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Abstract
A 1-year-old Australian Shepherd (AS) was presented for a routine hereditary eye examination. During the examination multiple raised, brown to orange lesions were noted in the fundus, which could not be attributed to a known retinal disease in this breed. As they clinically most closely resembled canine multifocal retinopathy (cmr) and no indication of an acquired condition was found, genetic tests for BEST1 gene mutations were performed. These showed the dog to be homozygous for the cmr1 (C73T/R25X) gene defect. Furthermore, ultrasound (US), electroretinography (ERG), and optical coherence tomography were performed, confirming changes typical for cmr. Subsequently, the AS pedigree members were genetically and clinically tested, demonstrating autosomal recessive inheritance with no clinical symptoms in carrier animals, as was previously described for cmr. To our knowledge, this is the first reported case of canine multifocal retinopathy in the AS breed. Further investigations are under way.
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Abstract

A 1-year-old Australian Shepherd (AS) was presented for a routine hereditary eye examination. During the examination multiple raised, brown to orange lesions were noted in the fundus, which could not be attributed to a known retinal disease in this breed. As they clinically most closely resembled canine multifocal retinopathy (cmr) and no indication of an acquired condition was found, genetic tests for BEST1 gene mutations were performed. These showed the dog to be homozygous for the cmr1 (C73T/R25X) gene defect. Furthermore, ultrasound (US), electroretinography (ERG), and optical coherence tomography were performed, confirming changes typical for cmr. Subsequently, the AS pedigree members were genetically and clinically tested, demonstrating autosomal recessive inheritance with no clinical symptoms in carrier animals, as was previously described for cmr. To our knowledge, this is the first reported case of canine multifocal retinopathy in the AS breed. Further investigations are underway.
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INTRODUCTION

Bestrophinopathies are a group of retinal diseases in which BEST1, a gene necessary for retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) function, is mutated. In humans, this can lead to an early-onset vitelliform macular dystrophy called Best disease, adult-onset foveomacular vitelliform dystrophy (AOFVD), autosomal dominant vitreoretinochoroidopathy (ADVIRC), and autosomal recessive bestrophinopathy (ARB).

It has been shown that a clinically and genetically comparable disease exists in dogs, termed canine multifocal retinopathy (cmr). To date, three genetically different mutations were identified in dogs exhibiting the cmr phenotype. The most widespread of these, cmr1 (C73T/R25X), was found to segregate thus far in seven individual Mastiff-derived breeds: Great Pyrenees, English Mastiff, Bullmastiff, Dogue de Bordeaux, Italian Mastiff, and Bulldog, and...
Perro de Presa Canario (Guziewicz KE and Zangerl B, unpublished). Contrary, the cmr2, a missense mutation (G482A/Gly161Asp), and cmr3, a frameshift mutation (C1388del/Pro463FS), are ‘private’ and, respectively, segregate in Coton de Tulear and Lapponian Herders only.6,7

Despite the molecular differences, the disease manifests clinically as multifocal retinal bullae early in life, which progress until six to twelve months of age.8 It seems to be self-limited in most cases, although vision loss has also been described.7 So far, a specific treatment is not known, neither for cmr nor for human bestrophinopathies.9,10

This case report details the clinical, ultrasonographic, electoretinographic, optical coherence tomographical (OCT), and genetic findings in an Australian Shepherd (AS). Clinical and molecular findings led to the diagnosis of cmr1 in this breed.

CASE REPORT

Multiple fundic lesions were detected during a routine ophthalmic examination of a 1-year-old intact female AS following the guidelines of the European College of Veterinary Ophthalmology hereditary eye disease scheme. The owner had not noted any visual deficits. The dog was living as a companion dog in a rural area and had only been to Germany and Austria. It was fed commercial dog food and was routinely vaccinated against canine distemper, hepatitis, parainfluenza, parvovirus, leptospirosis, and rabies. It received deworming medications on a regular basis, the last time 3 months prior to the examination. On physical examination, the animal was healthy and alert, and abnormalities were limited to the eyes.

