An fMRI Stroop Task Study of Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortical Function in Pathological Gamblers
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Objective: Function of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex has been implicated in impulse control. The authors used the Stroop paradigm to test attention and response inhibition during the presentation of congruent and incongruent stimuli in male pathological gamblers and a group of comparison subjects.

Method: Event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging was used to examine ventromedial prefrontal cortex function during Stroop performance.

Results: In response to infrequent incongruent stimuli, pathological gamblers demonstrated decreased activity in the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex relative to the comparison subjects. Both groups demonstrated similar activity changes in multiple brain regions, including activation of the dorsal anterior cingulate and dorsolateral frontal cortex.

Conclusions: Pathological gamblers share many neural correlates of Stroop task performance with healthy subjects but differ in a brain region previously implicated in disorders characterized by poor impulse control.

Article

Despite significant prevalence estimates and associations with adverse consequences (1), pathological gambling has received relatively little study, particularly in its neurobiological underpinnings (2, 3). Function of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex has been widely implicated in impulse regulation (4–9). Previously, we found that pathological gamblers exhibit decreased activity in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex during presentation of gambling cues (10). Our group has used an event-related Stroop paradigm (involving the infrequent presentation of mismatched color-word stimuli and frequent presentation of matched color-word stimuli) in functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies to identify the neural correlates of the Stroop effect (11, 12). Since the incongruent stimuli presentations in the Stroop paradigm require response inhibition and pathological gambling involves impaired inhibitory control of gambling behaviors, we predicted that pathological gamblers would differ from comparison subjects in ventromedial prefrontal cortex activity during Stroop task performance.

Method

This research was approved by the Yale Human Investigations Committee, and all subjects provided written informed consent. All subjects were male, 18–65 years of age, native English speakers, and without past or present major neurological injury or illness. Two pathological gamblers were left-handed, and all other subjects were right-handed. Pathological gamblers had an average South Oaks Gambling Screen (13) score of 12.62 (SD=3.93). Pathological gamblers met DSM-IV-TR criteria for pathological gambling and were free of any other active axis I disorder except nicotine dependence. Comparison subjects were free of any active axis I disorder. Diagnostic information was determined by using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) (14) for the pathological gamblers but not the comparison subjects. The Structured Clinical Interview for Pathological Gambling (unpublished, available from the first author upon request), a SCID-compatible module based on DSM-IV criteria, was used to confirm the diagnosis of pathological gambling.

Data were obtained from 13 pathological gamblers and 11 healthy comparison subjects. Pathological gamblers and comparison subjects were similar in age (mean=35.15 years [SD=7.97] and 29.00 years [SD=7.81], respectively; χ²=3.62, df=1, p=0.07). Six pathological gamblers were considered nicotine dependent (Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence scores ≥8); no comparison subjects reported smoking. All subjects were high school graduates. The racial/ethnic composition for the pathological gamblers was Caucasian (N=8), African American (N=4), and Hispanic (N=1); among the comparison subjects, nine were Caucasian, one was Hispanic, and one was of unknown ethnicity. All subjects denied psychoactive drug use with the exception of nicotine or caffeine for the 72 hours before the fMRI protocol.

Subjects performed the Stroop task as previously described (11, 12). Briefly, 9–10 runs of 102 stimuli were presented. Each stimulus was presented for 1300 msec, with an interstimulus interval of 350 msec. Incongruent stimuli were presented pseudo-randomly every 13–16 congruent stimuli (i.e., 21.45–26.4 seconds apart). Subjects practiced aloud the task for one or two runs before scanning and silent naming was performed during imaging to minimize motion artifact. Performance was assessed following scanning to measure reaction time (difference in response times to incongruent versus congruent stimuli) and percentage of correct responses to incongruent stimuli (6, 11, 12).

Image Acquisition

Images were obtained with a 1.5-T GE Signa MRI system equipped with an echo-planar imaging system, a standard quadrature head coil, and a T2*-sensitive gradient-recalled, single-shot, echo-planar pulse sequence (6, 11, 12). Conventional T₁-weighted spin-echo sagittal anatomic images (TE=11 msec, TR=667 msec, field of view=24 cm, slice thickness=5 mm, gap=1 mm, 256×128
Data Analysis

Data were motion corrected for three translational directions and three possible rotations (15). Runs with motion in excess of 1.5 mm displacement and 2 degrees rotation were rejected. Similar numbers of runs per subject were used from pathological gamblers (mean=8.69, SD=1.65) and comparison subjects (mean=9.00, SD=0.89) ($\chi^2=0.30$, df=1, p=0.59). Corrected images were spatially filtered by using a Gaussian filter with a full width at half maximum of 0.25 mm.

