Cutting path as a Rural Postman Problem: solutions by Memetic Algorithms
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Abstract. The Rural Postman Problem (RPP) is a particular Arc Routing Problem (ARP) which consists of determining a minimum cost circuit on a graph so that a given subset of required edges is traversed. The RPP is an NP-hard problem with significant real-life applications. This paper introduces an original approach based on Memetic Algorithms - the MARP algorithm - to solve the RPP and, also deals with an interesting Industrial Application, which focuses on the path optimization for component cutting operations. Memetic Algorithms are a class of Metaheuristics which may be seen as a population strategy that involves cooperation and competition processes between population elements and integrates “social knowledge”, using a local search procedure. The MARP algorithm is tested with different groups of instances and the results are compared with those gathered from other publications. MARP is also used in the context of various real-life applications.
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1 Introduction

Many industries need to determine good layouts and path planning to cut pieces using various cutting tools and procedures that are appropriate for the materials in use. This work is motivated by specific continuous process path-cutting applications, meaning that the cutting tool never leaves the cutting surface, and there are no restrictions with regard to completely cutting a piece after initiation. These applications are modeled as Rural Postman Problems (RPP) and solved as such. Furthermore, an original method based on Memetic Algorithms (MA) - MARP algorithm - is introduced and used to solve the RPP. Therefore, the paper is twofold:

1. A new algorithm for the RPP is presented and tested;
2. Potential applications to path cutting planning are illustrated.

The RPP is more general than the Chinese Postman Problem (CPP), a well-known Arc Routing Problems (ARP), which consists of finding the shortest circuit that traverses each edge of a graph at least once. These edges can be directed, undirected or both. In the case of RPP, not all of the edges have to be traversed by the circuit, only those included in a specified subset of required edges. The RPP is applied to a variety of practical contexts which include mail delivery, garbage collection (Ghiani et al. [1] present a case study modeled as a particular ARP), street cleaning, road gritting, meter reading and laser plotter applications [2, 3,4].
Undirected, directed and mixed RPP are NP-hard [5,6] which helps to explain the existence of dedicated heuristics and the research on new approaches that may be based on Metaheuristics. MA were selected to be used in this paper in order to obtain high quality solutions in a short period of time and bearing in mind its industrial applications. Furthermore, it is the first time that MA have been employed in such a context and thus represents a good opportunity to evaluate their efficacy. MA are population-based Metaheuristic which combines local search heuristics with crossover operators. They aim to integrate “knowledge”, using the local search procedure, in order to complement the “genetic information” acquired by the crossover operators. Consequently, there is an expectation for an improvement in its performance for difficult combinatorial optimization problems or problems with large instances.

The industrial cutting path applications, modeled as an RPP, are presented in Subsection 2.1. In Subsection 2.2, the RPP is defined in connection with other ARP. MA and MARP are explained in Section 3. Section 4 contains the computational results and the evaluation of the MARP algorithm, both for solving RPP and its applications to path cutting. Finally, some conclusions are drawn in the last section, Section 5.

2 Cutting path as Rural Postman Problem

Industrial applications dealing with the path optimization for component cutting operations are presented next. As these applications may be modeled as RPP and solved as such, the Subsection 2.2 is dedicated to a description of these problems.

2.1 Cutting path planning application

The need to cut materials using different tools, such as flame or electrified cutters, artificial diamonds or water pressure is frequent in various different industries. Specific publications related to this subject can be highlighted: Manber and Israni [7] developed three algorithms for a manufacturing situation related to the generation of a sequence of torch paths, given a nesting of parts on a stock sheet and the bridges to connect them. Various practical constraints are considered and the objective is to minimize the number of pierce points. Ghiani and Improta [8] presented a model to solve a laser-plotter beam routing problem as a constrained arc routing problem; Moreira et al. [9] provided two heuristics based on node routing for a complex cutting application in the metallomechanical industry, after devising the Dynamic RPP; Imahori et al. [10] also dealt with the generation of path cutting for hard materials - a geometrical heuristic approach is presented which facilitates the representation of complicated conditions resulting from the specific application; Huang et al. [11] present a two-stage methodology for flame cutting rectangular pieces - the pieces are grouped into larger rectangles to minimize the distance travelled within blocks and a genetic algorithm determines multiple starting points for each block. Usberti et al. [12] present the Open Capacitated Arc Routing Problem, where tours are not constrained to form circles, and they also present some applications, such as path cutting determination problem.

