CREB antisense oligodeoxynucleotide administration into the dorsal hippocampal CA3 region impairs long- but not short-term spatial memory in mice
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The transcription factor cAMP response-element binding protein (CREB) has a pivotal role in hippocampal synaptic plasticity and hippocampus-dependent long-term memory. We recently demonstrated that the dorsal hippocampal CA3 region is involved in memory consolidation of spatial information tested on a Morris water maze in mice. To test whether activation of CREB in the CA3 region is required for memory consolidation of spatial information, bilaterally cannulated mice were infused 18 h before the beginning of the behavioral training with antisense or control sense CREB oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs) or buffer. Mice were then subjected to massed training in a spatial version of the water maze and tested for retention 0 or 24 h after the last training session. We showed that CREB antisense ODN-infusion in the CA3 region impaired long-term memory when tested 24 h later but had no effect on spatial acquisition or short-term memory tested immediately after behavioral training. These findings provide evidence that the regionally restricted activation of CREB in the dorsal hippocampal CA3 region is critical for the long-term memory consolidation phase of spatial learning but not for short-term memory.

Many investigators have demonstrated the involvement of the CA3 region in spatial working memory (Frederickson et al. 1990; Lee and Kesner 2002, 2003), in the initial acquisition phase of spatial learning (Handelmann and Olton 1981; Lasaille et al. 2000), and during consolidation of memory for nonassociative (Stupien et al. 2003) and associative spatial learning tasks (Zhao et al. 2000). Previously, we showed that CA3 integrity is important for spatial encoding and particularly for memory consolidation of spatial information in the Morris water maze (MWM) task (Florian and Roullet 2004). Thus, it has been proposed that the CA3 region plays an important role in the formation of long-term memory (LTM) and the regulation of hippocampal synaptic activity during learning and memory. It has become widely accepted that LTM formation requires activation of gene transcription (Albertini et al. 1994; Bailey et al. 1996; Guzowski et al. 2001; Bozon et al. 2002) and de novo protein synthesis (Dias and Squire 1984; Bourcicault et al. 1998; Quevedo et al. 1999). A principal candidate, the transcription factor CAMP response-element binding protein (CREB), plays a prominent role in plasticity processes underlying learning and LTM (Frank and Greenberg 1994; Carey 1996; Silva et al. 1998). Indeed, phosphorylation of CREB (pCREB) in the nucleus plays an essential role in downstream transcriptional regulation of proteins required for LTM in a variety of species. It has been shown that disruption of CREB function in invertebrates selectively blocks long-term synaptic changes and LTM (Dash et al. 1990; Yin et al. 1994; Bartsch et al. 1995) whereas injection of CRE-containing promoter induced CREB activation and long-term facilitation (Kaang et al. 1993). Further, in rodents, suppression of CREB signaling by local administration of CREB antisense oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs) into the dorsal hippocampus or the amygdala reduced CREB protein levels and disrupted the late consolidation of spatial memory (Guzowski and McGaugh 1997) and the LTM for conditioned taste aversion (Lampe et al. 1997). Furthermore, mice lacking the a and δ isoforms of CREB showed significantly impaired hippocampus-dependent LTM as well as deficient hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP) (Bourcicault et al. 1994). Together, these findings indicate that CREB, by acting as a “memory modulator” (Yin et al. 1995), is essential for consolidation processes of LTP and the formation of LTM in multiple tasks and many species.

The purpose of the present study was to examine whether the regionally restricted activation of CREB in the hippocampal CA3 region is required for consolidation of spatial memory. Therefore, pre-training local infusion of antisense ODNs directed against CREB mRNA was performed. Mice received massed training in the spatial version of the MWM and tested for retention either 0 or 24 h after training.

Results

CREB antisense ODN infusion had no effect on acquisition of spatial information in the MWM

We examined the effect of either CREB antisense (AS-ODN) or sense (S-ODN) or PBS treatment in the CA3 region on acquisition of spatial position of hidden platform. Figure 1 illustrates the mean of path length swam before escape onto the hidden platform across the four learning sessions for each group. A two-way ANOVA, revealed a significant session effect ($F_{(3,174)} = 33.040$, $P < 0.001$) but no treatment effect ($F_{(2,58)} = 1.208$, $P = 0.306$) and no significant interaction between these two factors ($F_{(6,174)} = 1.074$, $P = 0.380$). This indicates that CREB AS-ODN infusion given 18 h before training did not affect the capacity to acquire a fixed goal location across massed learning sessions.

