Image Compensation for Improving Extraction of Driver’s Facial Features

Jung-Ming Wang¹, Han-Ping Chou², Sei-Wang Chen¹ and Chiou-Shann Fuh³
¹Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan
²Department of Information Management, Chung-Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan
³Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
jmgwang@gmail.com

Keywords: Vision System, Face Detection, Facial Feature Detection, Image Compensation, Specified Histogram Equalization.

Abstract: Extracting driver’s facial feature helps to identify the vigilance level of a driver. Some research about facial feature extraction also has been developed for controlled interface of vehicle. To acquire facial feature of drivers, research using various visual sensors have been reported. However, potential challenges to such a work include rapid illumination variation resulting from ambient lights, abrupt lighting change (e.g., entering/exiting tunnels and sunshine/shadow), and partial occlusion. In this paper, we propose an image compensation method for improve extraction of a driver’s facial features. This method has the advantages of fast processing and high adaptation. Our experiments show that the extraction of driver’s facial features can be improved significantly.

1 INTRODUCTION

Extracting driver’s face features plays an important role to know the driver’s attention level. Some facial expression approach (Hayami et al., 2002), (Jabon et al., 2011), (Ji et al., 2004), (Lalonde et al., 2007), (McCall et al., 2007), (Park et al., 2006), (Smith et al., 2003), is primarily motivated by the human visual system that can effortlessly identify the vigilance level of a person based on his/her facial expressions. Facial expressions convey inward feelings, including both psychological (e.g., cheer, anger, delight, disgust, fear, and surprise) and physiological (e.g., vitality, fatigue, drowsiness, alertness, distraction, attention, drunkenness, and illness) reactions. The associated facial expressions include eye blinking, gaze fixation, yawn, and head nodding.

A driver may drop the attention on road while setting the equipment (e.g., radio, navigation system, or air conditioner) of the vehicle. To avoid such problem, some research about facial feature extraction has been developed for controlling interface (Ohno, 1998). This system could be controlled by head orientation (Hongo et al., 1997), eyeball orientation (Oh and Kwak, 2012), and facial expression (Lyons, 2004). The correctness of the facial feature extraction plays an important role for this area. Some companies, such as Seeing Machines, SmartEye, or Attention Technology Inc., have provided the systems of facial feature extraction. Most of them work well in the environment under control, but cannot tolerate the various illuminations in the real driving.

Using camera to capture the facial feature of the driver has many advantages: First, cameras are easy to set up and easy to maintain. Second, it would not influence the people's driving. Third, monitoring image could provide more information, such as the face orientation (Zhang and Gang, 2011), than the other systems. However, very few works (McCall et al., 2007), (Smith et al., 2003), and (Takai et al., 2003), considered the facial feature detection of drivers from their color videos inside vehicles. Potential challenges to such a work include video instability due to moving vehicles, rapid illumination variation resulting from ambient lights, abrupt lighting change (e.g., entering/exiting tunnels and sunshine/shadow), and partial occlusion.

To acquire facial expressions of drivers under rapid illumination variation, various visual sensors have been reported. In (Hayami et al., 2002), they incorporated a CCD camera, a mirror, and an infrared LED in a headgear for detecting and
tracking driver’s eye. The headgear with its own light source and a special configuration between the camera and the mirror successfully avoids the disturbances of ambient lights. In (Lalonde et al., 2007), they used a near-infrared (NIR) source to illuminate the driver’s face, which is then captured by an ordinary black/white camera. Facial features manifesting relatively low contrasts in the images increase their reliability of detection. In (Park, 2006), they projected an infrared (IR) light in a pulsed form onto the driver’s face, which is next captured by a CCD camera in synchronization with the IR pulse. Face images produced by this way can remain stable over a large range of illumination variation. In (Ji et al., 2004), they built an illuminator consisting of two sets of IR LEDs. These two sets of LEDs were synchronized so that the sub-images formed from the even and odd fields of an image acquired using a narrow-angle CCD camera contain bright and dark pupils, respectively. Taking difference between these two sub-images, pupils were highlighted in the resulting image.