$T_1$-weighted axial anatomical images and corresponding functional images for each subject were transformed into a common stereotactic space by piece-wise linear warping. Pixel-based changes in fMRI signal associated with incongruent stimuli presentation were calculated as the average signal of the six images preceding incongruent stimuli presentation was subtracted from each of the eight images following the incongruent stimuli at each pixel by using voxel-based statistical mapping methodologies to generate activation time courses as described previously (6, 10–12). Linear contrasts between conditions were used to calculate t values for each voxel and data randomizations using nonparametric statistics employed to create distributions with which to assign statistical significance to observed between-group differences at each voxel location (p-map generation). Time course p-maps (at p=0.05 with voxels in contiguous sets of 20 voxels each) were generated to identify between-group differences in ventromedial prefrontal cortex activity (12). The largest between-group differences were observed in the third and fourth images. Therefore, the average signal of the six images preceding incongruent stimuli presentation was subtracted from the average of the third and fourth images following the incongruent stimuli at each pixel at a significance threshold of p=0.01 for each subject group and between subject groups (11, 12). Significance was assigned by using voxel-based data randomizations as described previously (6, 10–12). Within-group correlation analyses were performed to investigate the potential effects of age and smoking status (Fagerstrom score) on observed between-group differences in signal activity. To ensure that signal arising at the lower part of the brain was not significantly impacted by susceptibility artifact, the signal from lower brain regions was evaluated in all cases to verify that it was >75% of the signal from cortical regions in more uniform areas. SUPER-ANOVA (Abacus Concepts, Inc.; Berkeley, Calif.) was used to perform t tests to analyze data from Stroop performance (reaction time and correct responses) (11, 12).

Results

The pathological gamblers and comparison subjects performed similarly on the Stroop task in terms of incorrect response percentage (mean=12.09% [SD=16.34%] and 7.14% [SD=10.10%], respectively; $\chi^2=0.70$, df=1, p=0.41) and reaction times to incongruent stimuli (mean=215.77 msec [SD=126.20] and 197.55 msec [SD=66.77]; $\chi^2=0.14$, df=1, p=0.71). Following presentation of incongruent stimuli, pathological gamblers and comparison subjects demonstrated activity changes in many of the same brain regions (Figure 1, Table 1). Activations observed for both groups involved the dorsal anterior cingulate, right middle and inferior frontal gyri, bilateral inferior frontal gyr, right insula, and right thalamus. Both groups demonstrated decreased activity in the ventral anterior cingulate. Pathological gamblers and comparison subjects were distinguished by differences in signal change in the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex (Figure 1, Table 1). This region of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex involves the left middle and superior frontal gyri and borders the superior frontal sulcus laterally and the orbitofrontal cortex ventrally. The difference in signal change was attributable largely to decreased activity in pathological gamblers, with a lesser contribution from increased activity in healthy subjects (observed in comparison maps of less stringent significance thresholds than p<0.01 [not shown]). Correlation analyses suggest that the between-group difference in the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex was not attributable to between-group differences in age or smoking status (data not shown).

Discussion

Past investigations have reported cognitive biases (16) and attentional deficits (17) in pathological gamblers, and our group has found decreased activity in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex in pathological gamblers during gambling cue presentation (10). The Stroop paradigm was selected to investigate aspects of attention and response inhibition and ventromedial prefrontal cortex function in pathological gamblers and healthy comparison subjects. Behavioral performance measures of the Stroop task were similar across groups, although sensitivity is decreased by the sizable variance. Task-related brain activities were largely similar across groups, suggesting that brain functioning and its behavioral consequences were similar in pathological gamblers and healthy subjects. In particular, both groups demonstrated activation of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and dorsal anterior cingulate, brain regions previously implicated in conflict monitoring and cognitive control and identified as activated in the Stroop paradigm (6, 11, 12, 18–20).