After learning sessions, mice were randomly divided into two experimental groups to test the importance of CREB in the CA3 region for spatial short-term memory (STM) and LTM formation. Bilaterally cannulated mice were submitted to the probe test either immediately (STM group) or 24 h (LTM group) after the learning phase.
CREB antisense injection had no effect on spatial short-term memory

Figure 2 shows the time spent (A) and the number of annulus crossings (B) in the four quadrants by PBS-injected, S-ODN-injected, and AS-ODN-injected mice in the STM probe test. A one-way ANOVA showed no effect of treatment on the time spent in the target quadrant ($F_{(2,24)} = 0.562, P = 0.577$). Moreover, a two-way ANOVA performed on the number of annulus crossings revealed no treatment effect ($F_{(2,92)} = 0.491, P = 0.613$), a significant quadrant effect ($F_{(3,92)} = 38.384, P < 0.001$), and no treatment × quadrant interaction ($F_{(6,92)} = 1.007, P = 0.426$). For the number of annulus crossings in the target quadrant, there was no general treatment effect ($F_{(2,23)} = 0.858, P = 0.431$) and therefore no effect of AS-ODN injection (AS-ODN vs. PBS: $F_{(1,16)} = 1.414, P = 0.256$; AS-ODN vs. S-ODN: $F_{(1,16)} = 0.495, P = 0.492$).

Figure 3A shows the spatial index, calculated on the basis of annulus crossings during the probe test. A one-way ANOVA that compared the spatial index across all groups indicated that there was no treatment effect ($F_{(2,24)} = 0.113, P = 0.894$), indicating that the spatial index was similar in the three groups. Additional analyses did not reveal any significant difference between PBS-infused, S-ODN-infused, and AS-ODN-infused mice in swimming speed ($F_{(2,24)} = 1.774, P = 0.191$) or on the time spent in the periphery of the pool during the probe test ($F_{(2,24)} = 0.485, P = 0.622$) (Table 1). Together, these results suggest that CREB AS-ODN infusion in the CA3 region did not induce thigmotaxis or particular problems in locomotor activity. Hence, as shown in Figure 3B, during STM memory trial all groups of mice spent more time in the target quadrant than in the other quadrants, suggesting that infusion of CREB AS-ODN in the CA3 region did not affect spatial STM.

CREB antisense injection impaired the spatial long-term performances

We next examined the effect of either CREB AS-ODN or S-ODN or PBS injection into the CA3 region on LTM formation. As shown in Figure 4, infusion of CREB AS-ODN in the CA3 region decreased long-term retention at 24 h compared with S-ODN-injected or PBS-injected groups. An ANOVA revealed that there was a marginal effect on time spent in the target quadrant between PBS-injected, S-ODN-injected and AS-ODN-injected mice ($F_{(2,32)} = 3.124, P = 0.058$). Post hoc analysis showed that the PBS-injected group spent more time in the target quadrant than did the AS-ODN group ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 4A). For the number of annulus crossings (Fig. 4B), there was no treatment effect ($F_{(2,128)} = 0.192, P = 0.826$), but a significant quadrant effect ($F_{(3,128)} = 42.972, P < 0.001$) and a significant quadrant × treat-
Table 1. Thigmotactic behavior and swimming speed parameters during spatial Morris water maze probe trial in PBS-injected, S-ODN–injected and AS-ODN–injected C57bl/6 mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PBS</th>
<th>S-ODN</th>
<th>AS-ODN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Periphery area</td>
<td>Swimming speed</td>
<td>Periphery area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term memory</td>
<td>20.45 ± 2.76</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>18.60 ± 2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term memory</td>
<td>20.50 ± 3.05</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>14.63 ± 2.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data show the average time (in seconds) spent in the periphery of the maze pool (a 13-cm-wide wall zone) and the average swimming speed (cm/sec) during the 60-sec probe trial conducted 0 h (short-term memory) or 24 h (long-term memory) after training. All infusions (0.25 µL/side) were performed 18 h before the first training session.