Both IR and NIR illuminators can work well under controlled environments but they suffer from strong lights, dynamic backgrounds, and limited ranges of distance. Another drawback with the IR and NIR illuminators is their restricted spectral bands. This forces their accompanying visual sensors to overlook the other spectral information. However, it has been known that color information provides important cues for object detection, tracking and recognition (Lukac and Plataniotis, 2007). There have been a larger number of face detection researches based on chromatic evidences (Cooray and O’Connor, 2005), (Heishman and Duric, 2007), (Sugimoto et al., 2005), (Takai et al., 2003), (Zhang and Gang, 2006).

In this study, we develop an image compensation method for an in-vehicle vision system for extracting facial features including eyes, mouth, and head orientation. The driver’s face is first located to reduce the processing range. A face model is defined here for locating the facial features. Image compensation is designed to help the system to tolerate the rapid illumination variation while driving. The extracting results help to compute the facial parameters and to estimate the degree of drowsiness (Kao et al., 2010) or controlled interface using facial features (Lyons, 2004).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 outlines the proposed system, including its configuration and workflow. Section 3 describes our image compensation. Section 4 summarizes the implementations of face locating and facial features locating. Section 5 demonstrates the feasibility of the proposed system. Concluding remarks and future work are given in Section 6.

2 FACIAL FEATURE EXTRACTION SYSTEM

In this section, the configuration and workflow of the proposed system are described.

2.1 System Configuration

The system is composed of three major components: a video camera, a host computer, and a warning device. Of these, the camera plays the most important role in determining the technique and workflow of the system. Proper installation of the camera can reduce the degrees of difficulty. Takai et al. (Takai et al., 2003) mounted their video camera on the rearview mirror. As drivers usually adjust the rearview mirror before driving, by appropriately configuring the camera and the mirror, the driver’s face can always be captured by the camera. However, since the rearview mirror is located around the middle of the front windshield, a camera mounted on the mirror gives rise to side-view images of the driver. Consequently, the facial features of the driver are easily occluded when the driver slightly turns their head. In this study, we place a video camera on the top of the dashboard directly behind the steering wheel (see Figure 1(a)). The camera has a tilt angle of approximately 30 degrees and is pointing at the driver’s face. Figure 1(b) shows an image taken by the video camera of a driver.

Figure 1: (a) Installation of the video camera and (b) example image.

2.2 System Workflow

Figure 2 shows a block diagram for the proposed facial feature extraction system. There are four major blocks involved in the diagram, listed as image compensation, face location, facial feature location, and model updating. We will address the
final block—applications, such as reasoning—in future work. Each block corresponds to an essential step of the system and will be discussed later in this paper.

Figure 2 Block diagram for the proposed facial feature extraction system.

In relation to an input video sequence, image compensation is designed to handle the various illuminations in the environment. The driver’s face is then located in the video image. Next, facial features, including the eyes and mouth, are located within the located face region with the aid of a predefined face model. Three detectors have been trained by AdaBoost to detect face, eye and mouth features in images. The system updates the face model according to the detected facial features to preserve their most recent structure for later use. The dashed arrow shows that the face model is updated occasionally.

3 IMAGE COMPENSATION

When a vehicle moves on the road, it may be subject to many different kinds of illumination, such as in a tunnel, under a shadow, in the sun, or under clouds. Capturing a video sequence under different illumination conditions will obtain monitoring images with different contrasts and colors. Since the Viola–Jones-like detector mainly relies on the contrast of an image, changes in illumination can vary the effectiveness of the detector. In an environment with low illumination, the contrast of the monitoring image may be too low to detect facial features.

Similar issues can occur in the tracking step. Most tracking processes rely on consistency in the target’s appearance. However, a vehicle moving through different environments may be subject to changes in illumination conditions. Some illumination-invariant features, such as edges, can be applied when developing a tracking algorithm adapting to the changes in illumination. However, these algorithms still cannot adapt to various changes in real-world applications. In comparison to a robust tracking algorithm, image compensation requires less effort and has good performance. This is the key motivation for developing image compensation techniques.

Our image compensation technique includes illumination and color compensation, as shown in the flowchart in Figure 3. First, we apply a Viola–Jones-like detector for face detection (Viola and Jones, 2002) that is available in OpenCV (Reimondo, 2010). Since the driver’s face often appears in a particular region, we define this as our region of interest (ROI). If any face is detected, the face region is used to update the ROI. We show this consideration as dashed arrow in Figure 3, and Figure 4(a) shows an example of an ROI.