Upon ophthalmic examination, the adnexa, anterior segment, lens, and vitreous were normal. Funduscopic examination showed multiple, brown to orange lesions, which were mainly limited to the tapetal fundus (Fig. 1). They mostly were 1 disc diameter in size, but could extend up to 4× the size of the optic disc and seemed vessel-associated. The bullae extended into the vitreous and had a bright border.

Despite the size and the number of the lesions, maze testing in the dark and ambient light as well as vision tests were considered normal and the dog was able to navigate in new areas with ease. Complete blood count and serum chemistry profile were within normal limits. Testing for toxoplasmosis showed no antibody titer. No progression of the lesions was noted 2 weeks after the initial examination. The presumptive diagnosis of cmr was made, but differential diagnoses included acquired inflammatory lesions, generalized neoplasia with metastases into the eyes, nutritional retinopathies, and congenital or genetic changes in the retina.

No signs of uveitis or choroiditis were present at either examination ruling out causes of exudative retinal detachments. Tapetal hyper-reflectivity as a sign of a reattached or degenerated retina as well as black pigmented centers with a hyper-reflective rim like in post-inflammatory lesions were also not observed. Furthermore, the clinical laboratory results showed no abnormalities. A systemic neoplastic affection seemed unlikely due to nonprogression of the lesion, the good body condition, and age of the dog. The multifocal presence of the retinal detachments could have led to the diagnosis of multifocal retinal dysplasia, but this disease normally has an opaque and vermiciform or donut-like appearance.

As the disease resembled clinically cmr, EDTA blood was collected according to standard veterinary care and tested for known canine BEST1 mutations (OptiGen, LLC). Genetic results identified the dog to be homozygous for the cmr1 gene defect.
Subsequently, the owner consented to further examinations to better characterize the fundus changes. Therefore, ultrasonography (US), electroretinography (ERG), and OCT were performed under sedation because of the dog’s anxiety. For the latter, an intravenous dose of 0.04 mg/kg of Medetomidin was used. The cornea was topically anesthetized with 0.5% proparacaine (Proparakain-POS; Ursapharm, Saarbruecken, Germany). Ultrasound (Cineloop Scan-S; Quantel Medical, Clermont-Ferrand, France) was performed with an ophthalmic 10 MHz transducer scanning the intraocular and orbital contents. It showed multiple semi-curved hyperechoic lines that protruded into the vitreous and were consistent with focal, serous retinal detachments (Fig. 2).

Scotopic and photopic electroretinograms (RETIport; S&V Technologies AG, Hennigsdorf, Germany) of the dog as well as a breed-, age-, and gender-matched control were recorded. Mydriasis was accomplished with topical application of tropicamide 5% (Mydrum, Ankerpharm, Germany), and the dogs were dark-adapted for 10 min. Two subdermal needle electrodes (GVB-geliMED E.Kfm, Bad Segeberg, Germany) were placed as previously described. A Kojiman corneal contact lens was used as an active electrode and as an eyelid speculum. Its in-build 4WLED was used as photostimulator (3.0 cds/m² standard flash, 300 Hz) and it was stabilized on the cornea with a dexamphenol gel (Corneregel, Bausch & Lomb, Berlin, Germany). Each ERG response was averaged 20 times, and the cut-off filter was set at 300 Hz. Scotopic and photopic electroretinography revealed a significant difference in the b-waves in the affected dog and the control (Fig. 3). Optical coherence tomography (OCT) was completed only in the affected dog (SPECTRALIS® Tracking Laser Tomographie with Eye Tracking; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). The vitreous and retina as well as the bullous retinal lesions were photographed. The lesions were measured, again confirming the serous retinal detachments. Focal serous accumulations, which were optically opaque in some cases, were observed subretinally. In places, where both occurred, a gravity stratification was visible, that is, the serous material was located above the opaque one. In this area, the retina was thickened compared to the thickness of the retina that was attached on either side of the bulla (Fig. 4). The thickness of the affected and unchanged areas was 88–216 and 59–67 μm, respectively, measured from internal limiting membrane to external limiting membrane.