ment interaction ($F_{(6,128)} = 2.833$, $P = 0.013$). Post hoc comparison showed a significant difference between the target quadrant and the other three quadrants for control animals ($Ps < 0.001$) and for the S-ODN group ($Ps < 0.01$) but not for AS-ODN–treated mice ($Ps > 0.3$). Moreover, the analysis between the target quadrants reveals a general treatment effect ($F_{(2,32)} = 3.416$, $P = 0.045$) due to the AS-ODN injection (AS-ODN vs. PBS: $F_{(1,21)} = 5.817$, $P = 0.025$; AS-ODN vs. S-ODN: $F_{(1,21)} = 5.166$, $P = 0.034$). In addition, Figure 5A shows a significant difference in the spatial index between the three groups ($F_{(2,32)} = 10.06$, $P < 0.001$), which was attributable to the AS-ODN injection. A significant difference was observed between the AS-ODN–infused mice and the two other groups (AS-ODN vs. PBS: $F_{(1,21)} = 26.821$, $P < 0.001$; AS-ODN vs. S-ODN: $F_{(1,21)} = 10.157$, $P < 0.01$). In contrast, ANOVA failed to reveal any difference in swimming speed parameter ($F_{(2,32)} = 1.596$, $P = 0.218$) or on the time spent in the maze periphery ($F_{(2,32)} = 1.301$, $P = 0.286$) between AS-, S-, and PBS-infused mice in the LTM probe trial (Table 1). Together, these results suggest that infusion of CREB AS-ODN affects long-term retention performance (Fig. 5B) and, specifically the precision of the search for the platform without affecting motor or motivational/emotional processes.

CREB antisense reduced the level of pCREB protein into the hippocampal CA3 region

Activation of CREB through Ser133 phosphorylation constitutes a crucial step in the molecular machinery involved in the conversion of short- to LTM (for review, see Mayford and Kandel 1999). To determine whether deficits in long-term spatial learning correlate with reduced pCREB level in the CA3 region and whether this reduction is specific to this region, we examined the pCREB level after infusion of CREB antisense ODN into CA3. As for rostrocaudal diffusion (Fig. 6), all the retained mice had the tip of the injection cannulae between bregma –1.46 mm and bregma –1.94 mm, and the area affected by the injection did not exceed 600 µm in diameter. As is shown in Figure 7A, the mediolateral diffusion of the CREB AS-ODN is restricted to the dorsal CA3 region and did not diffuse into the other hippocampal subfields (CA1-region and dentate gyrus). When animals were injected with CREB AS-ODN in the CA3-region, the level of pCREB signal was significantly reduced in the area surrounding the injection site (Fig. 7A,C,D), without affecting pCREB levels in the other hippocampal subfields, indicating that the effect of CREB AS-ODN displayed anatomical site specificity for CA3. A two-way ANOVA for pCREB in the CA1-region or the dentate gyrus revealed no significant treatment effect (S-ODN trained vs. AS-ODN trained), no delay effect (0 vs. 24 h) or treatment × delay interaction (all $Fs < 1$; all $Ps > 0.2$), indicating that the diffusion of CREB AS-ODN was restricted to the CA3 region. In contrast, a two-way ANOVA for pCREB in the CA3 region revealed significant main effects for treatment ($F_{(3,31)} = 38.306$, $P < 0.001$) and delay ($F_{(3,31)} = 100.014$, $P < 0.001$), as well as a significant treatment × delay interaction ($F_{(9,93)} = 48.166$, $P < 0.001$). Post hoc analysis indicated that the level of pCREB in naive AS-ODN–injected mice was similar to the naive S-ODN–treated mice at 0 h and 24 h post-training (Fig. 7D). Moreover, mice subjected to massed training in the water maze had significantly more pCREB in the CA3 region than did naive mice (S-ODN naive vs S-ODN trained, $P < 0.01$), and this training effect remained significant for at least 24 h ($P < 0.001$). When compared with S-ODN-infused mice, Figures 7C,D show that AS-ODN infusion significantly reduced the level of pCREB by 86% immediately after massed training (AS-ODN trained vs. S-ODN trained, $P < 0.001$). In comparison with naive mice, AS-ODN infusion only reduced the pCREB level by 44%, but this decrease was nonsignificant ($P = 0.76$). However, when immunohistochemical analysis was performed 24 h after behavioral training, levels of pCREB in the CA3 region were no longer decreased in AS-ODN–infused mice (AS-ODN trained vs. S-ODN trained, $P = 0.97$), indicating that the effect of CREB AS-ODN was time-limited.