Each industrial application has its own specific characteristics and restrictions. This work is motivated, as mentioned previously, by specific path planning applications involving a continuous cutting procedure. The diameter of the cutting tool is not significant and so pieces can touch each other, which is important to minimize waste and reduce the cutting path. Furthermore, the cut out pieces should not be allowed to fall, instead they should remain in position, or be removed from the cutting surface. This last facet is a fundamental difference in relation to the problem tackled in Moreira et al. [9], also meaning that there is no advantage in using the concept of dynamic graphs.

To illustrate the situation, Fig. 1 depicts a practical example consisting of a circular plate with 28 components that must be cut out using a cutting tool that does not leave the cutting surface until all the pieces have been completely cut out. The example is solved by the MARP algorithm (http://www.inescporto.pt/amr).
The whole problem is frequently separated into two stages: packing/nesting and cutting. Another intermediate phase to define arbitrary connections between the pieces will also be included in this paper (a requirement of the continuous cutting process):

1. **Nesting** - this process involves the layout of the small pieces over the plate while trying to minimize waste;
2. **Bridges** - bridges must be launched between the pieces, so that the cutting tool can move from one piece to another, without cutting the interior of any piece (see Fig. 2);
3. **Cutting** - this process involves cutting all of the pieces using the shortest path (a consequence is the minimization of the cutting time, which is an important goal).

There is no special difference for planning purposes between cutting one edge of a piece, one bridge or, potentially, a plate portion that does not correspond to one effective cut. What is most important is the reduction of the distance/time travelled by the cutting tool. There are no restrictions in terms of completely cutting a piece after initiation - it can be abandoned and revisited later on to finish the cut.

This paper will only refer to the cutting phase. References to the other phases include, Moreira et al. [9] for bridges launching and shape representation, and Gomes and Oliveira [13], Oliveira et al. [14], Burke et al. [15] and Bennell and Oliveira [16] for manipulation.

Fig. 3 illustrates the proposal of modeling these cutting problem as a Rural Postman Problem (RPP), which is defined in the next section. These ARP are specific because they include both required and non-required edges - required edges must be crossed at least once. The edges of the pieces (P1 and P2) that will be cut will act as the required edges, while the three segments between the pieces (launched bridges) are the non required or facultative edges (some will be chosen to move between the pieces).
This last step is not necessary for the envisaged industrial applications, because their correspondent graphs should only have one connected component; the graph with only the required edges is connected.

Fig. 3. Cutting Problem (a) modeled as an RPP (b).

2.2 Rural Postman Problem

An ARP consists of determining a traversal of the lowest cost of the set (or subset) of edges on a graph, \( G = (V, E) \). \( V \) is the set of vertices and \( E \) is the set of edges. The edges can be directed, undirected or both. This paper is only concerned with undirected edges.

There are plenty of applications of this kind to problems in many different areas. Perhaps the first reference to an ARP is the famous Königsberg bridge problem [17]. Nowadays this problem appears in a large variety of practical contexts such as: mail delivery, delivery of telephone books, garbage collection, street sweepers, road gritting, inspection of streets for maintenance, meter reading, snow removal, school bus route, planning internet routing and manufacturing printed circuit boards.

