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LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Coccygeal Fracture Pain Cured by Sacral Neuromodulation: A Case Report

To the Editor:

There are many painful syndromes that are often difficult to treat. One option is electrotherapy, also known as neurostimulation. The Gate Control Theory first described by Melzack and Wall (1) in 1965 postulated that nerves carrying painful peripheral stimuli and nerves carrying touch and vibratory sensation both terminate in the dorsal horn, which acts as the gate of the spinal cord. They hypothesized that input to these nerves could “close the gate” to the painful stimuli, thereby eliminating pain.

Based on the Gate Control Theory, Shealy et al. (2) in 1967 showed success in treatment of chronic pain using the first spinal cord stimulator. Continuing this work, Shimoji et al. (3) in 1993 created epidural spinal cord stimulation (SCS) that displayed analgesic properties.

SCS use has been widespread, and is Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved for numerous conditions. In addition to cervical, thoracic, and lumbar stimulators, there is also sacral neuromodulation.

Sacral neuromodulation (InterStim®, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) has been indicated by the FDA for the treatment of urinary urgency, urinary frequency, and fecal incontinence. It is the only approved sacral neuromodulator. However, very little data exist on its use for chronic pain or lower back pain. We describe a case where InterStim was used to treat urinary urgency/frequency symptoms and incidentally relieved chronic lower back pain from a previous pelvic fracture.

CASE REPORT

A 74-year-old G2P2 postmenopausal female presented with long-standing urge-dominant mixed urinary incontinence who previously failed two anticholinergic medications, tolterodine and darifenacin. She also underwent one year of pelvic floor physical therapy with little improvement. She received an InterStim Peripheral Nerve Stimulation Phase I with incision and implantation of bilateral tine quadripolar lead electrodes into the S3 foraminae with fluoroscopic guidance under conscious sedation with local anesthesia in December 2008. The placement was successful by patient identification of sensation, great toe flexion, and bellowing of the anus noted. She experienced a suboptimal urgency response (defined as <50% reduction in symptoms), but proceeded to InterStim Phase II with incision and subcutaneous implantation of sacral nerve neurostimulator with electronic analysis and complex programming with the left lead as that side had a great reduction in symptoms. She elected to continue with the InterStim implantation because it significantly improved her chronic coccygeal pain that resulted from a motor vehicle-induced pelvic fracture, 25 years prior. She was so used to this debilitating pain, which sometimes required her to have to “crawl to the bathroom at night,” that she failed to inform us of this medical condition prior to implantation. Her daily pain rating went from an 8–10/10 to 2–4/10 on the visual analog scale. A sacral x-ray confirmed correct placement of the lead at the S3 level on that patient’s left (Figs 1 and 2).

She continued to have daily episodes of leakage of urine and began tolterodine again to help with urge symptoms, but greatly desired the InterStim kept on because of considerable coccygeal pain relief. The medication was subsequently discontinued as it did not reduce her urge symptoms.

The patient subsequently lost the remote device for the InterStim two months after placement and it was turned off for several months. She noted prompt return of her chronic coccygeal pain.
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coccygeal pain is still controlled with the continued use of InterStim. As of May 2012 (3.5 years postimplant), she reports no urinary incontinence symptoms, with significant improvement in stress and urge symptoms daily thereafter. The patient restarted pelvic floor physical therapy and at the time stated that InterStim helps alleviate urge symptoms at night, but does not reduce daytime symptoms. Her pain level was significantly reduced at 1/10 by the visual analog scale. She subsequently underwent permanent implantation of a nerve root stimulation system. They also postulated that sacral electrodes appeared less vulnerable anatomically to patient movement than electrodes at other levels, possibly because they are contained within the root sleeve in the spinal canal.

Again in 1999, Alo et al. (8) reported a cohort of 80 patients with 36-month follow-up after lead placement, primarily in thoracic and cervical regions with a majority diagnosed with low back and extremity pain. They were initially given a single program after multiple electrodes were placed, then given access for multiple program stimulation with patient control. This allowed patients to control their level of success and alleviate the all-or-nothing phenomenon. Eighty percent of patients would have the procedure again and 72% were still using the SCS system daily after 36 months.

