H–\(^1\)Γ\(_2\)) mainly for two reasons: (i) the \(^3\)H–\(^1\)Γ\(_2\) PRE is a highly sensitive probe due to the large gyromagnetic ratio of the proton and the dependence of \(^3\)H–\(^1\)Γ\(_2\) on the spectral density function at zero frequency and (ii) the transverse \(^3\)H–\(^1\)Γ\(_2\) rate is much less susceptible to internal dynamics and cross relaxation artifacts than the longitudinal PRE rate (\(^3\)H–\(^1\)Γ\(_1\)).\(^{40}\)

To measure paramagnetic relaxation enhancement, we used mostly two samples that differed only in the presence of the radical tag in one of them (hairpin 2/3, bistable RNA 4/S). This approach works well for samples that are otherwise identical, such that no additional sources of differences in proton relaxation rates are present. It offers the advantage that the samples can be reused, in contrast to the approach commonly used for proteins, where the nitroxide radical is quenched by the addition of, for example, ascorbic acid.\(^{42}\) We
worked at low sample concentrations (about 300–400 μM) compared with the standard sample amounts used in biomolecular NMR spectroscopy (i.e., 1 mM). Thereby constant relaxation rates are ensured, and the probability of intermolecular relaxation enhancement is reduced.

We used multipoint data to fit the exponential proton transverse relaxation decays and to extract the PRE effects. It is also possible to determine PRE from 2-point data. However, because PRE effects are sometimes small, as in the case of the HIV-1 TAR RNA, we opted to acquire multipoint decays to increase the reliability of the data. Another experimental issue that has to be taken into account is the fact that the motional correlation time has to be determined (e.g., by $\tau_c/R_\rho$ measurements) in order to extract reliable distance information. Because the PRE effect, via the spectral density functions, depends directly on $\tau_c$ (see Material and Methods section), the PRE derived distances show a $\tau_c^{1/6}$ dependence and are thus not extremely sensitive to experimental errors in correlation times (Supporting Figure 4, Supporting Information).

So far we could show that introduction of a single radical located at the 5′-end of the RNA is suitable for addressing structural and dynamic features of RNAs comprising up to 30 nucleotides. For NMR PRE studies on larger nucleic acids, internal radical labeling will become an inevitable challenge, which will be alleviated by NMR hardware advances (e.g., cryogenic probes) reducing the required sample amounts to low micromolar concentrations. Reliable information can be obtained for distances of up to about 20 Å for molecules with correlation times on the order of 4–6 ns. The dependence of the PRE on correlation time implies that for larger molecules, this limit is pushed to larger distances.

As a first application, we addressed the potential of the 5′-end tag for the extraction of long-range distance restraints, which represent valuable parameters in the solution structure determination process. For that purpose, we chose a well-structured 15 nt hairpin RNA and found a very good agreement of PREs derived with modeled structure-based distances for this short RNA hairpin. One major concern regarding the suitability of our labeling scheme was the conformational flexibility of the 5′-end, which can, by reducing the observable PRE, severely compromise the extracted distance information. Note that in the 15 nt hairpin, the 5′-end is base-paired and further stabilized by a dangling 3′-nucleotide, keeping the intrinsic flexibility of the tag as low as possible. For the two other systems under investigation (bistable RNA 5 and HIV-TAR RNA 6) the flexibility of the 5′-nitroxide moiety was restricted by attaching it to stems comprising at least four consecutive base pairs. The agreement of PRE- and structure-derived distances found for the short hairpin supports the notion that indeed in such a system the dynamics of the radical center is of minor importance. However, we expect that a longer, more flexible 5′-end will render the labeling scheme unsuitable for obtaining reliable PRE data.

As a second application, we have chosen a bistable RNA, as an example of structural heterogeneity. We obtained encouraging results in terms of discerning between different folds of a bistable RNA, where the PRE is unperturbed by the very slow dynamics of the system. As a final example, we investigated conformational dynamics in the fast exchange regime. We conducted PRE experiments on the HIV-1 TAR RNA, a well-known paradigm for RNA structural plasticity. The molecule is known to undergo large-scale dynamic reorientation of the upper and lower stem. Here, the PRE reflects an average value over all sampled conformations. We found indications for the sampling of multiple transient conformations in the free HIV-1 TAR RNA, which is important for this RNA to bind various ligands. We were able to confirm the structural alterations induced by binding of argininamide. The PRE based results are in good agreement with an MD trajectory conducted on HIV-1 TAR RNA 6 with and without argininamide bound, underscoring the potential of the approach in describing functionally important states in dynamic RNAs. Furthermore, the PRE data can be used to validate RNA MD simulations. Another, very exciting, application of the TEMPO tagged RNAs, which we will explore in the near future, is the NMR spectroscopic characterization of RNA–protein interactions.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Synthesis of TEMPO Amidite 1.