The Chinese Postman Problem (CPP) is an ARP where the aim is to find a minimum cost closed path traversing every edge \( e \in E \) of the graph \( G \) with a set of vertices \( V \), at least once. A cost \( c: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+ \) is associated with each edge. The undirected case can be solved in polynomial time. However, there are some extensions of the CPP which are NP-hard problems, such as the Windy Postman Problem, the Capacitated Chinese Postman Problem and the Hierarchical Postman Problem, see Laporte and Osman [18]. One of these extensions is the Rural Postman Problem (RPP), originally presented in Orloff [19] and described as follows. The RPP consists of finding the minimum closed path of \( G \) so that every edge in \( E_R \) is traversed at least once given a set of required edges \( E_R \subseteq E \). If \( E_R = E \), the RPP is reduced to the CPP.

Integer linear programming formulations have been proposed for the undirected RPP, the first formulation, suggested by Christofides et al. [20]. Exact methods are proposed in articles such as Christofides et al. [20], Corberán and Sanchís [21], Ghiani and Laporte [22] or Fernández et al. [23]. Constructive heuristics are also frequent, such as those presented in Frederickson [24] and Pearn and Wu [25]. Metaheuristics such as Ant Colony Optimization are proposed in Laganà et al. [26]. Significant results were obtained in Hertz et al. [27] with heuristics, in Ghiani and Laporte [22] using branch and cut and also in Fernández et al. [23], where the formulation introduced in [28] was modified to improve its computational viability. The heuristic for the Undirected RPP presented in Frederickson [24] is classified by different researchers as the best known constructive heuristic. More references in this field are related to the Monte Carlo Method in Córdoba et al. [29] that was used to simulate a vehicle in a graph randomly traveling and to simulate the Tabu Search implementation in Corberán et al. [30]. A Tabu Search method, in conjunction with Frederickson’s heuristic (for solving the RPP), is also used in Groves et al. [31] to solve a problem that involves routing and scheduling at the same time. The RPP is addressed in generic publications on ARP, such as Dror [32], Eiselt et al. [4] and, more recently, the relevant survey and annotated bibliography of Corberán and Prins [33] and Rodrigues and Ferreira [34]. There are many applications for the RPP [18], as highlighted in the Introduction and in the previous Subsection.
3 MARP – a Memetic Algorithm for the RPP

MA are a class of Metaheuristics which has been evolving since the end of the 1980s. They can be interpreted as a cooperative-competitive strategy to optimize agents [35]. Their implementations are supported by a population-based search which aims to use all available knowledge on the problem under study. Following some form of recombination, to exchange information on the solutions, the general aim is to improve these solutions. This may be achieved through the combination of local searches as implemented in this paper, for instance.

Some authors have found connections between MA and Genetic Algorithms (GA). GA began being researched in the 1970's by John Holland and they constitute a computational model of biological evolution [36]. Norways, the basis of these algorithms is well-known. A reproductive process selects solutions (parents) within a population of solutions in order to produce other solutions (children) which have some of the characteristics of each progenitor [37]. In nature, the strongest individuals survive and the same occurs in GA. In fact, the strongest solutions are preserved. The success of GA essentially comes from its simplicity and efficacy in finding good solutions in short computational times and also from new developments such as ‘hybrid genetic algorithms’. MA may also be seen as a class of population-based algorithms that generalize the hybrid genetic algorithms. The latter combine GA strength and local search processes [38].

The term ‘Memetic Algorithm’ was introduced to suggest that ‘Cultural Evolution’ could be a better working metaphor to escape from biologically constrained thinking (see Norman and Moscato [35]). ‘Memetic’ is derived from the word ‘meme’ from R. Dawkins and its relationship with MA is the same as the relationship between ‘genes’ and GA. This means that the ‘meme’ is a ‘gene’ in the field of cultural evolution.

MA could thus be understood as strategies between agents (individuals of the population) that consist of competition and cooperation processes [35].

The implementation of MA in this paper (MARP algorithm) will follow the common scheme of adding a local optimizer. Just as when applying GA, new solutions emerge after recombination and mutation although, sometimes, these solutions-children are not as good as their progenitors. That is why a local search could help a child improve their fitness before becoming part of the population. The idea is to have a population of individuals where each one of them is an element of the local optima space.

