



mozilla
CORPORATION

JavaScript at Ten Years

Brendan Eich

brendan@mozilla.org



- The salad days, green in judgment
- Maturity, standardization, stagnation
- AJAX, the JS renaissance, and beyond



- Netscape > 90% browser market share
- MS buy-out attempt in late 1994 rebuffed
- Threat to Windows made explicit by Java
- “Worse is Better!” - marca channeling rpg
- “We are doomed!” - engineering refrain
- Very narrow window in which to innovate



- Opportunity to do “HTML scripting language”
- Netscape/Sun “Java deal” cast long shadow
- Argument by code demonstration necessary
- I hacked the JS prototype in ~1 week in May
 - And it showed! Mistakes were frozen early
- Rest of year spent embedding in browser



- Make it easy to copy/paste snippets of code
- Tolerate “minor” errors (missing semicolons)
- Simplified onclick, onmousedown, etc., event handling, inspired by HyperCard
- Pick a few hard-working, powerful primitives
 - First class functions for procedural abstraction
 - Objects everywhere, prototype-based
- Leave all else out!



- Netscape management fretted: “Why two programming languages?”
- Answer: division of labor, specialization
- Java for high-priced components/widgets
- “Mocha” for mass market web designers
- “Object-based”, if not object-oriented



- Then they changed the name to “LiveScript”
- Finally, to “JavaScript” in late 1995
- Mostly a marketing scam
- Engineering pressure to “be like Java” did cause us to follow Java into some dead ends (Date inherited y2k bugs from `java.util.Date`!)
- Confusion ever since



- Objects map strings to values (properties):

```
var obj = new Object;
```

```
obj["prop"] = 42;           => obj.prop
```

```
obj["0"] = "hello";       => obj[0]
```

- Functions are first-class objects:

```
function fact(n) {
```

```
    return (n <= 2) ? n : n * fact(n-1);
```

```
}
```

```
fact.desc = "Factorial function";
```




- So methods are function-valued properties:

```
obj.frob = function (n) {  
    this.prop += n;  
};
```

```
obj.frob(6);      => obj.prop == 48
```

- Permissiveness throughout. Oops.

```
grob = obj.frob;  => var not necessary
```

```
grob(6);          => undefined + 6 == NaN
```

```
prop = "hello";   => reset global prop
```

```
grob(6);          => prop == "hello6"
```



```
function Y(g) {  
  return function (f) {return f(f);}{  
    function (f) {return g(function (x) {  
      return f(f)(x);  
    });  
  });  
}  
var fact = Y(function (fact) {  
  return function (n) {  
    return (n <= 2) ? n : n * fact(n-1);  
  }  
});  
alert(fact(5)); => 120
```



- All functions can construct:

```
function Car(make, model) {  
    this.make = make, this.model = model;  
}  
myCar = new Car("Porsche", "Boxster");
```

- All functions have a prototype property:

```
Car.prototype.color = "black";    => default color  
old = new Car("Ford", "T");       => black Model T  
myCar.color = "silver";           => my override
```

- Powerful when combined with closures



- Web in early 1996 was text+images
 - [Yahoo! home page](#)
- JS caught on like a bad cold (per plan!)
- Annoyances (now suppressed by good browsers) proliferated
- My colleagues made a [home page](#) for me



- We've come a long way
- [Google Maps](#)
- [Yahoo! webmail](#) (based on oddpost.com)
- [Zimbra](#), another "AJAX" webmail app
- The "X" in AJAX is for "XML", specifically XMLHttpRequest, which MS added to IE when they gave Java the boot – ironic!



- JS and the “DOM” stabilized
- Up and coming browsers matched MS IE’s platform features (e.g., XMLHttpRequest)
- Moore’s Law compounded
- Hackers discovered JS’s FP and Self-ish OOP features
- And good hackers like those features



- It's easy to extend user-defined objects
 - [ruby.js](#) for Ruby generic method emulation
- Or built-in objects ([Prototype](#) example):

```
Function.prototype.bind = function(object) {  
  var method = this;  
  return function() {  
    method.apply(object, arguments);  
  }  
}
```



- Wouldn't this have happened with any winner-take-all browser-based language?
 - Tcl, Perl, Python, Java, VBScript(!)
 - In 1995, not good choices per design goals
 - Event handlers in HTML DOM + JS “easy to use” design goal imply first class functions
 - Hard to work around lack of closures (e.g. using Java anonymous inner classes)



- JS lacks information hiding *a la* Java
- But closures save us again:

```
Function Car(make, model) {  
    this.make = function() {return make;}  
    this.model = function() {return model;}  
}
```

```
var myCar = new Car("Audi", "A8L");  
alert(myCar.make());  
// No way to subvert make or model
```

- Private static members can be done similarly



- Aping Java's use of + for string concatenation
 - Compatibility is king, too late to fix this one
- Permissiveness:
 - Can call with too few or too many arguments
 - Types convert freely, e.g., "1.0" == 1 && "1" == 1 but "1.0" != "1" (use === instead)
 - Information hiding requires unfamiliar closures
- Lack of a standard library mechanism



- [ECMA](#) standardized JavaScript in 1997
- Revised for ISO in 1998
- Revised again in 1999 for major features that missed v1 (what was in Netscape 2-3)
- [E4X](#) extends JS with XML first class object subtype and literal syntax
- Edition 4 of the ECMA-262 standard under way again, after lengthy hiatus



- Not deprecating prototypes or dynamic types
- Will support writing the language in itself
- Express property get/set methods, declare property attributes, other missing MOP stuff
- Classes introduced as Self-ish traits objects
- Namespaces for API versioning
- Packages for standard library mechanism



- If in a rush, target your audience and simplify
- Pick the right primitives, support extensions
- The right primitives for event handling include first class functions and closures
- Proof: languages such as C# start with Java and grow such features (delegates, lambdas)
- Don't let Marketing name your language