Figure 4. Long-term memory. Effect of PBS ($n = 12$), S-ODN ($n = 12$), and AS-ODN ($n = 11$) administration on 24 h post-training probe trial performance in the spatial water maze task. (A) Histograms represent time (seconds) spent in the four quadrants of the pool. (B) Histograms represent the number of annulus crossings during the 60-sec probe trial performed 24 h after training. ***$P < 0.001$, target vs. opposite, adjacent 1 and 2 quadrants within groups, $\alpha_{0.01} = 0.01$, target vs. opposite.
Florian et al.

Discussion

The main findings of the present study are that the local infusion of CREB AS-ODN in the dorsal hippocampal CA3 region 18 h before training affected LTM retention in the spatial water maze task but did not block immediate STM. This is the first evidence that a local activation of CREB in the CA3 region is critically involved in the consolidation of spatial memory for MWM training and reinforces the notion that activation of the CREB signaling pathway is a critical component of hippocampal-dependent memory consolidation processes.

To investigate the role of CREB expression in spatial memory formation in the CA3-region, we used a spatial behavioral massed-procedure of four training sessions (12 trials) in a short period of time (within a 2-h period). In this procedure, we observed that animals were able to locate the hidden platform position across all the training sessions. During the probe test performed either 0 or 24 h after the training sessions, mice searched for the platform in the quadrant where it was located during the acquisition phase, suggesting that mice adopted a spatial strategy to find it. Hence, our massed procedure allowed us to study post-training events occurring during the early memory consolidation phase (Sargolini et al. 2003; Florian and Roulet 2004).

Our experiments showed that intra-CA3 infusion of CREB AS-ODN did not affect spatial acquisition and did not affect STM. This AS-ODN injection specifically affected LTM for spatial memory in the water maze, and this deficit seems more important for annulus crossings than for the time spent in quadrants. Indeed, AS-ODN–injected mice spent more time in the target area compared with the opposite quadrant, but they extended their search to the adjacent quadrants. This indicates that these mice always knew approximately where the platform was but then were not able to locate the exact position of the platform. So, AS-ODN injection induced a clear impairment of the spatial LTM. It has been previously reported that disruption of hippocampal CRE-dependent gene expression in the dorsal hippocampus, either by pre-training infusion of CREB AS-ODN (Guzowski and McGaugh 1997) or by using transgenic mice carrying K-CREB (Pittenger et al. 2002), significantly impaired spatial long-term retention performance in the water maze task. Balschun et al. (2003) reported that CREB has a minor function in hippocampal long-term synaptic plasticity and LTM, and that deficits in water maze learning in CREB mutant mice are predominantly due to a marked increase in wall hugging (thigmotaxis). This is not consistent with our present results because all mice from the CREB AS-ODN and S-ODN or PBS control groups were indistinguishable in both swimming speed and time spent in the periphery, measured during acquisition and the immediate and 24-h probe trials. One explanation for these discrepancies is the different approaches used to inactivate CREB protein function in the two studies. In Balschun et al.’s studies, the investigators used conditional knock-out mice and the reduction in CREB by gene targeting could trigger an upregulation of cAMP response element binding modulator (CREM), which may compensate for many functional deficits. Another explanation is that disruption of CREB in CA1 neurons versus CA3 region could account for these discrepancies. Finally, these investigators used a spaced training protocol over 14 d, whereas in our study, mice were submitted to a massed learning protocol in order to test the role of CREB only in the first memory consolidation phase. In the present experiment, deficits in the CREB AS-ODN mice were caused specifically by a lack of memory capacities during the spatial long-term retrieval. More precisely, in these experiments, the level of pCREB is decreased during the learning phase and the memory consolidation but not 24 h later during the retrieval phase. Thus, the observed effect during the probe test cannot be due to the action of this ODN injection during learning because acquisition and STM are normal in CREB AS-ODN–injected mice. Also, it is not possible to explain this decrease of performance by an effect of AS-ODN during the recall phase because the level of pCREB is normal. Therefore, the only possible explanation is that CREB AS-ODN blocked the memory consolidation phase.