4-Hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine 1-oxyl-4-O-[2′-cyanoethyl-N,N-disopropylphosphoramidite] (1). Tetramethylammonium 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) (0.25 M) solutions were dried over freshly calcined 4A molecular sieves overnight. The following reagents were used: detritylation solution, 4% dichloroacetic acid in 1,2-dichloroethane; capping A, 5.0 g of 4-(dimethylamino)-pyridine (DMAP) in ethane; capping B, 25 mL of water. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 1 M triethylammonium fluoride) in THF (250 μL). The volume was reduced to 20 μL at RT for 6h. The resulting mixture was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with half-saturated sodium bicarbonate solution. The organic phase was dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica (ethyl acetate/hexanes 4/6) to give compound 1. Yield: 515 mg (95%). TLC (ethyl acetate/hexanes 4/6): Rf = 0.45. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, δ = 4.04–4.15 (br, 24H); 2.77 (br, 4H); 3.63–3.67 (br, 8H) ppm. $^13$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, δ = 140.66 (br) ppm.

## Synthesis of RNAs with 5′-TEMPO Tag.

The TEMPO amidite 1 was used in combination with 13C-modified phosphoramidites and with 2′-O-TOM protected building blocks (ChemGenes) to synthesize RNA sequences. Custom primer support PS 200 (GE Healthcare) with an average loading of 80 μmol g⁻¹ was used. The sequences were synthesized on an Applied Biosystems 391 PCR Mate using self-written RNA synthesis cycles. Amidite (0.1 M) and activator (5-benzylthio-1H-tetrazole, 0.25 M) solutions were dried over freshly activated molecular sieves overnight. The following reagents were used: detritylation solution, 4% dichloroacetic acid in 1,2-dichloroethane; capping A, 5.0 g of 4-(dimethylamino)-pyridine (DMAP) in 50 mL acetonitrile (0.5 M); capping B, 25 mL of acetonitrile, 15 mL of N,N-collidine, and 10 mL of acetic anhydride (50/30/20); oxidation solution, 250 mg of iodine in 35 mL of THF, 10 mL of pyridine, and 5 mL of water.

The removal of protecting groups and the cleavage from solid support was achieved by treatment with aqueous methylamine (40%, 650 μL) and ethanolic methylamine (8 M, 650 μL) at RT for 6–8 h. After evaporation of the alkaline deprotection solution, the 2′-O-protecting groups were removed by adding 1 M TBAF (tetraethylammonium fluoride) in THF (1200 μL). After 16 h at 310 K, the reaction was quenched by the addition of 1 M triethylammonium acetate (TEAA, pH 7.0, 1200 μL). The volume was reduced to approximately 1 mL and then applied on a HiPrep 26/10 desalting column (GE Healthcare). The crude RNAs were eluted with water, evaporated to dryness, and dissolved in 1 mL of water.

The quality of the crude RNAs was checked by anion exchange chromatography on a Dionex DNA Pac PA-100 column (4 mm × 250 mm) using our standard eluents and at elevated temperature (80 °C). Purification of the RNA sequences was achieved by applying the crude RNA on a semipreparative Dionex DNA Pac PA-100 column (9 mm × 250 mm). The fractions containing the desired RNA were pooled and loaded on a C18 SepPak cartridge (Waters) to remove HPLC buffer salts. The RNA triethylammonium salt form was then eluted from the C18 column with water/acetonitrile (1/1, v/v) and lyophilized. The integrity of the RNA was further checked by mass spectrometry on a C18 column. The quality of the crude RNAs was checked via anion exchange chromatography on a Dionex DNA Pac PA-100 column (4 mm × 250 mm) using our standard eluents and at elevated temperature (80 °C). Purification of the RNA sequences was achieved by applying the crude RNA on a semipreparative Dionex DNA Pac PA-100 column (9 mm × 250 mm). The fractions containing the desired RNA were pooled and loaded on a C18 SepPak cartridge (Waters) to remove HPLC buffer salts. The RNA triethylammonium salt form was then eluted from the C18 column with water/acetonitrile (1/1, v/v) and lyophilized. The integrity of the RNA was further checked by mass spectrometry on a
Finnigan LCQ Advantage MAX ion trap instrumentation connected to an Amersham Ettan micro LC (GE Healthcare).