Additionally, an image with a face in it indicates that the image is good enough for facial feature extraction. This image is considered a candidate for the reference image. The quality of the candidate is measured and selected as the reference image if its quality is higher than the current one. Such consideration is also shown as dashed line in Figure 3. The first frame in the video sequence is set as the reference image when the system is initialized. Regardless of whether a face is detected from the input image, each image is compensated using a histogram equalization that matches the desired histogram specified from the reference image in the

Figure 3: Flowchart for our image compensation technique.

Figure 4: (a) The region of interest (marked by the square), (b) The reference image, and (c) The compensated image.
ROI. Figures 4(b) and (c) show the reference image and the compensated image, respectively. In the following subsections, we detail the main processes.

3.1 Updating the Region of Interest

The driver’s face often appears in a particular region, and this region is set as the ROI for image compensation. It is represented by a square with two pairs of random variables: the central location \((X, Y)\) and region size \((W, H)\) (width and height). A Gaussian distribution \(G_d(\mu_d, \sigma_d)\) with mean \(\mu_d\) and standard deviation \(\sigma_d\) is assumed to be the probability distribution, \(p_A\), that associates each outcome of the random variable, \(A = X, Y, W,\) or \(H\), with a probability.

The corresponding parameters \(\mu_d\) and \(\sigma_d\) are updated using the following equations with a learning rate \(\alpha\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{d,t} &= (1-\alpha)\mu_{d,t-1} + \alpha A(t) \\
\sigma_{d,t}^2 &= (1-\alpha)\sigma_{d,t-1}^2 + \alpha (A(t) - \mu_{d,t})^2 (A(t) - \mu_{d,t})
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\mu_{d,t}\) and \(\sigma_{d,t}\) refer to the mean and standard deviation values of \(G_d\) at time \(t\), and \(A(t)\) is the function of parameter values, location \((x, y)\) and size \((w, h)\), of the face region detected in the current instance. These equations mean that we can update the ROI by updating its parameters \((X, Y, W,\) and \(H)\) according to the current face region.

Since the ROI’s center is assumed to have a normal distribution, there is an approximately 95% probability that the ROI’s center is located in the square region given by the coordinates \((\mu_x - 2\sigma_x, \mu_x - 2\sigma_y)\) for the top-left corner and \((\mu_x + 2\sigma_x, \mu_y + 2\sigma_y)\) for the bottom-right corner. Similarly, with reference to the cumulative normal distribution function, there is an approximately 84% probability that the ROI’s width and height are less than \(\mu_w + \sigma_w\) and \(\mu_h + \sigma_h\) respectively. Let us define a square region \(R\) given by the coordinates \((\mu_x - 2\sigma_x - \mu_w - 2\sigma_y, \mu_y - 2\sigma_y - \mu_h - \sigma_h)\) for the top-left corner and \((\mu_x + 2\sigma_x + \mu_w + \sigma_y, \mu_y + 2\sigma_y + \mu_h + \sigma_h)\) for the bottom-right corner. Then, the probable proportion of the region of the driver’s face enclosed by \(R\) will be \(1 - (1-95\%)(1-84\%) = 99\%\). Thus, finding the driver’s face in this region can be guaranteed.

3.2 Image Quality Measurement

Some research has been proposed for assessing an image’s quality (Ke et al., 2006). A higher quality image typically has higher contrast. Several features, such as distribution of edges, color distribution, or hue count, have been used to measure contrast. In this study, we measure the quality using the values of six test attributes, which are denoted by \(A_1\) to \(A_6\), and defined as follows:

1. \(A_1\) is the brightness level, which is computed by the average of the intensity values. In most cases, an image captured in a brighter environment will have better quality. This attribute helps us to select those images captured under high illumination.
2. \(A_2\) is the standard deviation of the intensity values. This concept comes from histogram equalization, which is a well-known method for increasing the global contrast of an image. Since an image with histogram equalization has a higher standard deviation of intensity values, we can assume that an image with higher standard deviation will have higher contrast.
3. \(A_3\) is the standard deviation of hue values. An image captured under sunshine would be more colorful than one captured under clouds or in a tunnel. A colorful image is assumed to have a high standard deviation of hue values.
4. \(A_4\) is the number of pixels with skin color. Since the majority of a driver’s face is skin, we assume that a larger region of skin color implies that the vehicle is exposed to normal light.
5. \(A_5\) is the number of edge points. High-frequency edges of an image not only show the contrast of the image, but also indicate that there are subjects in it.
6. \(A_6\) is computed in the frequency domain. After representing the image in a frequency domain, such as via a Fourier transform, we count the number of points with a high-frequency value.