Increasing evidence suggests that LTM formation and long-lasting synaptic plasticity require activation of the CREB-signaling cascade and induction of CRE-dependent transcriptional events in invertebrates as well as in rodents (Bourtchouladze et al. 1994; Impey et al. 1996; for a review, see Josselyn and Nguyen 2005). Indeed, several studies support the hypothesis that CREB phosphorylation, in a specific and highly localized manner, is involved in protein synthesis-dependent LTM in diverse learning paradigms among many species (for a review, see Josselyn and Nguyen 2005). Moreover, CREB is a target of a
number of cAMP- and Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated signaling kinases, including mitogen-activated protein kinase, protein kinase B, and calcium-calmodulin–dependent protein kinases (Deisseroth et al. 1996; Gonzales and Montminy 1989; Impey et al. 1996). Phosphorylation/activation of CREB on Ser-133 stimulates the expression of immediate-early genes, such as c-fos and zif/268, which are required for consolidation of memory (Hardingham et al. 2001; Athos et al. 2002). CREB AS-ODN has been previously shown to prevent CREB synthesis and ensuing CREB activation (Guzowski and McGaugh 1997; Zhang et al. 2003). However, only pCREB is required for consolidation of memory (Hardingham et al. 2001; He et al. 2002).

In this present experiment, we showed that the regionally restricted activation of CREB in the hippocampal CA3 region is critical for spatial LTM in the MWM. In accordance with our results, an induction of CREB phosphorylation into the dorsal hippocampal CA3 region has been shown after spatial learning in the cross maze task (Colombo et al. 2003). Taken together, these data indicate that CREB activation in this subfield is required for spatial LTM formation. However, an induction of CREB phosphorylation in the CA3 region has been also reported after nonspatial learning (Saha and Datta 2005). In accord with the role of CREB signaling in CA3 region in LTM formation, a selective induction of c-fos in this CA3 region during the consolidation processes has previously been demonstrated (Bertaina-Anglade et al. 2000; He et al. 2002).

In the CA3 region, three major afferents (the mossy fiber of the dentate gyrus, the perforant path, and the recurrent collateral of the CA3 pyramidal cells) terminate on the same apical dendrites, forming a complicated laminar network (Amaral and Witter 1995). Based on this evidence, a number of computational models have suggested that the CA3 region can be represented as an autoassociative network memory system (Bennett et al. 1994; Rolls and Treves 1994; Wallenstein and Hasselmo 1997; Wiebe et al. 1997; McNaughton and Morris 1987; Treves and Rolls 1992, 1994). In the majority of these models, it is suggested that perforant path-CA3 synapses and/or recurrent fiber synapses are modified during encoding (McNaughton and Morris 1987; Treves and Rolls 1992, 1994). In fact, inputs arriving via the dentate gyrus and/or perforant path afferents are thought to produce a pattern of CA3 ensemble output that reflects the pattern of inputs received. Therefore, during acquisition, recurrent fiber synapses are modified to reinforce this ensemble pattern by strengthening connections between coactive neurons within the ensemble (McNaughton and Morris 1987; Nakasawa et al. 2002). This plasticity of the recurrent fibers, contrary to mossy fibers, is NMDA dependent. Previous studies have shown that mice lacking CA3 NMDA receptors are impaired in rapid learning of new spatial patterns (Nakasawa et al. 2003) and in spatial pattern completion (Nakasawa et al. 2002; Gold and Kesner 2005). In our experiments, CREB AS-ODN–injected mice are impaired in memory consolidation of spatial information. These data agree with the different theories on the CA3 functions cited above. Indeed, blocking CREB activity, as in blocking the NMDA receptors, in the CA3 region during memory consolidation almost certainly prevents recurrent fiber synapses from being modified and strengthened to reinforce the pattern of CA3 ensemble.

Materials and Methods

Animals

A total of 99 male and female C57BL/6 inbred mice bred in our laboratory were used in these studies. After birth, they remained with their parents until weaning at 21 d of age. They were then placed in groups of three to six animals of the same sex and age in standard breeding cages placed in a rearing room at a constant temperature (23 ± 1°C) with food and water ad libitum. At the time of surgery, they were 90–120 d old. They were tested during the first half of their light period (between 9:00 a.m. and 12:30 p.m.). Every possible effort was made to minimize animal suffering, and all procedures were in strict accordance with European community and French national laws and regulations on the use of animals in research and NIH guidelines on animal care.