Some features of a good MA implementation are presented in Buriol et al. [39]:

1. suitable recombination and mutation operators, such as in any GA;
2. an effective and fast local search algorithm, which is crucial in MA;
3. hierarchically structured population - some studies show that results are good when the implementation is within a hierarchical structure (See Berreta and Moscato [40]);
4. advanced data structures and intelligent codification mechanisms.

Several population structures have been explored by different authors. Pablo Moscato [41] presents a complete ternary tree with 13 nodes (also with 40, 121, etc.) that is applied to parallel machine scheduling problems. Other authors, such as Berreta and Rodrigues [42], use this tree structure of 13 nodes for a multistage capacitated lot-sizing problem. Ring structures have also been used in Moscato and Norman [43] where individuals are arranged on a ring, competing and cooperating. MA has appeared in other applications, such as Knapsack Problems [44], the Travelling Salesperson Problem [43] or the Periodic Capacitated Arc Routing Problem [45]. Cotta [46] gives an overview of generic Memetic Algorithms, and Moscato and Cotta [47] present an overview of MA with historical notes, applications and current developments in this area.

Before proceeding to the idea and explanation of MARP (next section), the necessary operations and graph transformations must be mentioned in order to obtain initial solutions:

1. Changing the graph by eliminating vertices that only support facultative edges, facultative edges that exceed the minimum cost between those vertices and repeated edges with the same cost (a graph simplification);
2. Transforming the vertices into even degree vertices (pairs of vertices with odd degree are randomly chosen and a shortest path between each pair is added);
3. Creating the minimum spanning tree.

Step 3 is not necessary for the envisaged industrial applications, because their correspondent graphs should only have one connected component, as mentioned in the previous section. Its inclusion was made to generalize the algorithm (for more than
one connected component) and to make the computational tests possible. In order to create the minimum spanning tree, an algorithm was used that selects the best choice for each step to find an optimal solution, instead of considering all of the sequences of steps: a Greedy Algorithm with some adaptations in order to maintain the solutions’ feasibility.

3.1 Population Structure

A ternary tree with three levels was chosen to structure the population, see Fig.4. This structure has been used before, for instance in Buriol et al. [39].

![Fig. 4. Population Structure.](image)

The population $P$ is set up by 13 individuals hereinafter referred to as ‘agents’. $P$ is divided into 4 sub-populations, $Pop[i]$, $i=1,2,3,4$, each one consisting of one leader and three supporters. There are agents that simultaneously belong to 2 sub-populations, at the same time. The leader is always one level above its supporters. For instance, agent 3 is the leader of agents 8, 9 and 10 and belongs to $Pop[1]$ and $Pop[3]$ (see Fig. 4). Each agent of the population will handle two feasible solutions simultaneously. One is the best solution that the agent has found until that moment, Pocket Solution ($PS$), while the other one is the current solution, Current Solution ($CS$).

Before describing MARP, it is important to mention that Disjkstra’s algorithm was used every time a minimum distance between two vertices needed to be calculated.

3.2 Structure Operation – Keeping Tree Structure

MARP’s good results can be due to the organization of the population - a ternary tree. Each of the population’s 13 agents, say $i$, owns 2 solutions, at each moment: $PS(i)$ and $CS(i)$, with costs $PC(i)$ and $CC(i)$, respectively. As explained before, the population is divided into four sub-populations and each sub-population ($Pop[i]$, $i=1, 2, 3, 4$) is set up by one leader and three supporters. In order to keep the hierarchical structure there are three crucial operations that must be taken into account [39]:

1. **UpdatePocket** - whenever $CC(i) < PC(i)$, for any $i$ ($i=1, 2,...,13$), the solutions are switched;
2. **OrderChildren** - the supporters of one leader $i$, ($i=1, 2, 3, 4$) are in an ascending order of their Pocket Costs: $PC(i) ≤ PC(3i-1) ≤ PC(3i) ≤ PC(3i+1)$, $∀ i ∈ \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, where $i$ is the leader of sub-population $Pop[i]$;
3. **PocketPropagation** - if the Pocket Cost of a supporter is better than the Pocket Cost of its leader, they exchange solutions.
3.3 Crossover Operation

Crossover is the process used to generate new individuals in the population. Each child presents the features that are common in both progenitors. The new solution (current solution) for the agent is found using Crossover between culturally related agents. In each iteration, the choice of the parents is carried out as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
CS(1) &= \text{Crossover}(PS(2), PS(3)); \\
CS(i) &= \text{Crossover}(PS(3i), PS(3i+1)), \text{ for } i=2, 3, 4; \\
CS(3i-1) &= \text{Crossover}(PS(i), CS(3i)), \text{ for } i=2, 3, 4; \\
CS(3i) &= \text{Crossover}(PS(3i-1), CS(3i+1)), \text{ for } i=2, 3, 4; \\
CS(3i+1) &= \text{Crossover}(PS(3i), CS(3i-1)), \text{ for } i=2, 3, 4.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, this guarantees the adequacy of the new solution.

3.4 Mutation Operation

Mutation's main goal is to prevent all of the members of the population becoming similar following some iterations. The computational experiments actually provided this evidence and so this operation has only been employed in order to introduce diversity among the agents.

Two parameters are related to Mutation:

1. \( k \) (operation frequency): the Mutation process begins following the \( k \) iterations without any improvement on the PS of an agent;
2. \( d\)-opt (the level of the Mutation): \( d \) edges, non-required or required and repeated edges are removed from the solution.

All agents can be mutated, except for Agent 1. This procedure guarantees that the best solutions obtained thus far will never be lost.

3.5 Local Search

Local search is an important phase of MA. Although the inspiration for the Crossover and Mutation operations comes from other MA implementations - but not the RPP - the Local Search procedure presented here is completely new. In this implementation, each agent attempts to find, a better solution individually. The explanation for this implementation is combined with an example described in Fig. 5 to Fig. 9. The graph \( G^*=(V^*, E^*) \) (see Fig. 5) represents a solution (an Eulerian Graph with cost \( y \)) and \( G=(V,E) \) (see Fig. 6) is the initial graph (with all edges):

![Fig. 5. An Eulerian Graph \( G^*=(V^*, E^*) \), with cost \( y = 42 \).](image-url)
1. Randomly choose an edge \((v_i, v_j)\) of \(G\), with cost \(c_{ij}\), as a possible edge to enter the graph;
2. Find the shortest path, \(d_{ij}\), between \(v_i\) and \(v_j\) in \(G^*\) excluding edge \((v_i, v_j)\) (if that is not possible, go to 1.), \(d_{ij}=(v_i, w_1), (w_1, w_2), (w_2, w_3), \ldots, (w_n, v_j)\), with cost \(d\);
3. Create \(G'\) adding to \(G^*\) to all the edges of \(d_{ij}\) (see Fig. 7);
4. Calculate \(\Delta\), in \(G'\):
   \[
   \Delta = c_{ij} + d - 2 \times \sum_{(v_n,v_m) \in F} c_{nm} - 2 \times \sum_{(v_n,v_m) \in B} c_{nm};
   \]
   (where \(F\) represents the set of facultative edges that become doubles with the introduction of \(d_{ij}\); \(B\) is the set of edges of any type that have more than 2 copies while \(c_{nm}\) represents the cost associated to edge \((v_n, v_m)\)).
   a. If \(\Delta \geq 0\), back to 1;
   b. If \(\Delta < 0\) go to 5;
5. Remove from \(G'\) all the facultative edges that became doubles with the introduction of \(d_{ij}\), remove also 2 copies of edges, of any type, that have more than 2 copies;
6. Is $G'$ connected (see Fig. 8)?
   a. YES, $G'$ is the new solution (with cost = $y + \Delta$);
   b. NO, go to 7.;