Generally speaking, an image with higher attribute values can be selected as the reference image. However, considering only one attribute value in the selection of a reference image may cause a bias problem. For example, using \(A_1\) for selection may lead to an image with over-exposure. Alternatively, using \(A_6\) may lead to the selection of an image with high noise. Combining multiple attributes for consideration may help to reduce the influence of bias. Let us denote the set of attribute values as \(S = \{A_i | i = 1, ..., 6\}\). Then, the possibilities for combination can be defined as the power set of \(S\), \(2^6\), with elements \(\{S_0, ..., S_{63}\}\), where \(S_i\) contains the elements \(\{A_i \} \text{th bit of } k \text{ in a binary representation is } 1\}.

To decide the best combination of attributes, each set of attributes is applied for selecting the reference image, and the compensated image based on the selected reference image is evaluated by the Viola–Jones-like detector. We compute the attribute values in \(S_i\) for the input image, and set the image as
the reference image if those values are larger than the corresponding attribute values of the original reference image. Viola–Jones-like detectors for facial features (face, eyes, and mouth) are applied to test the input and compensated image. In the entire video sequence, let $n_c$ be the number of frames (images) in which we cannot detect all facial features. Among those frames, $n_d$ is the number of frames in which we can detect all facial features after compensating. After ten trials for the same video sequence, we average $n_c$ and $n_d$ to compute the success rate $n_d/n_c$. Figure 5 shows the success rate given different sets of attributes.

After testing $S_h$, we found that there were no significant differences between the success rates. In other words, we can use any one of the attributes to decide our reference image. However, since the reference image decided according to $S_{53} = \{A_1, A_3, A_5, A_6\}$ was the best performer, these attributes are applied in the image quality measurement step to select the reference image.

3.3 Specified Histogram Equalization

After we have the reference image, each frame of the video sequence is enhanced using histogram equalization, matching the desired histogram created from the reference image in the ROI. Let $p_i(x)$ and $p_j(x)$ be the original and desired histograms created from the current frame and the reference image, respectively. Their cumulative histograms $T(x)$ and $G(x)$ are given by $T(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{x} p_i(i)$ and $G(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{x} p_j(i)$.

Each pixel with value $x'$ is then replaced with the new value $G^{-1}(T(x'))$, where $G^{-1}$ is the inverse process of $G$. The above process is a well-known image enhancement method, which is described in more detail by Gonzalez and Woods. (Gonzalez and Woods, 2007).

To avoid creating the histogram of the reference image at each frame time, the histogram can be normalized according to the size of the ROI. That is, when the reference image is decided, a normalized $G(x)$ is created according to the ROI at that time. In the following compensation for the image frame, only $T(x)$ needs to be created and normalized simultaneously. Figure 4(c) shows an example of image compensation. Unfortunately, the ratio of light signal to noise is often small in an image captured in low illumination. Increasing the intensity of an image also increases noise. After compensating the image, we cannot avoid obtaining a noisy image such as that captured by a camera with a high ISO speed.

4 FACE AND FACIAL FEATURE LOCATING

We use the method proposed in (Wang, 2011) to locate the facial features of a driver. In this method, the face region is first located to reduce the region for searching for facial features. A brief introduction is given in the following sub-sections.

4.1 Face Locating

Face location can be divided into preprocessing and main processing. In the preprocessing stage, we try to locate the driver’s face without a priori knowledge. This process consists of two steps: motion detection and face detection. Motion detection is designed to reduce the processing range, while face detection is designed to locate the driver’s face in the range. In motion detection, we compare two successive frames to obtain the differences. The differences between two frames are usually caused by object motion and illumination.
change. We can assume that the illumination does not have a significant change in a short time, so the differences are due to the object moving. In the monitoring range, most motion would be related to the driver’s face.