As clinical and genetic results were highly consistent with a diagnosis of cmr1, five directly related animals were clinically examined and tested for the cmr1 mutation. While none of the relatives showed clinical abnormalities, the parents of the affected dog were identified to be cmr1 carriers, and other relatives were genetically normal (Fig. 5). Additionally, the investigation into genetic markers surrounding the original mutation suggested that it is identical-by-descent (IBD) with the locus originally described in Mastiffrelated breeds (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

To date, clinical implications of cmr are not clearly understood. Ocular symptoms range from normal to severe visual impairment. The affected AS was presented for a routine eye examination without the indication of reduced vision despite the presence of large and prominent retinal lesions. As there were no signs of an infectious origin for the retinal changes or other known potential causes, striking resemblance to already described cases of cmr suggested this disorder as potential diagnosis. Ophthalmic ultrasound showed multiple zones of dehiscence of the sensory retina from the RPE which can be indicative, but not specific for cmr.

Electrodiagnostic testing was distinctively different in the affected animal from a breed-, age-, and gender-matched control. Although not common with cmr, sporadic cases with...
altered ERG responses have previously been observed. The reason for this is unknown. It may be possible that the severity or location of the lesions contributed to the diminishing of the b-wave, although the dog seemed not to be visually impaired by this. It may be worthwhile to conduct ERG’s in affected ASs with less prominent changes to see whether the severity of the lesions correlates with b-wave amplitude. The OCT examination further strengthened the diagnosis of cmr as it confirmed the serous retinal detachments and the subretinal infiltrate. This infiltrate has been identified in other cases of this disease as well as in humans affected with Best’s disease. The thickness of the affected areas was unusual and is not typically seen in Best’s disease either. In contrast to a previous report, the retina did not seem thinned compared to the thickness of the retina that was attached on either side of the bulla (Fig. 4). This may be due to the young age of the animal and the unknown onset of individual lesions. Thus, thinning of the retina may potentially occur at a later stage.

Genotype analyses of the affected dog and its immediate family not only confirmed the diagnosis of cmrl, but also revealed an identity-by-descent at the BEST1 locus between AS and Mastiff breeds. This suggests that ASs either share some common ancestry with Mastiff-related breeds or at least some lines may have been subjected to a recent admixture. As the latter is not supported by the documented breeding history, these findings not only have an immediate impact on the AS breed, but also suggest that cmrl may indeed reflect an old mutation with the potential to affect more breeds than initially thought.

In conclusion, a genetic test for cmrl is warranted for ASs suspicious for the disease. Furthermore, cmr should be added to the list of differential diagnoses in retinal changes in this breed.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Sue Pearce-Kelling from OptiGen LLC (Ithaca, NY) for samples management and support with genetic testing as well as Barbara Nell and Petra Benz from the University of Veterinary Medicine (Vienna, Austria) for examining and sample acquisition of the dog’s relatives in Austria.

References


Vet Ophthalmol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 30.


Figure 1.
Right fundus photograph of the affected Australian Shepherd with multiple, brown to orange lesions.
Figure 2.
Ultrasonogram of the right eye with a serous detachment. Note the hyperechoic line that protrudes into the vitreous (arrow).
Figure 3.
Electrophysiology measurements of the studied Australian Shepherd case (a) and the control dog (b). Scotopic measurement on top, and photopic measurement below. Note the diminished b-wave in (a).
Figure 4.
Optical coherence tomography in affected Australian Shepherd. The green line in the left photograph shows the scanned area depicted on the right side of the figure. Note the focal detachments, the serous accumulations with gravity stratification, and the thickened retina over the larger bulla.
Figure 5.
(a) Australian Shepherd pedigree segregating cmrl mutation (C, normal allele; T, mutant allele). The affected case is denoted by the red arrow. (b) Sequencing chromatograms illustrating the C73T/R25X mutation in the canine BEST1 gene (top panel: homozygous affected; middle panel: heterozygous carrier; bottom panel: homozygous for the normal allele).