**NMR Spectroscopy.** RNA samples were lyophilized as the triethylammonium salts and dissolved in the corresponding buffer in 9/1 H₂O/D₂O. The 15 nt hairpin RNAs 2 and 3 and the 32 nt bistable RNAs 4 and 5 were dissolved in 20 mM sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 6.4. The HIV-1 TAR RNA 6 was dissolved in 15 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.4) and 25 mM sodium chloride. Assignments were obtained by single ¹³C-labeled RNAs (hairpin 2/3) or by reference sequences (bistable RNA 4/5) or were earlier reported (HIV-1 TAR RNA 6/6ARG). Relaxation data in the absence and presence of the TEMPO tag were recorded either on two equivalent Avance II+ instrument or on an Agilent DD2 instrument operating at 500 MHz proton larmor frequency. The 2D heteronuclear correlation spectra were processed using NMRPipe and visualized using NMRDraw.²⁵

For determining the PRE effect, we used the difference in transverse proton relaxation rates (R₂) based on an earlier published pulse sequence.²⁷ The rates were determined from multipoint data recorded at 11.7 T at 298 K. For the 15 nt hairpin RNAs, the relaxation delays were set to 3, 6, 10, 16, 20, 28, 36, and 44 ms, the size of the data matrix was 1024 × 64 data points. For the 32 nt bistable RNAs, the relaxation delays were set to 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, and 20 ms with repeat experiments at 6 and 10 ms, with the 2048 × 48 complex data points. For the HIV-1 TAR RNAs, relaxation delays were set to 3, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, and 32 ms with data sets of 2048 × 64 to 2048 × 96 complex points. The ¹³C-R₂ and R₁,₂ experiments for the HIV-1 TAR RNA cytidines C6 were taken from the BioPack pulse sequence library. The ¹³C-R₂ and R₁,₂ rates were determined at 11.7 T at 298 K. The relaxation delays were set to 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 35, and 50 (R₁,₂) and 0, 20, 50, 150, 200, and 300 ms (R₂), respectively. The typical size of the data matrices for relaxation data spectra was 2048 × 64 to 2048 × 80 complex data points. The number of scans was in a range between 32 and 128, and the interscan delay was 1.3 s to yield total measuring times of 16–24 h per experiment.

**NMR Data Analysis.** NMR spectra were analyzed using the NMRPipe and NMRDraw software packages.²⁵ The peak intensities from the ¹H T₂ experiments were determined by summing over an adequate grid of data points centered at the peak maxima. Subsequent steps were carried out using in-house written Matlab scripts (The MathWorks, www.mathworks.com).

The PRE is equivalent to the difference in proton transverse relaxation rates obtained by fitting monoexponential decays to data sets obtained on samples with and without TEMPO label. The experimental proton decays of transverse magnetization are well represented by a monoexponential function as relaxation pathways leading to cross-correlated relaxation are negligible to the sparseness of nearby NMR-active nuclei (in particular, CSA-DD H–CH cross-correlated relaxation appears to be very small). The PRE is translated into distance information using the following relations:

\[
r = \sqrt[6]{\frac{K}{\text{PRE}} \left( 4f(0) + 3f(0)_{\text{PRE}} \right)}
\]

\[
f(0)_{\text{PRE}} = \frac{\tau_C}{1 + (\omega_0 r_C)^2}
\]

\[
\tau_C^{-1} = r_k^{-1} + \tau_x^{-1} \approx r_k^{-1}
\]

where r is the distance between the paramagnetic center and the observed spin in cm and the constant K is given by

\[
K = \frac{1}{15} \left( S(5 + 1) \left( \mu_B g \chi \right)^2 \right) = 1.23 \times 10^{-32} \text{cm}^6 \text{s}^{-2}
\]
6ARG, MD distance distributions and PRE derived distances of the free HIV-1 TAR RNA 6 and binary HIV-1 TAR RNA argininamide complex 6ARG, correlation of PRE derived distances versus MD time averaged distances of the binary HIV-1 TAR RNA argininamide complex 6ARG. Analysis of the simulation of the TEMPO-tagged HIV-1 TAR RNA (6) and HIV-1 TAR RNA in complex with argininamide (6ARG), and comparison of the holo-HIV-1 TAR RNA (6) and the argininamide complex (6ARG) MD simulations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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