7. Choose and duplicate an edge $e_{pq}$ (from the set of facultative edges of $G$) of minimum cost, $c_{pq}$, among those that join two connected components $p$ and $q$. Thus, a new graph $G''$ is obtained. Calculate $\Delta_1 = \Delta + 2 \times c_{pq}$;
   a. If $\Delta_1 \geq 0$ go to 1. ($(v_i, v_j)$ is not a good choice).
   b. If $\Delta_1 < 0$ then:
      i. If $G''$ is not connected, go back to 7. and do $\Delta := \Delta_1$;
      ii. If $G''$ is connected, return solution (with cost = $y + \Delta_1$, see Fig. 9);

   ![Diagram](image)

Fig. 9. $G''$ is created, with cost = $y + \Delta_1 = 42 + (-3) + 2 \times 1 = 41$.

3.6 Euler’s Circuit

Euler’s Circuit is only created at the end of the application of MARP. $G=\{V, E\}$ becomes an Eulerian Graph.

3.7 Pseudo Code

The pseudo code concerning the implementation of MARP:
BEGIN
Input (Vertices; Required Edges; Facultative Edges)
Begin Population
Evaluate fitness of Agents
LOCAL SEARCH
STRUCTURE
Repeat
CROSSOVER, LOCAL SEARCH, STRUCTURE
Count “No changes on a pocket”
For i=1 to 13 (agents)
   If “No changes on a pocket [i]=k”;
      Do MUTATION, LOCAL SEARCH;
   End If
End For
STRUCTURE
Until stop criterion = FALSO
Output best EULER CIRCUIT
END

4 Computational Results

This section presents an important selection of computational experiments to evaluate MARP.

The relevance of MARP should be observed in the twofold context:
1. as a procedure to solve some industrial path cutting problems and
2. as a method to solve the RPP.

4.1 Cutting Path Applications

Four path cutting applications are illustrated below. The non required path is called the ‘Empty Path’ (EP) and the objective is to minimize the EP. The first three applications are illustrated in Fig. 10. The first three applications, Ex1, Ex2 and Ex3 are illustrated in Fig. 10 and the data (vertices, required and facultative edges and pieces) may be found at http://www.inescporto.pt/~amr/. The data include the identification of vertices, required and facultative edges and pieces (see http://www.inescporto.pt/~amr/Read me.txt ).
The last application (348 vertices, 439 required edges and 286 facultative edges), presented in Fig. 11, is based on a problem described at [13]. The data relating to the solution with MARP may be found at [13].

The last application (348 vertices, 439 required edges and 286 facultative edges), presented in Fig. 11, is based on a problem described at [13]. The data relating to the solution with MARP may be found at [13].
4.2 RPP solutions

The computational experiments and results reported are based on a series of instances that cover the most common examples in the literature and in particular the most cited ones. The aim is to prove that MARP could be a good choice when solving the RPP, due to its simplicity and the relative quality of the solutions. The results obtained are compared with different authors' methods/implementations.

They are divided into 2 sets

1. Instances of small size, including Group 1 (P) and Group 2 (Albaidas), presented in Table 1 and
2. Instances of medium/big size, including Group 3 (GRP), Group 4 (Alba) and Group 5 (Madre), presented in Table 2.

Each table has 10 columns. The first column identifies the problem while the other columns refer to:

- \#Comp: number of components connected in the graph, only considering the required edges;
- \#Vert: number of vertices of the initial graph;
- \#RE: number of required edges;
- \#NE: number of non-required edges;
- \(\sum RE\): the sum of the costs for all of the required edges;
- OV*: the optimal value;
- MARP: the result obtained using the MARP algorithm;
- OV*/MARP: ratio between the optimal value and the result of MARP;
- Time (sec): computational time in seconds.