The motion part obtained here is treated as a mask image. We detect the driver’s face using the Viola–Jones-like face detector among the accumulated motion region. This will reduce the processing time and limit the searching results in the motion sections to avoid false alarms. After we have the face region, we apply a tracking technique instead of motion detection to the following frames to obtain the expected face location. The tracking result helps us to predict the face location and to reduce the ROI in the following step. Mean-shift (Cheng, 1995) is used for tracking here for two reasons. First, we can suppose that the illumination would not undergo significant change in two successive image frames after image compensation, so the faces in two frames would have a similar color. We also suppose that the driver’s face could not suddenly move while driving, so the face position in the current image frame will be close to that in the previous frame.

4.2 Facial Feature Locating

After the face location step, we will have the face range, no matter the driver’s facing direction. In this step, we try to locate the facial features, including eyes and mouth, within the face region. The face model is defined as the a priori knowledge of facial features, which includes the feature values and their relationships. The transformation state defines parameters for transforming the face model onto the image plane; these transformation results will provide the a priori knowledge for measurement in the feature prediction step. A particle filter is applied here for prediction to help us compute the expected transformation state for the current frame. Eye and mouth detectors are applied in the neighborhood of their expected positions to determine their accurate positions. Detection and prediction results are then used to update the transformation state and even the face model.

4.3 Model Updating

The updating step is designed for two purposes. The first is to update the transformation state by replacing the previous transformation state $s_{t-1}$ with the current $\hat{s}$ and use $\hat{s}$ as $s_t$ in the next time $t+1$, which is a traditional step in particle filtering. The second is to update the face model with the current driver’s face and to match the driver’s pose. Our tracking algorithm for facial features is designed using the information of the face model. If the face model matches the current driver’s facial features, we will have a better tracking result. The model updating is designed for the following four reasons. First, the face model is designed using some initial parameter values. Updating the model will let the parameter values match the current driver’s feature values. Second, even though the face model matches the current driver’s facial features, the driver may change to a new one after a period of time. Third, the illumination may change over time, and so will the color information of the facial features. Finally, the driver may have a slight pose change while driving.

4.4 Discussion

The facial features may be failed to locate if they are not shown in the scene along time. This would be happened in many cases: For example, the driver has a dark beard; the driver wears dark sunglasses; or the driver is dark skin color. Because our compensation method relies on the facial feature detection result under ideal illumination, the above cases may influence our compensation result. However, since our method is designed for facial feature detection, there will be no need to compensate the images in which no facial features will be detected after compensation.

5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The proposed driver’s drowsiness detection system was developed using the Xcode run on an Intel Core 2 Duo 2 GHz PC running under Mac OS X. The input video sequence to the system is set at a rate of 30 frames/second. The size of the video images is 320×240 pixels. We divide our experiments into two parts. The first part examines the accuracies and efficiencies of the face location steps of the system process without image compensation. Each frame is processed to obtain the result in 0.05 seconds. The second part demonstrates the results of the facial feature location with image compensation. Each frame is processed to obtain the result in 0.08 seconds. The reference image selection and image compensation require 0.03 seconds. Figure 6 shows the sixteen video sequences tested in our system.
Figure 7: The comparisons of success detection rate (Y-axis) shown in chart.

Figure 6: Video sequences tested in our system.

5.1 Facial Feature Location

Figure 7 shows the comparison of success rates between the location and detection using the method proposed in (Wang, 2011). The success rate of location is defined as the number of frames in which the eyes and mouth are located simultaneously over the total number of frames. The success rate of detection is defined as the number of frames in which the eyes and mouth are detected by the Viola–Jones-like detector over the total number of frames. The eyes and mouth are much harder to detect than the face. The experiments show that the success rate of detection is often smaller than 50%, and that our method can locate facial features over 80% of the time for most sequences. As our method is based on the detection result, it will have a higher success rate for location while the success rate of detection is over 30%.

Most errors occurred due to unusual driving. In video 6 and video 10, the drivers turn their heads frequently and with large pan angles, so we could not catch their faces most of the time. Video 8 was extracted in the case of driving in a tunnel, which has low illumination, leading to poor levels of information (color or edge) for tracking or detection. The video 15 is captured while the vehicle moved in the urban road where the sunlight would be reflected and occluded by the building, which cause abrupt lighting change and false tracking. The locating results can be improved with image compensation that will be discussed in the next section.