In 2001, Alo and Mckay (9) published a case report of a 32-year-old woman with interstitial cystitis with intractable 10/10 pain that severely disrupted her quality of life. Two sacral nerve root stimulation electrodes were placed at the S2-3 level bilaterally. During her seven-day trial phase, she had increased bladder volumes, decreased pain, and stopped all bladder pain and spasm medications. Her pain level was significantly reduced at 1/10 by the visual analog scale. She subsequently underwent permanent implantation at the same level. At publication, patient was one year after implantation, only requiring occasional use of the stimulator and having rare symptoms, with her preinterstitial cystitis quality of life restored. A literature search for coccygeal fracture or neuromodulation revealed one case report of a female that had previously undergone InterStim implantation for urinary incontinence and also had bilateral lower extremity pain and back pain from postlaminectomy syndrome (10). In this case, however, the InterStim did not improve her pain, but instead they used SCS at levels T8-T9-T10 which helped both her urinary incontinence and relieved her pain completely. In addition, they tried the InterStim vs. the SCS (turning one off and then the other for two-month period) and they were equal in terms of urinary continence symptom relief, but only the SCS improved both her lower extremity and back pain.

DISCUSSION

SCS has incomplete coverage for many areas of the body, including but not limited to, low back, buttocks, feet, groin, pelvis, and neck. The S2-5 dermatomes are supplied by pathways that are somatotopically deep in the spinal cord and are often times difficult to reach with SCS (4).

Peripheral nerve stimulation works by activating inhibitory interneurons as well as primary afferent delta fibers, and has the potential to recruit more nerve fibers than SCS. SCS has to penetrate through multiple dural layers as well as cerebrospinal fluid, and the primary afferent delta fibers are not accessible (5).

Chronic pain disorders are more common among women than men. Women also experience increased frequency of pain as well as higher disability rates and greater allocation of healthcare resources (5). Chronic pain in the sacral area has traditionally been difficult to control, and the unique anatomy in this region may contribute. Many chronic pelvic pain conditions are suspected to be neuropathic in nature, including coccygodynia; however, this has not been proven (5). This is further supported by the fact that there are extremely limited medical and/or surgical interventions that alleviate pain in a majority of patients (6). Patients with pelvic pain typically respond best to bilateral S2 or S3 electrodes as opposed to unilateral (4).

Figure 2. Anterior-posterior sacral x-ray confirming lead placement at patient’s S3 level, left side.
Several papers referred to postoperative pain in terms of pain after placement and did not refer to preoperative pain that was relieved with InterStim. Pauls et al. reported a prospective study that evaluated sexual function after InterStim implantation for urinary incontinence; however, patients did not have a history of pelvic pain after sacral neuromodulation (11). There was a significant improvement in pain during intercourse (p = 0.015).

Marinkovic et al. reported patients with interstitial cystitis and painful bladder syndrome that had a significant improvement in pain after sacral neuromodulation (p < 0.01) (12). Another paper on interstitial cystitis by Peters et al. describes a moderate or marked improvement in pain after InterStim implantation in treating chronic pain associated with interstitial cystitis refractory to standard therapy (13). Finally, another paper by Peters et al. found that 69% of their patients reported moderate or marked improvement in pelvic pain also with interstitial cystitis (14).

Only one case report was found that discussed SCS for treatment of coccygodynia after a coccygeal fracture, by Yakovlev and Resch (15). A 37-year-old male with a two-year history of coccygodynia after a closed sacral and coccygeal fracture as well as a rectal tear. He received surgery for the perineal wound, but continued to experience pain after the fractures had healed. Two epidural leads were placed with a satisfactory trial response. The final leads were placed at T8, T9, and T10, with excellent pain relief reported. The pain no longer required pain medications and his quality of life improved.

Our patient underwent a lateral sacral x-ray which confirmed correct lead placement at the S3 foramina; however, the pudendal and coccygeal nerve roots are closely related. The S3 nerve root contributes to the pudendal nerve. S4 and S5 nerve roots supply the coccyx, anus, and rectal areas. It is possible that our patient has variant anatomy and that the S3 nerve root supplies a portion of the coccygeal area, which would account for her pain relief associated with the InterStim device.

The majority of pain that the sacral neuromodulation has previously treated has been chronic pelvic pain that is refractory to other therapies, which often coexists with urinary incontinence or refractory interstitial cystitis. For these two indications, it appears that the sacral neuromodulation has a significant improvement in pain. No citations were found that described the use of sacral neuromodulation in terms of coccygeal pain; only SCS has previously been used. In conclusion, sacral neuromodulation has the potential for treatment of coccygeal pain.
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