(c) Solution: \(EP = 31\%\) (Eulerian graph with simple (—) and double (—) edges). Fig. 11. Application with 348 vertices, 439 required edges and 286 facultative edges
The next three groups of instances have more vertices and edges. Fernández et al. [23] present a set of heuristics that show a good practical performance with these instances. They are the result of an interesting combination of ideas and developments from different authors and incorporating, in particular, tight bounds. Lower bounds are obtained when a linear programming relaxation is solved, based on a new formulation presented in Ghiani and Laporte [22]; in a second phase, an upper bound is created based on a heuristic with three steps, this is a variation of the well-known technique of Frederickson [24].

The results obtained with MARP are compared with optimal solutions, whenever possible. Otherwise, upper bound solutions are used.

In terms of the quality of the solutions, MARP succeeded in obtaining optimal solutions every time for all of the instances of Group 1 and showed very good results for Group 2. Less positive results were obtained in Groups 3 and 4 and the worst results were shown in Group 5.

It appears that MARP works better for problems with fewer connected components; this might be the reason for the results for Group 5, the group with the higher number of connected components. This may be observed when the 3 sub-groups Madr_3_y, Madr_5_y and Madr_7_y are 38, 23 and 4, respectively. This conclusion was expected and is supported by Orloff [48], who considered that the complexity of this type of problem depends, not only on the number of odd vertices and required vertices, but more importantly on the number of disconnected components.

The fact that MARP works better for instances with fewer connected components may be an advantage for the application described in Section 2. The algorithm is also being evaluated in this context. Indeed in that cutting application, the problems only have one connected component, since the pieces that will be cut are joined in order to minimize the waste.

Table 1. Characteristics and results of the 24 instances P_i and the 2 instances Albaida_x (Group 1 and Group 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#Comp</th>
<th>#Vert</th>
<th>#RE</th>
<th>#NE</th>
<th>ΣRE</th>
<th>OV</th>
<th>MARP</th>
<th>OV/MARP</th>
<th>Time(sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P01</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P02</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P03</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P06</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P07</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P08</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P09</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albaida_a</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>7295</td>
<td>10599</td>
<td>11189</td>
<td>0,95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albaida_b</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>5803</td>
<td>8629</td>
<td>8853</td>
<td>0,98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finally, and as a conclusion of the computational experiments, it must be noted that MARP may be used to handle the Industrial Application portrayed in the paper. Furthermore, a procedure based on MARP constitutes a new and successful method based on MA, that can deal with the RPP.

Table 2. Characteristics and results for the 10 instances GRP_i, 15 instances ALBA_x_y and 15 instances MADR_x_y (Groups 3,4 and 5). A bold value represents an upper bound
5 Conclusions

The Rural Postman Problem (RPP) is a particular Arc Routing Problem which consists of finding the minimum circuit on a graph so that a given set of required edges is traversed. It is an NP-hard problem. The paper’s main contribution is a new method that solves the RPP - the MARP algorithm - based on Memetic Algorithms (MA), in connection with a new Industrial Application. As far as the authors are aware, this is the first time MA have been used to solve the RPP and path cutting applications. MA can be interpreted as a cooperative-competitive strategy to optimize agents, with the unique characteristic of attempting to integrate social knowledge using a local search procedure and, therefore, complementing the information transmitted through crossover operations. MARP, based on a ternary structure of the population, was described in detail, after introducing the necessary graph transformations. The industrial application, linked with path cutting optimization in the manufacturing of expensive woodworking tools, which was modeled as an RPP, was portrayed. The computational experiments included many instances that were tested and compared with other known approaches. According to the results and the evaluation that was conducted, it may be said that it behaved very well in general, except for some specific instances, which had relatively more connected components. However, this is not a drawback for the cutting path applications, because the graphs only have one connected component. The MARP algorithm can easily be understood and it constitutes a new method, based on MA that can deal with the RPP. Furthermore, a procedure based on MARP may be used to handle the industrial application presented in the paper.
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