The most robust between-group difference was observed in the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex, a region involving the superior aspect of the orbitofrontal cortex. The ventromedial prefrontal cortex has been implicated in decision making (4), and its orbitofrontal component is thought to participate in the processing of rewards during the expectancy and experiencing of monetary gains or losses (21). The orbitofrontal cortex also appears to become activated when there is insufficient information to determine the appropriate course of action or when decision-making action requires suppression of previously rewarded responses (22). Individuals with impaired impulse control...
control have demonstrated orbitofrontal cortex/ventromedial prefrontal cortex dysfunction (5–8), including during Stroop task performance (23). The finding of decreased orbitofrontal cortex/ventromedial prefrontal cortex activation in pathological gamblers during incongruent stimulus presentation suggests dysfunction in this brain region. Further investigations are needed to examine directly the extent to which ventromedial prefrontal
cortex dysfunction underlies specific aspects of the path- 
ology of pathological gambling, including poor decision 
making and rapid temporal discounting of rewards (24). 
Future investigations should address limitations of the 
present study by using a larger, more diverse sample (with 
women); matching precisely for age and race/ethnicity; 
examining the potential effect of tobacco use; obtaining 
structured clinical interview data on all subjects; and cor-
relating on-line Stroop task performance with measures of 
brain activity.
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**TABLE 1. Regional Brain Activity Changes in Pathological Gamblers and Comparison Subjects Following Presentation of Incongruent Stimuli (Stroop task)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Group and Activity Change</th>
<th>Slices Involved (z levels)</th>
<th>Brain Regions</th>
<th>Talairach Coordinates (x, y, z)</th>
<th>Size (mm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pathological gamblers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased activity</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Right parietal cortex (inferior parietal lobule)</td>
<td>–41, –45, 41</td>
<td>4,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41, 32</td>
<td>Left parietal cortex (inferior parietal lobule)</td>
<td>50, –41, 38</td>
<td>1,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41, 32, 23</td>
<td>Dorsal anterior cingulate</td>
<td>2, 15, 34</td>
<td>9,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41, 32, 23</td>
<td>Right prefrontal cortex (inferior and middle frontal gyri)</td>
<td>–42, 5, 30</td>
<td>7,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Left prefrontal cortex (inferior frontal gyrus)</td>
<td>46, –13, 23</td>
<td>1,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Right prefrontal cortex (middle frontal gyrus)</td>
<td>–39, 41, 23</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Right thalamus</td>
<td>–5, –18, 5</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5, –5</td>
<td>Right insula/inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>–33, 12, 1</td>
<td>15,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5, –5</td>
<td>Left insula/inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>38, 8, 0</td>
<td>11,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased activity</td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>Ventral anterior cingulate</td>
<td>–3, 36, –5</td>
<td>4,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparison subjects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dorsal anterior cingulate</td>
<td>–1, 11, 42</td>
<td>7,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased activity</td>
<td>41, 32</td>
<td>Right parietal cortex (inferior parietal lobule)</td>
<td>–40, –56, 41</td>
<td>2,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41, 32, 23</td>
<td>Right prefrontal cortex (inferior and middle frontal gyri)</td>
<td>–43, 7, 35</td>
<td>3,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Left parietal cortex (inferior parietal lobule)</td>
<td>32, –50, 32</td>
<td>952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Right prefrontal cortex (middle frontal gyrus)</td>
<td>–39, 41, 23</td>
<td>1,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Anterior cingulate</td>
<td>–2, 31, 23</td>
<td>1,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Right thalamus</td>
<td>–11, –7, 14</td>
<td>1,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Left insula</td>
<td>28, 11, 5</td>
<td>1,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5, –5</td>
<td>Right insula/inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>–36, 13, 5</td>
<td>4,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>Right basal ganglia</td>
<td>–15, 5, –5</td>
<td>833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased activity</td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>Ventral anterior cingulate</td>
<td>2, 40, –5</td>
<td>982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pathological gamblers minus comparison subjects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased activity</td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>Left ventromedial prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>20, 36, –5</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Coordinates listed identify approximate x, y, and z coordinates based on the average center of mass for an activity change. Activity changes listed were grouped if they were contiguous across z-level slices. Negative x values indicate the right side of the brain, negative y values indicate brain posterior to the anterior commissure, and negative z values indicate brain regions inferior to the plane defined by the anterior and posterior commissures. An additional activity change (decrease) was observed in the comparison group (centered at –24, –33, 23, with a size of 1,190 mm³) but was not tabulated as the change appeared to be artifactual.
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