Surgery

Guide cannulae (0.56 mm in diameter) were implanted bilaterally 1.2 mm above the CA3 region and fixed on the calvarium with dental cement. The following coordinates with lambda and bregma in the same horizontal plane were used: posterior to bregma, −1.6 mm; lateral to midline, ±2.5 mm; and 1.5 mm beneath the skull surface, according to the method of (Franklin and Paxinos 1997). The subjects were then left in their home cages for a recovery period of 7–8 d.
ODN infusion procedure

The unmodified ODNs were synthesized by the MWG Biotech AG. The sequences of the CREB antisense (AS-ODN) and sense (S-ODN) were as follows: 5'-TGGTATCGTACTGCACCGGTG-3' and 5'-CACCACGTTAGCTAGATGACCA-3' (Guzowsk and McGaugh 1997). The stylus was removed from the guide cannulae, and an injection needle (0.28 mm in diameter) was inserted into the guide cannula. Microinjection of either AS-ODN or S-ODN (4 nmol resuspended in 0.25 µL phosphate buffer [PB] saline [PBS]/hemisphere for AS- and S-ODN) or PBS alone was performed 18 h before the training period. Infusions were delivered over 1.5 sec using a 1-µL Hamilton syringe driven by a microinjection pump (Bioblock Scientific, Razel Scientific Instrument) at a rate of 0.1 µL/min. The needle was left in place for an additional 60 sec to allow diffusion. All mice remained in their home cages during the injection time.

MWM apparatus

The MWM was a swimming circular pool, 110 cm diameter and 30 cm high, filled to a depth of 15 cm with water temperature maintained at 23 ± 1°C. The surface water was made opaque by addition of a white nontoxic opacifier (Opacifier 631). A white-painted platform (9 cm in diameter) was placed inside the pool, 13.5 cm away from the pool wall. Several extramaze visual cues, ~50–100 cm away from the pool, were attached to the walls of the experimental room. Four start positions were located around the perimeter of the pool, dividing its surface into four equal quadrants. The swimming pool was visible by a video camera connected to a video recorder and a monitor.

Spatial behavioral procedure

We used a massed training procedure in the spatial MWM as described in the Figure 8 (Sargolini et al. 2003; Florian and Roulllet 2004). Briefly, on day 0, mice were placed in the experimental room for 15 min and were then individually submitted to a single pre-training session consisting of three trials with a visible platform that protruded 0.5 cm above the surface of the water and was always located in the same quadrant. The session started with the mouse standing on the platform for 60 sec. At the beginning of each trial, mice were placed in the maze facing the wall at one of the different starting locations. They were allowed to swim freely until they reached the platform. Any mouse that did not find the platform within 60 sec was gently guided to it by the experimenter. After the animals had climbed onto the platform, they were allowed to remain on it for an additional 60 sec and were subsequently replaced in the maze from a different starting location. The starting positions were determined in a pseudorandom order, such that each was used once in a single session.

Twenty-four hours later (day 1), mice received a series of four consecutive sessions of three trials with a 15- to 20-min intertrial interval. The procedure was the same as in the pre-training phase, except the platform was submerged 0.5 cm beneath the surface of the water. The mice were allowed to navigate to the hidden platform using the spatial cues available in the room. At the completion of each session, mice were removed from the platform and placed in their home cage. For testing STM and LTM, a single-trial probe test was conducted immediately after the last training session (day 1) or 24 h later (day 2), respectively. During the probe test, the platform was removed and mice, starting from the center of the pool, were allowed a 60-sec search for the platform.

After behavioral testing, mice (n = 76) were sacrificed, and brains were removed, fixed in 10% glutaraldehyde/30% sucrose solution, and sectioned on a freezing microtome. Cannulae placements were determined by examination of serial coronal sections (40 µm) stained with thionine. Serial sections were subjected to binocular microscopic inspection with high magnification (40×), and mice in which infusion of ODN overflowed into the CA1 or CA4 regions were removed from the statistic analysis.