5.2 Image Compensation

As mentioned before, low illumination will influence the detection and tracking of facial features. Our image compensation method provides compensated images that help to reduce the influence. In Figure 8, the vehicle is moved into the tunnel and the light projecting on the face has significant change along time. Facial features cannot be located while the vehicle is moved into the tunnel. The upper row shows the frames without image compensation. We can see that the facial features are failed to locate, but the facial features in the bottom row can be located after applying our image compensation method.

When the vehicle moves in the urban road, it may suffer the illumination variation due to the sunlight occluded or reflected by the building. Such abrupt lighting change causes the problem of false tracking as that shown in the upper row of Figure 9. Our image compensation stabilizes the illumination on the driver’s face in the image, so we can track the facial features correctly as that show in the bottom row of Figure 9.

To show the correctness over time, we count the number $n_d$ of frames with the driver’s eyes and
Figure 10: The success rate (y-axis) versus time (x-axis). Facial features are detected using the Viola–Jones-like detector without (D1) and with image compensation (D2). T1 and T2 show the success rate of the method proposed in (Wang, 2011) without and with image compensation, respectively. Note that this figure is warped.

Figure 8: The processing results of the image frames extracted from video 3. Upper row shows the frames without image compensation, and bottom row shows the frames with image compensation. Squares show the locating results of facial features.

Figure 9: The processing results of the image frames extracted from video 15. Upper row shows the frames without image compensation, and bottom row shows the frames with image compensation. Squares show the locating results of facial features.

mout being located correctly in every period of time. The level of correct detection is defined as $n_d/n$, where $n$ is the frame number in this period time. At each frame $t$, $n_d$ is computed from frame $t$ to frame $t+n$, and $n$ is chosen as 50 in our application. Figure 10 shows the location rate over time in video 8. This video is selected right here, because the vehicle in this video is moved in many kinds of environment, such as in urban, on a highway, in tunnel, or under shadow. The location rates over time are shown in this figure for comparison: In D1, the facial features are located using Viola-Jones like detector only and the images are not compensated, while D2 are obtained after our image compensation method. T1 and T2 are calculated while the facial features are located using the method proposed in (Wang et al., 2011) without and with image compensation, respectively.

In driving, the driver may turn his head randomly, and the Viola-Jones like detector may fail to detect the facial features because of shape distortion. Comparing T1 with D1 (or T2 with D2), we can see that our tracking algorithm improves the facial feature location in all of the time. As long as the detection rate is over 30%, our proposed method can locate the facial features over 90%. While we compare D1 with D2 (or T1 with T2), we can see that the image compensation plays an important role in detection and tracking. Let us take the frame time
from 3361 to 3865 for example. The facial features cannot be located before image compensation, but the Viola-Jones like detector can detect the facial features after image compensation.

Our proposed method using image compensation has a very high location rate (94.9% on average) except in the following situations. In frame 281 of Figure 11, the driver moves his face forward, which caused the shape distortion of his face and thus we failed to locate his facial features. They will be located again when the driver’s face returns to its original position. When the vehicle moves in the tunnel, the facial features are not visible in such low illumination. Frame 2857 is a case where the facial features are occluded (in this case by the driver’s hand). Our tracking algorithm can predict the expected position, but we still consider such a case as a failed location.

In frame 281, the driver moves his head forward and outside the monitoring range. There is very illumination in frame 1360, so we cannot see the facial features, even after applying image compensation. The facial features are occluded in frame 2857. In frame 3435, the facial features cannot be located due to low illumination and occlusion.

6 CONCLUSIONS

While there have already been many vision systems reported for detecting and monitoring driver drowsiness or fatigue, few systems have utilized chromatic images for their input data. In this article, we presented a system for monitoring a driver’s features using as input data color images acquired by a video camera. Although colors provide rich information, they suffer from low intensity and brightness variation. Particularly in the case of driving, due to the vehicle’s motion, the environmental light projecting on the driver may change rapidly. We introduced a method of image compensation for handling these variations. This process can significantly improve the location rate of the facial features under traditionally poor environments.
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