Data collection and statistics

Maze performance was recorded by a video camera suspended above the swimming pool and interfaced with a video tracking system (Ethovision 2.3; Noldus Information Technology). Several parameters of behavioral performance were recorded. During training sessions, the distance swum before reaching the platform was recorded as path length for each trial. During the probe test, two main measures were scored: (1) the time spent in each quadrant of the pool; and (2) the number of annulus crossings and the number of times a mouse crossed the exact, 14 cm diameter location located at one of the four possible platform positions in the four quadrants. Both of these behavioral variables measure spatial memory, but the number of annulus crossings reveals a more precise search of the platform than the time spent in the four quadrants. In other words, the time spent in the target quadrant can show if mice are able to locate the platform, in an approximate way, and the number of target annulus crossings can determine if mice know the exact position of the platform. We used the number of annulus crossings to calculate an index score of spatial memory, as the difference between the number of crossings of the annulus surrounding the expected position.
of the platform (target, T) and the mean number of crossings of the three remaining annuli (opposite, O; adjacent 1, A1; adjacent 2, A2), divided by the total number of annuli crossings according to the following formula: Index Score = (T − (O + A1 + A2)/3)/T + O + A1 + A2. In addition, the movements of the animals during the probe tests were recorded by using a computerized detection system. The position of the animal was determined five times per second and recorded as X and Y coordinates in time. Subsequently, these data were employed to calculate the average swimming speed and the time spent in the maze periphery (13-cm-wide wall zone). The SYSTAT 9.0 statistical software package was used for data analysis. For the training phase, the path lengths were averaged for each session (three trials per session) and the session data analyzed with a repeated-measures ANOVA (between factor: treatment, three levels; within factor: sessions, four levels).

During the probe test, the number of annulus crossings was analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with “quadrant” as the within-subjects factor and “treatment” as the between-groups factor. For the quadrant test, we analyzed only the time spent in the target quadrant in the different groups using a one-way ANOVA and not the time in all quadrants of the pool because mice spent the same total time (60 sec) in the four quadrants. Finally, statistical analyses of index, swimming speed, and time spent in the periphery zone of the pool during probe test were performed by using one-way ANOVA. Post hoc comparisons were carried out when allowed, using Scheffe’s F-test. All data are represented as means ±SEM.

Immunocytochemistry checking and image analysis

To determine the region-specific effect of CREB-AS-ODN, an immunohistochemical analysis of pCREB-immunopositive cells was performed on four experimental groups: (1) the “S-ODN naive” (n = 6) and (2) “AS-ODN naive” groups (n = 6), in which mice received sense or antisense injection in the CA3 region and were handled daily but remained in their home cage; (3) the “S-ODN trained” (n = 5) and (4) the “AS-ODN trained” groups (n = 6), in which mice received sense or antisense injection in the CA3 region and were submitted to the behavioral tests 18 h later. In this experiment, mice were deeply anesthetized and perfused transcardially with ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS 20 or 44 °C until processed for immunohistochemistry. All solutions contained the phosphatase inhibitor sodium fluoride (0.25 mM).

Tissue sections were incubated 18 h at 4 °C with the primary polyclonal antibody, 1:3000; Upstate Biotechnology). After extensive washes in TBS, sections were incubated in biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:2000; Jackson Immunoresearch) for 2 h at room temperature. Sections were then rinsed in TBS followed by incubation in avidin-biotinylated horseradish peroxidase complex (Vectastain Elite kit, Vector Laboratories) for 2 h at room temperature. Sections were rinsed in TBS and then in TBS, and the peroxidase reaction end-product was visualized in 0.05 M TB (pH 7.4) containing diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB, 0.025%), 0.03% H2O2, and 5% nickel ammonium sulfate for 10 min (Shu et al. 1988). Finally, immunolabeled sections were washed in PB, mounted on gelatin-coated slides, dehydrated, and coverslipped. For each animal, neurons positive for pCREB were counted on both contralateral and ipsilateral sides. The quantification of pCREB-positive nuclei was carried out at 20× magnification using two to four coronal sections per animal that were averaged for each region (CA3, CA1, and dentate gyrus). The number of positive nuclei was quantified by using a computerized imaging analysis system (Biocom Visioblab 2000 version V4.50). For the different subfields of dorsal hippocampus, cells were counted at levels corresponding to 1.46–1.94 mm posterior to bregma in the atlas of (Franklin and Paxinos 1997). Positive pCREB immunoreactive cells were expressed as the number of positive nuclei per mm². Statistical significance was determined by an ANOVA followed by appropriate post hoc analysis. All data are represented as means ±SEM.
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